ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Novel indicators for evaluating topological threats to populations from pandemics applied to COVID-19

Shun Adachi, Ph.D.*

Department of Microbiology, Kansai Medical University, 2-5-1 Shin-machi, Hirakata, Osaka 573-1010, Japan

*Correspondence:

Shun Adachi

f.peregrinusns@mbox.kyoto-inet.or.jp

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

To deal with pandemics, evaluating the temporal status of an outbreak is important. However, prevailing standards in this respect are mostly empirical and arbitrary. As an alternative, we focus on a novel approach which configures indicators that evaluate topological threats to populations due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

METHODS

We extended the current PzDom model to calculate a threshold of the model for accelerated growth, an indicator of growth extent Re(v), covariance Re(s), a topological number $E(l)$, and expected sums of possibly increasing numbers of infected people. We term this the exPzDom model.

RESULTS

The indicators in the exPzDom model adhere well to the empirical dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infected people and align appropriately with actual policies instituted by the Japanese government.

CONCLUSIONS

The described indicators could be leveraged pursuant of objective evaluation based on mathematics. Further testing of the reliability and robustness of exPzDom model in other pandemic contexts is warranted.

INTRODUCTION

To understand and respond appropriately to pandemics, it is important to evaluate the temporal status of a disease outbreak. However, standards in this case are often empirical and arbitrary. It is difficult to apply SIR and related models in some cases, particularly where a given pandemic is not significantly influenced by herd immunity amongst the population [e.g., Koroveinikov and Maini (2004)[1], Gumel et al. (2004)[2], Longini et al.(2004)[3]]. For example, a small decrease in new infections following a sharp increase or flattened peak beforehand, as has been frequently observed in SARS-CoV-2 infection dynamics, is difficult to explain by a simple model. Therefore, an alternative approach that tackles the issue qualitatively with topological analysis might have important merits. Our aim here is to put forward a novel approach for indicator development that evaluates topological threats to populations from COVID-19 in Japan and the rest of the world.

METHODS

Data were taken on new cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections from 3/12/2020 to 5/21/2020 (5/4/2020 was omitted because of possible data error) in 47 prefectures in Japan

(https://github.com/kaz-ogiwara/covid19) and from 2/24/2020 to 5/21/2020 in 16 highly affected countries around the world (https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19). The PzDom model, proposed in Adachi (2019a)[4], contains a set of indicators to analyze population dynamics. It describes a real part of a complex metric Re(s) as an indicator of divergence beyond Gaussian fluctuation. Re(s) is calculated as follows. First, the group is sorted by the rank k of population numbers N_ks. If $k \neq 1$, Re(s) = $\ln(N_1/N_k)/\ln k$. For $k = 1$, this indicator is calculated by an inverse Riemann ζ function, regarding $\zeta(s) = \sum N_k/N_1$. For replacing an imaginary part of the metric Im(s) = $\exp(\sum N_k$*Re(s)/b), where b is an approximated parameter of a distribution $N_k = a - b \ln k$ at a particular point in time, a new Im(s) is calculated by ($\sum N$)*Im(s)^-"Number of data groups", where $\sum N$ is the sum of all infected people at a particular time point. This modification is instituted because in the PzDom model, the purpose of Im(s) is to predict a maximum for the growth of a particular biological species. Herein, we would like to focus on an expected sum of all newly infected people among the examined regions with Im(s) as “expected sums”. Next, Re(v) is developed in Adachi (2017)[5] and Adachi (2019b)[6]. Re(v) = $\ln N_k/\ln(\mathrm{Im}(s))$ and it is an indicator of non-Archimedean valuation of N_k. It represents

