medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Risk factors of coronary microvascular obstruction

Yong Li [1] and Shuzheng Lyu [2]

[1]Emergency and Critical Care Center, Beijing Anzhen Hospital,Capital Medical University, Beijing 100029, China

[2]Department of Cardiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital,Capital Medical University, Beijing 100029, China.

Correspondence should be addressed to Yong Li; liyongdoctor@sina.com and Shuzheng Lyu; shuzheng023@163.com.

Abstract

Background. Coronary microvascular obstruction /no-reflow(CMVO/NR) is a predictor of long-term mortality in survivors of ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI). **Objective.** To identify risk factors of CMVO/NR. **Methods.**Totally 2384 STEMI patients treated with PPCI were divided into two groups according to thrombolysis in myocardial infarction(TIMI) flow grade:CMVO/NR group(246cases,TIMI 0-2 grade) and control group(2138 cases,TIMI 3 grade). We used univariable and multivariable logistic regression to identify risk factors of CMVO/NR. **Results.** A frequency of CMVO/NR was 10.3%(246/2384). Logistic regression analysis showed that the differences between the two groups in age(unadjusted odds ratios [OR] 1.032; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.045; adjusted OR 1.032; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.046 ; P <0.001), periprocedural bradycardia (unadjusted OR 2.357 ; 95% CI, 1.752 to 3.171; adjusted OR1.818; 95% CI, 1.338 to 2.471 ; P <0.001),using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation (unadjusted OR 2.489 ; 95% CI, 1.815 to 3.414; adjusted OR1.835; 95% CI, 1.291 to 2.606 ; P =0.001),neutrophil percentage (unadjusted OR 1.028 ; 95% CI, 1.014 to 1.042; adjusted OR1.022; 95% CI, 1.008 to 1.036 ; P =0.002) , and completely block of culprit vessel (unadjusted OR 2.626; 95% CI, 1.85 to 3.728; adjusted-OR 1.656;95% CI, 1.119 to 2.45; P =0.012) were statistically significant (P <0. 05). The area under the receiver operating

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

characteristic curve was 0.6896 .**Conclusions.** Age , periprocedural bradycardia, using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation, neutrophil percentage ,and completely block of culprit vessel may be independent risk factors for predicting CMVO/NR.

We registered this study with WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) (registration number: ChiCTR1900023213; registered date: 16 May 2019).http://www.chictr.org.cn/edit.aspx?pid=39057&htm=4.

Key Words: Coronary disease ST elevation myocardial infarction No-reflow phenomenon Percutaneous coronary intervention

Background

Coronary microvascular obstruction /no-reflow(CMVO/NR) is a predictor of long-term mortality in survivors of ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI). [1-4]CMVO/NR is defined as inadequate myocardial perfusion after successful mechanical opening of the infarct-related artery. [1,4,5] CMVO/NR is diagnosed immediately after PPCI when postprocedural angiographic thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) flow is <3. [4,5] There have been few large clinical trials of therapies ,specifically aimed at reducing CMVO/NR. [1,6]Prevention of CMVO/NR is a crucial step. We want to identify risk factors of CMVO/NR.

Methods

Totally 2384 STEMI patients who were consecutively treated with PPCI in Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University between 2007 and 2018.

Prior to emergency angiography, all patients received 300 mg of aspirin, 300 to 600 mg of clopidogrel or 180 mg of ticagrelor and unfractionated heparin.

Inclusion criteria: STEMI patients presenting within 12 hours from the symptom onset who were treated with PPCI . We established the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and STEMI

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

base on fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction . [7]

Exclusion criteria. 1. patients received thrombolysis; 2. patients received bivalirudin.

CMVO/NR was defined as TIMI < 3. [4,5]

We selected 15 predictor variables for inclusion in our prediction rule. They were shown in Table 1.

Periprocedural bradycardia was defined as preoperative heart rate ≥ 50 times / min,intraoperative heart rate <50 times / min persistent or transient. [8]Intraoperative hypotension was defined as preoperative systolic blood pressure was> 90mmHg, intraoperative systolic blood pressure less than or equal to 90 mmHg persistent or transient. [9]

Statistical analysis.

