

Predictors of household food insecurity in the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic

Brianna N. Lauren^{1*}; Elisabeth R. Silver^{1*}; Adam S. Faye²; Jennifer A. Woo Baidal³; Elissa M. Ozanne⁴; Chin Hur^{1,2,5**}

¹Division of General Medicine, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, ²Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, ³Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, ⁴Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, ⁵Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY

*Authors contributed equally.

**Correspondence: ch447@cumc.columbia.edu

Abstract

Objective: To examine associations between sociodemographic and mental health characteristics with household food insecurity as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak.

Design: Cross-sectional online survey analyzed using univariable tests and a multivariable logistic regression model.

Setting: The United States during the week of March 30, 2020.

Participants: Convenience sample of 1,965 American adults using Amazon's Mechanical Turk (MTurk) platform. Participants reporting household food insecurity prior to the pandemic were excluded from analyses.

Results: 1,517 participants reported household food security before the COVID-19 outbreak. Among this subset, 30% reported food insecurity after the COVID-19 outbreak, 53% were women and 72% were white. On multivariable analysis, race, income, relationship status, anxiety, and depression were significantly associated with incident household food insecurity. Black respondents, Hispanic/Latino respondents, and respondents with annual income less than \$100,000 were significantly more likely to experience incident household food insecurity. Individuals experiencing incident household food insecurity were 2.09 (95% CI 1.58-2.83) times more likely to screen positively for anxiety and 1.88 (95% CI 1.37-2.52) times more likely to screen positively for depression.

Conclusions: Food insecurity due to the COVID-19 pandemic is common, and certain populations are particularly vulnerable. There are strong associations between food insecurity and anxiety/depression. Public health interventions to increase the accessibility of healthful foods, especially for Black and Hispanic/Latino communities, are crucial to relieving the economic stress of this pandemic.

38 **Introduction**

39 As the economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic ripple through the United
40 States, foodbanks across the country have reported unprecedented demand, with many
41 distribution centers falling short of community need.^(1,2) Unemployment has skyrocketed to
42 Depression-era rates,⁽³⁾ and schools offering free or reduced-price meals have closed, potentially
43 leaving households more vulnerable to food insecurity than ever before.

44 Emerging national data demonstrate an increase in household food insecurity across the
45 country. During the week of May 7, 2020, the U.S. Census Bureau received almost 42,000
46 responses to their Household Pulse Survey. This survey estimated that 10% of U.S. adults
47 experienced food scarcity in the past 7 days,⁽⁴⁾ although other national surveys have reported
48 even higher numbers. The COVID Impact Survey, conducted by NORC at the University of
49 Chicago for the Data Foundation, recruited a nationally representative sample of 2,190 adults
50 during the week of April 20. Within this sample, 28% reported often or sometimes worrying
51 about food running out within the past 30 days. In addition, 22% reported that food often or
52 sometimes didn't last and they didn't have money to buy more.⁽⁵⁾ Finally, about 9,000 nonelderly
53 adults responded to the Urban Institute's nationally representative Health Reform Monitoring
54 Survey between March 25 and April 10, and found that 21.9% of respondents reported household
55 food insecurity.⁽⁶⁾

56 Past work examining food insecurity in the wake of major natural disasters in the US has
57 found that risk factors for becoming food-insecure include race/ethnicity (particularly Black and
58 Hispanic/Latino individuals), lower household income, poorer mental health, and poorer physical
59 health.^(7,8) The COVID-19 pandemic has disproportionately impacted these same communities.⁽⁹⁾
60 The Health Reform Monitoring Survey found that Black and Hispanic adults were more than
61 twice as likely to report household food insecurity compared to non-Hispanic white adults
62 (33.9% and 33.3% vs 16.3%, respectively).⁽⁶⁾ As with past major disasters, the pandemic
63 exacerbates existing systemic inequalities in access to material resources that support health and
64 wellbeing.

65 Food insecurity is associated with poor health outcomes, including cardiovascular
66 disease, body mass index over 30, and diabetes.^(10,11) These conditions are associated with
67 developing severe COVID-19 symptoms.⁽¹²⁾ Thus, identifying those who are most vulnerable to
68 household food insecurity amid the economic fallout of COVID-19 is of crucial public health
69 importance. To this end, we conducted a cross-sectional survey of American adults to assess
70 sociodemographic characteristics associated with incident household food insecurity in the wake
71 of the COVID-19 outbreak.

