Detection of SARs-CoV-2 in wastewater, using the existing environmental surveillance network: An epidemiological gateway to an early warning for COVID-19 in communities

Salmaan Sharif[1] , Aamer Ikram[1], Adnan Khurshid[1] , Muhammad Salman[1], Nayab Mehmood[1], Yasir Arshad[1], Jamal Ahmad[2], Mehar Angez[1], Muhammad Masroor Alam[1] , Lubna Rehman[1], Ghulam Mujtaba[1], Jaffar Hussain[1], Johar Ali[1], Muhammad Wasif Malik[1], Zeeshan Iqbal Baig[1], Muhammad Suleman Rana[1], Muhammad Usman[1], Muhammad Qasir Ali[1], Abdul Ahad[1], Nazish Badar[1], Massab Umair[1], Sana Tamim[1], Faheem Tahir[1], and Nida Ali[2] .

1. National Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan.
2. World Health Organization

Corresponding Author

Salmaan Sharif
Department of Virology, PHLD,
National Institute of Health,
Islamabad, Pakistan.
Email: salmaansharif@hotmail.com
Tel: +92-301-2005000 / +92-51-8442662 (Ext: 110)

Abstract

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARs-CoV-2 was considered to be transmitted person to person via droplet infections and fecal-oral transmission. To determine this, we used the existing polio environment surveillance network in Pakistan to investigate presence of SARs-CoV-2 using three commercially available kits and E-Gene detection published assay for surety and confirmatory of positivity. A Two-phase separation method is used for sample clarification and concentration. Before proceeding directly for RNA extraction, an additional high-speed centrifugation (14000Xg for 30 min) step was introduced to increase viral RNA yield resulting decrease in *Cq* value. A total of 78 wastewater samples collected from 38 districts across Pakistan, 74 wastewater samples from existing polio environment surveillance sites, 3 from drains of COVID-19 infected areas and 1 from COVID 19 quarantine center drainage, were tested for presence of SARs-CoV-2. 21 wastewater samples (27%) from 13 districts turned to be positive on RT-qPCR. SARs-COV-2 RNA positive samples from areas with COVID patients and COVID 19 patient quarantine center drainage strengthen the findings and use of wastewater surveillance in future. Furthermore, sequence data of partial ORF 1a generated from COVID 19 patient quarantine center drainage sample also reinforce our findings that SARs-CoV-2 can be detected in wastewater. This study finding indicates that SARs-CoV-2 detection through wastewater surveillance has an epidemiologic potential that can be used as early warning system to monitor viral tracking and circulation in cities with lower COVID-19 disease burden or heavily populated areas where door-to-door tracing may not be possible. However, attention needed on virus concentration and detection assay to increase the sensitivity. Development of highly sensitive assay will be an indicator for virus monitoring and to provide early warning signs.

Introduction:

Novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) caused by SARS-Cov-2 infection has become a global emergency through its widespread infection with 6,189,560 confirmed cases resulting 372,469 deaths in 213 countries as of 1st June , 2020 [1]. In December 2019, cluster of pneumonia like disease cases with symptoms including fever, difficulty in breathing, cough and invasive lesion on both lungs were reported from Wuhan, China [2]. The causative agent was identified as a

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) after ruling out SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, influenza, avian influenza, adenovirus and other common respiratory pathogens [3]. Coronaviruses belonging to family *Cornaviridae* are enveloped, non-segmented positive sense RNA viruses distributed in human and mammals [4]. However, majority of human coronaviruses have mild infections but two betacornaviruses; severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) caused outbreaks in last two decades with 10% and 35.6% mortality rate respectively [5-7].

. On March 11, 2020 WHO declared it as pandemic, when disease was reported in 114 countries [8]. The primary routes of viral transmission (SARS-CoV-2) was considered to be through droplet infections and person to person close contact, but later it is evident from various published studies that there is increasing possibility of fecal-oral transmission [9, 10]. This shows the probability of environmentally mediated transmission. Since the early days of pandemic, we got interested in understanding and utilizing the role of environmental sampling mainly the sewage.

