

1 Prediction of Maternal Hemorrhage: Using Machine Learning to Identify Patients at Risk

2

3 Jill M. WESTCOTT, MD, MS<sup>1</sup>; Francine HUGHES, MD<sup>2</sup>; Wenke LIU PhD<sup>3,4</sup>; Mark GRIVAINIS  
4 MS<sup>3,4</sup>; David FENYÖ PhD<sup>3,4</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, New York  
7 University Langone Health, New York, NY; <sup>2</sup>Montefiore Medical Center/Albert Einstein College  
8 of Medicine, Bronx, NY; <sup>3</sup>Institute for Systems Genetics, New York University Grossman School  
9 of Medicine, New York, NY; <sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New  
10 York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY

11

12 The authors report no conflict of interest.

13

14 This research was funded through an NYU CTSA grant UL1 TR001445 from the National  
15 Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health.

16

17 Presented at the 40<sup>th</sup> Annual Pregnancy Meeting, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine,  
18 Grapevine, TX, February 3-8, 2020.

19

20 Corresponding author:

21 Jill M. Westcott, MD, MS

22 462 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016

23 Jill.M.Westcott@gmail.com

24

25 Word count: 2489

26 Condensation: Machine learning methods can be successfully utilized to predict nearly three-  
27 quarters of women at risk of postpartum hemorrhage when undergoing obstetric delivery.

28

29 Short Title: Prediction of Maternal Hemorrhage

30

31 AJOG at a Glance:

32 A. Why was the study conducted?

- 33 • To determine patients at risk for postpartum hemorrhage using modern machine  
34 learning techniques on a robust data set directly derived from the electronic  
35 medical record

36 B. What are the key findings?

- 37 • Using 28 predictor features, the model successfully classified 73.7% of patients  
38 who ultimately had a postpartum hemorrhage using information available prior to  
39 delivery
- 40 • Many previously identified risk factors for postpartum hemorrhage were not  
41 included in the final model, potentially discounting their contribution to  
42 hemorrhage risk
- 43 • Models stratified by delivery method achieved good to excellent discrimination  
44 but noted lower sensitivity and need further investigation

45 C. What does this study add to what is already known?

- 46 • This study represents the largest cohort directly-derived from the electronic  
47 medical record to use machine learning techniques to identify patients at risk for  
48 postpartum hemorrhage

49 **Abstract**

50 **Background:** Postpartum hemorrhage remains one of the largest causes of maternal morbidity  
51 and mortality in the United States.

52 **Objective:** To utilize machine learning techniques to identify patients at risk for postpartum  
53 hemorrhage at obstetric delivery.

54 **Study Design:** Women aged 18 to 55 delivering at a major academic center from July 2013 to  
55 October 2018 were included for analysis (n = 30,867). A total of 497 variables were collected  
56 from the electronic medical record including demographic information, obstetric, medical,  
57 surgical, and family history, vital signs, laboratory results, labor medication exposures, and  
58 delivery outcomes. Postpartum hemorrhage was defined as a blood loss of  $\geq 1000$  mL at the  
59 time of delivery, regardless of delivery method, with 2179 positive cases observed (7.06%).

60 Supervised learning with regression-, tree-, and kernel-based machine learning methods was  
61 used to create classification models based upon training (n = 21,606) and validation (n = 4,630)  
62 cohorts. Models were tuned using feature selection algorithms and domain knowledge. An  
63 independent test cohort (n = 4,631) determined final performance by assessing for accuracy,  
64 area under the receiver operating curve (AUC), and sensitivity for proper classification of  
65 postpartum hemorrhage. Separate models were created using all collected data versus limited  
66 to data available prior to the second stage of labor/at the time of decision to proceed with  
67 cesarean delivery. Additional models examined patients by mode of delivery.

68 **Results:** Gradient boosted decision trees achieved the best discrimination in the overall model.  
69 The model including all data mildly outperformed the second stage model (AUC 0.979, 95% CI  
70 0.971-0.986 vs. AUC 0.955, 95% CI 0.939-0.970). Optimal model accuracy was 98.1% with a  
71 sensitivity of 0.763 for positive prediction of postpartum hemorrhage. The second stage model  
72 achieved an accuracy of 98.0% with a sensitivity of 0.737. Other selected algorithms returned

73 models that performed with decreased discrimination. Models stratified by mode of delivery  
74 achieved good to excellent discrimination, but lacked sensitivity necessary for clinical  
75 applicability.