We followed the methods of Li et al. 2019. [10]

Results

During PPCI procedure, 2138 patients had a TIMI flow grade 3 (group with normal epicardial flow) and 246patients had a TIMI flow grade 0~2 (group with CMVO/NR). Baseline characteristics of the patients were shown in Table 1. We used univariable and multivariable logistic regression to identify predictors of CMVO/NR. We identified 10 variables (age, sex ,completely block of culprit vessel，periprocedural bradycardia，intraoperative hypotension, using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation, neutrophil percentage , hemoglobin ,the time between myocardial infarction and PPCI, and the culprit vessel was left circumflex) as predictors of CMVO/NR in univariable analysis. Five variables (age, periprocedural bradycardia,using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation, neutrophil percentage ,and completely block of culprit vessel) remained as independent predictors of CMVO/NR in multivariable analysis. The results were shown in Table 2 and Table3.

The receiver operating characteristic curve was drawn . The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was 0.6896±0.017, 95% CI=0.656~0.723.

Discussion

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

CMVO/NR is a multifactorial phenomenon and five mechanisms have been recognized : (i) pre-existing microvascular dysfunction, (ii) distal microthrombo-embolization, (iii) ischemic injury, (iv) reperfusion injury and (v) individual susceptibility. [5] In our study, age , periprocedural bradycardia, using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation, neutrophil percentage , and completely block of culprit vessel are associated with an increased risk of CMVO/NR.

Advanced age has been reported to be an independent risk factor of CMVO/NR. [5] Previous studies indicate that abnormal non-endothelium-dependent microvascular dilatation appears to be involved in functional and structural alterations that lead to impaired coronary flow reserve with aging. [5]

Periprocedural bradycardia may be a sign of CMVO/NR. [10] In our study, patients with periprocedural bradycardia were at 1.82 higher risk of CMVO/NR than patients without periprocedural bradycardia. Myocardial reperfusion can evoke activation of Bezold-Jarisch reflex. [11]The Bezold-Jarisch reflex means bradycardia, vasodilation, and hypotension. [12]Acetylcholine, which is endothelium-dependent vasodilator, induces coronary dilation in young healthy subjects but cause vasoconstriction in patients with atherosclerosis. [10]Excessive vagus nerve excitation is an important factor that may cause CMVO/NR. We should inhibit it to prevent and treat CMVO/NR.

Completely block of culprit vessel is a independent risk factor of CMVO/NR. [13]In our study, patients with completely block of culprit vessel were at 1.66 higher risk of CMVO/NR than patients without completely block of culprit vessel. Good patency of the infarct-related artery prior to PPCI suggests lower thrombus burden and so on. [14]

Neutrophil percentage is an independent risk factor for CMVO/NR. Neutrophil plugging plays a role in the pathogenesis of CMVO/NR. A massive infiltration of microcirculation by neutrophils

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

occurs at the time of reperfusion. [15]Activated neutrophils release reactive oxygen species and proinflammatory molecules , which can contribute to CMVO/NR. [15]

Useing thrombus aspiration device during PCI is closely related to CMVO/NR. Routine thrombus aspiration is not recommended, but in cases of large residual thrombus burden after opening the vessel with a guide wire or a balloon, thrombus aspiration may be considered. [4]Thrombus aspiration device tend to be used when the thrombus load is high, while CMVO/NR tends to occur.

Study Limitations

This is a single center experience. Some patients were enrolled >10 years ago thus their treatment may not conform to current standards and techniques. Only TIMI flow grade was used to identify CMVO/NR, and no other diagnostic methods were used because of limited data. We want to get risk factors of CMVO/NR before it happen, some variables associated with CMVO/NR is not including, so the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was not strong enough.

Conclusions

Age, periprocedural bradycardia, using thrombus aspiration devices during operation, neutrophil percentage , and completely block of culprit vessel may be independent risk factors for predicting CMVO/NR.

