

Tracking and Classifying Global COVID-19 Cases by using 1D Deep Convolution Neural Networks

Mark Amo-Boateng^{1,*}

¹Earth Observation Research and Innovation Centre, University of Energy and Natural Resources, Sunyani, Bono Region, Ghana

*mark.amo-boateng@uenr.edu.gh

ABSTRACT

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) and pandemic has taken the world by surprise and simultaneously challenged the health infrastructure of every country. Governments have resorted to draconian measures to contain the spread of the disease despite its devastating effect on their economies and education. Tracking the novel coronavirus 2019 disease remains vital as it influences the executive decisions needed to tighten or ease restrictions meant to curb the pandemic. One-Dimensional (1D) Convolution Neural Networks (CNN) have been used to classify and predict several time-series and sequence data. Here 1D-CNN is applied to the time-series data of confirmed COVID-19 cases for all reporting countries and territories. The model performance was 90.5% accurate. The model was used to develop an automated AI tracker web app ([AI Country Monitor](#)) and is hosted on <https://aicountrymonitor.org>. This article also presents a novel concept of pandemic response curves based on cumulative confirmed cases that can be used to classify the stage of a country or reporting territory. It is our firm belief that this Artificial Intelligence COVID-19 tracker can be extended to other domains such as the monitoring/tracking of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in addition to monitoring and tracking pandemics.

Introduction

The COVID-19 disease was declared as a global pandemic¹⁻⁴ leading to the imposition of restrictions in almost all countries and states worldwide. Since then, confirmed COVID-19 cases, as well as, reported recoveries and deaths have been tracked and monitored on several apps and platforms^{1,2,5-7}. These tracking dashboards and apps provide data that can be used to estimate the rate of spread and other statistics which influences the top-level decisions by governments which may lead to tightening or easing of these state-wide restrictions and policies^{6,8-10}.

The existing COVID-19 trackers^{2,6,11,12} focus on presenting the COVID-19 case data as reported by countries and territories only as dashboards and charts; they do not perform any predictions or classifications. We also note that no studies or existing dashboards/trackers employ the use of artificial intelligence to predict the state of COVID-19 for reporting countries and territories. The method proposed in this study involves data preprocessing, feature extraction, and predictions using one-dimensional deep convolution neural networks. Even though other algorithms exist that can be used in performing feature extraction and classification of sequence or time-series data, convolution neural networks have been found to be superior¹³⁻¹⁶ in extracting unique features and patterns in sequences¹⁷⁻¹⁹, images and video data. In fact, deep convolution neural networks algorithms are behind some of the state-of-the-art detection and tracking algorithms found in everyday images and videos. Here, 1D-CNN is used to extract unique feature identifiers that help predict the true state of countries and territories with respect to the novel coronavirus disease, uncovering hidden and underlying patterns that might otherwise not be readily noticed.

We propose an artificial intelligence prediction algorithm for the novel coronavirus 2019 stages for countries and reporting territories based on 1-D deep convolution neural networks trained on confirmed COVID-19 cases. We also propose the concept of pandemic response curves also based on confirmed COVID-19 cases that provides further insights into the state of each reporting country or territory. Our main aim is to provide real-time predictions of the stages of COVID-19 that is unbiased or subjective to human prejudices for all countries and reporting territories.

Results

Country and State Predictions

The COVID-19 state for each country and reporting territories on 11th, 18th, 25th and 31st May as predicted by the AI developed in this paper is given in Figure 1. Detailed predictions for 11th May, 2020 is shown in Table 1. For the diagram, Red: Stage 1 - Emerging (cases actively rising); Amber: Stage 2 - Controlling (cases passed peak and actively declining); Green: Stage 3 - Winning (cases consistently zero or near zero after stage 2). Weekly changes in the stages of each country is also

shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that very few countries are changing their stages in the COVID-19 pandemic each week. Detailed country COVID-19 stages and changes is given in Table 2. Overall, only 54 countries and reporting states changed their COVID-19 status.