SARS-CoV-2 resembles 82% with SARS coronavirus (SARS-CoV) which caused an outbreak in 2003. Studies have shown the survival of SARS-CoV in stool for up to 4 days [7]. Another study described the presence of SARS-CoV and its infectious nature in water and sewage for days to weeks [11]. It was also described that faulty sewage system contaminated with SARs-CoV in a high-rise housing estate of Hong Kong during 2003 was linked to SARS outbreak involving large number of residents of surrounding buildings [12]. A recent study highlighted the shedding through stool of SARS-CoV-2 in cluster of 9 nCOVID-19 patients. It was reported that that the RNA concentration decreased from 10^7 RNA copies/g to 10^3 RNA copies/g after one week of symptom onset to third week [13]. Since the source of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is still unknown therefore wastewater transmission pathway can become an important mode [14]. Hence, the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in contaminated sewage sample and its role in transmission needs to be investigated. In this study, we used the existing polio environment surveillance network in Pakistan through which sewage samples were collected from designated sites in different districts of the country to investigate presence of poliovirus, its spread and molecular epidemiology. Same samples were processed and tested for detection of SARs-CoV-2 RNA.

Materials and Methods

Untreated wastewater samples (sewage samples) selected for testing in this study were collected using the grab sampling technique. Most of them were those collected for routine polio environment surveillance (ES). Polio ES sites are either open drains or pumping stations and are sampled routinely on monthly basis. Each sampling site represent 100,000 – 300,000 population [15]. Besides, wastewater from drains of some areas with recent history of SARS-CoV2 cases were also collected for detection and re-confirmation of SARs-CoV-2 detection. Sampling personnel strictly followed the standard safety guidelines for personnel protective equipment (PPE) required for wastewater sampling. One liter of sewage water was collected from the mid-stream into a sterile, leak proof container at a downstream sampling site during the peak morning flow. These samples were transported in properly sealed container with information form, indicating sampling site, district, sampling date and sampling time, to laboratory within 48 hours of collection maintaining reverse cold chain [15]. Samples were processed in laboratory for virus concentration using the two-phase separation method [16].

500 ml of each raw sewage specimens was concentrated. Firstly, clarification of the sample was done by pelleting of larger suspended solids by high speed centrifugation. The clarified sewage sample was mixed with defined amounts of polymers, dextran and polyethylene glycol (PEG). The homogenous mixture obtained by vigorous shaking is left to stand overnight at 4°C in a separation funnel. The polymer helped to form two distinct layers (phases) in the funnel which were collected and mixed with pellet formed in first step which was then treated with chloroform [16] and further used for extraction of RNA.

Before proceeding directly for RNA extraction, an additional step was introduced to increase the yield of RNA, in contrast with direct processing. 400µl of processed sample was centrifuged at high speed (14000Xg) for 30 min to pellet the suspended solid particles. Virus may be partly bound to these solids. Supernatant was discarded carefully without disturbing the pellet, which was later used for RNA extraction.

Spin star viral nucleic acid kit 1.0 (ADT Biotech, Phileo Damansara 1, Petaling Jaya Part No. 811803) was used to extract the viral RNA. Internal control provided with kits were added as an amplification control in rRT-PCR. Pellet was dissolved with 430µl of lysis buffer supplied with

kit, followed by 5 min vortexing to homogenously dissolve the pallet. Further processing was done as per the manufacturer's instructions. The final elution volume is 60µl. The extracted viral RNA was store at -20°C till further testing.