76 **Conclusions:** Machine learning methods can be used to identify women at risk for postpartum  
77 hemorrhage who may benefit from individualized preventative measures. Models limited to data  
78 available prior to delivery perform nearly as well as those with more complete datasets,  
79 supporting their potential utility in the clinical setting. Further work is necessary to create  
80 successful models based upon mode of delivery. An unbiased approach to hemorrhage risk  
81 prediction may be superior to human risk assessment and represents an area for future  
82 research.

83

84 **Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, predictive modeling, maternal morbidity

## 85 Introduction

86 Postpartum hemorrhage is the leading cause of maternal mortality worldwide<sup>1</sup>. In the United  
87 States, the rate of postpartum hemorrhage continues to rise, complicating nearly 3% of  
88 deliveries<sup>2</sup>. Mothers with severe hemorrhage may require blood transfusion, hysterectomy, or  
89 intensive care unit admission with a select number of cases proving fatal. Postpartum  
90 hemorrhage that leads to blood transfusion is the leading cause of severe maternal morbidity in  
91 the United States<sup>3</sup>. Stewardship of blood resources and minimizing hemorrhage related  
92 morbidity remain ongoing efforts as blood transfusion is not without risk. By predicting patients  
93 at risk for significant blood loss, prophylactic measures may be instituted to avoid maternal  
94 morbidity and mortality.

95 A number of risk factors for postpartum hemorrhage have been established, including previous  
96 postpartum hemorrhage, multifetal gestation, pre-eclampsia, augmented labor, fetal  
97 macrosomia, operative vaginal delivery, and complex lacerations, as well as other factors<sup>4</sup>.  
98 Previous models for prediction of postpartum hemorrhage have been developed<sup>5,6,7</sup>, but  
99 validation of these among different populations and at different time points within the labor  
100 process has been limited. A machine learning study using administrative data provided poor  
101 discrimination for predicting need for hospital readmission due to postpartum in the first 12  
102 weeks postpartum<sup>8</sup>. Prediction of postpartum hemorrhage remains a challenge for the obstetric  
103 provider and further work is necessary using modern modeling methods.

104 The field of machine learning has recently seen a fast development of methods that support  
105 unbiased learning from data. Supervised learning involves processing information to predict  
106 from examples with a known outcome, often for the purpose of estimating risk in examples  
107 where the outcome is not known<sup>9</sup>. Multiple applications for machine learning exist within  
108 medicine, but to date have not been widely utilized in the field of obstetrics. By using the power  
109 of modern predictive modeling for postpartum hemorrhage, we aim to better identify those

110 patients at increased risk for obstetric hemorrhage to avoid maternal morbidity and mortality.  
111 Identifying those patients at highest risk of postpartum hemorrhage will enable providers to  
112 reduce the cost and morbidity associated with postpartum hemorrhage and ultimately improve  
113 patient outcomes.

114

## 115 **Material and Methods**

116 This was a retrospective cohort study conducted at a single tertiary care center. Women aged  
117 18 to 55 delivering at New York University Langone Health Tisch Hospital from July 1, 2013, to  
118 October 31, 2018, were included for analysis. Patients not meeting age parameters as well as  
119 those cases in which a blood loss value was either not available or not recorded were excluded.  
120 Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from New York University Langone Health.

121 A total of 497 variables were collected from unique sources within the electronic medical record  
122 including demographic information, obstetric, medical, surgical, and family history, vital signs,  
123 laboratory results, labor exposures, and delivery outcomes. Postpartum hemorrhage was  
124 defined as a blood loss of  $\geq 1000$  mL at the time of delivery as recommended by the ACOG  
125 revitalize program<sup>10</sup>.

126 The delivery cohort was split into training (70%) and validation (15%) sets for model creation.  
127 Supervised learning with regression-, tree-, and kernel-based machine learning methods was  
128 used to create classification models. Models were tuned using recursive feature selection,  
129 selection by filtering, observing feature importance, and domain knowledge. Model parameters  
130 were customized and examined to produce optimal results. An independent test cohort (15%)  
131 determined final performance by assessing for accuracy, area under the receiver operating  
132 curve (AUC), and sensitivity for proper classification of postpartum hemorrhage.