72

73 **Methods**

74 We conducted a cross-sectional survey of American adults through Amazon's
75 Mechanical Turk (MTurk), an online labor market of over 225,000 U.S. workers who complete
76 online tasks and surveys.⁽¹³⁾ Participants were invited to take part in an online survey,
77 administered using Qualtrics, that included questions regarding demographics, social distancing,
78 food security before and after the COVID-19 outbreak, and anxiety and depression (described in
79 detail below in Measures and in the Supplementary Material). Surveys were completed between
80 March 30, 2020 – April 2, 2020, after many states had imposed stay-at-home orders.⁽¹⁴⁾
81 Participants were compensated with \$0.50 for completing the survey, which took 10-15 minutes
82 to complete.

83 *Measures*

84 *Demographics.* Demographic variables included age, gender, race/ethnicity, annual
85 household income, postal code, relationship status, employment status at time of survey, and
86 whether participants lived with children under age 18.

87 *Household Food Insecurity.* We assessed household food insecurity using a validated
88 two-item screen.⁽¹⁵⁾ Household food insecurity was defined as responses of “Sometimes true” or
89 “Often true” for both items. Participants reported answers to each of these questions for the
90 periods before and after the COVID-19 outbreak.

91 *Anxiety and Depression.* Anxious and depressive symptoms were assessed as part of the
92 PROMIS-29 + 2 (PROPr) scale.⁽¹⁶⁾ Participant responses were expected to reflect their mental
93 state at the time of the survey. Based on past work,⁽¹⁷⁾ anxiety subscale T-scores greater than or
94 equal to 62.3 were categorized as positive for anxiety, and depression subscale T-scores greater
95 than or equal to 59.9 were categorized as positive for depression.

96 *Additional Measures.* Additional survey questions asked respondents about the effect of
97 COVID-19 on diet, exercise, and health-related quality of life. A descriptive report of findings
98 from these measures is currently under review. These variables were not included in the present
99 analyses as they were unrelated to our primary aims.

100 *Analysis*

101 *Univariable Analysis.* The study population was restricted to respondents with household
102 food security before the COVID-19 outbreak. We compared baseline demographic
103 characteristics and anxiety and depression across respondents with and without incidence
104 household food insecurity. We used Chi-square tests of independence for categorical variables,
105 and Fisher's exact test when expected values were less than or equal to five. Respondents with
106 missing data for a particular analysis were excluded. Statistical significance was defined as $p <$
107 0.05.

108 *Multivariable Analysis.* We constructed a multivariable logistic regression model
109 assessing incident household food insecurity as our outcome variable. Gender and age were
110 included as covariables *a priori*. We included additional demographic characteristics if $p <$ 0.20

111 on univariable analyses. Respondents with missing data for included variables were excluded
112 from the model. Statistical testing was performed in R (Version 3.6.3).
113

114 **Results**

115 A total of 1,965 participants across the United States completed our survey between
116 March 30th and April 2nd, 2020. Among the total survey respondents, 1,527 individuals (78%)
117 reported household food security before the outbreak. Subsequent analyses were based on this
118 subset of the population.

119 Within the population of food-secure participants pre-COVID-19, 44% identified as
120 male, 72% were between the ages of 25 and 55, 72% were white, 10% were Asian, 7% were
121 Black, and 7% were Hispanic. In addition, 48% reported an annual household income of over
122 \$50,000 and 64% reported full-time employment at the time of the survey. Roughly 30% of
123 participants reported incident household food insecurity after the outbreak (Table 1). Figure 1
124 illustrates the change in household food insecurity by annual income level after the COVID-19
125 outbreak. Respondents at each income level reported incident household food insecurity, ranging
126 from 19-37% of the population at different income levels.

127 On univariable analysis, age, race, income, anxiety, and depression were most strongly
128 associated with incident household food insecurity (Table 2). Respondents aged 25-34
129 represented a larger percentage of the population with incident household food insecurity
130 compared to the population without incident household food insecurity (38% vs 30%,
131 respectively; $p < 0.01$). Racial/ethnic minorities and respondents with lower incomes also
132 represented larger proportions of individuals with incident household food insecurity ($p < 0.01$).
133 Among participants with incident household food insecurity, 57% screened positive for anxiety,
134 compared to 31% of participants with household food security ($p < 0.01$). Similarly, 46% of
135 participants with incident household food insecurity screened positive for depression, compared
136 to 21.6% of participants with household food security ($p < 0.01$). Relationship status and
137 household composition were also significantly associated with incident household food
138 insecurity ($p = 0.024$ and $p = 0.017$, respectively).