List of abbreviations

AMI: Acute myocardial infarction

CMVO Coronary microvascular obstruction

NR No-reflow

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

PCI: Percutaneous coronary intervention

PPCI: Primary percutaneous coronary intervention

STEMI: ST elevation myocardial infarction

TIMI: Trombolysis in myocardial infarction risk score

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethic committee approved the study. Approved No. of ethic committee: 2019013X.

Name of the ethic committee：Ethics committee of Beijing Anzhen Hospital Capital Medical University.It was a retrospective analysis and informed consent was waived by Ethics Committee of Beijing Anzhen Hospital Capital Medical University.

Statement of human and animal rights

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was not conducted with animals.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the supplementary information file.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

The data are demographic, clinical, and angiographic characteristics of patients with acute STEMI during PPCI. AH= History of angina; AGE= age; CBCV=completely block of culprit vessel; CNR=CMVO/NR; DH= history of diabetes; H=hemoglobin ; HH = history of hypertension; IH= introperative hypotension ; LAD= the culprit vessel was left anterior descending coronary artery; LCX= the culprit vessel was left circumflex coronary artery ;MIH=history of myocardial infarction; NP=neutrophil percentage; PB=periprocedural bradycardia; PCIH=history of percutaneous coronary intervention; RCA= the culprit vessel was right coronary artery ;S = sex; TA=using thrombus aspirationdevices during operation; TBMIPPCI =the time between myocardial infarction and PPCI.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

Yong Li contributed to generating, analysing, and interpreting the study data and drafted the manuscript. Shuzheng Lyu contributed to planning and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content. Yong Li and Shuzheng Lyu are responsible for the overall content as guarantor.

All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

None.

Table 1. Demographic ,clinical ,and angiographic characteristics of patients with CMVO/NR and normal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

coronary flow during PPCI

Characteristic [lower limit, upper limit]	Total (n =2384)	CMVO/NR (n =246)	Normal Flow (n=2138)	P>| Z |	Odds Ratio	95% CI
Age(year,x±s) [23,80]	57±11	60±11	56±11	<0.001	1.032	1.02~1.045
Man n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes	1985(30.1)	190(77.2)	1795(84)	0.008	.648	.471~.892
History of hypertension n(%)0=No, 1=Yes	1248(30.1)	132(53.7)	1116(52.2)	0.664	1.06	.814 ~1.382
History of diabetes n(%)0=No, 1=Yes	617(30.1)	71(28.9)	546(25.5)	0.26	1.183	.883 ~1.585
History of angina n(%)0=No, 1=Yes	1093(30.1)	105(42.7)	988(46.2)	0.293	.867	.664 ~1.132
History of myocardial infarction n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes	190(30.1)	21(8.5)	169(7.9)	0.729	1.087	.677 ~1.747
History of PCI n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes	230(30.1)	27(11)	203(9.5)	0.457	1.175	.768 ~1. 798
Culprit vessel site n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes						
Left anterior descending	1147(30.1)	114(46.3)	1033(48.3)	0.557	. 924	.709 ~1.204
Left circumflex	278(30.1)	19(7.7)	259(12.1)	0.044	.607	.374 ~.987
Right coronary artery	961(30.1)	113(45.9)	848(39.7)	0.058	1.292	.991 ~1.685

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Completely block of culprit vessel n(%)0=No, 1=Yes	1622(30.1)	206(83.7)	1416(66.2)	<0.001	2.626	1.85~3.728
Using thrombus aspiration devices during operation n(%)0=No, 1=Yes	1463(78.5)	193(78.5)	1270(59.4)	<0.001	2.489	1.815~3.414
Periprocedural bradycardia n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes	404(30.1)	74(30.1)	330(15.4)	<0.001	2.357	1.752~3.171
Intraoperative hypotension n(%) 0=No, 1=Yes	225(30.1)	40(16.3)	185(8.7)	<0.001	2.05	1.415~2.97
The time between myocardial infarction and PPCI (min,x±s) [60,720]	334±155	354±153	332±156	0.039	1.001	1. ~1.002
Neutrophil percentage (%,x±s) [27.8,95.4]	77±12	80±10	77±12	<0.001	1.028	1.014~1.042
Hemoglobin(g/l,x±s) [69,208]	147±16	145±16	148±16	0.003	.988	.98~ .996