Table 1. Prediction of COVID-19 status for countries and reporting territories for 11th May, 2020

Stage 1: Emerging Group	Stage 2: Controlling Group	Stage 3: Winning Group
Afghanistan, Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Belarus, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burundi, Cabo Verde, Cameroon, Ontario (Canada), Quebec (Canada), Saskatchewan (Canada), Canada, Central African Republic, Chad, Chile, Colombia, Congo (Brazzaville), Congo (Kinshasa), Cote d'Ivoire, Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Equatorial Guinea, Eswatini, Ethiopia, Finland, Mayotte (France), Gabon, Gambia, Georgia, Ghana, Guatemala, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Iraq, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Mali, Mexico, Moldova, Morocco, Mozambique, Nepal, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Singapore, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Togo, US, Uganda, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, Venezuela, Zambia	Albania, Bahamas, Belgium, Bhutan, Burkina Faso, Alberta (Canada), British Columbia (Canada), Nova Scotia (Canada), Costa Rica, Cuba, Cyprus, Denmark, French Guiana (France), Greece, Iran, Ireland, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Latvia, Lebanon, Malaysia, Netherlands, Nicaragua, North Macedonia, Portugal, Rwanda, San Marino, Serbia, Turkey, Uzbekistan, Vietnam	Andorra, Antigua and Barbuda, Australian Capital Territory (Australia), New South Wales (Australia), Northern Territory (Australia), Queensland (Australia), South Australia (Australia), Tasmania (Australia), Victoria (Australia), Western Australia (Australia), Australia, Austria, Barbados, Belize, Benin, Botswana, Brunei, Burma, Cambodia, Diamond Princess (Canada), Grand Princess (Canada), Manitoba (Canada), New Brunswick (Canada), Newfoundland and Labrador (Canada), Northwest Territories (Canada), Prince Edward Island (Canada), Yukon (Canada), Anhui (China), Beijing (China), Chongqing (China), Fujian (China), Gansu (China), Guangdong (China), Guangxi (China), Guizhou (China), Hainan (China), Hebei (China), Heilongjiang (China), Henan (China), Hong Kong (China), Hubei (China), Hunan (China), Inner Mongolia (China), Jiangsu (China), Jiangxi (China), Jilin (China), Liaoning (China), Macau (China), Ningxia (China), Qinghai (China), Shaanxi (China), Shandong (China), Shanghai (China), Shanxi (China), Sichuan (China), Tianjin (China), Tibet (China), Xinjiang (China), Yunnan (China), Zhejiang (China), China, Comoros, Croatia, Czechia, Faroe Islands (Denmark), Greenland (Denmark), Diamond Princess, Djibouti, Dominica, Ecuador, Eritrea, Estonia, Fiji, French Polynesia (France), Guadeloupe (France), Martinique (France), New Caledonia (France), Reunion (France), Saint Barthelemy (France), Saint Pierre and Miquelon (France), St Martin (France), France, Germany, Grenada, Holy See, Iceland, Israel, Jordan, Korea, South, Kosovo, Laos, Libya, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, MS Zaandam, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Monaco, Mongolia, Montenegro, Namibia, Aruba (Netherlands), Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba (Netherlands), Curacao (Netherlands), Sint Maarten (Netherlands), New Zealand, Niger, Norway, Papua New Guinea, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Sao Tome and Principe, Seychelles, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Suriname, Switzerland, Syria, Taiwan*, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Thailand, Timor-Leste, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Anguilla (United Kingdom), Bermuda (United Kingdom), British Virgin Islands (United Kingdom), Cayman Islands (United Kingdom), Channel Islands (United Kingdom), Falkland Islands (Malvinas) (United Kingdom), Gibraltar (United Kingdom), Isle of Man (United Kingdom), Montserrat (United Kingdom), Turks and Caicos Islands (United Kingdom), Uruguay, West Bank and Gaza, Western Sahara, Yemen, Zimbabwe

Also, COVID-19 state for each county and territories in the USA on on 11th, 18th, 25th and 31st May as classified by the AI used in this paper is given in Figure 3. The color code for the diagram is: Red: Stage 1 - Emerging (cases actively rising); Amber: Stage 2 - Controlling (cases passed peak and actively declining); Green: Stage 3 - Winning (cases consistently zero or near zero after stage 2). Changes in the US counties and territories as seen each week is shown in Figure 4. It is very evident that though some counties did not have any changes over the month of May, many counties had a negative change, moving from Stage 3: Winning to Stage 1: Emerging; while others moved in the opposite direction.




