

Titanium Fasteners In Endoscopic Mitral Valve Surgery

Rafik Margaryan* MD, PhD, Giacomo Bianchi MD, PhD, Tommaso Gasbarri MD, PhD, Giovanni, Concistre MD, Marco Solinas MD

Ospedale Del Cuore Fondazione G Monasterio, Massa, Italy

*correspondence: r.margaryan@ftgm.it, Via Aurelia Sud 303, 54100, Massa, Italy

Abstract

Objective We sought to review our experience of new titanium knot fastener devices. We hypothesized that it might reduce the cardiop-pulmonary bypass time, aortic cross-clamping time and intervention time.

Materials We reviewed our electronic records in order identify the patients who underwent mitral valve (MV) repair and replacement in totally endoscopic setup. Surgical approach was trough limited right periareolar or inframammary thoracotomy with mainly femoro-femoral arterio-venous cannulation. A part of patients underwent interventions using fast knotting system (FK group, Cor-Knot Device, ISL Solutions Inc) and remaining patients served as control group (conventional hand knotting, HK). We identified the FK patients and performed propensity score matching to match 1:1 ratio from main cohort using FK versus HK.

Results A total of 306 patients underwent mitral valve repair or replacement on via right thoracotomy, 265 (87%) patients underwent using FK, remaining. There were on average 2.6 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.64$), and 3.1 minutes of CXC time reduction ($p = 0.47$). However, when dividing into procedures based on complexity, there were on average 8.6 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.18$), and 6.9 minutes of CXC time reduction ($p = 0.16$) in simple cases; on average in complex cases 12 minutes of CPB time was augmented ($p = 0.24$), and 2.5 minutes of CXC time was augmented ($p = 0.76$).

In propensity matched population the effect of CPB and CXC reduction was consistent and repeated and there were on average 0.5 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.12$), and 3.6 minutes of CXC

time augmentation ($p = 0.05$). However, when dividing into procedures based on complexity, there were on average 0.2 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.16$), and 2.7 minutes of CXC time augmentation ($p = 0.06$) in simple cases; on average 5 minutes of CPB time augmentation ($p = 0.34$), and 14.2 minutes of CXC time augmentation ($p = 0.58$) in complex cases.

Conclusions Titanium fasteners are useful tool to have in minimally invasive approaches, especially in complex cases and redo interventions. Titanium are comfortable and fast in many cases then conventional knot tying, but it is also expensive the traditional knotting. The titanium fasteners do not offer significant time reduction. In matched group the pattern of time saving were identical to main cohort.

Introduction

Mitral valve dysfunction is the second-most common form of valvular defect in adults (Enriquez-Sarano, Akins, and Vahanian 2009). Last 15 years, minimally invasive mitral valve surgery (MIMVS) via right anterolateral mini-thoracotomy access has emerged and actually is an accepted approach for the management of mitral valve disease alone or in combination with tricuspid valve disease as a primary approach or even in redo cases (Glauber et al. 2009; Murzi et al. 2014). This strategy originally was developed to decrease surgical trauma by minimizing the size of incisions, but as a matter of fact it offers more than just 'cosmetic satisfaction'. It permits excellent exposure of the mitral valve, thereby avoiding conventional full sternotomy or resternotomy. This results in better visualization of the valve by the operating surgeon and, if video assisted, for other members (teaching involved), faster mobilization of the patient, faster healing, less pain, less infection and better cosmesis (Glauber et al. 2009, 2015). Fast tying can reduce CPB times and as a consequence reduce mortality and morbidity. The Cor-Knot automated fastener (LSI SOLUTIONS, Victor, NY, USA) is an alternative solution to knot pusher in limited access approaches or when CPB or cross-clamping time is crucial, for example mitral valve surgery on beating or fibrillating heart. Mitral valve surgery without aortic cross-clamping is a valid alternative to resternotomy in redo cases and can be performed with reasonable outcomes (Ad et al. 2015).

We hypothesized that there can be three main reasons for titanium fasteners use:

1. Reduction of aortic cross clamping time.
2. Reduction of cardiopulmonary bypass time.

Objectives

We sought to analyse our primary experience with new fast knotting system (Cor-Knot automated fastener, LSI SOLUTIONS, Victor, NY, USA).

Methods

Participants

We identified 306 patients redo patients (thoracotomy and sternotomy) between 2015 and 2019 at our centre were eligible for the present study ($n=306$). Data were collected prospectively, all of the minimally invasive mitral valve operations in this series were performed through the right minithoracotomy as described previously (Glauber et al. 2009, 2015). The primary outcomes for this study included myocardial ischemic time reduction (aortic cross-clamping time), and as secondary

outcome cardiopulmonary bypass time reduction. For propensity score matching we have used comorbidity variables and demographic variables.

