A simplified model for the analysis of COVID-19 evolution during the lockdown period in Italy

Author:
Roberto Simeone
ro.simeone@gmail.com

Cagliari, June 1th 2020

Doc status: Preprint

Keywords:
COVID-19, Italy, compartmental model, basic reproduction number

Abstract

A simplified model applied to COVID-19 cases detected and officially published by the italian government [1], seems to fit quite well the time evolution of the disease in Italy during the period feb-24th - may-19th 2020.

The hypothesis behind the model is based on the fact that in the lockdown period the infection cannot be transmitted due to social isolation and, more generally, due to the strong protection measures in place during the observation period. In this case a compartment model is used and the interactions between the different compartments are simplified. The sample of cases detected is intended as a set of individuals susceptible to infection which, after being exposed and undergoing the infection, were isolated ('treated') in such a way they can no longer spread the infection.

The values obtained are to be considered indicative as they depend not only on the quality of the data collected, but also on the qualitative approximation of the curves modeled to the data and on the number of available data.

The same model has been applied both to the data relating to Italy and to some regions of Italy (Lombardia, Piemonte, Lazio, Campania, Calabria, Sicilia, Sardegna), generally finding a good response and indicatively interesting values (see chap. 5).

For each scenario, among the various parameters, was estimated $\mathcal{R}_0$ as the number of new infections generated, on average, by a single case during its infectious period in a completely susceptible population. A value of $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ implies that the the system will move away from a state of equilibrium, with consequent extension of the diffusion (see [2], [4]).

The only tuning parameter in this modelization is the 'incubation period' τ that, together with the calculated parameter κ, are in strong relationship with the compartments' transfer rates α.

In particular $\mathcal{R}_0$ and the numerical value κ (dimensionless) of the growth rate of the logistic curve used to approximate the early stage data, in this model are linked by the relation: $\mathcal{R}_0 = 1/\kappa^2$

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 SEIR compartmental models **2**

3 A simplification (SEIT) to model the lockdown **3**

4 Model results for Italy **5**

5 The simplified model applied to some regions of Italy **9**

5.1 Lombardia 10
5.2 Piemonte 11
5.3 Lazio 12
5.4 Campania 13
5.5 Calabria 14
5.6 Sicilia 15
5.7 Sardegna 16

1 Introduction

After the so-called 'phase 1', characterized by social distancing and a general halt of all 'non-essential' activities, the curve of the new COVID-19 cases detected daily dropped sharply. This period, also called 'lockdown' started with the decree of the Italian government of 9 March and lasted until 4 May 2020.

The data of the daily detections of infected cases are available to everyone, starting from February 24th, 2020, on the website [1]. This allowed a comparison of the growth curve of the cases detected with a compartmental model of the Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered type (see [2], [4], [3],) which provides the temporal evolution of the number of individuals belonging to the different compartments. The model has been suitably simplified to take into account the lockdown situation.

The period under consideration (from 24/2/2020 to 19/5/2020) is sufficiently limited to allow us to overlook the effects of births and deaths on the population, therefore in this context we consider a constant population of N individuals, with $N = S + E + I + R$.

In the initial phase, the spread of the infection was not controlled, thus allowing an exponential increase in the number of Exposed and Infected. Between March 4th and 9th drastic measures were taken which, starting from Lombardy, were extended to all italian regions. The measures in the lockdown period tend to limit the spread of the infection as much as possible by isolating individuals in homes as far as possible and, where not possible (essential services), by imposing individual protections and disinfection of common areas.

The lockdown period, simplifying, can also be thought of as a type of treatment for infective individuals. We can therefore imagine that infective individuals, detected daily, in general are

managed ('treated') in such a way that they cannot infect other susceptible individuals. This 'treatment' includes both hospitalization and isolation.

The lockdown period, characterized by strong social isolation, also allows us to neglect any potential transfers between the various departments beyond the required path $S \to E \to I \to T$.

To avoid confusing the SEIR model with the lockdown approximation, in this context, we will call T (Treated) the compartment of infected people who are no longer able to transmit the pathogen.

