medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The Role of Swab Tests to Decrease the Stress by COVID-19 on the Health System using AI, MLR & Statistical Analysis

Behzad Pirouz[1], Hana Javadi Nejad[2], Galileo Violini[3], Behrouz Pirouz[4, 5]

1- Department of Computer Engineering, Modelling, Electronics and Systems Engineering, University of Calabria, Rende 87036, Italy; behzadpirouz@gmail.com
2- University of Calabria, Rende 87036, Italy; hanna.javadi@gmail.com
3- Centro Internacional de Física, Bogotá, Colombia; University of Calabria, Rende 87036, Italy; leoviolini@yahoo.it
4- Department of Mechanical, Energy and Management Engineering, University of Calabria, Rende 87036, Italy; behrouz.pirouz@unical.it
5- Corresponding Author: Behrouz Pirouz. E-Mail: behrouz.pirouz@unical.it

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Luigi Grasso for an interesting discussion that stimulated this research, and to Professor Manlio Gaudioso and Dr. Agostino Gnasso for their critical reading of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract:
The outbreak of the new Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has prompted investigations on various aspects. This research aims to study the possible correlation between the numbers of swab tests and confirmed cases of infection, with special attention to the sickness level. The study is carried out with reference to the Italian case, but the result is of more general importance, in particular for countries with limited availability of ICUs (intensive care units). The statistical analysis shows correlation between the number of swab tests and those of daily positive cases, mild cases admitted to hospital, intensive care cases, recovery, and death rate, and provides a basis to carry on an AI study. The results were validated using a multivariate linear regression (MLR) approach. Our main result is the identification of a significant statistical effect of reduction of the pressure on the Health system as result of the increase of the tests. The relevance of this result is not confined to the COVID-19 outbreak, because the high demand of hospitalizations and ICU treatments due to this pandemic has an indirect effect on the possibility of guaranteeing an adequate treatment for other high-fatality disease, such as e.g. cardiological, and oncological. Our results show that swab testing may play a major role to decrease the stress on the Health system of a country. Therefore, this case study is relevant in particular for the planning of the control of the pandemic in countries with a limited capacity of admission to ICU´s units.

Keywords: Statistical analysis; Artificial Intelligence; ANN; MLR; COVID-19; Health Systems.

1. Introduction

The pandemic COVID-19 disease has been extensively studied considering several different aspects. Virologic studies [1] have investigated virus genoma, proving its natural origin and studying its mutations. Sociological and economical studies have considered the changes in lifestyle and the

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

economic and sustainable development effects [2-5] correlated with non-pharmacological actions aimed to control the pandemic spread., such as lockdown [6, 7], border closure, social distancing. Other studies focused on the mechanisms and times of incubation [8], resistance and dynamics of transmission [9-11], environmental factors and conditions, like the role of UV and climate [12-15]. A large number of studies have been dedicated to statistical assessment methods and modeling of the outbreak, usually considering the number of positive cases [16-19], of active cases or of the deaths and intensive care hospitalizations [20, 21] and the health risks [22, 23].

A problem that has been object of much attention is the identification of infected people. It covers two different aspects, namely the physical identification of infected and contagious asymptomatic people, and the identification of people who have been infected and are expected to be no longer susceptible of infection. Swab testing is the usual procedure to assess experimentally the former one which otherwise could only be inferred through statistical studies [16]. In the last month in Italy, country that in the early days of the pandemic was second only to South Korea for these tests, there has been an acceleration on the use of these tests (although it should be noticed that one third of the tests was applied to people already tested at least once) and there was a significant reduction of the active cases [24].

The use of swab testing is growing in many countries as a method to fight the increasing rate of the pandemic [25, 26]. One element which is relevant for this purpose is the criterion and aim used to decide whether and to whom apply the test. Besides being a verification of recovery, this testing may be used for detection of infected people and in this case the test of random samples is opportune [17] or for the early identification of mildly infected people, which, to some extent, was actually dominant in Italy. A broad literature exists about the possibility that these tests provide a wrong answer. Gray et al. (2020) discussed the impact of this and concluded that no test would be better than a bad test [27]. In this context it must be observed that there is an important statistical difference between wrong positive and wrong negative tests [28]. Eberhardt et al. (2020) analyzed a multi-stage group testing method for testing large populations and showed that such a method would be more efficient than individual testing [29]. George and Wang (2020), analyzed the variations in testing and reporting of confirmed cases of COVID-19. Their results show the advantages of accurate scale mass and systematic tests [30]. Corman et al. (2020) determined how the tests could affect the treatment and the benefits of mild case detection [31] and to some extent our study goes in the same direction.

These studies show the importance and interplay, in COVID-19 investigation, of several variables, among which in particular swab testing. This research studies the statistical correlation between the number of swab tests and those of daily positive cases, mild cases admitted to hospital, intensive care cases, recovery, and death rate, in order to assess the role of such a systematic test for controlling the COVID-19 epidemic and reduce the pressure on the health system. The result of this research allowed us to identify certain output variables for which would be convenient to use an AI approach to study their correlation. Finally, the results of these analysis were validated using a MLR technique.