Multiple qualitative reverse transcription real-time PCR kits for identification of SARS-CoV2 were used. These kits were already in use country wide for detection of SARS-CoV2 in human. These were (Kit 1) Real-Time Fluorescent RT-PCR Kit for detecting 2019-nCoV by BGI China (IVD &CE marked; Catalogue No. MFG030010), takes ORF 1ab gene as the target domain, (Kit 2) qRT-PCR for Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Nucleic Acid Diagnostic Kit (PCR-Flourescence Probing, IVD marked) by Sansure Biotech (Sansure Biotech Inc China, Ref No. S3102E). The kit utilizes novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) ORF-1 gene and a conserved coding nucleocapsid protein N-gene as the target regions and finally (Kit 3) detection Kit for 2019 Novel Coronavirus RNA (PCR-Fluorescence Probing) targeting the ORF 1ab and N gene of SARS-CoV-2/2019-nCoV by Da An Gene Co., China (IVD and CE marked; Catalogue No. DA-930). Thermal cycling and results interpretation were performed as per manufacturer's instruction.

For further confirmation these samples were also tested for envelop protein (E) gene detection using the primers / probe sets that was published by Corman V. M. et. al. [17]. A 25µl reaction contain 5 µl RNA, 12.5 µl 2x reaction buffer provided with the Superscript III one step RT-PCR with platinum Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany; containing 0.4 mM of each deoxyribose triphosphates (dNTP) and 3.2 mM magnesium sulphate), 1 µl of reverse transcriptase / Taq mixture from Kit and different concentration of primers and probes (Table 1). Thermal cycling was carried out at 55°C for 10 min, followed by 95°C for 3min. Then 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 58°C for 30 sec on ABI 7500 real time system (Applied Bio Systems, US. Cat # 4351104).

Genetic sequencing was based on conventional amplification of genomic RNA by using Qiagen One step RT PCR kit as described by Shirato K et al [18]. The ORF 1a gene was amplified, using a pair of primers NIID_WH-1_F501 (TTCGGATGCTCGAACTGCACC) and NIID_WH-1_R913 (CTTTACCAGCACGTGCTAGAAGG). After 1st PCR, nested PCR was performed using 2nd PCR primers (Sense: NIID_WH-1_F509(CTCGAACTGCACCTCATGG), Antisense: NIID_WH-1_R854 CAGAAGTTGTTATCGACATAGC) and 1µl of 1st PCR product under the same condition. PCR

amplicons from 2nd round were purified by Qiaquick PCR purification Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and directly sequenced by using Sequencing primers (Sense: NIID_WH-1_Seq_F519 ACCTCATGGTCATGTTATGG, Antisense: NIID_WH-1_Seq_R840 GACATAGCGAGTGTATGCC) on ABI 3100 genetic analyzer using Big Dye Terminator kit V.3.0.cycle sequencing kit (ABI Foster City Canada, USA). The nucleotide sequences were assembled, edited and analyzed by Sequencher software v.4.9 (GeneCodes Incorporation, USA). The nucleotide sequence obtained was blasted against the available NCBI databank (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Results:

A total of 78 wastewater samples were collected between 12 to 18 epidemiological weeks from 38 districts across country and were tested for SARs-CoV-2 RNA. 21 wastewater samples (27%) from 13 districts were positive on RT-qPCR (Table 2).

Primarily, 20 wastewater samples were collected during March 20, 2020 to April 09, 2020 from 17 districts of Pakistan; 18 samples from different polio environmental sites (ES) distributed across 16 districts and 02 samples in areas with recent history of SARS-CoV2 cases from capital city Islamabad. All these samples were tested against the three commercially available SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection diagnostic kits (mentioned under materials and methods). Negative control supplied with the diagnostic kits containing the internal control was added in each sample during extraction to ensure none of the wastewater extracted RNA samples had RT-qPCR inhibition. A total of 6 samples (30 %) were positive for SARs-CoV-2 RNA, out of these all were detected on Kit 1, 2 (either one gene positive or both, results interpreted as per manufacturer's instruction) and E gene method whereas only 4 were positive on Kit 3 (Table 2). 4 positive samples had *Cq* values between 32 to 38, whereas remaining 2 samples collected in areas with history of SARs-CoV-2 cases from Islamabad were positive on all three diagnostic kits and E gene method having *Cq* between 36 to 38 (Table 3).