In the simplified SEIT model, the relationship between the parameters are (ref. eq. 17, 19, 20)

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{EI} & = 1/\tau \\ \alpha_{SE} & = \kappa/\tau \\ \alpha_{IT} & = 1/(\kappa\tau) \\ \alpha_{EI}^2 & = \alpha_{SE}\alpha_{IT} \\ \mathrm{R}_0 & = 1/\kappa^2 \end{cases}$$

2 SEIR compartmental models

The mathematical models for the study of the spread of infections are based on a subdivision of the population into 'compartments' and on systems of differential equations to represent their temporal evolution. The most common models are the SEIR models (Susceptible–Exposed-Infective-Recovered) described in [2], [4], [3].

In the SIR and SEIR models the variables are represented by the number of individuals in the different compartments over time (in this case on a daily basis)

S (*Susceptible*)	Number of uninfected individuals susceptible to pathogen infection.
E (*Exposed*)	Number of individuals who have been exposed to the pathogen but who, throughout the incubation period, are not spreading the infection.
I (*Infected*)	Number of individuals infected and capable of transmitting the pathogen to others.
R (*Recovered*)	Number of individuals who have passed the infection and are no longer able to transmit the pathogen to others.

The evolution over time of the variables S, E, I, R can be modeled (see eq.1) considering the interactions between the different compartments and taking into due consideration the relationships that contribute to the increase the number of infected than those that only describe the displacements between the various compartments without increasing the I variable.

$$[\dot{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{E} \\ \dot{I} \\ \dot{R} \\ \dot{S} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1(E,I,S,R) \\ X_2(E,I,S,R) \\ X_3(E,I,S,R) \\ X_4(E,I,S,R) \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

In the system of differential equations (1) the components X_i are functions of the variables (E, I, R, S) and $\dot{X}$ their derivative over time.

The solution of (1) represents the evolution over time of the number of individuals in the different sectors.

Given a situation of equilibrium (DFE: Disease Free Equilibrium) represented by a set of values X_0, the stability of the system can be studied by analyzing the contribution of infectious components close to the DFE state.

By construction, the S and R compartments do not generate infections, therefore the variables to be considered in the stability study near DFE are $\dot{E}$ and $\dot{I}$ (2).

$$[\dot{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{E} \\ \dot{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1(E, I, S, R) \\ X_2(E, I, S, R) \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

In order to study the stability near a DFE, it'is important to distinguish the 'new infections' from the movement between the departments, the differential equation (2) associated with each variable is divided into two components:

$$[\dot{X}] = [\mathcal{F}(X)] - [\mathcal{V}(X)] \tag{3}$$

By construction $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{V}(X)$ represent:

- $\mathcal{F}_i$: new infections.
- $\mathcal{V}_i$: transfers between compartments only.

From this representation, given the initial conditions S_0, E_0, I_0, R_0, and the interaction parameters between the various compartments that characterize $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, solving the (1) the temporal evolution of the number of individuals in the different compartments is obtained.

To study the stability of the system near equilibrium conditions (ref. [2]) we consider a DFE as solution of the differential equations (1) and the perturbative impact of the two infectious variables using the two Jacobian matrices of size $2 * 2$ relating to I and E (see eq. 2) .

$$F = \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_i(x)}{\partial x_j}\right|_{x_0} \quad V = \left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}_i(x)}{\partial x_j}\right|_{x_0} \tag{4}$$

The product FV^{-1} is known as 'next generation matrix' [2]. Each element FV_{ij}^{-1} represents the number of 'secondary' infections of the i compartment due to a single infected of the j compartment assuming that the whole population is susceptible. Each element of the matrix is a 'reproduction number' which indicates how much an infectious person in one compartment affects the number of infected in another compartment.

The dominant eigenvalue (spectral radius) of the 'next generation matrix' FV^{-1} is known as the 'basic reproduction number' $\mathcal{R}_0$ and represents the number of new cases generated, on average, from a single case during its infectious period. $\mathcal{R}_0$ also represents the stability threshold of the system near an equilibrium state.

- $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$: the equilibrium (DFE) is locally stable and diffusion does not extend;
- $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$: the equilibrium is unstable allowing the spread of the infection.