2. Methods

We use the available COVID-19 data in Italy and six regions, to explore the possible existence of correlations between the daily number of swabs (Swabs), and those of home isolated patients, of patients admitted to hospital but in mild condition (Mild hosp), of patients treated in the intensive care units of an hospital (Int. care hosp) and of daily deaths and daily new cases.

The approach of the study is based on the p-value, Beta coefficient, and T-test.

First, in order to analyze the possible impact of daily swabs on the other variables, the datasets were divided into two periods. The statistical analysis was done for each of them and the results of the two analyses compared with each other. For each case study, in order to select the day of splitting the whole period in two periods, we first calculated the total average number of daily swabs, and then looked for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the date when the number of daily swabs exceeded that average at least in three consecutive days. That date was selected for the splitting.

As next step, Machine Learning through ANN (Artificial Neural Network) has been used to investigate by Artificial Intelligence the correlations between the output variables of the statistical analysis. For Machine Learning, the variables were selected on the basis of the results of the statistical analysis, considering those that have the most significant relationship with the number of daily tests (Swab Tests). For the validation of the ANN model results, MLR (Multivariate Linear Regression) with the same variables of the ANN model has been used and a prediction equation provided. The flowchart of our analysis is presented in Figure 1.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. The analysis flowchart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2.1. Case study

In this study, we analyze the relevant datasets of six regions in Italy. Moreover, the analysis has also been carried out for the whole of Italy. The location of the six regions is shown in Figure 2 and the details of the selected case studies are presented in Appendix A.



Figure 2. Location of the selected case studies [32-34]

2.2. Mathematical modeling and statistical analysis

Mathematical modeling and statistical analysis are a tool widely used in various fields, e.g. natural and human hazards [35-37] and for many phenomena, including hazards and optimization [38, 39]. In all these approaches it is essential to express the problem in form of an equation or a model [40-46]. Due to the complexity of the natural phenomena, several criteria can be used to obtain the best mathematical modeling for a given problem [47-51].

Our purpose is to study the effect of an independent variable on one or more dependent variables, and to assess the possible existence of a relationship between them. An efficient statistical technique to achieve this, is to evaluate a coefficient, the beta coefficient, that measures the degree of change in a dependent variable (outcome variable) in correspondence with a 1-unit change in the independent variable (predictor variable). Of course, the Beta coefficient value can be negative or positive. This quantitative evaluation makes sense only if the possible existence of a significant relation between the two variables has been previously assessed. This is established by evaluating another parameter, the p-value, whose value, if smaller than 0.05 (or confidence level of 95%), indicates the existence of a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

significant correlation between the considered variables [52]. The set-up of the SPSS Model is presented in Appendix B.

3. Results

3.1. Correlations between swabs and the other variables in whole Italy

Our first analysis refers to the global country dataset. The results of the correlations between swabs number and the output variables in the two periods are presented in Table 1. and Table 2. The daily variations of the different parameters in whole Italy are presented in Figures 3 and 4.

Table 1. T-test results in two periods for whole Italy

Case study	Variables	Date	Average	Rate of change	t-test		Result
					t value	P-Value	
All Italy	Test No.	1 March-31 March	15752	3.12	-11.129	0.000	significant difference
		1 April-30 April	49075				
	Home isolation	1 March-31 March	15768	4.57	-16.513	0.000	
		1 April-30 April	72053				
	Mild hosp	1 March-31 March	12763	2.00	-6.858	0.000	
		1 April-30 April	25566				
	Int care hosp	1 March-31 March	1986	1.49	-3.457	0.000	
		1 April-30 April	2975				
	Daily Deaths	1 March-31 March	400	1.29	-1.901	0.062	No significant difference
		1 April-30 April	518				
	Daily new cases	1 March-31 March	3376	0.98	0.131	0.896	
		1 April-30 April	3322				

As shown in Table 1, in Italy the average number of the independent variable (swabs) increased significantly by 3.12 times. This is compared with the variation in the same period of the outcome variables. One sees that this increase was accompanied by a significant increase of the average number of home-isolated patients (4.57 times), Mild Hosp cases (2.00 times), and Int Care Hosp cases (1.49 times). Instead, as indicated by the corresponding p-values, the increase by a factor 1.29 in the average number of Daily Deaths, and the decrease by a factor 0.98 in the average number of Daily New Cases were not significant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3. Daily variations of the parameters in whole Italy



Figure 4. Daily tests and total positive cases in whole Italy

Table 2. Test No. (Independent variable) Vs. each outcome variable in two time periods (All Italy)

Country	Period	Dependent Variable	ANOVA P-Value	Beta	Existence of a correlation	Significance
All Italy	1 March-31 March	Home isolation	0.000	0.896	✓	Positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.928	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.931	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.946	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.921	✓	
	1 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.000	0.616	✓	
		Mild hosp	0.001	-0.591	✓	Negative
		Int. care hosp	0.000	-0.636	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.004	-0.509	✓	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Daily new cases	0.115	-0.294	×	-*

*There is no significant relationship between the variables.

For what concerns the relationship between the daily swabs and the outcome variables, Table 2, shows that during the first period (1 March to 31 March) it was significantly positive for each of the five variables. During the second period (1 April to 30 April), the correlation with Home isolation remained significantly positive, those with Mild hosp, Int. care hosp, and Daily deaths, became significantly negative, and that with Daily new cases, although turned into negative, was not significant. The analysis at regional level presented in Appendix C.

