medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Cremation based estimates suggest significant under- and delayed reporting of COVID-19 epidemic data in Wuhan and China

Mai He, M.D., Ph.D., [1] Li Li, M.A.[2], Louis P. Dehner, M.D.,[1] Lucia F. Dunn, Ph.D.[3]

1. Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA
2. AT&T, Bedminster, NJ 07921, USA
3. Department of Economics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

Corresponding author:

Mai He, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Pathology and Immunology,

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis,

St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

Phone: (314) 273-1328

Email: Maihe@wustl.edu

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background:

Epidemiological data provide important information for decision making. China's COVID-19 statistics fall outside of recognized and accepted medical norms. As the epicenter of the COVID-19 initial outbreak, the epidemiological information from Wuhan affects the response and preparation of other parts of China and rest of the world. Here we estimated the incidence, death and starting time of the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan and China based on medical literature from China, official and non-official Chinese data sources.

Methods:

Data sources included literature on COVID-19 in China, official Chinese government figures, state-run and non state-run media reports. Our estimates are based on investigative media reports of crematory operations in Wuhan, which is considered as a common data end point to life. A range of estimates is presented by an exponential growth rate model from lockdown (Jan 23,2020) until the intervention started to show effects, which was estimated 14.5 days after lockdown.

Results:

For the cumulative infections and total deaths, under different assumptions of death rates (from 2.5% to 10%) and doubling time 6.4 days, the estimates projected on February 7, 2020 in Wuhan range from 305,000 to 1,272,000 for infections and from 6,811 to 7,223 for deaths - on the order of at least 10 times the official figures (13,603 and 545). The implied starting time of the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

outbreak is October 2019. Under the assumption of the official 3.14% death rate and doubling time of 2.54 days (which was derived based on Chinese official data), the infection cases reached 2.2 million on February 7. The estimates of cumulative deaths, based on both funeral urns distribution and continuous full capacity operation of cremation services up to March 23, 2020, give results around 36,000, more than 10 times of the official death toll of 2,524.

Conclusions:

Our study indicates a significant under-reporting in Chinese official data on the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan. The magnitude of discrepancy between our estimates based on cremation related data and Chinese official figures in early February, the critical time for response to the COVID-19 pandemic, suggests the need to reevaluate China's official statistics and consider all available and reasonable data sources for a better understanding of the COVD-19 pandemic.

Keywords: COVID-19, cremation, cumulative infection, death, Wuhan, China

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

BACKGROUND

The spread of the coronavirus (COVID-19) has evolved into a global public health crisis affecting all aspects of individual, societal and economic activity. On December 31, 2019, the Wuhan City Health Commission announced that recent cases of pneumonia of unknown etiology seen in some hospitals were related to the Wet Market and 27 cases were found by the time. This official announcement conflicted with at least two official numbers and one media report which were 45, 104 and 266 cases.[1-3] Reports from the official Chinese state-run media on February 2, 2020 showing large numbers of possible coronavirus victims who were not treated within the medical establishment and hence may have fallen outside of government statistics, have led many to believe there may be serious gaps in our understanding of the outbreak based on what can be determined from this official government data.[4]

As the epicenter of the COVID-19 initial outbreak, the epidemiological information from Wuhan affects the response and preparation of other parts of China and rest of the world. It is therefore important to attempt to accurately assess the actual number of cases and gain some insights from this deduction to the time of the first cases.

The purpose of this report is to investigate the epidemiological information of the early phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, after lockdown on January 23, 2019, based on official Chinese government figures, published literature and media reports, focusing on cremation related data. The estimates of incidence and deaths are higher by a magnitude of at least 10 times the official figures. Our estimates are further supported by others who were also investigating the situation and recent updates of funeral urn distribution in Wuhan. The potential

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

impact of this discrepancy is critical for both the medical projection of needs and for policy decisions relating to public health.