A centrifugation step was also introduced before Viral RNA extraction to increase viral RNA yield. A decrease in *Cq* value has been observed, describing an increase in viral RNA concentration (Table 4). Furthermore, 56 samples collected and received in laboratory from April 6, 2020 to April 28,2020 for polio diagnostics were also tested for detection of SARs-CoV-2, out which 14 (24%) were positive. One sample was collected from the drain of a Rawalpindi

Institute of Urology (RIU), a COVID-19 patient quarantine center, while other from a drain having catchment area with recent history of COVID-19 patients. Both collection sites are in district Rawalpindi. These samples were found positive for SARs-COV-2 RNA on RT-qPCR.

The sample collected from the drain of RIU, Rawalpindi was also subjected for partial sequencing of SARs-CoV-2 ORF-1a. Nucleotide sequence of partial SARs-CoV-2 ORF 1a is submitted in gene bank under accession number MT539157.

Discussion:

The role of environmental surveillance in supporting Global Polio Eradication Initiative has already been acknowledged [19]. The environmental surveillance can be used as supplemental tool for detection pathogens circulating within the community. Wastewater provides a near-real-time data as it constantly collects feces, urine and traces of sputum that can contain SARs-CoV-2 shed by the infected individuals. Viral load estimation in COVID-19 positive patients are still uncertain, however, recently N. Zhang *et al*. suggests levels as high as 600,000 viral genomes per ml of fecal material [20]. Similarly another study reported approximately 30,00,000 viral particles in a single fecal sample [20]. A previously published study on coronavirus reported that it remained infectious in water and sewage for days to weeks. Researchers reported time required for 99% reduction of virus infectivity was several days at room temperature in pure water or wastewater [21]. This adds another potential supplemental detection source of SARs-CoV-2 in communities.

In this study, we investigated the presence of SARs-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater using the existing poliovirus environment surveillance network that can be used in future as an early warning system for the dependent area. This definitely needs further evaluation and discussions; however, this seems to have a very interesting utilization in epidemiology. A total of 21 out of 78 positive wastewater samples for SARs-CoV-2 RNA clearly indicates viral RNA shedding in stool of infected individuals. Currently, there is no evidence of infection transmission of SARs-COV-2 or related SARs-CoV via wastewater [22].

We used three commercially available kits and Published E-Gene detection assay for surety and confirmatory of positivity. Analyzing table 3, samples collected from Quetta district at two different time intervals indicates COVID-19 prevalence and surge in infected individuals. This can be assumed from the decrease in *Cq* value in wastewater sample collected two weeks after. Detection of SARs-COV-2 RNA in two specific wastewater samples collected from areas with recent history of COVID-19 patients clearly explain that wastewater testing for COVID-19 can be used as an early warning system. Likewise, SARs-COV-2 RNA positive samples from RIU, Rawalpindi and an area in Rawalpindi with COVID patients further strengthen the findings and use of this tool in future. This surveillance system can picks up vast majority of infected individuals with SARs-CoV-2 who do not present symptoms for the disease [22]. Furthermore, sequence data of partial ORF 1a generated from ICT-04 also reinforce our findings that SARs-CoV-2 can be detected in wastewater in Pakistan. Interestingly, the additional extra centrifugation step before viral RNA extraction seems to be encouraging in increasing the yield of viral RNA. This can be obvious from the data presented in table 4.

The surveillance through wastewater can be useful in remote or confined communities, however, further studies are needed on virus concentration and detection assay to increase the sensitivity. This has an epidemiologic potential for early detection of high burden area in advance; and heavily populated areas where door-to-door tracing may not be possible. This may also be more relevant to the developing countries with limited molecular testing. Development of highly sensitive assay will be an indicator for virus monitoring and to provide early warning signs.