3.3. Model with using ANN

As the results of Table 2. show, the number of total home isolation, total mild hospital, and intensive care in the hospital changed significantly with the number of swabs, whereas for the Daily new cases this happens only for the first period. On the other hand, increasing the number of swabs can only be related to new home isolation cases and mild hospital, without connection with that of ICU cases.

Therefore, the variables we selected for this analysis were Daily new cases, Total home isolation, and Total mild hospital, and the dependent variable was total Intensive cases in Hospital. One can suspect that there exists a correlation between them and their daily variation. In this section, we shall investigate this possible correlation using the ANN method to calculate the Intensive care number. The results of the model including train and test of the ANN algorithm are presented in Table 3. and the comparison between the prediction values and real data in Figure 5. It shows that AI is a powerful tool for the study of our problem and this is confirmed by the value of the final R^2. Additionally, it confirms the results of the previous statistical analysis on swab-output variables correlations.

Table 3. The results of ANN

Factors	Train Value	Test value
Number of datasets	51	10
MSE (mean squared error)	20613.8	17847.6
Correct prediction	47	7
Wrong prediction	4	3

For all data $R^2 = 0.986$



Figure 5. Prediction values by ANN and real data for all data

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The impact of each variable on Intensive case in the hospital is shown in Figure 6. According to Figure 6, the dominance of the role of Mild hospital over home isolation for the impact on the number of intensive case in the hospital is evident.



Figure 6. The impact of each variable on the result (Intensive case in the hospital)

4. Discussion

In this section we summarize the results of the previous section. The first part of our statistical analysis was based on T-test. In Italy, during the period we analyzed (March-April) there was a significant change on the number of swab tests. At country level, one can say that there was a first period (March) with a country average of about 15000 tests per day, and that this average grew more than three times in the following month. Analogous increases in the number of tests are observed at regional level, although they are probably also sensitive to the actual level of development of the pandemic in the specific region.

This preliminary analysis confirms that there was a significant change in the application of these tests. This supports the hypothesis that such a change may be reflected on the indicators that describe the level of development of the pandemic. To test this hypothesis, we carried out a statistical analysis of the possible correlation between the number of tests and that of contagious people, the recovered ones and the deaths. At national level, the hypothesis was confirmed with the exception of the daily new cases. These correlations generally are observed also at regional level, although minor deviations may occur. In particular these deviations seem to be sizeable in Emilia-Romagna and Veneto and this may find an explanation in the improvement of the situation in these two regions during the month of April [19]. This also happened with respect to the number of deaths. As it might have been expected, we found that with the increase of the number of tests in the second period the correlation between the death rate and the number of swab tests, considerably diminished with respect to the first period. Instead, significant correlations were generally found for the three variables of the outmost importance for what concerns the health system stresses related to the total number of cases, and to a less advanced level of development of the sickness. The evidence is stronger in the regions where the pandemic spread more.

Of course, this discussion must take into account the multiple reasons that lead to apply the test. This means that in any case only a fraction of those actually applied can be related to the variables we studied, but this can be estimated to be only a second order effect.

The last part of our analysis had a different purpose. Artificial Intelligence are a powerful tool to analyze a large number of data to detect possible correlations between them. We applied this technique to study one of the most critical aspects of the pandemic, the admission to ICUs. We find a very good agreement with the observed number of ICU admission. This aspect must not be underestimated, when

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

one takes into account that the stress on ICU is probably one of the most critical factors to take into account when planning the response to the pandemic.
In the specific case of Italy, when the peak shown in Figure 5. was reached, the value of ICU hospitalizations was close to the capacity of response of the Health system, although it was planned for a couple of weeks later a 40% increase of the available ICU units [53]. We recall that Italian ICU capacity was then in the order of 120 ICUs per million population, and it must be underscored that this is a high performance, in compare with different countries as presented in Appendix D.

A validation of our results on the role of swabs is provided by the determination of a prediction equation obtained using MLR. We already pointed out the usefulness of the swabs to identify infected people without symptom who then would be home isolated. The variables for our MLR analysis are the total number of Home isolation (x_1), that of mild condition admissions to hospital (x_2) and that of Daily new cases (x_3). These variables are used as independent variables to determine as dependent variable, y, the total number of patients admitted in the ICUs of a hospital.
The prediction equation we obtain is

$$y = 77.751 - 0.020\, x_1 + 0.164\, x_2 + 0.38\, x_3 \text{ , with } R^2 = 0.995$$

Table 4. provides the details of the analysis and the values of the beta coefficients show that the highest impact variable is x_2 followed by the variable x_1. We notice that the increase in the number of home isolation cases that we found to be correlated to that of swab tests is associated to a decrease of the total number of patients admitted to hospital intensive care units.

Table 4. The result of MLR analysis

Variables	P-Value	Beta	Coefficients
Constant	0.011	-	77.751
Total Home isolation (x_1)	0.000	-0.514	-0.020
Total Mild Hospital (x_2)	0.000	1.311	0.164
Daily new cases (x_3)	0.009	0.050	0.38

5. Conclusions

We studied the statistic correlation between the number of applied swab tests and the most important indicators of the level of development of the COVID-19 pandemic, in Italy and in six Italian regions, four chosen on the basis of the diffusion of the pandemic and the remaining two on the basis of the size of their population. This analysis was accompanied by one using AI techniques and validated through an MLR. Our results show that swab testing may play a major role to decrease the stress on the Health system of a country. Therefore, this case study is relevant in particular for the planning of the control of the pandemic in countries whose capacity of admission to ICU´s units is limited.