METHODS

Data Sources

The official Chinese government figures include national and local Wuhan data[5] and state-run media reports. Since there is no medical literature on "COVID-19, cremation" in China, we also searched on the internet for media reports in Chinese by "新型冠状肺炎" (novel coronavirus pneumonia) and "Wuhan" on the operation of crematory facilities in Wuhan as widely reported by established news organizations both inside and outside of China.[6-12]

Cremation Data

Daily cremation due to the COVID-19 outbreak is defined and estimated by total number of estimated cremations in the time period of this study minus pre-outbreak average daily cremations.

Detailed information on crematory facility and operation is listed in Appendix 1, but also summarized in Table 1. [6,7] Briefly, there are eight crematories in Wuhan, which under normal circumstances would operate about 4 hours per day. Before the outbreak, cremation mainly happened in the morning, according to Chinese rituals. Starting on or before January 25, 2020, these were observed to be operating at or close to around-the-clock or 24 hours daily.[6-12] This would put the current operating rate at about six times normal. Normal deaths per day can be estimated as 136 based on an annual mortality rate of 0.00551 in a population of approximately 9

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

million (Wuhan government data). [13] With the regular procedure, the additional 20 hours of daily operation imply additional deaths of 5 (20/4) x 136 = 680 per day above normal, if the services are utilized with full capacity. However, it was estimated that the maximal capacity of cremation could be up to 2,100 bodies per day (see appendix 1).

This estimate was supported by media reports of support of additional crematory staff from other cities and provinces being brought into Wuhan.[14,15] Since February 19, 40 mobile crematory stations were also sent to Wuhan for the increasing need of cremation capacity.[16,17]

Estimation

We used an exponential model to estimate the cumulative infections and deaths in Wuhan.

Assumptions

I. The median time of the incubation period for COVID-19 is 4.5 days from exposure to symptom onset. [18] The median time from the symptom onset to death is 14 days. [19] Assuming it takes half a day from death to cremation, this implies that most of the deaths which happened on and before February 11, 2020 were not impacted by any countermeasures in effect.

II. In this study, different assumptions of epidemic doubling time were applied. Wu et al. statistically inferred case counts in Wuhan by internationally exported cases as of January 25 and estimated doubling time as 6.4 days with 95% confidence interval (5.8, 7.1).[20] Rodriguez et al. analyzed Chinese official daily cumulative cases from January 20 to February 9, 2020, and derived the doubling time for each province ranging from 1.42 to 3.05 days, with Hubei (where Wuhan is located) as 2.54 with confidence interval (2.44, 2.64), based on an exponential model.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

With no countermeasure in effect, we assumed doubling time was also 2.54 days before January 20 in this scenario.[21]

III. Outcomes are either survival with probability $(1 - d)$ where d is the case fatality rate; or death after 14 days with probability d.

IV. To extrapolate from the Wuhan cremation data to the total number of infected cases, alternative assumptions of 2.5%, 5%, and 10% were utilized for the case fatality rate.

V. Though media reported that Wuhan cremation service operated around the clock since January 25, 2020,[6-12] we assumed no full capacity was utilized until two weeks later, on February 7, 2020. This assumption will be further discussed below.

The Model

Estimates of the total cases were calculated from an exponential growth model. At time t, where t is the number of days since the occurrence of the initial case, the quantities of interest are $N(t)$, the cumulative number of cases, $n(t)$, the daily cases, $D(t)$, the cumulative number of deaths, $d(t)$, the daily deaths, and the case fatality rate d. $N(t) = c\left(e^{\lambda t} - 1\right) + 1$, so that $N(0) = 1$, where c is a constant and the parameter λ is set to match the reported doubling time. $n(t) \cong \left(1 - e^{-\lambda}\right) N(t)$ and $D(t) \cong d\, e^{-14.5\,\lambda} N(t)$. Matching the cumulative totals $N(t)$ to the model where each existing case infects R_0 (the basic reproductive number) new cases on average after s days (the serial interval) gives $c = R_0/(R_0 - 1)$. Wu et al. estimates 2.7 for R_0. Exponential growth model was not applied for projections of N(t) for Wuhan beyond the date February 7, 2020, due to the declining number of susceptible individuals and plausible effectiveness of city lockdown.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The projections of $N(t)$ for Wuhan using doubling time 2.54 days approach half million on 44th day, that the exponential growth model is unreliable. From the 45th day, we take into account the declining number of susceptible individuals, and the model was modified (as in the SIR simulation model) so that the relationship $n(t) = e^{\lambda} n(t-1)$ becomes $n(t) = e^{\lambda}\left(1 - \frac{N(t-1)}{P}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} n(t-1)$ where P is the population size of Wuhan after lock down.

