medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Title. Characteristics and risk factors for COVID-19 diagnosis and adverse outcomes in Mexico: an analysis of 89,756 laboratory–confirmed COVID-19 cases

Authors. Theodoros V. Giannouchos, PhD, MS[1], Roberto A Sussman[2], José Manuel Mier Odriozola, MD, PhD[3,4], Konstantinos Poulas, PhD[5], Konstantinos Farsalinos, MD, MPH[5,6]

[1] Pharmacotherapy Outcomes Research Center, University of Utah, College of Pharmacy.

[2] Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, AP 70-543, Ciudad de México, CP 04510

[3] Clinica de Cáncer de Pulmón y Tumores del Tórax, Hospital Angeles Lomas, Hacienda de las Palmas. México

[4] Instituto de Cirugía Torácica Mínimamente Invasiva, Hospital Angeles Lomas, Hacienda de las Palmas. México

[5] Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Immunology, Department of Pharmacy, University of Patras, Greece

[6] Department of Public and Community Health, University of West Attica, Greece

Corresponding author:

Konstantinos Farsalinos, MD, MPH

Email: kfarsalinos@gmail.com

Funding. No funding was provided for this study.

Conflict of Interest statement. None

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: There is insufficient information about risk factors for COVID-19 diagnosis and adverse outcomes from low and middle-income countries (LMICs).

Objectives: We estimated the association between patients' characteristics and COVID-19 diagnosis, hospitalization and adverse outcome in Mexico.

Methods: This retrospective case series used a publicly available nation-level dataset released on May 31, 2020 by the Mexican Ministry of Health, with patients classified as suspected cases of viral respiratory disease. Patients with COVID-19 were laboratory-confirmed. Their profile was stratified by COVID-19 diagnosis or not. Differences among COVID-19 patients based on two separate clinical endpoints, hospitalization and adverse outcome, were examined. Multivariate logistic regressions examined the associations between patient characteristics and hospitalization and adverse outcome.

Results: Overall, 236,439 patients were included, with 89,756 (38.0%) being diagnosed with COVID-19. COVID-19 patients were disproportionately older, males and with increased prevalence of one or more comorbidities, particularly diabetes, obesity, and hypertension. Age, male gender, diabetes, obesity and having one or more comorbidities were independently associated with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. Current smokers were 23% less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 compared to non-smokers. Of all COVID-19 patients, 34.8% were hospitalized and 13.0% experienced an adverse outcome. Male gender, older age, having one or more comorbidities, and chronic renal disease, diabetes, obesity, COPD, immunosuppression and hypertension were associated with hospitalization and adverse outcome. Current smoking was not associated with adverse outcome.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusion: This largest ever case series of COVID-19 patients identified risk factors for COVID-19 diagnosis, hospitalization and adverse outcome. The findings could provide insight for the priorities the need to be set, especially by LMICs, to tackle the pandemic.

Keywords. COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Mexico; Low and middle income countries; risk factors; hospitalization.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

As the global pandemic of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), a disease caused by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is evolving, it is important to understand the pathophysiology and the mechanisms of disease progression. The rapid transmission of the disease and the increased pressure across healthcare systems have led to emergency measures resulting in substantial social and economic disruption. As of May 30, almost 5.9 million people globally have been diagnosed with COVID-19 and approximately 367,255 deaths have been reported. The disease has a wide range of clinical presentations, from mild symptoms resembling the common flu to severe, life-threatening manifestations such as Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), thrombotic complications and neurological symptoms.[1-3] Risk factors for adverse outcomes include age, hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and respiratory disease.[4]

The pandemic represents a big challenge particularly for low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). The cost of epidemiologic surveillance and of infection prevention and control, the sudden flow and the need to enhance the constrained critical care capacity to treat COVID-19 patients and the implementation of non-medical interventions such as social distancing measures are expected to significantly stress the limited financial resources of these countries.[5] Therefore, understanding the factors associated with COVID-19 susceptibility and adverse prognosis is crucial to guide local authorities towards more efficient allocation of their scarce resources to avoid exceeding the limited capacity of the healthcare system.

In this study, we present evidence and information about patients who were screened for COVID-19 due to suspected viral respiratory infection through the respective surveillance system implemented in Mexico. The objective of this study was to examine the association

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

between individuals' sociodemographic and clinical characteristics and COVID-19 diagnosis. Additionally, we explored similar associations with two clinical outcomes, hospitalization and adverse outcome, within the COVID-19 diagnosed cohort. This study extends the current literature by presenting novel information and evidence about COVID-19 patients in Mexico using a large and recent cohort of such patients, with potentially important implications at the clinical and policy level.

Methods

Study design, setting and participants

We performed a cross-sectional secondary data analysis using a publicly available individual-level dataset which included patients classified as 'suspected cases of viral respiratory disease' during point of service at medical facilities in Mexico. The dataset was released by the Mexican Health Ministry and was compiled by the General Bureau of Epidemiology (Dirección General de Epidemiología, DGE) through the System of Epidemiological Surveillance of Viral Respiratory Diseases.[6,7] The latter comprises of 475 Monitoring Units of Viral Respiratory Disease (Unidades Monitoras de Enfermedad Respiratoria Viral, USMER) spread across the country and covering all institutions affiliated to the Health Ministry collectively denoted as the Health Sector. Additional data were provided by healthcare units that did not belong to USMER but had been adapted to screen suspected COVID-19 cases. As specified by the official guidelines issued by the DGE, the entries in the dataset only correspond to data obtained from the epidemiological study of "suspected case of viral respiratory disease" at the time it was identified at medical units of the Health Sector.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

This dataset is continuously updated, and we used the version released on May 31, 2020, which included 274,997 patients.