139 On multivariable analysis, race, income, relationship status, anxiety, and depression were
140 all independently associated with incident food insecurity (Figure 2). Both Black and Hispanic
141 respondents were significantly more likely to experience incident food insecurity compared to
142 white respondents (adj OR 1.79, 95% CI 1.13-2.82 and 1.82, 95% CI 1.15-2.88, respectively).
143 Relative to those with annual income greater than \$150,000, those with annual incomes less than
144 \$100,000 were significantly more likely to experience incident household food insecurity, with
145 the greatest effect observed for those with annual incomes less than \$20,000 (adj OR 2.76, 95%
146 CI 1.38 – 5.67). In addition, respondents who were married or cohabitating were significantly
147 more likely to experience incident household food insecurity compared to single/casually dating
148 respondents, with an odds ratio of 1.66 (95% CI 1.15-2.43). Finally, respondents screening
149 positive for anxiety or depression were significantly more likely to report incident household
150 food insecurity, with odds ratios of 2.11 (95% CI 1.58-2.83) and 1.86 (95% CI 1.37-2.52),
151 respectively.

152

153 **Discussion**

154 In this cross-sectional survey of American adults, we found that 30% of respondents
155 became food-insecure following the COVID-19 outbreak. By contrast, the USDA reported that
156 only 11% of total U.S. households were food-insecure in 2018.⁽¹⁸⁾ Risk for incident household
157 food insecurity was significantly higher among Black and Hispanic participants, as well as
158 participants who were married or cohabitating, or reported household incomes below \$100,000 at
159 the time of the survey. In addition, there was a significant association between incident
160 household food insecurity and screening positive for anxiety or depression. These results suggest
161 that the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbates existing societal inequalities, with potential
162 downstream consequences for health and pandemic recovery efforts.

163 Emerging data suggest that Black and Hispanic individuals are disproportionately
164 impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.⁽¹⁹⁾ In New York City, the epicenter of COVID-19 in the
165 US, Black and Hispanic/Latino COVID-19 patients are twice as likely to die of the disease than
166 whites.⁽⁹⁾ Moreover, Black and Hispanic populations are disproportionately affected by
167 cardiovascular disease,⁽²⁰⁾ which is associated both with food insecurity^(10,11) and severe COVID-
168 19 disease.⁽¹²⁾ The results of our study provide further evidence of these disparities. Black and
169 Hispanic individuals were almost twice as likely to experience incident household food
170 insecurity, even after adjusting for other factors such as income and employment status. Targeted
171 efforts to increase household food security among Black and Hispanic communities are needed
172 to alleviate the disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on people of color.

173 In addition to highlighting existing disparities, our results underscore the far-reaching
174 impacts of COVID-19. Among respondents with an annual household income between \$50,000
175 and \$100,000, 30% experienced incident household food insecurity after the outbreak. This
176 proportion was lower for respondents with an annual household income above \$150,000, but still
177 remained at a surprising 19%. By contrast, the USDA reported only 5.4% of households with
178 annual incomes at or above 185% of the federal poverty line (\$47,110 for a family of four)
179 experienced household food insecurity in 2018.⁽¹⁸⁾ This discrepancy highlights the extent of
180 pandemic's effects - many Americans are becoming newly vulnerable to household food
181 insecurity.

182 Our finding regarding the relationship between food insecurity and anxiety/depression is
183 consistent with past work documenting a bidirectional relationship between food insecurity and
184 poor mental health and emotional wellbeing.⁽²¹⁾ More specifically, our study found that
185 respondents experiencing incident household food insecurity were roughly twice as likely to
186 experience anxiety or depression, even after controlling for other factors. Given that the
187 relationship between poor mental health and food insecurity is exacerbated by social isolation,⁽²²⁾
188 increasing the accessibility of mental healthcare during this time may augment more direct
189 efforts to bolster food security.