Figure 1. Weekly COVID-19 Global Predictions of Countries and Territories for May, 2020)

Pandemic Response Curves

The Pandemic Response Curves, as shown in Figure 5, provides a visual inspection of the similarities among countries in the various stages of the COVID-19 pandemic. The curve highlights patterns that may have otherwise not been noticeable and helps clarify unbiasedly where each country or reporting territory is at in the COVID-19 fight. Figure 6 provides some of the PRC for selected countries in each of the stages. Also, Figure 7 shows the country Ghana PRC compared to other countries' PRC which recorded their first cases of COVID-19 the same day.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic is still ravaging many countries and territories, and has led to strict movement restrictions including border closures and curfews in many countries. Public gatherings, schools, restaurants and hotels have also been closed as a results on its unprecedented method of spreading. To help contain the virus, many apps have been developed that track infections across the globe. Notable amongst them include the John Hopkins CSSE COVID-19 dashboard which has served as a reference database. To understand further understand hidden insights to all reported cases an artificial intelligence was developed in this paper. Artificial intelligence is already being applied COVID-19 diagnosis of Chest XRays and CT-Scans^{20,21}. In this paper, we applied AI to the cumulative confirmed coronavirus cases to uncover hidden insights and tell the status of each country for the month of May.

This begun by preprocessing the data and manually classifying some of the data from the countries (see Table 3. The data was meant to help the AI be a generalizer of the patterns in the confirmed coronavirus cases. Further, the AI was developed using Convolution Neural Networks which has been demonstrated to be superior in finding hidden patters in images and videos. By apply a 1D-CNN, we had hoped for a very efficient pattern finding of the confirmed COVID-19 cases, and used that to classify the cases into three main groups: Stage 1: Emerging (active rising cases); Stage 2: Controlling (passed peak and active decline cases); Stage 3: Winning (consistently zero or near zero cases after active decline).

Training the AI on the pre-classified data using the last 100 days yield a 90.5% accuracy. The trained model was then




Figure 2. Global Changes in COVID-19 Stages for Countries and Territories in May, 2020.

applied to the whole data for all countries and reporting territories, as well as US counties and territories. The results of this operation for each country is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 3 for US counties and territories. In addition, tracking the changes overtime is paramount to helping us understand which countries or states are performing well or otherwise, as such, changes in the performance of all countries and states were also carried out. These results for the month of May can be seen in Figure 2 and Table 2 for all countries and reporting territories. Similar results for US counties and territories are shown in Figure 4. From the above results, it can be clearly seen that very few countries, states and US counties are changing their COVID-19 status. There are even instances where some countries or states went from consistently very low or zero cases to actively rising cases (e.g. Djibouti, Namibia, Zimbabwe).

The AI developed in this paper currently relies on patterns within the cumulative confirmed coronavirus cases for all reporting countries and territories. A further investigation into the performance of the AI led to the development of the Pandemic Response Curves (PRC). The PRC showed that countries with similar COVID-19 status had identical curves. This suggests that the generalized PRC shapes can be used to classify the status of all countries in an unbiased way. The PRCs for all countries, highlighting the shapes and similarities of curves (irrespective of population and other metrics) are shown in 5. Selected countries with known COVID-19 status are shown in 6. To further highlight the uniqueness of the PRCs, Ghana (a Stage 1: Emerging country) was compared to other countries that recorded their first case on the same day. This result is shown in 7 for Namibia (Stage 3: Winning - see Figure 7a), Seychelles (Stage 2: Controlling - see Figure 7b), and Philippines (also Stage 1: Emerging - see Figure 7c). It is hoped that the PRCs be developed further to help automatically classify pandemics.




Figure 3. Weekly COVID-19 USA Predictions of Counties and Territories for May, 2020.

Methods

Dataset

The dataset used for this project is the one compiled daily by the John Hopkins Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) and made available to the public via [GitHub](https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19). Data sources used in compiling the CSSE database include: WHO, CDC, ECDC, NHC, DXY, 1point3acres, Worldometers.info, the COVID Tracking Project (testing and hospitalizations), and city, county, state and national public health departments. The time series data consist of daily cumulative cases of COVID-19 from all countries and territories. In addition, data for the United States counties are presented. The time series data begins on January 22nd, 2020 till date.