The 95% confidence intervals presented in Table 2 are based on the confidence interval for the doubling rate as presented. Confidence intervals for the estimated starting dates are not provided because they depend on estimates of both λ and R_0 and also on the randomness in the transmission of the infection in its very early stages.

RESULTS

Wuhan lockdown started on January 23, 2020. This study chose February 7 (two weeks after the announcement of 24-hour-operation of cremation services), and February 12 (20 days after lockdown) as the projected evaluation days. Retrospectively, February 12 was the day when party leadership changes were undertaken in Wuhan and Hubei Province.[22]

I. Chinese official data for Wuhan and China

The Chinese government's official COVID-19 cumulative confirmed diagnoses and deaths data, from late January up to mid-February, and selected representative dates later, are recorded in Table 2 for Wuhan and the whole of China.[23] Daily ratios of confirmed diagnosis and death between Wuhan and China as whole were calculated and listed in Table 2. While the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

increase was relatively stable throughout the early period shown, there was a marked addition of confirmed cases on February 12, 2020, with 13,436 new cases reported in Wuhan and a total of 15,151 (including Wuhan's data) of new cases in all of China, amounting to cumulative confirmed diagnoses of 32,994 cases in Wuhan and 59,804 cases in China as a whole, and 1,036 cases of cumulative deaths in Wuhan and 1,367 deaths in China. On February 12, 2020, Wuhan's cases represented 55.2% and 75.8% of China's cases of cumulative confirmed diagnoses and deaths respectively. The crude case fatality rate due to COVID-19 ranged from 3 to 4% in Wuhan during this period, and ultimately 5% by April 11, 2020 (Table 1).

On April 17, Wuhan City announced an adjustment of its death toll by additional 1,290, and of confirmed diagnosis by 325 cases, making a total of confirmed diagnosis of 50,333 cases, deaths of 3,869 cases, and crude case fatality rate of 7.69%.[24,25]

II. Estimates of COVID-19 related deaths and cumulative infections in Wuhan

(a) Simple linear calculation

As a benchmark comparison, we have provided simple linear calculations with constant daily deaths. We assume that cremation service did not reached 100% utilization until February 7, and the utilization was 80% between January 25 to February 6, 2020. The results of these estimates are listed in Table 3. Cumulative deaths are 9,384, for the nineteen days (January 25 to February 12, 2020) only. Based on the official crude case fatality rate of 3.14% (February 12, 2020, Table 1), the estimated cumulative infection was 298,854 within nineteen days (Table 3).

(b) Exponential growth model

Based on the cremation data inference that COVID-19 related deaths reached 680 on February 7, 2020, estimation of infection on February 7 is calculated. With 6.4 day doubling

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

rate,[20] and under assumption of the crude fatality rates of 2.5%, 5%, and 10%, cumulative infections in Wuhan are 1,272,400, 650,900 and 305,000 respectively. Cumulative deaths are 6,946, 7,223 and 6,811 respectively. The implied start dates for the outbreak are October 4, 2019, October 11, 2019 and October 17, 2019, respectively.

Estimates based of a 2.54 day doubling rate are also presented with estimated confidence intervals in Table 3. Since this doubling time was derived using Chinese official data, we applied the official 3.14% fatality rate in the calculation. The total cases are projected to be 2.23 million with 95% confidence interval (2.12 million, 2.38 million) on February 7, 2020.