3 A simplification (SEIT) to model the lockdown

As already mentioned, we will consider lockdown as a form of treatment of the infection since all members of the I sector, also as a result of the lockdown measures and, more generally, of social isolation, distancing and individual protection, reduce their ability to spread the pathogen. In this case, to avoid confusion we will call T (Treated) the R (Recovered) variable of the SEIR model.

We also will simplify the interactions between compartments by considering only the forward ones $S \to E \to I \to T$ and we will consider the total number of individuals $N = S + E + I + T$ constant. In this context, N represents a sample of individuals, with homogeneous distribution, susceptible to infection in an environment with constant transfer coefficients between compartments.

The transfers between compartments in this case are:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{SE}\frac{I}{N} &= S \to E \\ \alpha_{EI} &= E \to I \\ \alpha_{IT} &= S \to T \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We note that:

- The transfer $S \to E$ is proportional to the product of the ratio of infected individuals (I/N) by the daily contact coefficient α_{SE} of the individuals in S.
- The coefficient α_{EI} represents the daily passage of individuals from E to I. The value $1/\alpha_{EI}$ is basically related to the incubation time of the infection.
- the value α_{IT} basically represents the speed of 'treatment' of the individuals in the compartment I, so that they can no longer transmit the pathogen, with consequent transfer to compartment T.

Recalling that, in this context, we consider N constant over time, we have that $\dot{R} = N - \dot{E} - \dot{I} - \dot{T}$, and the system of differential equations results:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{E} &= \alpha_{SE}\frac{I}{N}S - \alpha_{EI}E \\ \dot{I} &= \alpha_{EI}E - \alpha_{IT}I \\ \dot{T} &= \alpha_{IT}I \\ \dot{S} &= N - \alpha_{SE}\frac{I}{N}S \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The variables are ordered so that the first two are the 'infectious variables' (E, I).

To study the stability we will consider $\dot{E}$ and $\dot{I}$ and wh have:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{E} &= \alpha_{SE}\frac{I}{N}S - \alpha_{EI}E \\ \dot{I} &= \alpha_{EI}E - \alpha_{IT}I \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

in eq.(7) $\alpha_{SE}(I/N)S$ it is the only element that contributes to the increase of I.

Considering the separation of variables in two groups 'infective' and 'transfer' (see eq. 3), the eq. (7) in vector terms can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{E} \\ \dot{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{SE}\frac{I}{N}S \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{EI}E \\ -\alpha_{EI}E + \alpha_{IT}I \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

A trivial solution of equilibrium DFE is had for $S_0 = N$ which corresponds to the initial vector:

$$X_0 = \begin{bmatrix} E_0 \\ I_0 \\ S_0 \\ T_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

The Jacobian matrices (see eq. 4) near the equilibrium condition X_0 ($S = N$) for the infectious variables E and I are therefore:

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha_{SE} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{EI} & 0 \\ -\alpha_{EI} & \alpha_{IT} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$V^{-1} = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{EI}\alpha_{IT})} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{IT} & 0 \\ \alpha_{EI} & \alpha_{EI} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_{EI}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_{IT}} & \frac{1}{\alpha_{IT}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}$$

$$FV^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha_{SE}}{\alpha_{IT}} & \frac{\alpha_{SE}}{\alpha_{IT}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

The eigenvalues of the next generation matrix FV^{-1} are:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 0 \\ \lambda_2 &= \frac{\alpha_{SE}}{\alpha_{IT}} \quad = \mathcal{R}_0 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

The greater eigenvalue (spectral radius) λ_2 represents the 'basic reproduction number' $\mathcal{R}_0$.

In this simplified representation it is easy to see that, once the equilibrium condition (DFE) is disrupted, $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ implies that the daily contacts rate α_{SE} in S compartment, that will produces new infected, is greater than the number of infective individuals treated per day α_{IT}. In this case, on average, an infected individual produce more than one new infected during his infectious period. As consequence the system moves away from equilibrium by extending the spread of the pathogen.

On the other hand, if $\alpha_{SE} < \alpha_{IT}$ ($\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$) then the number of new infected per day is less than the neutralization rate and the infection will not spread further.

4 Model results for Italy

The DFE equilibrium represented by the trivial solution (9) was disrupted (15) with an individual 'Exposed' within a sample of N individuals. All $S_0 = N - 1$ individuals are susceptibles to infection.