133 The initial model included variables that contained information that would be feasible to obtain  
134 prior to delivery (i.e. relevant historical information, objective data present within the inpatient  
135 and outpatient chart, and diagnoses associated with the patient's delivery encounter entered  
136 within 24 hours following delivery). A secondary model was created limited to data strictly  
137 expected to be available prior to the second stage of labor/at the time of decision to proceed  
138 with cesarean delivery, as this was likely the more clinically useful tool. Additional models were  
139 created for patients undergoing cesarean and vaginal delivery.

140 Selection of appropriate variables for inclusion was made by an obstetric provider with  
141 experience and knowledge of the electronic medical record. Variables were processed  
142 according to the provider's assessment of the clinical scenario noted for each patient.

143

## 144 **Results**

145 A total of 30,867 patients met inclusion criteria and 2179 (7.06%) cases met criteria for  
146 postpartum hemorrhage. The average gestational age was 274.6 days (range 107-303) and  
147 average patient age was 32.7 (range 18-55). Cesarean delivery was noted in 27.6% (n = 8,534)  
148 patients with the remainder undergoing vaginal delivery. The delivery cohort was split into  
149 training, validation, and test cohorts for initial model creation containing 21,606, 4,630, and  
150 4,631 patients, respectively.

151 The initial model included a total of 280 variables. Logistic regression, Random Forest, gradient  
152 boosted decision trees (XGBoost), and Support Vector Machine models were generated to  
153 create a representative sample of different methods. Gradient boosted decision trees achieved  
154 the best discrimination among the initial models, performing with an AUC of 0.979 (95% CI  
155 0.971-0.986) and an accuracy of 98.1%. Sensitivity for this model was 0.763 (Table 1). Other  
156 models performed less successfully. The optimal model included 212 features.



157 The dataset was then trimmed to include only those variables (123 in total) available prior to the  
158 second stage of labor or at the time of decision to proceed with cesarean delivery. A similar  
159 representative sample of modeling methods was used, with gradient boosted decision trees  
160 again achieving the best discrimination, noting an AUC of 0.955 (95% CI 0.939-0.970) and an  
161 accuracy of 98.0%. Sensitivity for this model was 0.737 (Table 1). This model included a total  
162 of 28 features (Table 2). The most important features included body mass index, admission  
163 hematocrit, cesarean delivery prior to labor/rupture, scheduling status of cesarean delivery, and  
164 admission platelet count.

165 Additional models focused on classifying patients by mode of delivery. A model examining  
166 those patients ultimately delivered by cesarean delivery (n = 8,534) was created using  
167 information available at the time of decision to proceed with cesarean delivery (i.e. within 1 hour  
168 after presentation for scheduled procedure or following attempted vaginal delivery prior to  
169 proceeding to the operating room). A total of 173 variables were included. Using gradient  
170 boosted decision trees, the highest performing model contained 76 variables and achieved an  
171 AUC of 0.737 (95% CI 0.703-0.772). Accuracy of 81.8% and a lower sensitivity of 0.320 were  
172 noted (Table 1).

173 Two models using those patients who underwent vaginal delivery (n = 22,333) were also  
174 created. The first focused on information available within 1 hour of admission for presumed  
175 vaginal delivery and examined 127 variables. The model achieved excellent discrimination,  
176 noting an AUC of 0.837 (95% CI 0.782-0.893) and accuracy of 98.2%; however, the sensitivity  
177 remained low at 0.254. The optimal model included 7 variables. The second model utilized  
178 information available prior to the second stage of labor, thus included variables related to the  
179 patient's labor course, including medication exposure and induction method, if applicable. A  
180 total of 176 features were included, resulting in an optimal model with AUC 0.846 (95% CI  
181 0.790-0.902) and accuracy 98.3%. Sensitivity was again 0.254 and the final model included 92

182 features (Table 1). Both of these models were achieved using gradient boosted decision trees.

183 Third trimester and admission hemoglobin/hematocrit were among the most important features

184 in both vaginal delivery models.