190 Our study has limitations. Firstly, our cross-sectional approach precludes causality
191 inferences, and relies on retrospective reports of food insecurity prior to the COVID-19 outbreak.
192 Secondly, we collected data through Amazon's Mechanical Turk, potentially creating a response

193 bias and limiting the generalizability of our findings to the larger US population. Finally, our
194 data were collected in late March, when the economic impacts of the outbreak were just
195 beginning to affect many nationwide. We hypothesize that this may partially explain the
196 unexpected result that unemployment was not a predictor of incident food insecurity. Although
197 the validated food insecurity screening questions that we used in our study specifically
198 referenced an ability to afford food (rather than an inability to buy food due to shortages), our
199 finding of increased risk of food insecurity among those with annual income between \$50,000-
200 \$100,000 is also surprising. Because we did not specify a time frame for these earnings,
201 participants' responses may reflect historical income rather than concurrent changes in annual
202 income resulting from the pandemic. Continued research on the effects of the pandemic on food
203 insecurity, particularly in larger community-based samples, is necessary to fully understand its
204 impacts.

205 Our study quantifies the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on household food insecurity
206 in the US and identifies associated risk factors. Our results suggest that the economic fallout of
207 the pandemic has severely worsened food insecurity, with minorities, low-income individuals,
208 and those with poorer mental health at significantly higher risk. As such, targeted relief efforts
209 are needed as we look towards economic recovery.

210

211 **Table 1.** Summary of population characteristics.

| | Count (%) |
|---|------------------|
| Total | n = 1517 |
| Household Food Insecurity After Outbreak | |
| No | 1061 (69.9%) |
| Yes | 456 (30.1%) |
| Gender | |
| Man | 668 (44%) |
| Woman | 802 (52.9%) |
| Other | 8 (0.5%) |
| Missing | 39 (2.6%) |
| Age | |
| 18 - 24 | 169 (11.1%) |
| 25 - 34 | 486 (32%) |
| 35 - 44 | 371 (24.5%) |
| 45 - 54 | 235 (15.5%) |
| 55 - 64 | 161 (10.6%) |
| 65+ | 93 (6.1%) |
| Missing | 2 (0.1%) |
| Race | |
| White | 1098 (72.4%) |
| Black | 105 (6.9%) |
| Asian | 156 (10.3%) |
| Hispanic | 106 (7%) |
| Other, including multi-racial | 45 (3%) |
| Missing | 7 (0.5%) |
| Annual Income | |
| <\$20k | 130 (8.6%) |
| \$20k-\$50k | 450 (29.7%) |
| \$50k-\$100k | 625 (41.2%) |
| \$100k-\$150k | 211 (13.9%) |
| >\$150k | 97 (6.4%) |
| Missing | 4 (0.3%) |
| Employment Status | |
| Full-time | 968 (63.8%) |
| Part-time | 225 (14.8%) |
| Student | 76 (5%) |
| Unemployed | 237 (15.6%) |
| Missing | 11 (0.7%) |
| Relationship Status | |
| Single | 368 (24.3%) |
| Divorced/Separated/Widowed | 132 (8.7%) |
| Committed Relationship | 132 (8.7%) |
| Married/Cohabiting | 879 (57.9%) |

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Missing | 6 (0.4%) |
| <i>Household Composition</i> | |
| Alone | 285 (18.8%) |
| With Children | 470 (31%) |
| With Others | 757 (49.9%) |
| Missing | 5 (0.3%) |
| <i>State Stay-at-Home Orders</i> | |
| No | 359 (23.7%) |
| Yes | 1129 (74.4%) |
| Missing | 29 (1.9%) |
| <i>Region</i> | |
| Northeast | 293 (19.3%) |
| West | 381 (25.1%) |
| South | 522 (34.4%) |
| Midwest | 292 (19.2%) |
| Missing | 29 (1.9%) |
| <i>Anxiety Screen</i> | |
| Negative | 930 (61.3%) |
| Positive | 585 (38.6%) |
| Missing | 2 (0.1%) |
| <i>Depression Screen</i> | |
| Negative | 1072 (70.7%) |
| Positive | 436 (28.7%) |
| Missing | 9 (0.6%) |

212

213

214 **Table 2.** Univariable analysis results. Percentages may not sum to 100 due to missing cases.