Conclusion:

SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater using RT-qPCR assay, confirmed by sequencing, is a milestone in the field of epidemiology. The study finding indicates that environmental surveillance through wastewater could be used as early warning system to monitor viral tracking and circulation in cities with lower COVID-19 disease burden or setting where person to person testing is limited. The virus concentration and detection method in wastewater needs attention to increase sensitivity of detection of SARs-CoV-2 in wastewater.

References:

1. JHU. *COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University (JHU)*. 2020; Available from: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html.
2. WHO. *Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak*. 2020; Available from: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19.
3. WHO. *WHO Statement regarding cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan, China*. 2020; Available from: https://www.who.int/china/news/detail/09-01-2020-who-statement-regarding-cluster-of-pneumonia-cases in-wuhan-china.
4. Richman DD, W.R., Hayden FG, eds, *Clinical virology*. 4th ed. 2016.
5. Ramadan, N. and H. Shaib, *Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): A review.* Germs, 201 **9**(1): p. 35-42.
6. de Groot, R.J., et al., *Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): announcement of the Coronavirus Study Group.* J Virol, 2013. **87**(14): p. 7790-2.
7. Hui, D.S., et al., *Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): epidemiology and clinical features.* Postgrad Med 2004. **80**(945): p. 373-81.
8. WHO. 2020; Available from: https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/details/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020.
9. Cheng, P.K., et al., *Viral shedding patterns of coronavirus in patients with probable severe acute respiratory syndrome.* Lancet, 2004. **363**(9422): p. 1699-700.
10. Adhikari, S.P., et al., *Epidemiology, causes, clinical manifestation and diagnosis, prevention and control of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) during the early outbreak period: a scoping review.* Infect Dis Poverty, 2020. **9**(1): p. 29.
11. Casanova, L., et al., *Survival of surrogate coronaviruses in water.* Water Res, 2009. **43**(7): p. 1893-8.
12. Peiris, J.S., et al., *Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study.* Lancet, 2003. **361**(9371): p. 1767-72.
13. Roman Woelfel, V.M.C., Wolfgang Guggemos, Michael Seilmaier, Sabine Zange, Marcel A Mueller, Daniela Niemeyer, Patrick Vollmar, Camilla Rothe, Michael Hoelscher, Tobias Bleicker, Sebastian Bruenink, Julia Schneider, Rosina Ehmann, Katrin Zwirglmaier, Christian Drosten, Clemens Wendtner, *Clinical presentation and virological assessment of hospitalized cases of coronavirus disease 2019 in a travel-associated transmission cluster.* medRxiv, 2020.
14. UNICEF, W., *Water, sanitation, hygiene, and waste management for the COVID-19 virus: interim guidance.*, \ UNICEF, Editor. 2020.
15. WHO, *Guidlines on Environmental Sureveillance for detection of poliovirus.*. 2015.
16. Organization, W.H. *Guidelines for environmental surveillance of poliovirus circulation*. 2003; Available from: http://polioeradication.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/WHO_V-B_03.03_eng.pdf.
17. Corman, V.M., et al., *Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR.* Euro Surveill, 2020. **25**(3).
18. Shirato, K., et al., *Development of Genetic Diagnostic Methods for Novel Coronavirus 2019 (nCoV-2019) in Japan.* Jpn J Infect Dis, 2020.
19. Kroiss, S.J., et al., *Assessing the sensitivity of the polio environmental surveillance system.* PLoS One, 2018. **13**(12): p. e0208336.
20. TB; Chai PR; Thompson J; Alm EJ, W.F.X.A.Z.J.G.X.L.W.K.K.H.W.M.M.G.N.E.N.D.C.M.K.E., *SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases.* medRxiv, 2020.
21. Guangbo Qu, X.L., Ligang Hu, and Guibin Jiang, *An Imperative Need for Research on the Role of EnvironmentalFactors in Transmission of Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19).* Environmental Science & Technolog 2020. **54**(7): p. 3730-3732.