how far an observed group has a tendency for potential growth. $E(l)$ is proposed in Adachi (2019b)[6] and it represents a categorical value of the observed set of groups, converged to a certain value. That is, a number of external symmetries of observed groups. Note that the convergence value is a function of the applied dataset and this value would be different between empirical applications even where data overlap exists. It is calculable by $\mathrm{Im}(v) = \exp(\sum N_k * \mathrm{Re}(v)/b)$, $E(l) = \ln N_k / \ln \mathrm{Im}(v)$. The threshold is proposed in Adachi (2019c)[7] as a combination of blackhole-like analogy of a growth speed $D = \exp(\mathrm{Re}(s)/b)$ from the PzDom model (Adachi 2019a)[4] and a Jeans wavelength-like parameter $\lambda_J = 2\pi * \mathrm{sqrt}(bD/N_k \mathrm{Im}(s))$ (Adachi 2019c)[7]. The threshold is $D/\lambda_J = 1$ at a unit time and if the value exceeds 1, growth would be accelerated analogical to escaping from a particular black hole when an object is not within the Schwarzschild radius. We refer to this extended version of the PzDom model as "exPzDom". Code in Julia language describing this new model is publicly available via the following link: https://github.com/sadachi79/exPzDom

RESULTS

We performed calculations of the indicators described above and obtained the following results.

There was a significant increase in the "threshold" in Japan until mid-April. Approximately 2 weeks after the declaration of the state of emergency and partial lockdown by the Japanese government on April 7, 2020, the threshold value started to decrease and this well represents the decrease in new cases during this period. Tokyo was prominent in dynamic behavior (Fig. 1A). In terms of the global situation, the poor situation of the U.S.A. is obvious, with diverging growth of COVID-19, followed by Brazil, Russia, and India (Fig. 1B).

Re(v) in Japan can evaluate qualitative differences among the 13 prefectures subjected to special cautions defined by the Japanese government (from April 16, 2020 to May 14, 2020; probable infections with the disease were supposed to be 2 weeks before this, possibly during April 2020) and the remaining 34 prefectures. The former ranged mostly between 0.3 and 0.8 (disregarding Ibaraki and Gifu with lower values), while the latter ranged mostly between 0.1 and 0.4 during April (Fig. 3A). For the world, again the U.S.A. is notable with diverging growth of COVID-19. Brazil, Russia, Peru,

India, Mexico, and Saudi Arabia follow this trend to a lesser extent. The remaining countries appear to be showing signs of improvement (Fig. 2B).

Re(s) is an indicator related to the covariance of the data, and $0 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 1$ means the extent of acceleration in the population is decreasing, while $1 < \mathrm{Re}(s) < 2$ means increasing, within the category of Gaussian fluctuations. Re(s) = 1 is a neutral situation. Re(s) > 2 means an explosive increase/decrease. Further information is available in Adachi (2019a)[4]. For Re(s) in Japan, infections were sporadic until March 22, 2020; the growth acceleration mode then shifted (in this case, increased) until May 6, 2020. Subsequent to this, the mode shifted back to a rather repressive mode. These interpretations well represent the actual dynamics in the growth of infected people (Fig. 3A). For the world, explosions ended in mid-March. Explosions in individual countries such as Spain, U.K., and Russia were observed during April. China seems to have a prominent output representing improvement as Re(s) > 3 (Fig. 3B).

Moving on to $E(l)$, in Japan, empirically $E(l) \sim 10$ until March 24, 2020 was a safer course; $E(l) \sim 15$ during April 2020 was problematic; and $E(l) \sim 20$ at the beginning of May 2020 represents danger, followed by a significant decrease to $E(l) \sim$

10 again afterwards (Fig. 4A). For the world, the top 8 countries still seem to be in a dire situation, while the next 8 countries have settled down to a safer course, followed by possibly gradually reentering problematic situations once again (Fig. 4B). Note that $E(l)$ would converge to a particular value at each time point, regardless of overlapping sets of data. If individual datums are somewhat different, it would converge to a different value.

For "expected sums" in Japan, it seems that hundreds of people in each prefecture still have potentials for infection (Fig. 5A). For the world, it appears that tens to hundreds of thousands of people in each country still have potentials for infection (Fig. 5B).