(c) Estimates based on funeral urn distribution

No one was allowed to pick up urns during the lockdown. Beginning on March 23, Wuhan residents were allowed to collect crematory urns, with the target date as April 4 to complete the distribution of the backlog. Estimates based urn collection are listed in Table 4.

III. Estimate of COVID related deaths and cumulative infections in all of China

If we use the Wuhan/China ratio based on China's official data listed in Table 1 (confirmed cases 39.4%), we can extrapolate estimates for China, with cumulative infections potentially reaching 5.67 million on February 7, 2020.

DISCUSSION

The escalating intervention leading to the unprecedented sudden lockdown of Wuhan and the published medical literature by Chinese researchers and scientists suggest a discrepancy from

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

the officially announced figures of the outbreak. As the epicenter of the COVID-19 outbreak, the necessary epidemiological information about Wuhan was not made available n for the world to initiate plans for a response and to prepare for the potential crisis that is now upon us.

Challenges for getting needed information in China

A major challenge to an effective response existed in the lack of transparency in reporting by China. A pandemic impacts every aspect of social and economic life. With media reports providing fragmented information with no well-defined focus, academic publications subject to selection bias, and possibly less than forthright government data, many challenges have arisen in formulating a data driven approach to this world-wide problem. [26] The current study used cremation-related information to estimate epidemiological information including cumulative infections and deaths.

The strength of this approach is that cremation is a common data end point. We applied an exponential growth model during a window period from lockdown until the intervention started to show effects. Note that the approach of the simple exponential growth model is valid under the assumption that countermeasures to slow the spread of the epidemic were ineffective up to the date included. While people claimed that the lockdown in Wuhan combined with the national emergency response have averted 96% of the cases by February 19, 2020,[27] it should be considered that in the initial period after Wuhan lock down, the effectiveness of countermeasures taken there could be impacted by many factors, including the lack of public awareness, the cross infection at hospitals, the limitation of the medical capacity, the inadequacy of the quarantine facility and space, and the family cluster chain-infections.. However, this is beyond the scope of this manuscript.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

A potential weakness of this study is that we considered the incremental death from the normal death rate as COVID-19 related. We do not include deaths that would happen on a later date, due to delayed medical treatments of other diseases, the lockdown itself, the resulting financial stress and the lack of social and emotional support, which may result in under-estimation.

Cumulative infections and deaths from cremation-based analysis

Based on data from the seven of eight Wuhan crematories, our conservative estimates of cumulative infections on February 7 are more than 10 times than those of the official data.

Chinese media raised concerns about infections and deaths beyond the official statistics; for example, when the director of a fever clinic complained that he could admit only five out of 80 potentially infected patients, which suggested a potential 16-fold difference between possible infected patients and confirmed diagnoses in Wuhan. [4] The report mentioned the limited nucleic acid-based testing, which was available only after the sequencing data was announced on January 11, 2020. This information also pointed to the limited and exhausted medical facility capacity in Wuhan in late January and early February 2020. In Table 5, we list representative medical facilities in Wuhan and additional support from rest of China. Note that Wuhan was reported to have approximately 90,000 beds by the end of 2018. [28] In addition, Wuhan had already mandated an additional 100,000 beds using hotels and schools by early February, bringing the total number of beds to 190,000 by mid-February.[29] This ratio of bed numbers to official confirmed diagnoses as of February 12, 2020 was 190,000 to 32,994, which presents a discrepancy which can not be explained by other causes.. Similarly, the medical staff to patient ratio showing that Wuhan initially had more than 90, 000 health care professionals with an

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

additional 42,600 sent in from other parts of China (totaling approximately 130,000), and 169,000 ventilators provided to Wuhan, to care for only officially recognized 50,000 (cumulative) patients as March 23, 2020, is also inexplicable.[25]

Some researchers have inferred the percentage of undocumented infections, concluding that approximately 86% of all infections were apparently undocumented and that these were the source of infection for 79% of documented cases.[30] Scissors, examining out-migrants, has also pointed out that China's COVID-19 figures are arithmetically impossible.[31] Tsang et. al. estimated that by Feb 20, 2020, there would have been 232,000 (95% CrI (161,000-359,000)) confirmed cases in China as opposed to only 55,508 reported cases.[32] These studies raise similar concerns about the underreporting of Chinese COVID-19 cases.