No ethics approval was sought for this study since it involves analysis of an anonymized dataset of patients that is publicly available and accessible to anyone through the Mexican Health Ministry.

Data sources

Upon admission, patients were screened by healthcare professionals who were expected to verify that the subjects show specific symptoms documented as inclusion criteria for the dataset. Additionally, they recorded data about the medical history on a specific DGE form. After the case was evaluated and confirmed at the district, state and national level surveillance system, it was added to the dataset. Both USMER and Non-USMER units had to fill the same forms which were sent to an online platform (SISVER platform). According to the DGE guidance, USMER and non-USMER units should perform diagnostic testing for COVID-19 (RT-PCR) in all cases with serious symptoms. For cases with mild symptoms (classified as ambulatory cases), USMER units were expected to perform COVID-19 diagnostic testing on 10% of these cases whereas non-USMER units would test cases depending on their resource capacity.

Laboratory testing to confirm SARS-CoV-2 infection was performed according to WHO interim guidance.[8] Combined nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swabs were obtained and placed in a container. For mechanically-ventilated patients, bronchoalveolar lavage was obtained. In case of death, lung biopsies were obtained during autopsy, from an area visibly affected by disease. The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

samples were sent to the nearest Laboratory of Respiratory Virus (InDRE) for testing with RT-PCR.

Variables

The dataset included information on COVID-19 testing results, categorized as positive, negative and pending, and individual-level data on sociodemographics, specifically age, gender and nationality (Mexican or not). The type of facility where the patient was diagnosed was reported, as well as information on whether the healthcare unit was part of the USMER network or not. Comorbidities reported were diabetes, chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD), asthma, immunosuppression, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, obesity, chronic renal disease and other comorbidities. Smoking was also recorded, with participants classified as smokers (who were considered current smokers) or non-smokers. No data on former smokers was available. For patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis, the dataset included additional information related to clinical endpoints, namely whether the patient was admitted into an intensive care unit, was mechanically ventilated, or died.

Outcomes and analysis

The first outcome variable of interest in the study was whether a patient was diagnosed with COVID-19 or not, defined as a dichotomous indicator. We thus excluded 36,803 patients (13.4%) with pending results, resulting in a final sample of 238,194 patients. We also explored two outcomes within the subgroup of patients with COVID-19 diagnosis, hospitalization and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

adverse outcome. Both outcomes were dichotomous. Adverse outcome was defined as the primary composite end-point of intensive care unit admission, mechanical ventilation or death.

We included individual-level sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, and facility information based on data availability. Sociodemographic patient-level information included age, gender, and Mexican nationality (or not). Clinical information included number of comorbidities, whether the patient had a particular clinical condition or not, namely asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes, obesity, hypertension, immunosuppression, cardiovascular condition, and chronic renal disease. We also included information on whether the patient was a current smoker and whether there was previous contact with someone who was diagnosed with COVID-19. Facility specific information included the type of facility by ownership and whether the medical unit is a monitoring unit for respiratory diseases (USMER). USMER consists of medical facilities which monitor the incidence of infectious respiratory diseases as part of the government Epidemiological Surveillance system.

We initially conducted descriptive analyses of all patients to characterize the overall study population. We then explored and compared all patients stratified by whether they were diagnosed with COVID-19 or not. Subsequently, laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases were stratified by the two outcomes of interest (hospitalization and adverse outcome) using bivariate analyses. We tested for statistical differences in the stratified analyses using Pearson's chi-square for categorical variables and the non-parametric Mann Whitney U test on the age variable (as numeric).

We then estimated the association between the three outcomes of interest and all the independent variables using two multivariate logistic regressions per outcome (six in total). Both models included sociodemographic and facility-specific information. The first model also included

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

clinical comorbidities as dichotomous indicators, while the second included the number of clinical diagnoses (comorbidities) only, due to multicollinearity as the later was derived from the clinical diagnoses. We also included area-of-residence fixed-effects to control for unobserved regional variations. Finally, standard errors were clustered at the residence level. All analyses were conducted using Stata (version 16.1; StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Results

Our final analytic sample included 236,439 patients suspected of having viral respiratory disease, after excluding 1,755 patients (0.7%) due to missing variables. The majority were 18 to 44 years of age, Mexicans, and around 40% of those had one or more comorbidities, while 9.1% were current smokers (**Table 1**). The most prevalent clinical conditions included hypertension, diabetes, and obesity. About 37% of the patients used a USMER facility and more than half used hospitals of the Ministry of Health (Secretaria de Salubridad y Asistencia – SSA).

Around one-third (38.0%) of patients were diagnosed with COVID-19 (Table 1). These patients had higher shares of males (56.4% versus 47.5% for non-COVID-19 patients, $p<0.001$), and were 6 years older on average ($p<0.001$). COVID-19 patients had also disproportionately higher shares of one or more comorbidities ($p<0.001$), and chronic conditions particularly related to diabetes, hypertension, and obesity ($p<0.001$ for all). We also observed greater shares for USMER related COVID-19 cases at the facility level ($p<0.001$ for both).