22. Ahmed, W., et al., *First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community.* Sci Total Environ, 2020. **728**: p. 138764.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: A. Map indicating environmental sampling sites. Each purple dot represents a wastewat collection site **B.** Map indicating the red marked districts with SARs-CoV-2 positive wastewater sample Each orange dot represents a positive wastewater sample

Figure 2: Graphical representation of positive samples among tested samples

Table 1: Primers and probes, real time- RT-PCR for 2019 SARS-CoV2

	Oligonucleotide	Sequence [a]	Concentration / Reaction
E gene	E_Sarbeco_F	ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT	Use 400 nm
	E_Sarbeco_P1	FAM-ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-BBQ	Use 200 nm
	E_Sarbeco_R	ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	Use 400 nm

[a] FAM: 6-carboxyfluorescein; BBQ: blackberry quencher.

Table 2: Details wastewater samples tested for SARS-CoV2 at Virology Department, National Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan

Lab#	Collection Site	Drainage Type	District	Date Collection	EPI Week	COVID-19 Results
186	AQILPUR & ASLAM TOWN	PUMPING STATION	RAJANPUR	20-Mar-20	Week12	
189	SUR PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	20-Mar-20	Week12	Positive
197	FAQIRABAD	OPEN DRAIN	KOHAT	30-Mar-20	Week14	
198	HAZARA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KURRAM	30-Mar-20	Week14	
199	COMPSITE BUS STAND & MODC	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	Week14	
200	COMPOSITE SHERPAO & ZAFARABAD	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	Week14	
201	BAGO ROAD & BAQIRABAD	PUMPING STATION	KAMBAR	31-Mar-20	Week14	
202	QILA SHEIKHUPURA & PS BAHRIAN WALA	PUMPING STATION	SHEIKHUPURA	2-Apr-20	Week14	
203	RAILWAY PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	1-Apr-20	Week14	Positive
207	NALA BHAIR	OPEN DRAIN	SIALKOT	2-Apr-20	Week14	
204	KALAPUL MURRE ROAD	OPEN DRAIN	ABOTABAD	3-Apr-20	Week14	
205	HINJAL & NOORABAD	OPEN DRAIN	BANNU	3-Apr-20	Week14	
206	PUMPING S.36 AHMAD NAGAR	PUMPING STATION	FAISALABAD	3-Apr-20	Week14	Positive
208	TURWA	OPEN DRAIN	PISHIN	4-Apr-20	Week14	
209	ARMY KAZIBA	OPEN DRAIN	KABDULAH	4-Apr-20	Week14	
210	GULSHAN RAVI STATION	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	6-Apr-20	Week15	
211	SABZI MANDI	OPEN DRAIN	DG KHAN	6-Apr-20	Week15	
212	SANGOT & THOTHAL	OPEN DRAIN	MIRPUR	6-Apr-20	Week15	