Correlation our estimates with funeral urn distribution

Since a major data source is the number of cremations in Wuhan, our estimates have been further verified by the subsequent information of the funeral urn distribution. In late March, *Newsweek* reported that roughly 5,000 urns were shipped to one of the eight Wuhan cremation facilities. The number of urns that arrived in that one facility was already about twice of the city's official overall death from COVID-19 toll.[33] Potential COVID-19 related death counts from urn distribution for Wuhan could be 35,708 for seven funeral houses (Table 3). This is consistent with our linear estimate of 36,720, based on the cremation service operation. Both estimates are more than ten times of the official death toll (2,524 on March 23). Additionally, neither took into account those potentially processed in the 40 mobile crematories which were brought to Wuhan after February 19, 2020 for the newly constructed hospitals (Huoshen Shan and Leishen Shan) and "Fangchang" hospitals.[16,17] The mobile crematory stations can each

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

process up to five tons of "medical waste" including "animal dead bodies" per day. Thus, the calculations here could be significant under-estimates.

Potential stating time for COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan

As reported by Huang C et al., symptom onset of the first confirmed case was December 1, 2019.[34] Under the assumption of 6.4 doubling time, the start dates implied by this study range from October 4, 2019 to October 17, 2019. The estimated "implied start dates" are consistent with other reports where Kristian Andersen suggested a possible "start date" of October 1, 2019 based viral genome analysis.[35] Under the assumption of 2.54 doubling time, the implied start date is December 14, 2019. However, in this scenario the infection cases were projected to total 2.23 million by February 7, 2020. If the doubling time 2.54 is close to the truth, then China's official data under reported by millions. If the doubling time 6.4 is close to the truth, there was significant under- and delayed reporting of the COVID-19 epidemic information by China in late January and early February, the critical time for the world to respond and prepare for the pandemic. Given the serious implications of the COVID-19 pandemic, further investigations into this period in China needs to be carried out.

Readers are reminded of the assumptions that underlie our estimates, and they should therefore be taken as approximate. However, even if there were non-negligible reporting errors in these new data, the magnitude of the discrepancy between the results from their analysis and China's official figures suggests that the potential impact on the global efforts to control the pandemic is obvious. Transparency in China is of critical importance for the world to learn from this infection and for those in the future.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Author Statements:

All authors have no conflicts of interest.

Sources of funding:

None.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(13):1199-1207. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
2. Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) in China. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020 Feb 10;41(2):145-151. [Article in Chinese] https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32064853/.
3. Ma J. Coronavirus: China's first confirmed Covid-19 case traced back to November 17. https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/3074991/coronavirus-chinas-first-confirmed-covid-19-case-traced-back Last accessed on May 24, 2020.
4. 财经-统计数字之外的人：他们死于普通肺炎 [*People outside the statistics: Are they dying from common pneumonia?*]. https://chinadigitaltimes.net/chinese/2020/02/ Last accessed February 12, 2020.
5. www.gov.cn.
6. Yi Cao. "Epidemics in New York worse than Wuhan??" April 4, 2020. http://blog.creaders.net/u/11405/202004/370363.html Last accessed on May 25, 2020.
7. GM06. "Where do the bodies come from, that cannot be cremated even with all crematory staff and burners running 24 hours?" February 10, 2020. https://gnews.org/zh-hans/110207/ Last accessed on May 25, 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