References

1. Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med. 2020, 26, 450–452 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9.
2. Pirouz B, Arcuri N, Pirouz B, Palermo SA, Turco M, Maiolo M. Development of an assessment method for evaluation of sustainable factories. *Sustainability*. 2020, 12(5), 1841. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051841.
3. Pirouz B, Arcuri N, Maiolo M, Talarico VC, Piro P. A new multi-objective dynamic model to close the gaps in sustainable development of industrial sector. In IOP Conference Series: *Earth and Environmental Science*.2020, 410(1),012074. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/410/1/012074.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

4. Maiolo M, Pirouz B, Bruno R, Palermo SA, Arcuri N, Piro P. The Role of the Extensive Green Roofs on Decreasing Building Energy Consumption in the Mediterranean Climate. *Sustainability*. 2020, 12(1), 359. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12010359.
5. Pirouz B, Maiolo M. The Role of Power Consumption and Type of Air Conditioner in Direct and Indirect Water Consumption. Journal of Sustainable Development of Energy, Water and Environment Systems. 2018, 6(4), 665-673. https://doi.org/10.13044/j.sdewes.d6.0202.
6. Shen M, Peng Z, Guo Y, Xiao Y, Zhang L. Lockdown may partially halt the spread of 2019 novel coronavirus in Hubei province, China., *medRxiv preprint*, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.11.20022236.
7. Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, Viboud C. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. Science. 2020. 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.11.20022236.
8. Coronavirus Incubation Period, Available online: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/coronavirus-incubation-period/#24 (accessed on 26 April 2020).
9. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and its inactivation with biocidal agents. J. Hosp. Infect. 2020. 104(3), 246-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022.
10. Li Q, Med M, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia, The New England Journal of Medicine. 2020, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
11. Phan LT, Nguyen TV, Luong QC, Nguyen TV, Nguyen HT, Le HQ, Pham, QD. Importation and human-to-human transmission of a novel coronavirus in Vietnam. New England Journal of Medicine, 2020, 382(9), 872-874. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2001272.
12. Pirouz, B, Golmohammadi A, SaeidpourMasouleh H, Violini G, Pirouz B. Relationship between average daily temperature and average cumulative daily rate of confirmed cases of COVID-19, *medRixv*, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20059337.
13. Grant WB, Giovannucci E. The possible roles of solar ultraviolet-B radiation and vitamin D in reducing case-fatality rates from the 1918–1919 influenza pandemic in the United States. Dermato-Endocrinology. 2009 Jul 1;1 (4): 215-9.
14. Pirouz B, ShaffieeHaghshenas S, ShaffieeHaghshenas S, Piro P. Investigating a serious challenge in the sustainable development process: analysis of confirmed cases of covid-19 (new type of coronavirus) through a binary classification using artificial intelligence and regression analysis. Sustainability.2020, 12(6), 2427. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12062427.
15. Haghshenas SS, Pirouz B, Haghshenas SS, Pirouz B, Piro P, Na KS, Cho SE, Geem ZW. Prioritizing and Analyzing the Role of Climate and Urban Parameters in the Confirmed Cases of COVID-19 Based on Artificial Intelligence Applications. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 2020; 17, 3730. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17103730.
16. Chen YC, Lu PE, Chang CS. A Time-dependent SIR model for COVID-19. 2020. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.00122.http:// gibbs1.ee.nthu.edu.tw/A TIME DEPENDENT SIR MODEL FOR COVID 19.PDF
17. Pirouz B, Violini G. A novel approach to evaluate the asymptomatic COVID19 contagions. 2020, doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.23633.07525/1.
18. Hu Z, Ge Q, Jin L, Xiong M. Artificial intelligence forecasting of covid-19 in china. arXiv preprint, 2020, arXiv: 2002.07112. https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.07112.
19. Pirouz B, Haghshenas SS, Pirouz B, Haghshenas SS, Piro P. Development of an Assessment Method for Investigating the Impact of Climate and Urban Parameters in Confirmed Cases of COVID-19: A New Challenge in Sustainable Development, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082801.
20. Violini G. Differenze nazionali e regionali riguardo il COVID-19, PUCMM preprint, 2020. DOI 10.13140/RG.2.2.12709.86247.
21. Violini G. Does COVID-19 have an internal clock? Or is Pandemic development anthropic? CIF preprint, 2020. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.29424.81925.
22. Wen J, Aston J, Liu X, Ying T. Effects of misleading media coverage on public health crisis: a case of the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak in China. Anatolia 2020. 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1080/13032917.2020.1730621.
23. Nkengasong J. N, Mankoula W. Looming threat of COVID-19 infection in Africa: act collectively, and fast. The Lancet. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30464-5.
24. Turin, S. Coronavirus: qual è il numero (vero) di persone sottoposte ai tamponi in Italia?, Corriere della Sera, 2020. April, 23. https://lab.gedidigital.it/gedi-visual/2020/coronavirus-i-contagi-in-italia/.
25. Mumphrey N. Orleans, Jefferson Parish testing sites expand to anyone showing symptoms of COVID-19. Available online: https://www.fox8live.com/2020/03/21/orleans-jefferson-parish-testing-sites-expand-anyone-showing-symptoms-covid-/. (Accessed on 8 May 2020).
26. Coronavirus testing: How it is done, when you should get one and how long results take, Available online: https://www.liverpoolecho.co.uk/news/uk-world-news/coronavirus-testing-how-done-you-17912266 (accessed on 27 April 2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