Table 2. Predictor of CMVO/NR obtained from multivariable logistic regression models（odds ratio）

CMVO/NR	Odds ratio	Std.Err	Z	P>| Z |	95% CI
Age	1.032	.007	4.96	<0.001	1.02~1.045
Periprocedural bradycardia	1.818	.285	3.82	<0.001	1.338~2.471
Using thrombus aspiration devices during operation	1.835	.329	3.39	0.001	1.291~2.606
Completely block of culprit vessel	1.656	.331	2.52	0.012	1.119~2.45

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Neutrophil percentage	1.022	0.007	3.14	0.002	1.008~1.036
Cons	.001	.001	-9.64	<0.001	.0003~.005

Table 3. Predictor of CMVO/NR obtained from multivariable logistic regression models（Coef）

CMVO/NR	Coef	Std.Err	Z	P>| Z |	95% CI
Age	.032	.006	4.96	<0.001	.019~.045
Periprocedural bradycardia	.598	.156	3.82	<0.001	.291~.905
Using thrombus aspiration devices during operation	.607	.179	3.39	0.001	.256~.958
Completely block of culprit vessel	.504	.2	2.52	0.012	.112 ~.896
Neutrophil percentage	.022	.007	3.14	0.002	.008 ~.035
Cons	-6.677	.692	-9.64	<0.001	-8.034~-5.32

References

[1] Kloner RA. The importance of no-reflow/microvascular obstruction in the STEMI patient. Eur Heart J. 2017. 38(47): 3511-3513.

[2] Ibáñez B, Heusch G, Ovize M, Van de Werf F. Evolving therapies for myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1454-71.

[3] de Waha S, Patel MR, Granger CB, et al. Relationship between microvascular obstruction and adverse events following primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: an individual patient data pooled analysis from seven randomized trials. Eur Heart J. 2017. 38(47): 3502-3510.

[4] Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J, 2018,39(2):119-177.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.29.20116665; this version posted June 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

[5] Niccoli G, Scalone G, Lerman A, Crea F. Coronary microvascular obstruction in acute myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2016;37:1024-33.

[6] Heusch G. Coronary microvascular obstruction: the new frontier in cardioprotection. Basic Res Cardiol, 2019,114(6):45.

[7] Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). Eur Heart J, 2019,40(3):237-269.

[8] Kusumoto FM, Schoenfeld MH, Barrett C, et al. 2018 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline on the Evaluation and Management of Patients With Bradycardia and Cardiac Conduction Delay: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Circulation. 2019. 140(8): e382-e482.

[9] Baran DA, Grines CL, Bailey S, et al. SCAI clinical expert consensus statement on the classification of cardiogenic shock: This document was endorsed by the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), the Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM), and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) in April 2019. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019. 94(1): 29-37.

[10] Li Y, Lyu S. Risk Factors of Periprocedural Bradycardia during Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Patients with Acute ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Cardiol Res Pract. 2019. 2019: 4184702.

[11] Ustinova EE, Schultz HD. Activation of cardiac vagal afferents in ischemia and reperfusion. Prostaglandins versus oxygen-derived free radicals. Circ Res 1994;74:904-11.

[12] Campagna JA, Carter C. Clinical relevance of the Bezold-Jarisch reflex[J]. Anesthesiology, 2003,98(5):1250-1260.

[13] Fajar JK, Heriansyah T, Rohman MS. The predictors of no reflow phenomenon after percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction: A meta-analysis. Indian Heart J 2018;70 Suppl 3:S406-406S418.

[14] Kirma C, Izgi A, Dundar C, et al. Clinical and procedural predictors of no-reflow phenomenon after primary percutaneous coronary interventions: experience at a single center. Circ J 2008;72:716-21.

[15] Durante A, Camici PG. Novel insights into an "old" phenomenon: the no reflow. Int J Cardiol. 2015. 187: 273-80.