DISCUSSION

Through the newly developed exPzDom model, we can calculate threshold, Re(s), Re(v), E(l), and expected sums. As shown, these indicators provided a good fit to the actual development of policies by national governments and could therefore be usefully leveraged in decision-making contexts pursuant of objective evaluation based on

mathematics. The threshold indicator captures the dynamics of explosive dangers, and Re(v), Re(s), and E(l) are topological indicators for the accelerative growth of infections among populations. Expected sums, although they fluctuated markedly, might indicate the worst-case scenario deduced according to available data from the current point in time. A limitation of this study is that it utilizes new cases of infection as data, not actual onsets of the disease, which would reflect biological dynamics better. The reports of new cases involve systematic differences in PCR analyses, and sometimes the values are NaN or negatives (errors for reports), which are regarded as zeros in our model. Further exploration of the reliability and robustness of the exPzDom model using data from other pandemics is warranted to better develop objective indicators which can be effectively used in decision-making contexts.

NOTES

The findings and conclusions in this report represent the analysis and interpretations of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of Kansai Medical

University. I am very grateful to reviewers and colleagues for their comments and advice spanning medicine, biology, mathematics, and physics.

REFERENCES

1. Koroveinikov A, Maini PK. A Lyapunov function and global properties for SIR and SIER epidemiological models with nonlinear incidence. Math Biosci Eng 2004;1:57-60. https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2004.1.57

2. Gumel AB, Ruan S, Day T, Watmough J, Brauer F, van den Driessche P, et al. Modelling strategies for controlling SARS outbreaks. Proc R Soc Lond B 2004;271:2223-2232. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2800

3. Longini IM, Jr, Halloran ME, Nizam A, Yang Y. Containing pandemic influenza with antiviral agents. Am J Epidemiol 2004;159:623-633. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh092

4. Adachi S. Exploring group theory and topology for analyzing the structure of biological hierarchies. arXiv:1603.00959v8 [q-bio.PE] 2019a.

https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00959

5. Adachi S. Rigid geometry solves “curse of dimensionality” effects in clustering methods: An application to omics data. PLOS ONE 2017;12:e0179180. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179180

6. Adachi S. Induction of hierarchy and time through one-dimensional probability space with certain topologies. bioRxiv:780882v2 2019b. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/780882v2

7. Adachi S. Renormalization and fractals among sets beyond (empty) boundaries. Preprints 2019c;2019110055. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints201911.0055.v1

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1. Threshold values for exPzDom model. A, Japan. B, World.

Figure 2. Re(*v*) values for exPzDom model. A, Japan. B, World.

Figure 3. Re(*s*) values for exPzDom model. A, Japan. B, World.

Figure 4. *E*(*l*) values for exPzDom model. A, Japan. B, World.

Figure 5. Expected sums for exPzDom model. A, Japan. B, World.

A


threshold
15
10
5
0
March
April
May
label
Aichi
Akita
Aomori
Chiba
Ehime
Fukui
Fukuoka
Fukushima
Gifu
Gunma
Hiroshima
Hokkaido
Hyogo
Ibaraki
Ishikawa
Iwate
Kagawa
Kagoshima
Kanagawa
Kochi
Kumamoto
Kyoto
Mie
Miyagi
Miyazaki
Nagano
Nagasaki
Nara
Niigata
Oita
Okayama
Okinawa
Osaka
Saga
Saitama
Shiga
Shimane
Shizuoka
Tochigi
Tokushima
Tokyo
Tottori
Toyama
Wakayama
Yamagata
Yamaguchi
Yamanashi

B


threshold
150
100
50
0
March
April
May
label
Brazil
Germany
Italy
Russia
Spain
Turkey
US
United Kingdom


threshold
100
80
60
40
20
0
March
April
May
label
Canada
China
France
India
Iran
Mexico
Peru
Saudi Arabia