27. Gray N, Calleja D, Wimbush A, Miralles-Dolz E, Gray A, De-Angelis M, Derrer-Merk E, Uchenna-Oparaji B, Stepanov V, Clearkin L, Ferson S. No test is better than a bad test: Impact of diagnostic uncertainty in mass testing on the spread of Covid-19, medRxiv preprint, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.16.20067884.
28. Szklarsky C. Available online: https://www.ctvnews.ca/health/coronavirus/false-positive-covid-19-test-results-rare-despite-recent-scares-experts-say-1.4932859/. (Accessed on 10 May 2020).
29. Eberhardt JN, Breuckmann NP, Eberhardt CS. Multi-Stage Group Testing Improves Efficiency of Large-Scale COVID-19 Screening, medRxiv preprint, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061176
30. George NG, Wang C. The proportion testing positive for SARS-COV-2 among the tested population in the U.S.: Benefits of the positive test ratio under scaled testing scenarios, medRxiv preprint, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.21.20074070.
31. Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill. 2020; 25(3): 2000045.
32. 2020 coronavirus pandemic in Italy, Available online: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2020_coronavirus_pandemic_in_Italy#cite_note-139 (accessed on 29 April 2020).
33. Veneto, Available online: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Map_of_region_of_Veneto,_Italy,_with_provinces-en.svg (accessed on 29 April 2020).
34. Coronavirus, la situazione in Italia, Available online: https://lab.gedidigital.it/gedi-visual/2020/coronavirus-i-contagi-in-italia/ (accessed on 29 April 2020).
35. Petrudi SHJ, Pirouz M, Pirouz B. Application of fuzzy logic for performance evaluation of academic students. In 2013, 13th Iranian Conference on Fuzzy Systems (IFSC). 1-5. IEEE, 2020, https://doi.org/10.1109/IFSC.2013.6675615
36. Pirouz B, Khorram E. A computational approach based on the ε-constraint method in multi-objective optimization problems. Advances and Applications in Statistics, 2016, 49(6), 453.
37. Pirouz B, Ramezani Paschapari J. A Computational Algorithm Based on Normalization for Constructing the Pareto Front of Multiobjective Optimization Problems. In 2019, 5th International Conference on Industrial and Systems Engineering, 2019, 978-1-5090-1076-9/16.
38. Palermo SA, Talarico VC, Pirouz B. Optimizing Rainwater Harvesting Systems for Non-potable Water Uses and Surface Runoff Mitigation. In: Sergeyev Y., Kvasov D. (eds) Numerical Computations: Theory and Algorithms. NUMTA 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020, 11973, 570-582. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39081-5_49.
39. Pirouz B, Palermo SA, Turco M, Piro P. New Mathematical Optimization Approaches for LID Systems. In: Sergeyev Y., Kvasov D. (eds) Numerical Computations: Theory and Algorithms. NUMTA 2019. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020, 11973, 583-595. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-39081-5_50
40. Srikanth A, Kulkarni NJ, Naveen KV, Singh P, Srivastava PR. Test Case Optimization Using Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm. Advances in Computing and Communications. 2011, 570-579. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-22720-2_60.
41. Cox TP, Song B. A formal Model for Component-Based Software. IEEE Computer Society. 2001, Document number 07695-474-4/01, 2001, pp.304-310.
42. Guopu Z, Kwong S. Gbest-guided artificial bee colony algorithm for numerical function optimization. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2010. 217, no. 7: 3166-3173.
43. Junqing L, Pan Q, Xie S. Flexible job shop scheduling problems by a hybrid artificial bee colony algorithm. In Evolutionary Computation (CEC). 2011. IEEE Congress on, pp. 78-83. IEEE.
44. Andreas W, Wappler S, Wegener J. Applying particle swarm optimization to software testing. In Proceedings of the 9th annual conference on Genetic and evolutionary computation. 2007. pp. 1121-1128. ACM.
45. Bestoun A, Zamli K. A Greedy Particle Swarm Optimization Strategy for T-way Software Testing. Journal of Artificial Intelligence. 2012. 5.2, 85-90.
46. Jonas K, Cordeiro J, Parpinelli R, Lopes HS. A survey of swarm algorithms applied to discrete optimization problems. Swarm Intelligence and Bio-inspired Computation: Theory and Applications. 2013. Elsevier Science & Technology Books: 169-191.
47. Durante M, Orecchia R, Loeffler J. Charged-particle therapy in cancer: clinical uses and future perspectives. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2017. 14, 483–495.
48. Gu W, O'Connor D, Nguyen D, Yu V, Ruan D, Dong L, Sheng K. Integrated beam orientation and scanning-spot optimization in intensity-modulated proton therapy for brain and unilateral head and neck tumors. Med. Phys. 2018. 45(4), 1338–1350.
49. Altobelli E. Combinatorial optimization in radiotherapy treatment planning. AIMS Med. Sci. 2018. 5(3), 204–223.
50. Owen H, Lomax A, Jolly S. Current and future accelerator technologies for charged particle therapy. Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A. 2016. 809, 96–104.
51. Robini M, Yang F, Zhu Y. A stochastic approach to full inverse treatment planning for charged-particle therapy. Journal of Global Optimization. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-020-00902-2.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