N is equal to the total number of cases detected in Italy from 24 Feb to May 19th [1] : $N = 226699$ individuals.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{E}_0 \\ \mathrm{I}_0 \\ \mathrm{S}_0 \\ \mathrm{T}_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ N-1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{15}$$

In this context we consider the data [1] as detected values (T_{data}) for the compartment T . In order to smooth the effect of the variability of the number of cases between the various regions, an initial disruption based on the percentage of cases detected should be carried out. Because, as already mentioned, the results are indicative, for simplicity the perturbation described by (15) is used for all simulations.

The first problem to be addressed, for the definition of the model, is the estimation of the parameters α_{SE}, α_{EI}, α_{IT} for the transfer rate of individuals between the compartments . To determine them, a logistic function is used:

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{1 + Ae^{-kt}} \tag{16}$$

where A can be easily found from the first value at $t = 0$:

$$A = \frac{1}{y(0)} - 1$$

.

To use the (16) the following assumptions have been made:

- in the growth phase, the normalized data can be approximated to a logistic curve (16). To estimate k we will refer to the day in which the data are growing with a variation within 20% of maximum growth speed (see fig. 1a);
- the parameter α_{EI} is the reciprocal of the incubation time τ which will be the only tuning parameter of the model.
- the αSE parameter is proportional to the ratio between α_{EI} and the k parameter.
- the parameter α_{IT} is proportional to the product between α_{EI} and the parameter k.

With the above assumptions these relationships have been identified:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_{EI} &= \dfrac{1}{\tau} \\ \alpha_{SE} &= \dfrac{\alpha_{EI}}{\eta k} \\ \alpha_{IT} &= \eta k \alpha_{EI} \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where η is a unitary parameter introduced to make the product ηk dimensionless.
For simplicity, we will call $\kappa = \eta k$ the dimensionless parameter that will be used later:

$$\kappa = \frac{\alpha_{EI}}{\alpha_{SE}} = \frac{\alpha_{IT}}{\alpha_{EI}} \tag{18}$$

The relationship between the three parameters will therefore be:

$$\alpha_{EI}^2 = \alpha_{SE}\alpha_{IT} \tag{19}$$

Then, in this context, for $\mathcal{R}_0$ we can write (ref. 14 and 18):

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{\alpha_{SE}}{\alpha_{IT}} = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \tag{20}$$

From the available data it was easy to derive A and k (ref. Eq. 16). The only 'tuning' parameter is τ which has been modified on the basis of a qualitative approximation of the model to the data.

Based on these parameters and relationships (17), the simplified SEIT model described above has been set up.

The time evolution of the Treated compartment (T) of the model has been then compared with the values T_{data} available for COVID-19 Italia (ref. [1]) finding a good representation with a value of the tuning parameter $\tau = 6days$ (see tab. 1 and fig. 1).

Table 1: *Parameters used and results for comparison with data relating to Italy. The incubation period in the model is $\tau = 1/\alpha_{EI} = 6days$*

Parameter	Value	Unit
τ	6.0	$days$
κ	0.27526	
α_{SE}	0.60555	day^{-1}
α_{EI}	0.16667	day^{-1}
α_{IT}	0.04587	day^{-1}
Sample N	226699	$Individuals$
First detection t_0	2020-jan-14	
$Max(E)$	63785	$individuals/day$
$Max(E)$ t_{Emax}	2020-mar-16	
$Max(I)$	112171	$individuals/day$
$Max(I)$ t_{Imax}	2020-mar-25	
$\mathcal{R}_0 = \alpha_{SE}/\alpha_{IT} = 1/\kappa^2$	13.2	



(a) *Logistic function (in red) and data.* **(b)** *Data and model*

Figure 1: *(a) Logistic function (red) used to approximate the data in the initial phase and daily variations of T_{data} (blue). (b) Response of the model to the perturbation eq. (15) near the DFE. The ordinate scales indicate in (a) the ratio with respect to the maximum values and in (b) the ratio on the total cases N of the number of individuals in the compartments E, I, S, T. In gray (T_{data}) are plotted the values of the COVID-19 cases detected in Italy: [1]).*

The model and the data were 'synchronized' on values around 5% of their normalized values obtaining an indicative estimate of the day t_0 in which the diffusion began and of the two peak values for E and for I (see fig. 2).