8. Jinxin Kandian. "I am at the first line of Wuhan funeral service, now needs some help". February 15, 2020. https://k.sina.cn/article_7280195342_1b1eef70e02000pydh.html?from=society Last accessed on May 25, 2020.
9. Hoonhout, T. Wuhan Residents Dismiss Official Coronavirus Death Toll: 'The Incinerators Have Been Working Around the Clock' National Review. https://www.nationalreview.com/news/wuhan-residents-dismiss-official-coronavirus-death-toll-the-incinerators-have-been-working-around-the-clock/ Last accessed April 6, 2020.
10. He C. Amid virus outbreak, funeral home officials in Wuhan reveal sharp increase in cremations. https://www.theepochtimes.com/exclusive-funeral-homes-in-coronavirus-ground-zero-cremating-dozens-of-bodies-a-day_3228938.html Last accessed February 12, 2020.
11. https://www.chinatimes.com/cn/realtimenews/20200202001385-260409?chdtv Last accessed May 25, 2020.
12. "Wuhan funeral service under heavy pressure from the epidemic: Lockdown affects processing time, body designated to crematory". January 31, 2020. http://www.infzm.com/wap/#/content/175645 Last accessed on May 25, 2020.
13. Wuhan City Administration. http://www.wh.gov.cn/2019_web/whyw/201910/t20191021_280212.html Last accessed February 12, 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

14. "Marked increase during one night! 242 Deaths in Hubei + Funeral staff from all over China hurried to go to support." http://news.migage.com/articles/一夜暴增！湖北 242 人亡+各地殡葬队急支援_3751982_yahootw.html Last accessed on May 25, 2020

15. "Wuhan pneumonia: Henan and Chongqing funeral teams going straight to support Wuhan." https://www.chinapress.com.my/20200213/◤武汉肺炎◢-河南重庆-殡葬队-直奔武汉施援手/ Last accessed on May 25, 2020.

16. http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb_xwfb/xw_zt/moe_357/jyzt_2020n/2020_zt03/zydt/zydt_gxdt/zydt_gxkx_kjgg/202002/t20200219_422354.html Last accessed May 8, 2020

17. http://www.xinhuanet.com/politics/2020-02/21/c_1125606332.htm Last accessed May 8, 2020.

18. Interim Clinical Guidance for Management of Patients with Confirmed Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-guidance-management-patients.html#:~:text=The%20incubation%20period%20for%20COVID,CoV%2D2%20infection. Last accessed on May 25, 2020.

19. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020;92(4):441-447. doi:10.1002/jmv.25689.

20. Wu JT, Leung K, M Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

modelling study. *Lancet* 2020 Jan 31. PII: S0140-6736(20)30260-9. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30260-9.

21. Muniz-Rodriguez K, Chowell G, Cheung C-H, Jia D, Lai P-Y, Lee Y, et al. Doubling time of the COVID-19 epidemic by province, China. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 August [Cited on May 25, 2020]. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2608.200219.
22. https://time.com/5783401/covid19-hubei-cases-classification/ Last accessed May 8, 2020.
23. www.gov.cn.
24. http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/yqtb/202004/9d15772389c64d478713e710a756b883.shtml
25. http://m.china.caixin.com/m/2020-04-18/101544179.html.
26. https://chinadigitaltimes.net/chinese/2020/04/【立此存照】关于加强新冠肺炎科研论文发表管理 Last accessed May 8, 2020.
27. Tian H, Liu Y, Li Y, et al. An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. Science. 2020;368(6491):638-642. doi:10.1126/science.abb6105.
28. http://tongji.cnki.net/kns55/navi/YearBook.aspx?id=N2019010138&floor=1 Last accessed on May 8, 2020.
29. https://6do.news/article/2212649-61 Last accessed on May 8, 2020.
30. Li, R., Pei, S., Chen, B., Song, Y., Zhang, T., Yang, W., & Shaman, J. (2020). Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2). Science. doi: 10.1126/science.abb3221.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.28.20116012; this version posted June 6, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

31. Scissors D. Estimating the true number of China's COVID-19 cases. American Enterprise Institute. https://www.aei.org/research-products/report/estimating-the-true-number-of-chinas-covid-19-cases/ Last accessed April 22, 2020.

32. Tsang TK, Wu P, Lin Y, et al. Effect of changing case definitions for COVID-19 on the epidemic curve and transmission parameters in mainland China: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health. 2020 Apr 21. pii: S2468-2667(20)30089-X. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30089-X.