Preprocessing

Each country or reporting territory data is normalized with respect to its maximum cumulative cases. The resulting time-series data is in the range of 0 to 1. To account for the inconsistencies in the data reporting intervals across all countries, the normalized data time-series is then smoothed with time-windowed simple moving average. The following time-windows were considered: 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14 day moving averages. Data Labeling: countries and reporting territories that have been found to have been reported in the media as having reached one of the 3 stages of COVID-19 were manually identified, verified (visually and using third-party websites like [EndCoronavirus.org](https://endcoronavirus.org) and tagged with one of the labels: Stage 1 - Cases actively rising; Stage 2 - Cases have passed peak and begun to actively decline; Stage 3 - Cases consistently zero or near zero after active declining. These stages were labeled as Stage 1: Emerging, Stage 2: Controlling, Stage 3: Winning. In the most recent version of the model update 134 countries were manually labelled for AI training and validation. The labelled data was one-hot encoded for the 3 classes; and then split into 0.7:0.3 ratio for training and validation. Pre-classified countries and territories used in the training of




Figure 4. Changes in Weekly COVID-19 Stages in USA Counties and Territories for May, 2020.

the AI model is shown in Table 3.

1D-CNN COVID-19 AI Model

1D-CNN was used in this AI model with an initial input sequence length of 100; thus using data of the last 100 days for training, validation and prediction. This layer also had 64 filters, a kernel size of 3 x 3, and ReLu activation unit. This was followed by another 1D-CNN also with 64 filters, kernel size of 3 x 3, padding, strides of 1, and ReLu activation unit. A GlobalMaxPooling1D layer was used to flatten the 1D-CNN and the output fed into a 256 Dense layer. A 20% Dropout layer was then added with ReLu activation unit and then fed to another 128 Dense layer then to the 3 classification output layers using the Sigmoid activation function.

The model was compiled using the Adam as the optimizer(initial learning rate of 0.001), Mean Squared Error (MSE) as the loss function, and Accuracy as the metrics. In addition, four callbacks were also setup and used with model during training. They were: ModelCheckpoint (saved the best models during training), EarlyStopping (terminateg training if no improvement is being made), ReduceLRonPlateau (adjusts the learning rate if optimizer appears stuck in a local minima), and Tensorboard (live monitoring of training progress). The model was then repeatedly trained over 2000 epochs and the best performing models selected for use in the AI Covid Tracker.






Figure 5. General COVID-19 Pandemic Response Curves for Countries and Territories (11th May, 2020)

Table 2. Countries and reporting territories that have changed their COVID-19 state in May


SN	Country	ISO(A3)	11-May	18-May	25-May	31-May
1	Albania	ALB	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling	Emerging
19	Bahamas	BHS	Controlling	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
26	Benin	BEN	Winning	Emerging	Winning	Winning
27	Bhutan	BTN	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
29	Bosnia and Herzegovina	BIH	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
30	Botswana	BWA	Winning	Winning	Emerging	Emerging
33	Bulgaria	BGR	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling
35	Burma	MMR	Winning	Winning	Winning	Controlling
48	Nova Scotia (Canada)		Controlling	Controlling	Winning	Winning
51	Quebec (Canada)		Emerging	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling
52	Saskatchewan (Canada)		Emerging	Controlling	Controlling	Winning
54	Canada	CAN	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling
96	Costa Rica	CRI	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling	Emerging
100	Cyprus	CYP	Controlling	Winning	Winning	Winning
101	Czechia	CZE	Winning	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
106	Djibouti	DJI	Winning	Winning	Emerging	Emerging
109	Ecuador	ECU	Winning	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
118	Finland	FIN	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
119	French Guiana (France)	GUF	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
131	Gambia	GMB	Emerging	Emerging	Winning	Winning
132	Georgia	GEO	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
139	Guinea-Bissau	GNB	Emerging	Emerging	Winning	Winning
144	Hungary	HUN	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
148	Iran	IRN	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
153	Jamaica	JAM	Controlling	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
155	Jordan	JOR	Winning	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling
159	Kosovo	XKS	Winning	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
164	Lebanon	LBN	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
165	Lesotho	LSO	Emerging	Winning	Winning	Winning
167	Libya	LYB	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
177	Malta	MLT	Winning	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling
178	Mauritania	MRT	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
183	Mongolia	MNG	Winning	Emerging	Emerging	Winning
185	Morocco	MAR	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling
187	Namibia	NAM	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
195	Nicaragua	NIC	Controlling	Emerging	Winning	Winning
198	North Macedonia	MKD	Controlling	Controlling	Controlling	Emerging
204	Paraguay	PRY	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling
210	Romania	ROU	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling
212	Rwanda	RWA	Controlling	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
215	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	VCT	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
217	Sao Tome and Principe	STP	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
232	Suriname	SUR	Winning	Winning	Winning	Emerging
235	Syria	SYR	Winning	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
237	Tajikistan	TJK	Winning	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
250	Bermuda (United Kingdom)	BMU	Winning	Winning	Winning	Controlling
252	Cayman Islands (United Kingdom)	CYM	Winning	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging
259	United Kingdom	GBR	Emerging	Emerging	Controlling	Controlling
260	Uruguay	URY	Winning	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
261	Uzbekistan	UZB	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
263	Vietnam	VNM	Controlling	Controlling	Winning	Winning
264	West Bank and Gaza	PSE	Winning	Winning	Controlling	Controlling
266	Yemen	YEM	Winning	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging
267	Zambia	ZMB	Emerging	Emerging	Emerging	Winning
268	Zimbabwe	ZWE	Winning	Controlling	Emerging	Emerging