(a) *Data and model synchronized.*

(b) *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 2: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*

The graphs in fig. 3 show the trend of the variation over time of the cases detected and of the compartment T (fig. 3a) and the difference between the model and the cases detected (fig. 3b). We can note a clear difference between data and model following the end of the lockdown phase.



(a) *Daily variations*

(b) *Differences between data and model*

Figure 3: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T. There is a significant increase in data compared to the model after the end of the lockdown period

5 The simplified model applied to some regions of Italy

Table 2 summarize the application of the simplified model to some italian regions, the graphic details are in the dedicated sections.

Table 2: *Parameters and results of the model applied to some regions of Italy.*
The results are qualitative and must not be understood as valid in absolute terms. The N values are the cumulative COVID-19 cases detected from Feb 24th to May 19th in [1]

Region	τ	κ	α_{SE}	α_{EI}	α_{IT}	N	t_0	$Max(E)$	t_E	$Max(I)$	t_I	$\mathcal{R}_0$
Italia	6.0	0.2752	0.6056	0.1667	0.0459	226699	2020-01-14	63785	2020-03-16	112171	2020-03-25	13.2
Lombardia	6.0	0.2596	0.6419	0.1667	0.0433	85481	2020-01-18	24967	2020-03-13	43852	2020-03-22	14.8
Piemonte	6.5	0.3002	0.5125	0.1538	0.0462	29727	2020-01-21	7696	2020-03-22	13515	2020-04-01	11.1
Lazio	5.5	0.2447	0.7431	0.1818	0.0445	7505	2020-02-08	2255	2020-03-18	3950	2020-03-26	16.7
Campania	5.0	0.2703	0.7400	0.2000	0.0541	4707	2020-02-10	1373	2020-03-17	2412	2020-03-25	13.7
Calabria	4.0	0.2675	0.9347	0.2500	0.0669	1153	2020-02-21	345	2020-03-16	608	2020-03-23	14.0
Sicilia	4.5	0.2163	1.0273	0.2222	0.0481	3403	2020-02-18	1121	2020-03-16	1950	2020-03-23	21.4
Sardegna	4.3	0.3466	0.6711	0.2326	0.0806	1354	2020-02-16	336	2020-03-20	584	2020-03-26	8.3

5.1 Lombardia



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 4: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 5: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.2 Piemonte



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 6: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 7: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.3 Lazio



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 8: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 9: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.4 Campania



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 10: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 11: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.5 Calabria



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 12: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 13: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.6 Sicilia



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 14: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 15: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

5.7 Sardegna



(a) *Data and model synchronized.* **(b)** *E, I evolution and model parameters*

Figure 16: *(a) The curve T of the model and T_{data} data has been synchronized to 5% of their maximum value. The ordinate scale is the ratio on the total number of cases N. (b) Detail of time evolution of E and I curves. The ordinate scale is the the number of individuals in the compartments E, I.*



(a) *Daily variations* **(b)** *Differences between data and model*

Figure 17: *(a) Daily variation of T_{data} and of the T model (red).*
(b) Differences between T_{data} and the model T.

References

[1] Dipartimento della Protezione Civile
COVID-19 Italia - Monitoraggio della situazione
url:"http://opendatadpc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/b0c68bce2cce478eaac82fe38d4138b1"
url:"https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19/blob/master/dati-regioni/dpc-covid19-ita-regioni.csv"

[2] P.van den Driesschea1, James Watmough (2002)
Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission.
Mathematical Biosciences 180 (2002) 29–48
url:"https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0025556402001086"

[3] Almbrok Hussin Alsonosi Omar, Yahya Abu Hasan (2013)
Numerical simulations of an SIR epidemic model with random initial states.
Science Asia 39S (2013) 42-47,
doi: 10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2013.39S.042.
url:"http://www.scienceasia.org/2013.39S.n1/scias39S_42.pdf"

[4] JChayu Yang and Jin Wang (2020)
A mathematical model for the novel coronavirus epidemic in Wuhan, China.
AIMS Press - Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering,
url:"https://www.aimspress.com/article/10.3934/mbe.2020148"