A
B
Re(v)
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
March
April
May
label
Aichi
Chiba
Fukuoka
Gifu
Hokkaido
Hyogo
Ibaraki
Ishikawa
Kanagawa
Kyoto
Osaka
Saitama
Tokyo
Brazil
Germany
Italy
Russia
Spain
Turkey
US
United Kingdom
Akita
Aomori
Ehime
Fukui
Fukushima
Gunma
Hiroshima
Iwate
Kagawa
Kagoshima
Kochi
Kumamoto
Mie
Miyagi
Miyazaki
Nagano
Nagasaki
Nara
Niigata
Oita
Okayama
Okinawa
Saga
Shiga
Shimane
Shizuoka
Tochigi
Tokushima
Tottori
Toyama
Wakayama
Yamagata
Yamaguchi
Yamanashi
Canada
China
France
India
Iran
Mexico
Peru
Saudi Arabia

A


Re(s)
0
1
2
3
March
April
May
label
Aichi
Akita
Aomori
Chiba
Ehime
Fukui
Fukuoka
Fukushima
Gifu
Gunma
Hiroshima
Hokkaido
Hyogo
Ibaraki
Ishikawa
Iwate
Kagawa
Kagoshima
Kanagawa
Kochi
Kumamoto
Kyoto
Mie
Miyagi
Miyazaki
Nagano
Nagasaki
Nara
Niigata
Oita
Okayama
Okinawa
Osaka
Saga
Saitama
Shiga
Shimane
Shizuoka
Tochigi
Tokushima
Tokyo
Tottori
Toyama
Wakayama
Yamagata
Yamaguchi
Yamanashi

B


Re(s)
0
1
2
3
4
5
March
April
May
label
Brazil
Germany
Italy
Russia
Spain
Turkey
US
United Kingdom


Re(s)
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
March
April
May
label
Canada
China
France
India
Iran
Mexico
Peru
Saudi Arabia

A


E(I)
20
15
10
5
0
March
April
May
label
Aichi
Akita
Aomori
Chiba
Ehime
Fukui
Fukuoka
Fukushima
Gifu
Gunma
Hiroshima
Hokkaido
Hyogo
Ibaraki
Ishikawa
Iwate
Kagawa
Kagoshima
Kanagawa
Kochi
Kumamoto
Kyoto
Mie
Miyagi
Miyazaki
Nagano
Nagasaki
Nara
Niigata
Oita
Okayama
Okinawa
Osaka
Saga
Saitama
Shiga
Shimane
Shizuoka
Tochigi
Tokushima
Tokyo
Tottori
Toyama
Wakayama
Yamagata
Yamaguchi
Yamanashi

B


E(I)
30
25
20
15
10
March
April
May
label
Brazil
Germany
Italy
Russia
Spain
Turkey
US
United Kingdom


E(I)
40
30
20
10
0
March
April
May
label
Canada
China
France
India
Iran
Mexico
Peru
Saudi Arabia

A


1500
1000
500
0
expected sums
March
April
May
label
Aichi
Akita
Aomori
Chiba
Ehime
Fukui
Fukuoka
Fukushima
Gifu
Gunma
Hiroshima
Hokkaido
Hyogo
Ibaraki
Ishikawa
Iwate
Kagawa
Kagoshima
Kanagawa
Kochi
Kumamoto
Kyoto
Mie
Miyagi
Miyazaki
Nagano
Nagasaki
Nara
Niigata
Oita
Okayama
Okinawa
Osaka
Saga
Saitama
Shiga
Shimane
Shizuoka
Tochigi
Tokushima
Tokyo
Tottori
Toyama
Wakayama
Yamagata
Yamaguchi
Yamanashi

B


4×10⁵
3×10⁵
2×10⁵
1×10⁵
0
expected sums
March
April
May
label
Brazil
Germany
Italy
Russia
Spain
Turkey
US
United Kingdom


2.5×10⁶
2.0×10⁶
1.5×10⁶
1.0×10⁶
5.0×10⁵
0
expected sums
March
April
May
label
Canada
China
France
India
Iran
Mexico
Peru
Saudi Arabia