Surgical Techniques

We have used standard institutional techniques as described before (Glauber et al. 2009; Margaryan et al. 2019). Briefly, all patients were operated using institutional standardized endoscopic approach with femoro-femoral cannulation and without-rib spreading.

Mitral valve repair

The left atrium was opened in the Sondergaard groove and mainly used USB or Estech atrial retractors (Estech, San Ramon, CA, USA or USB retractor, Belgium) positioned through the same intercostal space as the thoracotomy medially or laterally to right internal mammary artery. The mitral valve was inspected and if repairable following techniques were used:

1. annuloplasty
2. leaflet resection
3. neochordal implantation
4. comisural or any other leaflet closure
5. Alfieri technique

In case of repair failure the standardized mitral valve replacement with bioprosthesis or bioprosthesis were performed.

Statistical methods

Missing values were imputed using multiple imputation techniques in order to reduce bias and increase statistical power [12]. Two sided statistics were performed with a significance level of 0.05. For all analysis R Statistical Computing Environment [13] were used with RStudio (RStudio (2016). RStudio: Integrated development environment for R (Version 0.99.1198) [Computer software]. Boston, MA. Retrieved May 20, 2016. Available from <http://www.rstudio.org/>)

Results

Participants

In this sample of patients (n = 306) the mean age was 62.0 ± 11.7 years, 94 (31%) were female and the mean logistic EuroSCORE risk score was $5.0 \pm 4.9\%$ for mortality. None of patients suffered preoperative neurological dysfunction. 200 (66%) were in III-IV class of NYHA. There were no significant differences in preoperative and postoperative variables between two groups (see Table 1 and 3). We performed 27 isolated MV replacements where 13 (4%) with biological valves and 14 (5%) with mechanical valves; remaining patients underwent ring annuloplasty. A total of 265 (87%) patients underwent operation using FK. A total of 306 underwent mitral valve repair or replacement on fibrillation or beating heart. A total of 265 (87%) patients underwent operation using FK. There were on average 2.6 minutes of CPB time reduction (p = 0.64), and 3.1 minutes of CXC time reduction (p = 0.47). However, when dividing into procedures based on complexity, there were on average 8.6 minutes of CPB time reduction (p = 0.18), and 6.9 minutes of CXC time reduction (p =

0.16) in simple cases; on average -12 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.24$), and -2.5 minutes of CXC time reduction ($p = 0.76$).

Propensity score matching analysis

In propensity matched population the effect of CPB and CXC reduction was consistent and repeated and there were on average 0.5 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.12$), and 3.6 minutes of CXC time augmentation ($p = 0.05$). However, when dividing into procedures based on complexity, there were on average 0.2 minutes of CPB time reduction ($p = 0.16$), and 2.7 minutes of CXC time augmentation ($p = 0.06$) in simple cases; on average 5 minutes of CPB time augmentation ($p = 0.34$), and 14.2 minutes of CXC time augmentation ($p = 0.58$).

Discussion

Our collective experience in minithoracotomy for mitral valve surgery alone with aortic cross clamping includes more than 2000 patients. The very small sample of patines are addressed to minimal thoracotomy (endoscopic approach) using video guidance. We demonstrate that time reduction in the main cohort is present, but it is not significant. In propensity matched group the pattern was repeating itself, except in complex cases there were CPB and CXC time augmentation. It is due to the unbalanced complexity patients percentage in the FK group (see Table 3). In our clinical practice minimally invasive mitral valve approaches with femoral cannulation and retrograde perfusion is preferred way for endoscopic mitral valve surgery because of limited thoracotomy and no rib retraction. In the initial echo to minimally invasive techniques for mitral valve surgery, some authors reported and expressed some concerns that the results of these approaches were inferior to those of median sternotomy, particularly with regard to stroke and other major complications (Vanermen et al. 1999; Mohr et al. 1998). Recent publications have demonstrated the safety and effectiveness of minimally invasive mitral surgery also with a fibrillatory arrest method (Umakanthan et al. 2008, @kilo_minimally_2013). Innovative aspect extends boundaries of the minimally invasive cardiac surgery; innovative products are very important for developing new approaches. Titanium fasteners have demonstrated to be easy to use reduce the paravalvular leaks (Lee et al. 2016). Plestis have demonstrated that titanium fasteners can decrease intraoperative times, blood derived transfusion and it allowed to be more reproducible (Plestis et al. 2018). There are new reports that use titanium fasteners for left ventricular assist device implantation, which confirms this accessories utility (Johnson et al. 2018).