52. Myers JL, Well AD, Lorch R. Research Design and Statistical Analysis: Published by Routledge. 2010. Third Edition.
53. Perugini E. La situazione dei posti di terapia intensiva in Italia. Available online: https://www.agi.it/cronaca/news/2020-03-14/coronavirus-posti-terapia-intensiva-7530891/ (accessed on 14 May 2020).
54. AdminStat, Maps, analysis and statistics about the resident population, Available online: https://ugeo.urbistat.com/AdminStat/en/it/demografia/dati-sintesi/milano/15/3 (accessed on 2 May 2020).
55. World Population Review, Available online: Available online: http://worldpopulationreview.com/countries/ (accessed on 29 April 2020).
56. The Countries Critical with the most critical care beds per capital. Available online: https://specials-images.forbesimg.com/imageserve/5e6a0fadaa5428000759a47e/960x0.jpg?fit=scale. (accessed on 14 May 2020).
57. Prin M, Wunsch H. International comparisons of intensive care: informing outcomes and improving standards, Curr Opin Crit Care. 2012; Dec; 18(6): 700–706. doi: 10.1097/MCC.0b013e32835914d5.
58. Rhodes A, Moreno R. Intensive care provision: A global problem, Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2012; 24(4):322-325.

Appendix A:

The details of the selected case studies

Our analysis covers the period from beginning of March to the end of April. Four out of the six regions we analyzed, namely Lombardy, Piedmont, Emilia-Romagna and Veneto, are those where the outbreak has been stronger. All of them are located in Northern Italy. The remaining two, Campania and Sicily, belong to the Southern part of Italy, and have been selected because the size of their population is similar to that of three of the four Northern regions.

Table A1. The selected case studies in Italy [54, 55]

Region/Country	Capital	Population	Available dataset
Lombardy	Milan	10,040,000	1 March to 30 April
Veneto	Venice	4,906,000	1 March to 30 April
Piedmont	Turin	4,356,000	6 March to 30 April
Emilia-Romagna	Bologna	4,459,000	6 March to 30 April
Campania	Naples	5,827,000	7 March to 30 April
Sicily	Palermo	5,000,000	8 March to 30 April
Italy	Rome	60,317,116	1 March to 30 April

Appendix B:

The set-up of the SPSS Model

To carry on our statistical analyses, SPSS model has been used to study the following variables:

- Number of daily tests (Swabs test);
- Number of patients isolated at home (Home isolation);
- Number of patients admitted to the hospital in mild condition (Mild hosp);
- Number of patients admitted to the intensive care section of hospital (Int. care hosp);
- Number of Daily Deaths;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The analysis method proceeded as discussed in the previous section.

- Use of the Independent-Samples T-Test: The Independent-Samples T-Test is used to test the existence of significant differences (with a confidence level of 95%), between the averages of two groups of data. We recall that our dataset was splitted in two subsets on the basis of the comparison of the daily number of tests with its average over the full period. The T-test was applied both to the independent variable (Swabs) and to the dependent variables (Home isolation, Mild hosp, Int. care hosp, daily deaths, and daily new cases) in order to determine whether the comparison between the two periods of the corresponding data presents a significant difference.
- Evaluation of the p-value: If the result of p-value coming from the comparison of the independent variable (Swabs) and each dependent variable (Home isolation, Mild hosp, Int. care hosp,) is less than 0.05, then the relationship between the two variables is considered to be significant and we proceed to the calculation of the Beta coefficient. If instead the p-value is larger than 0.05, the significance of the relationship is excluded.
- Evaluation of the Beta coefficient: A positive (negative) value of this coefficient means that for every 1-unit increase (decrease) in the predictor variable (swabs number in our case), the outcome variable increases (decreases) by an amount equal to the value of the beta coefficient.

Appendix C:

The correlations between swabs and other variables at regional level

The global analysis at country level makes interesting to check whether the global trends we found are shared also at local level in the six regions we are considering. We recall that together these six regions account for 57.3% of the country population.

We present below the results of the regional analysis of the correlations between the number of swabs and those of the outcome variables, in two different periods determined through the procedure described in Section 2. Tables C1 and C2 refer to Lombardy, Veneto and Piedmont for which data are available from March 1, and Tables C3 and C4 to Emilia-Romagna, Campania and Sicily, for which the first day for which data are available was different.