Table 2 indicates the results of the two regressions on COVID-19 diagnosis for all patients in the data. Across both models, females and younger patients (0 to 17) were significantly less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 compared to males and to their 18 to 44 counterparts ($p<0.001$for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

all). In addition, current smokers were approximately 23% less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 compared to non-smokers ($p<0.001$ in both models). In contrast, older patients (45 years of age or older) and those with one or more comorbidities were more likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 compared to those aged 18 to 44 and those without comorbidities respectively. Diabetes and obesity were particularly associated with COVID-19 diagnosis compared to patients without such conditions ($p<0.001$ for all).

Among the 89,756 patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19, about 35% were hospitalized and 13% had high clinical severity (**Table 3**). Across both subgroups, hospitalization and adverse outcome were more frequent in males and older patients, with a mean age difference of more than 12 years. Patients with one or more comorbidities, particularly those with hypertension, obesity, diabetes, and COPD were also more prevalent in both the hospitalized and the adverse outcome groups.

Table 4 indicates the results of the two regressions for hospitalization and adverse outcome, respectively (Table 4). Across both models, males and older patients were significantly more likely to be hospitalized and to experience adverse outcome compared to females and to their 18 to 44 old counterparts ($p<0.001$ for all). Those 0 to 17 years of age were also less likely to experience adverse outcome compared to the 18 to 44 years age group. In addition, patients with chronic renal disease, diabetes, immunosuppression, COPD, obesity, and hypertension were up to 121% (adjusted OR: 2.21, 95% CI: 1.91-2.55, for those with chronic renal disease) more likely to experience hospitalization and more severe composite endpoints compared to those without such conditions ($p<0.001$ for all). Similarly, having one or more comorbidities increased the likelihood of these outcomes, as expected (**Table 5**). Finally, we did not observe any significant differences among current smokers compared to non-smokers across both outcomes.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study presents a case series with the highest number of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 patients, and the first of this size from a LMIC. The Chinese Centers for Disease Control (CDC) recently presented data from 44,672 confirmed cases, however information about comorbidities was available for only 20,812 patients [9]. Another study in the UK examined risk factors for critical care and mortality in hospital among 20,133 hospitalized COVID-19 patients [10]. In the US, patient characteristics, comorbidities and outcomes were presented among 5,700 patients hospitalized for COVID-19 in New York City area [11]. Our study adds to the current evidence by presenting information from laboratory-confirmed cases in a LMIC using a large publicly available dataset.

In agreement with previous studies [1, 10-15], age was a strong risk factor for hospitalization and adverse outcome among COVID-19 patients. It was recognized early during the pandemic that the elderly had higher rates of hospitalization and infection fatality ratios compared to younger people [16]. Recently, the US CDC reported that the best estimate for the COVID-19 symptomatic case fatality ratio was 0.4% for the whole population but ranged from 0.05% for those aged 0-49 years to 1.3% for those ≥ 65 years, a 26-fold difference [17]. Hospitalization rates were estimated to be approximately almost 7-fold higher for patients aged ≥ 65 years compared to those aged 18 to 44 years in our study. Thus, targeted interventions tailored at the higher needs of older people are clearly to protect them from SARS-CoV-2 infection and to reduce the COVID-19 burden of disease and death rates.

Our results indicate that cardiovascular and metabolic conditions were the most common comorbidities identified among confirmed COVID-19 patients. Hypertension, obesity and diabetes were not only common comorbidities but also independent correlates of hospitalization

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

and adverse outcome. These findings are in-line with case series from China, the US and Europe [1, 9-14]. These conditions are very common worldwide and in Mexico. Approximately 1.4 billion adults are estimated to suffer from hypertension globally, with the prevalence being higher in LMICs [18]. In Mexico the prevalence of hypertension was 25.5% in 2016 [19]. Obesity is also a major healthcare issue in Mexico. In a random sample of 2,511 adults, 38.3% of Mexicans were overweight and almost 25% were obese [20]. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) reports that Mexico is the one of the countries with the highest rates of obesity in the population [21]. Obesity is a risk factor for diabetes, and Mexico had an estimated 10.4% prevalence of diabetes in 2016 with a continuously increasing trend [22, 23]. The latter, in combination of increased prevalence of these conditions among COVID-19 patients suggests that such patients represent another population subgroup where targeted interventions and guidance are needed to prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

In contrast, while cardiovascular disease and COPD were risk factors for hospitalization and adverse outcome, only a small proportion of patients suffered from these comorbidities. A case series of 1,590 patients from China reported a similarly low prevalence of these comorbidities among Chine patients [12]. The COPD prevalence in Mexico City was 3.4% in a study defining airflow obstruction as $FEV1/FEV_6$ below the 5th percentile or Lower Limit of Normal [24], but it has been reported that COPD is highly underdiagnosed in Mexico and in other countries, mainly because of lack of spirometry evaluation [25]. In a 2009 study, ischemic heart disease and stroke prevalence in Mexico City ranged from 0.4% to 5.4% and from 0.4% to 3.5%, respectively, depending on age [26]. In addition, other risk factors for adverse outcomes were immunosuppression and chronic renal disease. Our findings are supported by a recent systematic

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

review and meta-analysis which found a higher risk for adverse COVID-19 outcomes among patients with chronic renal disease [27].