Limitations

This study has several limitations: limited sample size, retrospective fashion of the study, bias of selection to be addressed in one or other approach, single center experience.

Conclusions

Titanium fasteners are useful tool to have in minimally invasive approaches, especially in complex cases and redo interventions. Titanium are comfortable and fast in many cases than conventional knot tying, but it is also expensive than traditional knotting. The titanium fasteners do not offer significant time reduction. In matched group the pattern of time saving were identical to main cohort.

Tables

Table 1: Cor Knot Use in Mitral Procedures

	No	Yes	p.overall
	<i>N=41</i>	<i>N=265</i>	
Complex Mitral Procedure	0.17 (0.38)	0.29 (0.46)	0.065
Mitral Valve Procedure:			0.594
repair	38 (92.7%)	236 (89.1%)	
replacement	3 (7.32%)	29 (10.9%)	

Table 2: Demographic variable divided by titanium fasteners use

	No	Yes	p.overall
	<i>N=41</i>	<i>N=261</i>	
Age	63.4 (13.6)	61.8 (11.4)	0.478
Sex:			0.924
Female	12 (29.3%)	82 (31.4%)	
Male	29 (70.7%)	179 (68.6%)	
LVEF	57.8 (6.89)	60.6 (7.43)	0.022

	No	Yes	p.overall
Hypertension	0.32 (0.47)	0.38 (0.49)	0.410
Smoke:			0.426
Ex smoker	7 (17.1%)	32 (12.3%)	
Currently smoking	5 (12.2%)	22 (8.43%)	
No smoker	29 (70.7%)	207 (79.3%)	
Diabetes	0.07 (0.26)	0.06 (0.24)	0.787
Logistic EuroSCORE	6.36 (6.46)	4.75 (4.53)	0.130
BMI	25.1 (2.89)	24.7 (3.70)	0.450
Preoperative Creatinine	0.93 (0.21)	0.88 (0.24)	0.228
Arterial Cannulation Site:			0.045
Ascending Aorta	4 (10.0%)	6 (2.32%)	
Axillary Artery	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.39%)	
Femoral Artery	36 (90.0%)	252 (97.3%)	
Venous Cannulation Site:			0.044
Right Atrium	4 (10.0%)	6 (2.32%)	
Bicaval	1 (2.50%)	2 (0.77%)	
Femoral Vein	34 (85.0%)	245 (94.6%)	
Femoral and Jugular Vains	1 (2.50%)	6 (2.32%)	

Table 3: Demographic variable divided by titanium fasteners use

	No	Yes	p.overall
	<i>N=39</i>	<i>N=39</i>	
Age	63.8 (13.7)	58.4 (12.4)	0.075
Sex:			0.479
Female	12 (30.8%)	16 (41.0%)	
Male	27 (69.2%)	23 (59.0%)	
LVEF	57.6 (6.98)	66.4 (6.55)	<0.001
Weight	75.8 (12.2)	337 (1154)	0.166
Height	174 (9.70)	167 (28.3)	0.137
Complex Mitral Procedure	0.18 (0.39)	0.82 (0.39)	<0.001
Intervention Time	212 (233)	257 (52.8)	0.241
CPB	147 (32.5)	159 (34.4)	0.119
CXC	95.1 (25.7)	107 (25.1)	0.049

Table 4: Intraoperative variable divided by titanium fasteners use

	0	1	p.overall
	<i>N=40</i>	<i>N=257</i>	
CPB	147 (32.1)	144 (31.1)	0.635
CXC	94.8 (25.5)	91.7 (22.9)	0.474
Postoperative transfusion:			1.000
No	7 (100%)	34 (94.4%)	
Yes	0 (0.00%)	2 (5.56%)	
Hospital_Mortality: No	39 (100%)	246 (100%)	.

Figures

Figure 1. FK distribution; CPB and minimal temperature relationship depending on FK use.