(a) Stage 3: Selected PRC for Winning Countries and States




(b) Stage 2: Selected PRC for Controlling Countries and States




(c) Stage 1: Selected PRC for Emerging Countries and States


Figure 6. Sample COVID-19 Pandemic Response Curves for Selected Countries and Territories (4th May, 2020)



(a) Stage 3: Ghana Vs. Namibia in Winning Countries and States



(b) Stage 2: Ghana Vs. Seychelles in Controlling Countries and States



(c) Stage 1: Ghana Vs. Philippines in Emerging Countries and States

Figure 7. Ghana versus selected countries COVID-19 Pandemic Response Curves (11th May, 2020)

Table 3. Pre-classifications used for training the COVID-19 AI using data based on 4th May, 2020

Stage 1: Emerging Group	Stage 2: Controlling Group	Stage 3: Winning Group
Argentina, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Belarus, Brazil, Bulgaria, Central African Republic, China - Anhui, Democratic Republic of Congo, El Salvador, Equatorial Guinea, France - French Polynesia, Islamic Republic of Iran, Iraq, Ireland, Israel, Monaco, Mongolia, Norway, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Russian Federation, Rwanda, Saint Lucia, Slovenia, Spain, Taiwan, United Kingdom - Bermuda, United States of America, R. B. de Venezuela, Canada - Yukon	Albania, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, Croatia, Denmark - Faroe Islands, Greenland (Den.), Dominican Republic, The Gambia, Greece, India, Italy, Jamaica, Japan, Kazakhstan, Republic of Korea, Lebanon, Malta, Nicaragua, North Macedonia, Oman, Pakistan, Romania, Senegal, Singapore, Sudan, Suriname, Tanzania, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Vietnam	Andorra, Australia - Australian Capital Territory, Australia - New South Wales, Australia - Northern Territory, Australia - Queensland, Australia - South Australia, Australia - Tasmania, Australia - Victoria, Australia - Western Australia, Austria, Bhutan, Cambodia, China - Beijing, China - Chongqing, China - Fujian, China - Gansu, China - Guangdong, China - Guangxi, China - Guizhou, China - Hainan, China - Hebei, China - Heilongjiang, China - Henan, Hong Kong (SAR), China - Hubei, China - Hunan, China - Inner Mongolia, China - Jiangsu, China - Jiangxi, China - Jilin, China - Liaoning, Macau (SAR), China - Ningxia, China - Qinghai, China - Shaanxi, China - Shandong, China - Shanghai, China - Shanxi, China - Sichuan, China - Tianjin, China - Tibet, China - Xinjiang, China - Yunnan, China - Zhejiang, Colombia, Congo, Cuba, Czech Republic, Ecuador, Ethiopia, Guinea, Indonesia, Jordan, Kenya, Kyrgyz Republic, Liberia, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Madagascar, Malaysia, Mauritius, Moldova, Montenegro, Namibia, Niger, Somalia, South Africa, Thailand, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, Zimbabwe, United Kingdom - British Virgin Islands