Table C1. T-test results in two time periods (Lombardy, Veneto, Piedmont)

Region	Variable	Period	Average	Rate of change	t-test		Result
					t value	P-Value	
Lombardy	Test No.	1 March-31 March	3525	2.48	-8.185	0.000	significant difference
		1 April-30 April	8743				
	Home isolation	1 March-31 March	5006	4.06	-12.635	0.000	
		1 April-30 April	20335				
	Mild hosp	1 March-31 March	6087	1.72	-5.622	0.000	
		1 April-30 April	10483				
	Int care hosp	1 March-31 March	791	1.30	-2.625	0.011	
		1 April-30 April	1025				
	Daily Deaths	1 March-31 March	231	0.94	0.351	0.727	No significant difference
		1 April-30 April	219				
	Daily new cases	1 March-31 March	1374	0.79	1.964	0.057	
		1 April-30 April	1084				

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Veneto	Test No.	1 March-30 March	3043	2.64	-9.106	0.000	significant difference
		31 March-30 April	8041				
	Home isolation	1 March-30 March	2041	3.97	-16.452	0.000	
		31 March-30 April	8102				
	Mild hosp	1 March-30 March	664	2.09	-6.520	0.000	
		31 March-30 April	1386				
	Int care hosp	1 March-30 March	164	1.37	-2.259	0.027	
		31 March-30 April	225				
	Daily Deaths	1 March-30 March	14	2.46	6.621	0.000	
		31 March-30 April	34				
	Daily new cases	1 March-30 March	285	1.05	-0.316	0.752	No significant difference
		31 March-30 April	298				
Piedmont	Test No.	6 March-6 April	1268	3.69	-10.519	0.000	significant difference
		7 April-30 April	4684				
	Home isolation	6 March-6 April	2146	4.84	-14.730	0.000	
		7 April-30 April	10378				
	Mild hosp	6 March-6 April	1894	1.68	-5.729	0.000	
		7 April-30 April	3180				
	Int care hosp	6 March-6 April	282	1.10	-0.961	0.381	No significant difference
		7 April-30 April	312				
	Daily Deaths	6 March-6 April	39	1.94	-6.111	0.000	significant difference
		7 April-30 April	76				
	Daily new cases	6 March-6 April	400	0.79	2.852	0.005	
		7 April-30 April	561				

To summarize the T-test results of Table C1, we can say that:

- **In the three regions, there has been a remarkable increase in the average number of daily tests. In Lombardy by a factor 2.48, in Veneto by a factor 2.64, and in Piedmont by a factor 3.69**

Against this increase:

- The average number of home isolated and Mild Hosp increased significantly in all of them.
- The average number of Int Care Hosp also increased everywhere, although in Piedmont no significantly.
- Daily New Cases exhibit a general decrease that is not significant
- Daily Deaths decreased (not significantly) in Lombardy and significantly increased in Piedmont and Veneto.

Table C2. Test No. (Independent variable) Vs. each outcome variable in two time periods (Lombardy, Veneto, Piedmont)

Region	Date	Dependent Variable	ANOVA P-Value	Beta	Existence of a correlation	Sign
Lombardy	1 March-31 March	Home isolation	0.000	0.683	✓	Positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.665	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.690	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.782	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.856	✓	
	1 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.004	0.515	✓	
		Mild hosp	0.004	-0.506	✓	Negative
		Int. care hosp	0.002	-0.552	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.005	-0.497	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.693	-0.075	×	-*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Veneto	1 March-30 March	Home isolation	0.000	0.772	✓	Positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.782	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.795	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.715	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.758	✓	
	31 March-30 April	Home isolation	0.227	0.223	×	-*
		Mild hosp	0.036	-0.379	✓	Negative
		Int. care hosp	0.032	-0.386	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.604	-0.097	×	-*
		Daily new cases	0.864	-0.032	×	-*
Piedmont	6 March-6 April	Home isolation	0.000	0.835	✓	Positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.882	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.863	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.825	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.857	✓	
	7 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.002	0.607	✓	Negative
		Mild hosp	0.005	-0.549	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.005	-0.558	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.745	-0.330	×	-*
		Daily new cases	0.250	-0.244	×	-*

*There is no significant relationship between the variables.

The correlation analysis of Table C2, can be summarized as follows:

- In the first period, in each of the three regions the global Italian result was confirmed, and one finds a significantly positive correlation between the number of swabs and that of each outcome variable.
- In the second period, whereas for Home isolation there is a positive correlation, significant only in Lombardy, all the other correlations turn to negative, generally in a significant way, the only exceptions being Daily new cases in all of the three regions and Daily deaths in Veneto and Piedmont.

Table C3. T-test results in two time periods (Emilia-Romagna, Campania, Sicily)

Region	Variable	Period	Average	Rate of change	t-test		Result
					t value	P-Value	
Emilia Romagna	Test No.	6 March-9 April	2208	2.18	-7.130	0.000	significant difference
		10 April-30 April	4816				
	Home isolation	6 March-9 April	4068	2.36	-10.234	0.000	
		10 April-30 April	9591				
	Mild hosp	6 March-9 April	2344	1.30	-2.917	0.005	
		10 April-30 April	3053				
	Int care hosp	6 March-9 April	241	1.19	-2.115	0.039	
		10 April-30 April	285				
	Daily Deaths	6 March-9 April	62	0.95	0.516	0.608	No significant difference
		10 April-30 April	59				
	Daily new cases	6 March-9 April	244	0.36	3.870	0.000	significant difference
		10 April-30 April	87				
Campania	Test No.	7 March-1 April	587	3.55	-10.566	0.000	
		2 April-30 April	2082				
	Home isolation	7 March-1 April	465	4.79	-20.819	0.000	
		2 April-30 April	2229				
	Mild hosp	7 March-1 April	224	2.53	-9.734	0.005	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