185

## 186 **Structured Discussion/Comment**

### 187 ***Principal Findings***

188 Our study has successfully produced a model for predicting postpartum hemorrhage in patients

189 undergoing vaginal delivery. When using only the data available prior to the second stage of

190 labor or at the time to proceed with vaginal delivery, we achieved nearly equal discrimination

191 and sensitivity compared to our more robust initial model, successfully predicting nearly 3 out of

192 every 4 patients who had a postpartum hemorrhage.

193 Many previously identified risk factors for postpartum hemorrhage were not included in the final

194 model, including multiple gestation, operative vaginal delivery, and history of postpartum

195 hemorrhage, among others. This indicates that many of these factors may not be as

196 contributory to postpartum hemorrhage risk as previously believed, but further work is

197 necessary.

198

### 199 ***Results***

200 Postpartum hemorrhage is a known cause of significant maternal morbidity and mortality in the

201 United States and remains difficult to predict. Few existing studies have utilized machine

202 learning methods to identify patients at risk for postpartum hemorrhage with minimal

203 success<sup>5,6,7,8</sup>. A recently published model used a large cohort from the U.S Consortium for Safe

204 Labor and achieved excellent discrimination, although its utility in the clinical setting is limited<sup>11</sup>.

205 This study used 55 predictor variables, indicating a less robust data set than curated for our  
206 model. Our study represents the largest cohort to date to generate a predictive risk model using  
207 data directly abstracted from the electronic medical record that is applicable in a targeted  
208 population.

209 When stratified by delivery method, our models noted a decreased sensitivity. While this may  
210 appear in contradiction to expected results, it is understandable due to the fact that the majority  
211 of postpartum hemorrhages occurred in those patients who underwent cesarean delivery. This  
212 is further reflected by examining the most important features in our final second stage model.

213

#### 214 ***Clinical Implications***

215 The ability to predict patients at risk for postpartum hemorrhage using readily available  
216 information represents an area of tremendous clinical opportunity. Integrating a model such as  
217 ours into clinical practice will give providers the real-time capability to assess a patient's risk of  
218 hemorrhage. Targeted intervention, such as prophylactic administration of uterotonic  
219 medication, availability of blood products, and even potentially transfer to a center offering a  
220 higher level of maternal care<sup>12</sup> is a consideration for those patients deemed at risk.

221

#### 222 ***Research Implications***

223 In machine learning, models can continuously be tuned with new data, enabling further potential  
224 improvement in predictive capability. The amount of data available due to the advent of the  
225 electronic medical record is vast, hence the use of these methods in obstetrics is in its infancy.  
226 Continued exploration of different modeling and data abstraction techniques will be key to using  
227 machine learning to benefit our field.

228 This specific study represents the first large-scale predictive model using machine learning for  
229 use in a targeted population with largely positive results. At our institution, discussions have  
230 begun surrounding implementation of this model as a part of routine obstetric management.  
231 Continued model tuning will be necessary as more deliveries occur.

232

### 233 ***Strengths and Limitations***

234 Strengths of this study include use of modern supervised machine learning techniques in a  
235 clinical condition that has not been extensively explored with this approach. This data set  
236 represents the largest directly-derived cohort to use these techniques. Additionally, the  
237 inclusion of nearly 500 variables in the data set provides a robust cohort from which to create  
238 the model, and this size has not been previously seen in the literature. As machine learning  
239 methods are centered upon improving performance with increasing inputs, this lends to a  
240 superior model. The use of independent validation and test cohorts also supports the strength  
241 and lack of bias in our model.

242 Limitations include the retrospective nature of this study as well as the use of a population from  
243 a single tertiary center. Given regional variations in patient populations, our results may not be  
244 generalizable to the United States population at large and we do note a higher rate of  
245 postpartum hemorrhage in our cohort than previously described. Further validation with an  
246 outside cohort is necessary.

247 The use of the electronic medical record is an additional limitation to our study.

248 Differences/duplications in both location and format of inputs have the potential to impair the  
249 accuracy of our abstracted data. The variables related to diagnosis codes are entirely  
250 dependent upon provider input and all applicable conditions may not have been entered.

251 However, with a large data set, machine learning algorithms should be able to overcome this

252 deficit as features with a high level of contribution to the outcome should persist when feature  
253 selection is implemented.