References

- Ad, Niv, Sari D. Holmes, Deborah J. Shuman, Graciela Pritchard, and Paul S. Massimiano. 2015. “Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery Without Aortic Cross-Clamping and with Femoral Cannulation Is Not Associated with Increased Risk of Stroke Compared with Traditional Mitral Valve Surgery: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis.” *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery: Official Journal of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery* 48 (6): 868–872; discussion 872. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejcts/ezv017>.
- Enriquez-Sarano, Maurice, Cary W. Akins, and Alec Vahanian. 2009. “Mitral Regurgitation.” *Lancet* (London, England) 373 (9672): 1382–94. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)60692-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60692-9).
- Glauber, Mattia, Jamshid H. Karimov, Pier Andrea Farneti, Alfredo Giuseppe Cerillo, Filippo Santarelli, Matteo Ferrarini, Paolo Del Sarto, Michele Murzi, and Marco Solinas. 2009. “Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery via Right Minithoracotomy.” *Multimedia Manual of Cardiothoracic Surgery: MMCTS / European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery* 2009 (122): mmcts.2008.003350. <https://doi.org/10.1510/mmcts.2008.003350>.
- Glauber, Mattia, Antonio Miceli, Daniele Canarutto, Antonio Lio, Michele Murzi, Daniyar Gilmanov, Matteo Ferrarini, Pier A. Farneti, Eugenio L. Quaini, and Marco Solinas. 2015. “Early and Long-Term Outcomes of Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery Through Right Minithoracotomy: A 10-Year Experience in 1604 Patients.” *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* 10: 181. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13019-015-0390-y>.
- Johnson, Carl A., Amber L. Melvin, Davida A. Robinson, Hossein Amirjamshidi, Peter A. Knight, and Igor Gosev. 2018. “Titanium Fastener Utilization During HeartMate 3 Left Ventricular Assist Device Implantation.” *Innovations (Philadelphia, Pa.)* 13 (5): 361–64. <https://doi.org/10.1097/IMI.0000000000000560>.
- Kilo, Juliane, Elfriede Ruttman-Ulmer, Michael Grimm, and Ludwig C. Müller. 2013. “Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Reconstruction on the Fibrillating Heart for High-Risk Patients.” *The Journal of Heart Valve Disease* 22 (5): 665–68.
- Lee, Candice Y., Joshua K. Wong, Ronald E. Ross, David C. Liu, Kamal R. Khabbaz, Angelo J. Martellaro, Heather R. Gorea, Jude S. Sauer, and Peter A. Knight. 2016. “Prosthetic Aortic Valve Fixation Study: 48 Replacement Valves Analyzed Using Digital Pressure Mapping.” *Innovations (Philadelphia, Pa.)* 11 (5): 327–36. <https://doi.org/10.1097/IMI.0000000000000286>.
- Margaryan, Rafik, Daniele Della Latta, Giacomo Bianchi, Nicola Martini, Gianmarco Santini, Dante Chiappino, and Marco Solinas. 2019. “Intercostal Space Prediction Using Deep Learning in Fully Endoscopic Mitral Valve Surgery.” *bioRxiv*, July, 710996. <https://doi.org/10.1101/710996>.
- Mohr, F. W., V. Falk, A. Diegeler, T. Walther, J. A. van Son, and R. Autschbach. 1998. “Minimally Invasive Port-Access Mitral Valve Surgery.” *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* 115 (3): 567–574; discussion 574–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5223\(98\)70320-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5223(98)70320-4).
- Murzi, Michele, Antonio Miceli, Gioia Di Stefano, Alfredo G. Cerillo, Pierandrea Farneti, Marco Solinas, and Mattia Glauber. 2014. “Minimally Invasive Right Thoracotomy Approach for Mitral Valve Surgery in Patients with Previous Sternotomy: A Single Institution Experience with 173 Patients.” *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* 148 (6): 2763–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2014.07.108>.
- Plestis, Konstadinos, Oleg Orlov, Vishal N. Shah, Joshua Wong, Matthew Thomas, Alon Aharon, Cinthia Orlov, Georgia Panagopoulos, and Scott Goldman. 2018. “Facilitating Technologies in Minimally Invasive Aortic Valve Replacement: A Propensity Score Analysis.” *Interactive Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery* 27 (2): 202–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icvts/ivy026>.

Umakanthan, Ramanan, Marzia Leacche, Michael R. Petracek, Sathappan Kumar, Nataliya V. Solenkova, Clayton A. Kaiser, James P. Greelish, et al. 2008. "Safety of Minimally Invasive Mitral Valve Surgery Without Aortic Cross-Clamp." *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 85 (5): 1544–1549; discussion 1549–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2008.01.099>.

Vanermen, H., F. Wellens, R. De Geest, I. Degrieck, and F. Van Praet. 1999. "Video-Assisted Port-Access Mitral Valve Surgery: From Debut to Routine Surgery. Will Trocar-Port-Access Cardiac Surgery Ultimately Lead to Robotic Cardiac Surgery?" *Seminars in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery* 11 (3): 223–34.