Furthermore, having more than one comorbidity was strongly associated with hospitalization and adverse outcome. This is not unexpected considering that multiple comorbidities contribute to disease complexity and such patients are more susceptible and vulnerable to adverse events. Approximately 1 in 5 patients with laboratory-diagnosed COVID-19 had more than 1 comorbidity, and they had approximately 3-fold higher risk for hospitalization and adverse outcome. Therefore, it is necessary to prioritize the assessment of these patients, offering early diagnosis and proper hospital care, while primary preventive measures to reduce disease transmission to these patients are warranted.

Notably, smoking was not associated with a higher risk for adverse outcomes and hospitalization. Smokers were also less likely to be diagnosed with COVID-19 compared to non-smokers. The latter is in agreement with a recent observational population study from Israel [28]. Some studies have found that smokers are under-represented among COVID-19 patients and presented a hypothesis that nicotine may exert protective effects [29-31], while others found that nicotine and smoking cause ACE2 up-regulation which may facilitate viral invasion and cell entry [32, 33]. It is still not clear whether nicotine exerts any positive effect or not. However, there is no doubt that smoking cannot be used as a protective measure and smoking cessation should be encouraged during the COVID-19 pandemic [30]. The potential value of nicotine or other nicotinic agonists in COVID-19 is expected to be determined through clinical trials.

It has been established that SARS-CoV-2 uses angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) as a receptor for cell entry and viral replication [34]. While this would imply that ACE2 up-regulation would be associated with COVID-19 severity and adverse outcome, there is evidence

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

that the opposite is the case. Risk factors for adverse outcomes identified in this and other studies, such as age, male gender, endocrine and cardiovascular diseases, are associated with lower levels of ACE2. [35-38]. Therefore, it has been hypothesized that ACE2 deficiency may in fact be detrimental for COVID-19 [39]. Additionally, severe COVID-19 represents a hyper-inflammatory response with patients developing cytokine storm and exhibiting ineffective regulation of the immune response [40]. Risk factors identified in this study also represent inflammatory conditions [41-44]. Thus, there is a relevant pathophysiological basis explaining the association between hospitalized and adverse COVID-19 outcomes and the comorbidities identified in our analysis.

This study is not without limitations. First, given the nature and the availability of the data, we were not able to use more detailed clinical and laboratory information for the patients. For example, immunodeficiency may include a vast array of different disease conditions; however, no specific information was provided in the dataset. Second, we were not able to include facility and regional specific information, due to the lack of such information in the dataset. However, we believe that we sufficiently addressed the heterogeneity between hospitals and regions using the appropriate variables in our analyses, given the research question of interest. Finally, some of the patients may not have recovered by the time the dataset was released and thus the outcome is unknown, while it is also possible that some outpatients may experience disease progression and will thus require hospitalization in the future.

In conclusion, this large retrospective case series from Mexico, the largest ever presented for COVID-19, identified risk factors for laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis as well as for hospitalization and adverse outcome among COVID-19 patients. These findings could provide valuable insight for Mexico and other LMICs in setting priorities, properly allocating healthcare

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

resources and establishing disease transmission preventive strategies in order to protect vulnerable groups, particularly the elderly and people with comorbidities.

References

1. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.
2. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost. 2020. 10.1111/jth.14830.
3. Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, Clere-Jehl R, Schenck M, Kummerlen C, Collange O, Boulay C, Fafi-Kremer S, Ohana M, Anheim M, Meziani F. Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection. N Engl J Med. 2020 Apr 15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2008597. [Epub ahead of print] No abstract available.
4. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L, Wei Y, Li H, Wu X, Xu J, Tu S, Zhang Y, Chen H, Cao B. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

5. Hopman J, Allegranzi B, Mehtar S. Managing COVID-19 in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. JAMA. 2020 Mar 16. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.4169.
6. Government of Mexico, Ministry of Health. Lineamiento estandarizado para la vigilancia epidemiológica y por laboratorio de la enfermedad respiratoria viral (Standardized guidelines for epidemiological and laboratory surveillance of the respiratory viral disease). Secretaría de Salud. Abril 2020. Available at https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/546206/Lineamiento_estandarizado_para_la_VE_y_Lab_Enfermedad_Respiratoria_Viral....pdf (accessed on April 21, 2020).
7. Government of Mexico, Ministry of Health. Preparación y respuesta frente a casos de SARS-CoV2-2019 para la atención primaria a la salud(Preparation and response to SARS-CoV2-2019 cases for primary health care). Available at: https://coronavirus.gob.mx/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Preparacion_respuesta_casos_SARS-CoV2_atencion_primaria.pdf (accessed on April 21, 2020).
8. World Health Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance. January 28, 2020 (https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/330374/WHO-2019-nCoV-laboratory-2020.1-eng.pdf).
9. China CDC Weekly. The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) — China, 2020. Available at: http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/fileCCDCW/journal/article/ccdcw/2020/8/PDF/COVID-19.pdf (accessed on May 8, 2020).
10. Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, Hardwick HE, Pius R, Norman L, Holden KA, Read JM, Dondelinger F, Carson G, Merson L, Lee J, Plotkin D, Sigfrid L, Halpin S, Jackson C,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Gamble C, Horby PW, Nguyen-Van-Tam JS, Ho A, Russell CD, Dunning J, Openshaw PJ, Baillie JK, Semple MG; ISARIC4C investigators. Features of 20□133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: prospective observational cohort study. BMJ. 2020 May 22;369:m1985. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1985.

11. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW; and the Northwell COVID-19 Research Consortium, Barnaby DP, Becker LB, Chelico JD, Cohen SL, Cookingham J, Coppa K, Diefenbach MA, Dominello AJ, Duer-Hefele J, Falzon L, Gitlin J, Hajizadeh N, Harvin TG, Hirschwerk DA, Kim EJ, Kozel ZM, Marrast LM, Mogavero JN, Osorio GA, Qiu M, Zanos TP. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. 2020 Apr 22;323(20):2052–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775.
12. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, Liang HR, Chen ZS, Li YM, Liu XQ, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Ou CQ, Li L, Chen PY, Sang L, Wang W, Li JF, Li CC, Ou LM, Cheng B, Xiong S, Ni ZY, Xiang J, Hu Y, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Cheng LL, Ye F, Li SY, Zheng JP, Zhang NF, Zhong NS, He JX; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with Covid-19 in China: A Nationwide Analysis. Eur Respir J. 2020 Mar 26. pii: 2000547. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00547-2020.
13. Goyal P, Choi JJ, Pinheiro LC, Schenck EJ, Chen R, Jabri A, Satlin MJ, Campion TR Jr, Nahid M, Ringel JB, Hoffman KL, Alshak MN, Li HA, Wehmeyer GT, Rajan M, Reshetnyak E, Hupert N, Horn EM, Martinez FJ, Gulick RM, Safford MM. Clinical

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Characteristics of Covid-19 in New York City. N Engl J Med. 2020 Apr 17. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2010419.

14. Mehra MR, Desai SS, Kuy S, Henry TD, Patel AN. Cardiovascular Disease, Drug Therapy, and Mortality in Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 May 1. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2007621.
15. Reynolds HR, Adhikari S, Pulgarin C, Troxel AB, Iturrate E, Johnson SB, Hausvater A, Newman JD, Berger JS, Bangalore S, Katz SD, Fishman GI, Kunichoff D, Chen Y, Ogedegbe G, Hochman JS. Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Inhibitors and Risk of Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 May 1. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2008975.
16. Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, Winskill P, Whittaker C, Imai N, Cuomo-Dannenburg G, Thompson H, Walker PGT, Fu H, Dighe A, Griffin JT, Baguelin M, Bhatia S, Boonyasiri A, Cori A, Cucunubá Z, FitzJohn R, Gaythorpe K, Green W, Hamlet A, Hinsley W, Laydon D, Nedjati-Gilani G, Riley S, van Elsland S, Volz E, Wang H, Wang Y, Xi X, Donnelly CA, Ghani AC, Ferguson NM. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020 Jun;20(6):669-677. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7.
17. US Centers for Disease Control (CDC). COVID-19 Pandemic Planning Scenarios. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/planning-scenarios.html (Accessed on May 31, 2020).
18. Mills KT, Stefanescu A, He J. The global epidemiology of hypertension. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2020 Apr;16(4):223-237. doi: 10.1038/s41581-019-0244-2
19. Campos-Nonato I, Hernández-Barrera L, Pedroza-Tobías A, Medina C, Barquera S. [Hypertension in Mexican adults: prevalence, diagnosis and type of treatment. Ensanut MC 2016.] Salud Publica Mex. 2018 May-Jun;60(3):233-243. doi: 10.21149/8813.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20. DiBonaventura MD, Meincke H, Le Lay A, Fournier J, Bakker E, Ehrenreich A. Obesity in Mexico: prevalence, comorbidities, associations with patient outcomes, and treatment experiences. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2017 Dec 22;11:1-10. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S129247.

21. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Obesity update 2017. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/Obesity-Update-2017.pdf (accessed on May 31, 2020).

22. Levaillant M, Lièvre G, Baert G. Ending diabetes in Mexico. Lancet. 2019 Aug 10;394(10197):467-468. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31662-9.

23. Meza R, Barrientos-Gutierrez T, Rojas-Martinez R, Reynoso-Noverón N, Palacio-Mejia LS, Lazcano-Ponce E, Hernández-Ávila M. Burden of type 2 diabetes in Mexico: past, current and future prevalence and incidence rates. Prev Med. 2015 Dec;81:445-50. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.10.015.

24. Perez-Padilla R, Menezes AMB. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Latin America. Ann Glob Health. 2019 Jan 22;85(1):7. doi: 10.5334/aogh.2418.

25. Lamprecht B, Soriano JB, Studnicka M, Kaiser B, Vanfleteren LE, Gnatiuc L, Burney P, Miravitlles M, García-Rio F, Akbari K, Ancochea J, Menezes AM, Perez-Padilla R, Montes de Oca M, Torres-Duque CA, Caballero A, González-García M, Buist S; BOLD Collaborative Research Group, the EPI-SCAN Team, the PLATINO Team, and the PREPOCOL Study Group. Determinants of underdiagnosis of COPD in national and international surveys. Chest. 2015 Oct;148(4):971-985. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-2535.