33. Newsweek. March 29, 2020. https://www.newsweek.com/wuhan-covid-19-death-toll-may-tens-thousands-data-cremations-shipments-urns-suggest-1494914.

34. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020 Jan 15.

35. Cohen J. Wuhan seafood market may not be source of novel virus spreading globally. https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/wuhan-seafood-market-may-not-be-source-novel-virus-spreading-globally Last accessed February 18, 2020.

Table 1. Crematory Facilities and Operation in Wuhan, China

	Before lockdown on January 23, 2020	From January 25 to February 19, 2020	After February 19, 2020
Crematory facilities	86 cremation furnaces*	86 cremation furnaces*	86 cremation furnaces* 40 mobile crematory stations
Crematory operation	Four hours per day	24 hours per day	24 hours per day
Crematory capacity	Approximately 136 per day	816 per day (full capacity) 2,064 (maximum capacity) **	2,064 and 200 tons per day (5 tons "medical waste" including "animal bodies" per mobile crematory station, total capacity 5x40 = 200 tons)

* The furnace information is for seven funeral houses, Hankou, Wuchang, Qingshan, Caidian District, JiangXia Distric, Huangpi District, Xinzhou District. Muslim Funeral House is not included in the table above, since the information there is scarce.

** The maximum capacity is calculated for the seven funeral houses, assuming non-stop cremation, and simplified procedure, since no farewell ceremony was allowed during lockdown period.

Table 2. Official COVID-19 Epidemic Data in Wuhan and China from January 23 to April 17, 2020

Date	Cumulative confirmed infections in Wuhan	Cumulative deaths in Wuhan	Fatality rate in Wuhan	Cumulative confirmed infections in China	Cumulative deaths in China	Fatality rate in China	Confirmed cases W/C	Deaths W/C
23-Jan (lockdown)	495							
25-Jan	618							
4-Feb	8351	362	4.33%	24324	490	2.01%	34.3%	73.9%
5-Feb	10117	417	4.12%	28018	563	2.01%	36.1%	74.1%
6-Feb	11618	478	4.11%	31161	636	2.04%	37.3%	75.2%
7-Feb	13603	545	4.01%	34546	722	2.09%	39.4%	75.5%
8-Feb	14982	608	4.00%	37198	811	2.18%	40.3%	75.0%
9-Feb	16902	681	4.03%	40171	908	2.26%	42.1%	75.0%
10-Feb	18454	748	4.05%	42638	1016	2.38%	43.3%	73.6%
11-Feb	19558	820	4.19%	44653	1113	2.49%	43.8%	73.7%
12-Feb	32994	1036	3.14%	59804	1367	2.29%	55.2%	75.8%
13-Feb	35991	1016	2.82%	63851	1380	2.16%	56.4%	73.6%
23-March	50006	2524	5.05%	81171	3277	4.04%	61.6%	77.0%
11-April	50008	2577	5.15%	82052	3339	4.07%	60.9%	77.2%
17-April	50,333	3,859	7.69%	82,692	4,632	5.60%	60.9%	83.3%

Table 3. Estimates of COVID-19 Outbreak in Wuhan, China for Feb 7 and Feb 12, 2020