254 The class imbalance of positive/negative cases for postpartum hemorrhage in the data set is  
255 inevitable given the relatively low incidence of this condition in clinical practice. This was  
256 particularly evident in the Support Vector Machine models where every patient was predicted to  
257 not be at risk for postpartum hemorrhage. Use of a weighted loss could be considered to  
258 compensate for this imbalance.

259

## 260 ***Conclusions***

261 In conclusion, machine learning methods are an underutilized approach in obstetrics and can be  
262 used to identify women at risk for postpartum hemorrhage who may benefit from individualized  
263 preventative measures. Models limited to data available prior to delivery perform nearly as well  
264 as those with more complete datasets, identifying nearly three-quarters of patients at risk,  
265 supporting their potential utility in the clinical setting.

266

267

## 268 **Acknowledgements**

269 The authors wish to thank the members of NYU Langone Health DataCore for their assistance  
270 in this project.

271 **References**

- 272 1. Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic  
273 analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2:e323-33.
- 274 2. Callaghan WM, Kuklina EV, Berg CJ. Trends in postpartum hemorrhage: United States,  
275 1994-2006. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;202:353.e1-6.
- 276 3. Creanga AA, Berg CJ, Ko JY, et al. Maternal mortality and morbidity in the United States:  
277 where are we now? *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2014;23:3-9.
- 278 4. Oyelese Y, Ananth CV. Postpartum hemorrhage: epidemiology, risk factors, and causes. *Clin*  
279 *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;53:147-56.
- 280 5. Rubio-Alvarez A, Molina-Alarcon M, Arias-Arias A, et al. Development and validation of a  
281 predictive model for excessive postpartum blood loss: A retrospective, cohort study. *Int J Nurs*  
282 *Stud*. 2018;79:114-21.
- 283 6. Helman S, Drukker L, Fruchtmann H, et al. Revisit of risk factors for major obstetric  
284 hemorrhage: insights from a large medical center. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2015;292:819-28.
- 285 7. Koopmans CM, van der Tuuk K, Groen H, et al. Prediction of postpartum hemorrhage in  
286 women with gestational hypertension or mild preeclampsia at term. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*.  
287 2014;93:399-407.
- 288 8. Betts KS, Kisely S, Alati R. Predicting common maternal postpartum complications:  
289 leveraging health administrative data and machine learning. *BJOG*. 2019;126:702-9.
- 290 9. Deo RC. Machine learning in medicine. *Circulation*. 2015;132:1920-30.
- 291 10. Menard MD, Main EK, Currigan SM. Executive summary of the revitalize initiative:  
292 standardizing obstetric data definitions. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124:150-3.

- 293 11. Venkatesh KK, Strauss RA, Grotegu CA, et al. Machine Learning and Statistical Models to  
294 Predict Postpartum Hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;135:935-44.
- 295 12. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and Society for Maternal-Fetal  
296 Medicine, Menard MK, Kilpatrick S, et al. Levels of Maternal Care. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.*  
297 2015;212:259-71.

298 Table 1: Optimal performance of all models using gradient boosted decision trees

<b>Model</b>	<b>Accuracy</b>	<b>AUC</b>	<b>Sensitivity</b>
Initial	0.981	0.979	0.763
Second stage	0.980	0.955	0.737
Cesarean delivery	0.818	0.737	0.320
Vaginal delivery (one hour)	0.982	0.837	0.254
Vaginal delivery (second stage)	0.983	0.846	0.254

299



300 Table 2: Features including in optimal second stage model

<b>Non-laboratory components</b>	<b>Laboratory components</b>
Patient age	Eosinophils (absolute)
Gestational age	Hematocrit*
Systolic blood pressure	Hemoglobin
Diastolic blood pressure	Lymphocytes (absolute)
Body mass index*	Lymphocytes (percent)
Pulse oximetry	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin
Temperature	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration
Live birth count	Mean corpuscular volume
Baseline fetal heart rate	Mean platelet volume
Amniotic fluid color	Monocytes (percent)
Cesarean delivery prior to labor/rupture*	Neutrophils (absolute)
Cesarean delivery scheduling status*	Neutrophils (percent)
	Platelet count*
	Red cell distribution width (standard deviation)
	Red blood cell count
	White blood cell count

301 \*Top 5 in feature importance