26. Kuri-Morales P, Emberson J, Alegre-Díaz J, Tapia-Conyer R, Collins R, Peto R, Whitlock G. The prevalence of chronic diseases and major disease risk factors at different ages among

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

150,000 men and women living in Mexico City: cross-sectional analyses of a prospective study. BMC Public Health. 2009 Jan 9;9:9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-9.

27. Oyelade T, Alqahtani J, Canciani G. Prognosis of COVID-19 in Patients with Liver and Kidney Diseases: An Early Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2020 May 15;5(2):E80. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed5020080.
28. Israel A, Feldhamer I, Lahad A, Levin-Zamir D, Lavie G. Smoking and the risk of COVID-19 in a large observational population study. medRxiv 2020.06.01.20118877; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.01.20118877.
29. Farsalinos K, Angelopoulou A, Alexandris N, Poulas K. COVID-19 and the nicotinic cholinergic system. Eur Respir J. 2020 May 22:2001589. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01589-2020. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32444400.
30. Farsalinos K, Barbouni A, Niaura R. Systematic review of the prevalence of current smoking among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in China: could nicotine be a therapeutic option? Intern Emerg Med. 2020 May 9:1–8. doi: 10.1007/s11739-020-02355-7.
31. Farsalinos K, Niaura R, Le Houezec J, Barbouni A, Tsatsakis A, Kouretas D, Vantarakis A, Poulas K. Editorial: Nicotine and SARS-CoV-2: COVID-19 may be a disease of the nicotinic cholinergic system. Toxicol Rep. 2020 Apr 30. doi: 10.1016/j.toxrep.2020.04.012.
32. Leung JM, Yang CX, Tam A, Shaipanich T, Hackett TL, Singhera GK, Dorscheid DR, Sin DD. ACE-2 Expression in the Small Airway Epithelia of Smokers and COPD Patients: Implications for COVID-19. Eur Respir J. 2020 Apr 8. pii: 2000688. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00688-2020.
33. Brake SJ, Barnsley K, Lu W, McAlinden KD, Eapen MS, Sohal SS. Smoking Upregulates Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme-2 Receptor: A Potential Adhesion Site for Novel

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (Covid-19). J Clin Med. 2020 Mar 20;9(3). pii: E841. doi: 10.3390/jcm9030841.

34. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A, Müller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020 Apr 16;181(2):271-280.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052.
35. Xie X, Chen J, Wang X, Zhang F, Liu Y. Age- and gender-related difference of ACE2 expression in rat lung. Life Sci. 2006;78:2166–2171.
36. Pal R, Bhansali A. COVID-19, Diabetes Mellitus and ACE2: The conundrum. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020 doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108132:108132.
37. Kassiri Z, Zhong J, Guo D, Basu R, Wang X, Liu PP, Scholey JW, Penninger JM, Oudit GY. Loss of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 accelerates maladaptive left ventricular remodeling in response to myocardial infarction. Circ Heart Fail. 2009;2:446–455.
38. Ciaglia E, Vecchione C, Puca AA. COVID-19 infection and the predictive ACE2 soluble levels: the favourable protection of children and women. Front. Pediatr 2020. doi: 10.3389/fped.2020.00206.
39. Verdecchia P, Cavallini C, Spanevello A, Angeli F. The pivotal link between ACE2 deficiency and SARS-CoV-2 infection. Eur J Intern Med. 2020 Apr 20. pii: S0953-6205(20)30151-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2020.04.037.
40. Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, Zhao H, Wang GQ. The cytokine release syndrome (CRS) of severe COVID-19 and Interleukin-6 receptor (IL-6R) antagonist Tocilizumab may be the key to reduce the mortality. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020 Mar 28:105954. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105954.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

41. Lee H, Lee IS, Choue R. Obesity, inflammation and diet. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr. 2013 Sep;16(3):143-52. doi: 10.5223/pghn.2013.16.3.143. Epub 2013 Sep 30. PMID: 24224147; PMCID: PMC3819692.

42. De Miguel C, Rudemiller NP, Abais JM, Mattson DL. Inflammation and hypertension: new understandings and potential therapeutic targets. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2015 Jan;17(1):507. doi: 10.1007/s11906-014-0507-z. PMID: 25432899; PMCID: PMC4418473.

43. Golia E, Limongelli G, Natale F, Fimiani F, Maddaloni V, Pariggiano I, Bianchi R, Crisci M, D'Acierno L, Giordano R, Di Palma G, Conte M, Golino P, Russo MG, Calabrò R, Calabrò P. Inflammation and cardiovascular disease: from pathogenesis to therapeutic target. Curr Atheroscler Rep. 2014 Sep;16(9):435. doi: 10.1007/s11883-014-0435-z.

44. Critchley JA, Carey IM, Harris T, DeWilde S, Hosking FJ, Cook DG. Glycemic Control and Risk of Infections Among People With Type 1 or Type 2 Diabetes in a Large Primary Care Cohort Study. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(10):2127□2135. doi:10.2337/dc18-0287.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Descriptive analysis on all patients with suspected viral respiratory disease and bivariate analysis stratified by positive or negative COVID-19 diagnosis.