		2 April-30 April	568				
	Int care hosp	7 March-1 April	67	1.10	-0.587	0.561	No significant difference
		2 April-30 April	74				
	Daily Deaths	7 March-1 April	6	1.27	-1.070	0.290	
		2 April-30 April	7				
	Daily new cases	7 March-1 April	84	0.79	0.295	0.769	
		2 April-30 April	79				
Sicily	Test No.	8 March-4 April	670	3.43	-7.990	0.000	significant difference
		5 April-30 April	2299				
	Home isolation	8 March-4 April	428	3.59	-13.552	0.000	
		5 April-30 April	1534				
	Mild hosp	8 March-4 April	240	2.13	-7.101	0.000	
		5 April-30 April	511				
	Int care hosp	8 March-4 April	44	1.08	-0.600	0.552	No significant difference
		5 April-30 April	47				
	Daily Deaths	8 March-4 April	4	1.20	-0.826	0.413	
		5 April-30 April	5				
	Daily new cases	8 March-4 April	68	0.69	2.268	0.030	significant difference
		5 April-30 April	47				

To summarize the T-test results of Table C3, we can say that:

- **In the three regions there has been a remarkable increase in the average number of daily tests. In Emilia-Romagna by a factor 2.18, and of the order of a factor 3.5 in Campania and Sicily, although one may observe that in the last two regions the average in the first period was quite low.**

Against this increase:

- The average number of home isolated and Mild Hosp increased significantly in all of them.
- The average number of Int Care Hosp also increased everywhere, but the increase was significant only in Emilia-Romagna.
- For what concerns Daily New Cases no significant variation was observed in Campania, differently from Emilia-Romagna and Sicily where there was a significant decrease.
- The variations in Daily Deaths were not significant

Table C4. Test No. (Independent variable) Vs outcome variables in two time periods (Emilia Romagna, Campania, Sicily)

Region	Period	Dependent Variable	ANOVA P-Value	Beta	Existence of a correlation	Significance
Emilia Romagna	6 March-9 April	Home isolation	0.000	0.576	✓	positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.659	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.658	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.646	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.717	✓	
	10 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.141	-0.332	×	-*
		Mild hosp	0.075	-0.397	×	-*
		Int. care hosp	0.097	-0.372	×	-*
		Daily Deaths	0.282	-0.246	×	-*
		Daily new cases	0.975	-0.007	×	-*
Campania	7 March-1 April	Home isolation	0.000	0.876	✓	positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.933	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.792	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.751	✓	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

		Daily new cases	0.000	0.859	✓	
	2 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.172	0.261	×	-*
		Mild hosp	0.014	-0.453	✓	negative
		Int. care hosp	0.002	-0.543	✓	
		Daily Deaths	0.002	-0.556	✓	
		Daily new cases	0.014	-0.453	✓	
Sicily	8 March-4 April	Home isolation	0.000	0.780	✓	positive
		Mild hosp	0.000	0.810	✓	
		Int. care hosp	0.000	0.842	×	-*
		Daily Deaths	0.000	0.883	✓	positive
		Daily new cases	0.000	0.734	✓	
	5 April-30 April	Home isolation	0.012	0.485	✓	
		Mild hosp	0.071	-0.360	×	-*
		Int. care hosp	0.036	-0.413	✓	negative
		Daily Deaths	0.714	-0.076	×	-*
		Daily new cases	0.744	0.067	×	-*

*There is no significant relationship between the variables.

The correlation analysis of Table C4. can be summarized as follows:

- In the first period, in each region the global Italian result was confirmed with a significantly positive correlation between the number of swabs and that of each outcome variable. Sicily to some extent is the only partial exception, since the relationship for Int.care hosp, although positive, did not reach the level of being significant.
- In the second period, in the case of Emilia-Romagna there was a general turn from positive to negative relationship, but without arriving at the level of being significant. In Campania, Home isolation was positively, but not significantly correlated, whereas all the other variables exhibited a significantly negative correlation. In Sicily we found two cases of significant correlation (Home isolation, positive, and Int. care hosp, negative) and a no significant indication of negative correlation for the remaining three variables.

Appendix D:

The critical care units in different countries

The data for other countries can be found in the studies of Prine and Wunsch (2012), and Rhodes and Moreno (2012) [57, 58]

Table D1. Critical care units in different countries (per 100,000 inhabitants) [56]

Countries	Critical care units
United States	34.7
Germany	29.2
Italy	12.5
France	11.6
South Korea	10.6
Spain	9.7
Japan	7.3
United Kingdom	6.6
China	3.6
India	2.3

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint
(which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. The analysis flowchart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Location of the selected case studies [33-35]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3. Daily variations of the parameters in whole Italy



Figure 4. Daily tests and total positive cases in whole Italy

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.02.20120394; this version posted July 24, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 5. Prediction values by ANN and real data



Figure 6. The impact of each variable on the result (Intensive case in the hospital)