E-219	WALKWAY NEELUM & OLD NEELUM BRIDGE	OPEN DRAIN	MUZAFARABAD	6-Apr-20	Week15	
E-213	MIANI PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	SUKKUR	7-Apr-20	Week15	
E-214	SURAJ MIANI	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	8-Apr-20	Week15	
E-215	FRONTIER COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	Positive
E-216	ORANGI NALLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	
E-217	QASBA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	Positive
E-218	BANGALI PARA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	8-Apr-20	Week15	
E-221	MUHAMMAD KHAN COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	9-Apr-20	Week15	
E-222	KORANGI NALLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	9-Apr-20	Week15	
ICT-01	SECTOR I-10/4	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	9-Apr-20	Week15	Positive
ICT-02	SECTOR I-10/1	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	9-Apr-20	Week15	Positive
E-224	HIJRAT COLONY PIDC COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	10-Apr-20	Week15	
E-220	KONRA CHINA & SPAISHTA	OPEN DRAIN	WAZIR-S	10-Apr-20	Week15	
E-223	SABZI MANDI	OPEN DRAIN	Islamabad	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-225	DHOKE DALLAL	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	13-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
E-226	SAFDAR ABAD	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	13-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
E-227	MAIN DISPOSAL	PUMPING STATION	DG KHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-228	OUTFALL STATION-G	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
E-229	OUTFALL STATION-H	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-230	OUTFALL STATION-F	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-231	COMPOSITE SHERPAO & ZAFARABAD	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-232	COMPSITE BUS STAND & MODC	OPEN DRAIN	DIKHAN	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-233	RASHID MINHAS RD LAY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-234	HAJI MUREED GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-235	TAWOOS ABAD	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	12-Apr-20	Week16	
E-236	TULSIDAS PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	HYDERABAD	13-Apr-20	Week16	
E-237	KHAMISO GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	
E-238	SOHRAB GOTH	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	
E-240	MAKKA PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	SUKKUR	14-Apr-20	Week16	
E-241	MACHAR COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	14-Apr-20	Week16	

E-242	BAGO ROAD & BAQIRABAD	PUMPING STATION	KAMBAR	14-Apr-20	Week16	
G-243	SADDAR PUMPING STATION	PUMPING STATION	JACOBABAD	14-Apr-20	Week16	
G-244	MASAN MULLAH	PUMPING STATION	DADU	14-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-245	LANDHI BAKHTAWAR VILLAGE	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	15-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-248	HADI PACKET	OPEN DRAIN	KILLA ABDULLAH	15-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-246	CHAKORA NULLA	OPEN DRAIN	KARACHI	15-Apr-20	Week16	
E-239	RAJKOT & SANSI ROAD & PEOPLE COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	GUJARANWALA	15-Apr-20	Week16	
G-252	GANJ MOHALLA	OPEN DRAIN	ZHOB	16-Apr-20	Week16	
G-247	CHAK & PAR HOTI	OPEN DRAIN	MARDAN	17-Apr-20	Week16	
ICT-04	Rawalpindi Institute of Urology	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	17-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
ICT-06	Dhoke Kashmirian	OPEN DRAIN	RAWALPINDI	17-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-249	PUMPING S.36 AHMAD NAGAR	PUMPING STATION	FAISALABAD	17-Apr-20	Week16	
G-250	MILL COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	NOWSHERA	17-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-251	SHAHEEN MUSLIM TOWN	OPEN DRAIN	PESHAWAR	17-Apr-20	Week16	Positive
G-253	RASALA LINE	OPEN DRAIN	LORALAI	17-Apr-20	Week16	
G-254	MULTAN ROAD STATION	PUMPING STATION	LAHORE	20-Apr-20	Week17	Positive
G-255	AQILPUR & ASLAM TOWN	PUMPING STATION	RAJANPUR	20-Apr-20	Week17	
G-256	SUR PUL	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	20-Apr-20	Week17	
G-257	LABOUR NALA	OPEN DRAIN	DERA BUGHTI	20-Apr-20	Week17	
G-264	KATAN PUL	OPEN DRAIN	KHUZDAR	20-Apr-20	Week17	
G-258	WAPDA COLONY	OPEN DRAIN	NASIRABAD	20-Apr-20	Week17	
G-261	ALI TOWN	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	22-Apr-20	Week17	
G-262	SILAN WALI	PUMPING STATION	SARGODAHA	24-Apr-20	Week17	
G-263	LARA MA	OPEN DRAIN	PESHAWAR	24-Apr-20	Week17	
G-265	KALAPUL MURRE ROAD	OPEN DRAIN	ABOTABAD	27-Apr-20	Week18	Positive
G-266	FAQIRABAD	OPEN DRAIN	KOHAT	27-Apr-20	Week18	Positive
G-267	SANGOT & THOTHAL	OPEN DRAIN	MIRPUR	27-Apr-20	Week18	
G-268	LALBAGH & TIBA BAHADUR	PUMPING STATION	BAHAWALPUR	28-Apr-20	Week18	
G-269	JATAK KILLI & TAKHTHANI	OPEN DRAIN	QUETTA	28-Apr-20	Week18	Positive
G-270	KOTLA ABDUL FATAH	PUMPING STATION	MULTAN	28-Apr-20	Week18	