	All patients	Non-COVID-19	COVID-19	p-value
N	236,439	146,683	89,756	
		62.0%	38.0%	
Gender (%)				<0.001
Male	50.9	47.5	56.4	
Female	49.1	52.5	43.6	
Mean age -(SD)	42.5 (16.9)	40.2 (17.0)	46.2 (16.0)	<0.001
Age categories (%)				<0.001
0 to 17	4.8	6.5	2.1	
18 to 44	52.7	56.7	46.0	
45 to 64	32.0	28.2	38.3	
65 or more	10.5	8.5	13.6	
Mexican (%)				<0.001
Yes	99.2	99.1	0.5	
No	0.8	0.9	99.5	
Current smoker (%)				<0.001
No	90.9	90.5	91.7	
Yes	9.1	9.5	8.3	
Number of comorbidities (%)				<0.001
None	60.5	64.4	54.1	
One	23.9	22.1	26.8	
Two	10.2	8.7	12.6	
Three or more	5.4	4.8	6.5	
Clinical conditions (%)				
Hypertension	16.9	14.3	20.9	<0.001
Obesity	16.7	14.3	20.5	<0.001
Diabetes	13.0	10.2	17.5	<0.001
Asthma	3.7	4.2	2.9	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	2.6	2.6	2.6	<0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	2.0	2.0	2.0	<0.001
Chronic renal disease	2.2	2.1	2.3	<0.001
Immunosuppression	2.0	2.2	1.6	<0.001
Other	3.7	4.0	3.2	<0.001
Medical unit is a monitoring health unit for respiratory diseases (USMER) (%)				<0.001
No	63.4	66.3	58.9	
Yes	36.6	33.7	41.1	
Type of facility (%)				<0.001
SSA	60.5	65.3	52.6	
IMMS	28.5	25.6	33.0	
ISSTE	3.8	3.0	5.1	
Private	3.0	2.8	3.2	
Military (SEDENA & SEMAR)	1.0	0.5	2.0	
Other	3.3	2.8	4.0	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Multivariate logistic regression analyses of factors associated with COVID-19 diagnosis across all patients. Two regression models were examined, one with each comorbidity introduced separately as independent variable and one with number of comorbidities used as independent variable.

	OR	95%CI	p-value	OR	95%CI	p-value
Gender (Ref: Male)						
Female	0.70	0.67-0.72	<0.001	0.69	0.67-0.72	<0.001
Age (Ref: 18 to 44)						
65 or more	1.73	1.60-1.88	<0.001	1.66	1.52-1.81	<0.001
45 to 64	1.46	1.37-1.55	<0.001	1.48	1.40-1.57	<0.001
0 to 17	0.47	0.40-0.56	<0.001	0.44	0.36-0.53	<0.001
Mexican (Ref: Yes)						
No	0.58	0.50-0.68	<0.001	0.56	0.47-0.65	<0.001
Smoker (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.77	0.74-0.80	<0.001	0.77	0.73-0.80	<0.001
Obese (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.38	1.33-1.42	<0.001	-	-	-
Diabetes (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.36	1.31-1.41	<0.001	-	-	-
Hypertension (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.04	1.01-1.08	0.006			
Immunosuppression (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.70	0.63-0.78	<0.001	-	-	-
Cardiovascular disease (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.77	0.72-0.82	<0.001	-	-	-
Asthma (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.72	0.66-0.78	<0.001	-	-	-
COPD (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.73	0.63-0.85	<0.001	-	-	-
Chronic renal disease (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.81	0.69-0.95	<0.001	-	-	-
Number of comorbidities (Ref: None)						
One	-	-	-	1.20	1.14-1.27	<0.001
Two	-	-	-	1.26	1.17-1.34	<0.001
Three or more	-	-	-	1.17	1.04-1.30	0.007
Medical unit is a monitoring health unit for respiratory diseases (USMER) (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.24	1.10-1.40	0.001	1.24	1.10-1.39	<0.001
Type of facility (Ref: SSA)						
IMMS	1.48	1.24-1.75	<0.001	1.42	1.20-1.68	<0.001
ISSTE	1.51	1.12-2.03	0.007	1.48	1.09-2.0	0.011
Private	1.38	1.17-1.64	<0.001	1.34	1.13-1.58	0.001
Military (SEDENA & SEMAR)	5.06	2.97-8.63	<0.001	4.95	2.87-8.54	<0.001
Other	1.24	0.95-1.63	0.117	1.25	0.96-1.63	0.099

Notes: Both analyses control for area-of-residence fixed-effects; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence Intervals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3: Descriptive bivariate analysis among patients with COVID-19 diagnosis stratified by hospitalization and adverse outcome.