| Characteristic | | Became Food-Insecure N (%) | Remained Food-Secure N (%) | p-value |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | | 456 | 1061 | |
| Age, years | 18 - 24 | 60 (13.2) | 109 (10.3) | <0.001 |
| | 25 - 34 | 172 (37.7) | 314 (29.7) | |
| | 35 - 44 | 103 (22.6) | 268 (25.3) | |
| | 45 - 54 | 74 (16.2) | 161 (15.2) | |
| | 55 - 64 | 32 (7.0) | 129 (12.2) | |
| | 65+ | 15 (3.3) | 78 (7.4) | |
| Gender | Man | 203 (45.6) | 465 (45.0) | 0.960 |
| | Woman | 240 (53.9) | 562 (54.4) | |
| | Other | 2 (0.4) | 6 (0.6) | |
| Race/Ethnicity | White | 291 (64.1) | 807 (76.4) | <0.001 |
| | Black | 49 (10.8) | 56 (5.3) | |
| | Asian | 51 (11.2) | 105 (9.9) | |
| | Hispanic | 45 (9.9) | 61 (5.8) | |
| | Other, including multiracial | 18 (4.0) | 27 (2.6) | |
| Household Income | <\$20k | 48 (10.5) | 82 (7.8) | <0.001 |
| | \$20k-\$50k | 161 (35.4) | 289 (27.3) | |
| | \$50k-\$100k | 186 (40.9) | 439 (41.5) | |
| | \$100k-\$150k | 42 (9.2) | 169 (16.0) | |
| | >\$150k | 18 (4.0) | 79 (7.5) | |
| Region | Northeast | 86 (19.3) | 207 (19.8) | 0.077 |
| | West | 122 (27.4) | 259 (24.8) | |
| | South | 167 (37.5) | 355 (34.0) | |
| | Midwest | 70 (15.7) | 222 (21.3) | |
| Relationship Status | Single | 96 (21.1) | 272 (25.8) | 0.024 |
| | Divorced/Separated/ Widowed | 30 (6.6) | 102 (9.7) | |
| | Committed Relationship | 46 (10.1) | 86 (8.1) | |
| | Married/ Cohabiting | 283 (62.2) | 596 (56.4) | |
| Employment Status | Full-time | 310 (68.3) | 658 (62.5) | 0.130 |
| | Part-time | 65 (14.3) | 160 (15.2) | |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | Student | 21 (4.6) | 55 (5.2) | |
| | Unemployed | 58 (12.8) | 179 (17.0) | |
| Impact of COVID-19 on Employment | Became Unemployed | 27 (5.9) | 64 (6.1) | 1.00 |
| | No Change in Employment | 427 (94.1) | 988 (93.9) | |
| State Stay-at-Home Orders | Enacted | 344 (77.3) | 785 (75.3) | 0.438 |
| | Not Enacted | 101 (22.7) | 258 (24.7) | |
| Household Composition | Alone | 75 (16.5) | 210 (19.8) | 0.017 |
| | With Children | 164 (36.1) | 306 (28.9) | |
| | With Others | 215 (47.4) | 542 (51.2) | |
| Anxiety Screen | Positive | 259 (56.8) | 326 (30.8) | <0.001 |
| | Negative | 197 (43.2) | 733 (69.2) | |
| Depression Screen | Positive | 208 (45.8) | 228 (21.6) | <0.001 |
| | Negative | 246 (54.2) | 826 (78.4) | |

215

216

217

218 **Financial Support:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency,
219 commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

220 **Conflict of Interest:** No personal or financial relationships to disclose (all authors).

221 **Authorship:** BNL: Conceptualization, study design, analysis, manuscript writing and editing;
222 ERS: conceptualization, study design, analysis, manuscript writing and editing; ASF: Analysis,
223 manuscript editing; JAWB: Study design, manuscript editing; EMO: Study design, manuscript
224 editing; CH: Conceptualization, study design, analysis, manuscript writing and editing,
225 supervision.

226 **Ethical Standards Disclosure:** This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down
227 in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were
228 approved by the Columbia University Institutional Review Board. Electronic informed
229 agreement to participate was obtained from participants.