Figure 8. COVID-19 AI Network Architecture



(a) Training and Validation Loss



(b) Training and Validation Accuracy

Figure 9. COVID-19 AI Model Training Loss and Accuracy

References

1. Menni, C. *et al.* Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to predict potential COVID-19. *Nat. Medicine* DOI: [10.1038/s41591-020-0916-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0916-2) (2020).
2. Guan, W. *et al.* Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *New Engl. J. Medicine* **382**, 1708–1720, DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa2002032](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032) (2020).
3. Gudbjartsson, D. F. *et al.* Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic Population. *New Engl. J. Medicine* DOI: [10.1056/nejmoa2006100](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2006100) (2020).
4. Tian, H. *et al.* An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Sci. (New York, N.Y.)* **368**, 638–642, DOI: [10.1126/science.abb6105](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb6105) (2020).
5. Smolinski, M. S. *et al.* Flu near you: Crowdsourced symptom reporting spanning 2 influenza seasons. *Am. J. Public Heal.* **105**, 2124–2130, DOI: [10.2105/AJPH.2015.302696](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2015.302696) (2015).
6. Tariq, A. *et al.* Real-time monitoring the transmission potential of COVID-19 in Singapore, February 2020. *medRxiv* 2020.02.21.20026435, DOI: [10.1101/2020.02.21.20026435](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.21.20026435) (2020).
7. Rossman, H. *et al.* A framework for identifying regional outbreak and spread of COVID-19 from one-minute population-wide surveys, DOI: [10.1038/s41591-020-0857-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0857-9) (2020).
8. Sutton, D., Fuchs, K., D’Alton, M. & Goffman, D. Universal Screening for SARS-CoV-2 in Women Admitted for Delivery. *New Engl. J. Medicine* DOI: [10.1056/nejmc2009316](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2009316) (2020).
9. Adalja, A. A., Toner, E. & Inglesby, T. V. Priorities for the US Health Community Responding to COVID-19, DOI: [10.1001/jama.2020.3413](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3413) (2020).
10. Mizumoto, K., Kagaya, K., Zarebski, A. & Chowell, G. Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020, DOI: [10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.10.2000180](https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.10.2000180) (2020).
11. Segal, E. *et al.* Building an international consortium for tracking coronavirus health status. *Nat. Medicine* 1–4, DOI: [10.1038/s41591-020-0929-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0929-x) (2020).
12. Drew, D. A. *et al.* Rapid implementation of mobile technology for real-time epidemiology of COVID-19. *Science* eabc0473, DOI: [10.1126/science.abc0473](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc0473) (2020).
13. Abdeltawab, H. *et al.* A Novel CNN-Based CAD System for Early Assessment of Transplanted Kidney Dysfunction. *Sci. Reports* **9**, 1–11, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-019-42431-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42431-3) (2019).
14. Taye, G. T., Shim, E. B., Hwang, H. J. & Lim, K. M. Machine Learning Approach to Predict Ventricular Fibrillation Based on QRS Complex Shape. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, 1–10, DOI: [10.3389/fphys.2019.01193](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.01193) (2019).
15. Taye, G. T., Hwang, H. J. & Lim, K. M. Application of a convolutional neural network for predicting the occurrence of ventricular tachyarrhythmia using heart rate variability features. *Sci. Reports* **10**, 1–7, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-020-63566-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63566-8) (2020).
16. Melillo, P. *et al.* Automatic prediction of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events using heart rate variability analysis. *PLoS ONE* **10**, 1–14, DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0118504](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118504) (2015).
17. Rajendra Acharya, U., Subbanna Bhat, P., Iyengar, S. S., Rao, A. & Dua, S. Classification of heart rate data using artificial neural network and fuzzy equivalence relation. *Pattern Recognit.* **36**, 61–68, DOI: [10.1016/S0031-3203\(02\)00063-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-3203(02)00063-8) (2003).
18. Lippmann, R. P. Pattern Classification Using Neural Networks. *IEEE Commun. Mag.* **27**, 47–50, DOI: [10.1109/35.41401](https://doi.org/10.1109/35.41401) (1989).
19. Acharya, U. R. *et al.* Application of deep convolutional neural network for automated detection of myocardial infarction using ECG signals. *Inf. Sci.* **415-416**, 190–198, DOI: [10.1016/j.ins.2017.06.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.06.027) (2017).
20. Chan, J. F. W. *et al.* A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *The Lancet* **395**, 514–523, DOI: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9) (2020).
21. Li, L. *et al.* Artificial Intelligence Distinguishes COVID-19 from Community Acquired Pneumonia on Chest CT. *Radiology* 200905, DOI: [10.1148/radiol.2020200905](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200905) (2020).

Acknowledgements

I will like to thank the John Hopkins Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) for making COVID-19 data openly available on GitHub.

Author contributions statement

A.B. conceived the experiment(s), conducted the experiment(s), and analysed the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information

We declare no competing interests