	All COVID-19 diagnosed	Hospitalized			Adverse outcome		
		No	Yes	p-value	No	Yes	p-value
N	89,756	58,485 (65.2%)	31,271 (34.8%)		78,050 (87.0%)	11,706 (13.0%)	
Gender (%)				<0.001			<0.001
Male	56.4	52.4	63.9		54.8	67.0	
Female	43.6	47.6	36.1		45.2	33.0	
Mean age	46.2 (16.0)	41.8 (14.4)	54.5 (15.5)	<0.001	44.3 (15.3)	58.9 (14.8)	<0.001
Age categories (%)				<0.001			<0.001
0 to 17	2.1	2.6	1.1		2.3	0.8	
18 to 44	46.0	57.8	24.0		50.7	17.7	
45 to 64	38.3	32.9	48.2		36.8	48.2	
65 or more	13.6	6.7	26.7		10.2	36.3	
Mexican				<0.001			0.009
Yes	99.5	99.5	99.7		99.5	99.7	
No	0.5	0.5	0.3		0.5	0.3	
Current smoker (%)				0.002			0.002
No	91.7	92	91.3		91.9	90.7	
Yes	8.3	8.0	8.7		8.1	9.3	
Clinical conditions (%)							
Hypertension	20.9	14.3	33.3	<0.001	18.0	40.5	<0.001
Obesity	20.5	18.6	24.1	<0.001	19.5	27.0	<0.001
Diabetes	17.5	10.6	30.4	<0.001	14.6	36.7	<0.001
Asthma	2.9	3.2	2.4	<0.001	3.0	2.3	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease	2.6	1.7	4.2	<0.001	2.2	5.5	<0.001
Chronic renal disease	2.3	1.1	4.7	<0.001	1.7	6.5	<0.001
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	2.0	1.0	3.8	<0.001	1.6	4.9	<0.001
Immunosuppression	1.6	1.0	2.6	<0.001	1.4	2.9	<0.001
Other	3.2	2.6	4.3	<0.001	3.0	4.7	<0.001
Number of comorbidities (%)				<0.001			<0.001
None	54.1	63.1	37.2		57.8	28.9	
One	26.8	24.4	31.1		26.0	32.0	
Two	12.6	8.9	19.7		11.1	23.1	
Three or more	6.5	3.6	12.0		5.1	16.0	
Medical unit is a monitoring health unit for respiratory diseases (USMER) (%)				<0.001			<0.001
No	58.9	68.6	40.6		61.8	39.4	
Yes	41.1	31.4	59.4		38.2	60.6	
Type of facility (%)				<0.001			<0.001
SSA	52.6	60.0	38.7		53.6	45.6	
IMMS	33.0	28.4	41.7		32.7	35.4	
ISSTE	5.1	2.7	9.5		4.5	9.1	
Private	3.2	3.3	3.1		3.2	3.4	
Military	2.0	2.2	1.6		2.1	1.4	
Other	4.0	3.3	5.5		3.9	5.1	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression analyses of factors associated with hospitalization and adverse outcome among patients with COVID-19 diagnosis.

	Hospitalized			Adverse outcome		
	OR	**95%CI**	**p-value**	**OR**	**95%CI**	**p-value**
Gender (Ref: Male)						
Female	0.57	0.53-0.61	<0.001	0.58	0.53-0.64	<0.001
Age (Ref: 18 to 44)						
65 or more	7.24	6.22-8.42	<0.001	8.30	7.12-9.67	<0.001
45 to 64	2.93	2.76-3.12	<0.001	3.57	3.17-3.79	<0.001
0 to 17	1.73	1.39-2.14	<0.001	1.52	1.16-2.00	0.003
Mexican (Ref: Yes)						
No	0.86	0.65-1.12	0.263	0.73	0.52-1.01	0.06
Smoker (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.94	0.85-1.04	0.219	1.02	0.88-1.18	0.827
Chronic renal disease (Ref: No)						
Yes	2.21	1.91-2.55	<0.001	1.96	1.69-2.26	<0.001
Diabetes (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.99	1.85-2.14	<0.001	1.64	1.55-1.73	<0.001
Immunosuppression (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.85	1.59-2.15	<0.001	1.41	1.22-1.64	0.006
COPD (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.44	1.26-1.65	<0.001	1.33	1.20-1.49	<0.001
Obese (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.40	1.29-1.51	<0.001	1.51	1.39-1.64	<0.001
Hypertension (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.25	1.16-.134	<0.001	1.28	1.20-1.35	<0.001
Cardiovascular disease (Ref: No)						
Yes	1.09	0.96-1.24	0.185	1.09	1.01-1.17	0.031
Asthma (Ref: No)						
Yes	0.73	0.65-0.81	<0.001	0.82	0.71-0.94	0.003
Medical unit is a monitoring health unit for respiratory diseases (USMER) (Ref: No)						
Yes	3.57	2.85-4.48	<0.001	2.32	1.88-2.85	<0.001
Type of facility (Ref: SSA)						
IMMS	2.17	1.31-3.58	0.003	0.99	0.76-1.29	0.944
ISSTE	3.32	1.29-8.52	0.013	1.23	0.79-1.93	0.357
Private	1.82	0.89-3.71	0.099	1.45	1.07-1.96	0.017
Military (SEDENA & SEMAR)	2.33	1.41-3.83	0.001	1.38	0.87-2.18	0.177
Other	1.91	1.20-3.03	0.006	1.01	0.74-1.38	0.937

Notes: Both analyses control for area-of-residence fixed-effects; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence Intervals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.04.20122481; this version posted June 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 5: Multivariate logistic regression analyses of factors associated with hospitalization and adverse outcome among patients with COVID-19 diagnosis, with number of comorbidities (instead of each comorbidity) being used as an independent variable.

	Hospitalized			Adverse outcome		
	OR	**95%CI**	**p-value**	**OR**	**95%CI**	**p-value**
Number of comorbidities (Ref: None)						
One	1.72	1.57-1.89	<0.001	1.79	1.69-1.90	<0.001
Two	2.37	2.09-2.67	<0.001	2.36	2.15-2.59	<0.001
Three or more	3.29	2.83-3.81	<0.001	3.35	2.96-3.78	<0.001

Notes: Both models control for all other covariates in the main models in Table 4; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence Intervals