Date	Methodology	Doubling Time	Daily Deaths	Cumulative Deaths	Fatality Rate	Daily Cases	Total Cases	Implied Starting Date
7-Feb	Exponential Model *95% confidence interval*	6.4 (5.8, 7.1)	680	6,946 *(6,486, 7,529)*	2.50% (assumed)	130,600 *(111,900, 153,590)*	1,272,400 *(1,091,200, 1,363,500)*	4-Oct-19
7-Feb	Exponential Model *95% confidence interval*	6.4 (5.8, 7.1)	680	7,223 *(6,348, 7,596)*	5.00% (assumed)	66,810 *(55,650, 74,980)*	650,900 *(598,300, 665,700)*	11-Oct-19
7-Feb	Exponential Model *95% confidence interval*	6.4 (5.8, 7.1)	680	6,811 *(6,215, 7,663)*	10.00% (assumed)	31,300 *(28,100, 36,610)*	305,000 *(302,100, 325,000)*	17-Oct-19
7-Feb	Exponential Model* *95% confidence interval*	2.54 (2.44, 2.64)	680	3,097 *(2,812, 3,394)*	3.14%	257,000 *(241,000 274,300)*	2,230,500 *(2,121,900, 2,375,000)*	14-Dec-19
7-Feb	Chinese official	2.54**	67	545	3.14%	1,985	13,603	NA
12-Feb	Chinese official	NA	216	1,036	3.14%	13,436	32,994	NA
12-Feb	Linear Calculation**	NA	680	408 x 13+680 x 6 *= 9,384*	3.14%	21,656	298,854	NA

* From the 45th day, the model was modified so that $n(t) = e^{\lambda}\left(1 - \frac{N(t-1)}{P}\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} n(t-1)$, where P is the population after lock down.

** The doubling time was derived by Rodriguez et al. using Chinese official data.

*** In the linear calculation methodology, we assumed constant daily death from Jan 25-Feb 12, and the total confirmed cases were only calculated to reflect the accumulated cases within 19 days.

Table 4. Estimate of COVID-19 Related Deaths in Wuhan, China up to March 23, 2020

Date	Methodology	Announced or Estimated Accumulated Death	Note	Announced or Implied Infections***
23-Mar	Chinese official announcement	2,524		50,006
23-Mar	Funeral Urn distribution*	35,708 = 45,500 - 9,792	45,500 = 7 x 500 x 13 (3/23 – 4/4) 9,792= 136 x 72 (1/23 – 4/4)	707,089
23-Mar	Assumed constant daily** death	36,720	80% cremation utilization from Jan 23 to Feb 6; 100% cremation utilization from Feb 7 to Mar 22	727,128

* This only includes seven funeral houses.

** This does not include potential cremations performed in the 40 mobile crematory stations set up in Wuhan after February 19, 2020.

*** Based on officially announced Wuhan crude case fatality rate 5.05% on March 23, 2020

Table 5. Medical Resources Available in Wuhan after Lockdown (January 23, 2020)

Item	Wuhan local	Local addition or Support from other places in China	Total	Official cumulative cases
Hospital beds	90,000	Local addition 50,000 by Feb-10, 2020 80,000 by Feb-15,2020 100,000 by Feb-20,2020	190,000 by Feb 20,2020	45,346 on Feb 20, 2020
Health care professional	90,000	42,600 from January 24 to March 8, 2020	136,000	49,948 on Mar 8, 2020
Ventilator	Not found	By March 3, 2020 16,900 delivered to Wuhan*	Estimated as 20,000	49,540 on Mar 3, 2020

* http://www.gov.cn/fuwu/2020-03/04/content_5486929.htm

Appendix 1. Eight Crematories/Funeral Houses in Wuhan [6,7]

Name of crematory	Number of cremation furnaces	Annual processing (before lockdown)	Daily processing (before lockdown)
Hankou (汉口殡仪馆)	30	7,000	19
Wuchang (武昌殡仪馆)	18	7,000	19
Jiangxia (江夏殡仪馆)	7	3,800	10
Wuhan Huangpi District (武汉黄陂区殡仪馆)	7	7,000	19
Xinzhou District (新洲区殡仪馆)	5	6,000	16
Wuhan City Qingshan (武汉市青山殡仪馆)	7	3,700	10
Caidian District Yusun Mountain (蔡甸区玉笋山殡仪馆)	12	14,785	41
Total (for seven)	**86**	**49,285**	**135**
Muslim	Information not found	Information not found	Information not found

Note: During normal times, it takes about 2 hours to cremate one body. During lockdown, the process was simplified and it took about one hour to process each body. If seven crematories operate 24 hours nonstop, the maximal number would be 86 x 24 = 2,064.