230

231 **References**


- 232 1. Kulish N (2020) ‘Never Seen Anything Like It’: Cars Line Up for Miles at Food Banks.
233 *The New York Times*.
- 234 2. Zhou L (2020) Coronavirus is exacerbating America’s hunger crisis. *Vox*.
235 <https://www.vox.com/2020/5/11/21233063/food-banks-snap-coronavirus> (accessed May
236 2020).
- 237 3. Long H & Van Dam A (2020) U.S. unemployment rate soars to 14.7 percent, the worst
238 since the Depression era. *Washington Post*.
- 239 4. Fields J, Hunter-Childs J, Tersine A, et al. (2020) Design and Operation of the 2020
240 Household Pulse Survey. *U.S. Census Bureau Forthcoming*.
- 241 5. Wozniak A, Willey J, Benz J, et al. (2020) COVID Impact Survey. *Chicago, IL: National
242 Opinion Research Center*.
- 243 6. Karpman M, Zuckerman S, Gonzalez D, et al. (2020) The COVID-19 Pandemic Is
244 Straining Families’ Abilities to Afford Basic Needs: Low-Income and Hispanic Families
245 the Hardest Hit. 21.
- 246 7. Clay LA, Papas MA, Gill KB, et al. (2018) Factors Associated with Continued Food
247 Insecurity among Households Recovering from Hurricane Katrina. *Int J Environ Res Public
248 Health* **15**.
- 249 8. Clay LA & Ross AD (2020) Factors Associated with Food Insecurity Following Hurricane
250 Harvey in Texas. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **17**.
- 251 9. Mays JC & Newman A (2020) Virus Is Twice as Deadly for Black and Latino People Than
252 Whites in N.Y.C. *The New York Times*.
- 253 10. Gundersen C & Ziliak JP (2015) Food Insecurity And Health Outcomes. *Health Aff
254 (Millwood)* **34**, 1830–1839.
- 255 11. Mendy VL, Vargas R, Cannon-Smith G, et al. (2018) Food Insecurity and Cardiovascular
256 Disease Risk Factors among Mississippi Adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **15**.
- 257 12. Wang X, Fang X, Cai Z, et al. (2020) Comorbid Chronic Diseases and Acute Organ Injuries
258 Are Strongly Correlated with Disease Severity and Mortality among COVID-19 Patients: A
259 Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Research (Wash D C)* **2020**, 2402961.
- 260 13. Robinson J, Rosenzweig C, Moss AJ, et al. (2019) Tapped out or barely tapped?
261 Recommendations for how to harness the vast and largely unused potential of the
262 Mechanical Turk participant pool. *PLOS ONE* **14**, e0226394. Public Library of Science.
- 263 14. Mervosh S, Lu D & Swales V (2020) See Which States and Cities Have Told Residents to
264 Stay at Home. *The New York Times*.

- 265 15. Hager ER, Quigg AM, Black MM, et al. (2010) Development and validity of a 2-item
266 screen to identify families at risk for food insecurity. *Pediatrics* **126**, e26-32.
- 267 16. Dewitt B, Feeny D, Fischhoff B, et al. (2018) Estimation of a Preference-Based Summary
268 Score for the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System: The
269 PROMIS®-Preference (PROPr) Scoring System. *Med Decis Making* **38**, 683–698.
- 270 17. Beleckas CM, Wright M, Prather H, et al. (2018) Relative Prevalence of Anxiety and
271 Depression in Patients with Upper-Extremity Conditions. *J Hand Surg Am* **43**, 571.e1-
272 571.e8.
- 273 18. Coleman-Jensen A, Rabbitt MP, Gregory CA, et al. (2019) Household Food Security in the
274 United States in 2018, ERR-270. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research
275 Service.
- 276 19. CDC (2020) Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Centers for Disease Control and*
277 *Prevention*. [https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html)
278 [ethnic-minorities.html](https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/racial-ethnic-minorities.html) (accessed May 2020).
- 279 20. Singh GK, Daus GP, Allender M, et al. (2017) Social Determinants of Health in the United
280 States: Addressing Major Health Inequality Trends for the Nation, 1935-2016. *Int J MCH*
281 *AIDS* **6**, 139–164.
- 282 21. Bruening M, Dinour LM & Chavez JBR (2017) Food insecurity and emotional health in the
283 USA: a systematic narrative review of longitudinal research. *Public Health Nutr* **20**, 3200–
284 3208.
- 285 22. Martin MS, Maddocks E, Chen Y, et al. (2016) Food insecurity and mental illness:
286 disproportionate impacts in the context of perceived stress and social isolation. *Public*
287 *Health* **132**, 86–91.

288


289

290 **Figure 1.** Effect of COVID on Food Security by Income.



291

292 **Figure 2.** Multivariable logistic regression analysis results.



293

294