* Collection Site name is designated as per the drainage area or collection vicinity

Table 3: Wastewater samples collected during March 20, 2020 to April 09, 2020. A comparative analysis

Sample ID	Drainage Type	Epi Week	District	Date Collection	Kit 1 (ORF 1+ Ngene)	Kit 2 (ORF 1ab+ Ngene)	Kit 3 (ORF1ab)	Corman V. M. et. al Method (E Gene)	Final Results
186	PUMPING STATION	Week 12	RAJANPUR	20-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
189	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 12	QUETTA	20-Mar-20	+	+	ND	+	+
197	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KOHAT	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
198	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KURRAM	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
199	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
200	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	DIKHAN	30-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
201	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KAMBAR	31-Mar-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
202	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	SHEIKHUPURA	1-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
203	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	QUETTA	1-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	+
204	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	ABOTABAD	3-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
205	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	BANNU	3-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	+
206	PUMPING STATION	Week 14	FAISALABAD	3-Apr-20	+	+	ND	+	+
207	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	SIALKOT	2-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
208	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	PISHIN	2-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
209	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 14	KABDULAH	4-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
210	PUMPING STATION	Week 15	LAHORE	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
211	PUMPING STATION	Week 15	DGKHAN	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
212	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	MIRPUR	6-Apr-20	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ICT-01	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	ISLAMABAD	9-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	+
ICT-02	OPEN DRAINAGE	Week 15	ISLAMABAD	9-Apr-20	+	+	+	+	+

* Collection Site name is designated as per the drainage area or collection vicinity

Table 4: Sample preparation without and with centrifugation before viral RNA Extraction. Comparison among the *Cq* values

Lab#	Collection Site	District	Date Collection	EPI Week	Without Centrifugation step					With Centrifugation step				
					N-GENE	Cq	ORF-1ab	Cq	RESULT	N-GENE	Cq	ORF-1ab	Cq	RESULT
215	Frontier Colony	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	+	37	+	38	+	+	35	+	37	DETECTED
217	Qasba Colony	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	+	38	+	38	+	+	36	+	36	DETECTED
218	Bangali Para	Karachi	8-Apr-20	Week15	-		-		ND	-		-		NOT DETECTED
225	Dhoke Dallal	Rawalpindi	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	40	+	+	34	+	37	DETECTED
226	Safdarabad	Rawalpindi	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	36	+	36	+	+	33	+	36	DETECTED
228	Outfall Station G	Lahore	13-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	39	+	+	29	+	31	DETECTED
ICT-04	Rawalpindi Institute of Urology	Rawalpindi	17-Apr-20	Week16	+	38	+	39	+	+	34	+	37	DETECTED
ICT-06	Dhoke Kashmirian	Rawalpindi	17-Apr-20	Week16	+	39	+	39	+	+	36	+	38	DETECTED


No. of ENV-SITES
DISTRICTS

A.


ENV SITES POSITIVE FOR SARS-CoV-2
DISTRICT POSITIVE FOR SARS-COV-2

B.


No. OF TESTED SAMPLES
POSITIVE
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
5
2
3
14
4
5
1
1
1
2
2
2
QUETTA
FAISALABAD
Islamabad
KARACHI
RAWALPINDI
LAHORE
DADU
KILLA ABDULLAH
NOWSHERA
PESHAWAR
ABOTABAD
KOHAT