The interactive effects of ambient air pollutants-meteorological factors on confirmed cases of COVID-19 in 120 Chinese cities

Jianli Zhou [a], Linyuan Qin [b], Xiaojing Meng [a*], Nan Liu [a, c, d, e*]

[a] Department of Occupational Health and Occupational Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Research, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, 510515, P. R. China.

[b] Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, School of Public Health, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, 541001, P. R. China.

[c] Pinghu Hospital, Health Science Center, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518116, P. R. China.

[d] Institute of Public Health, School of Nursing, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China.

[e] College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 540001, P. R. China.

*Corresponding authors:

Xiaojing Meng, Department of Occupational Health and Occupational Medicine, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, 1838 Guangzhoudadaobei, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. Tel: +8620-61648471; fax: +8620-61648324. Email: xiaojingmeng@smu.edu.cn.

Nan Liu, Pinghu Hospital, Health Science Center, Shenzhen University, The junction of Hutian Road and No.3 Road, Pinghu Street, Longgang District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China. Tel: +86-13688869875; fax: 075589222888. Email: nhyy8132@smu.edu.cn.

Abstract

Emerging evidence has confirmed meteorological factors and air pollutants affect novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). However, no studies to date have considered the impact of interactions between meteorological factors and air pollutants on COVID-19 transmission. This study explores the association between ambient air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interaction on confirmed case counts of COVID-19 in 120 Chinese cities. We modeled total confirmed cases of COVID-19 with meteorological factors, air pollutants and their interactions. To account for potential migration effects, we included the migration scale index (MSI) from Wuhan to each of the 120 cities included in the model, using data from 15 January to 18 March 2020. As an important confounding factor, MSI was considered in a negative binomial regression analysis. Positive associations were found between the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 and carbon monoxide, aerodynamic particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 μm, relative humidity and ozone, with and without MSI-adjustment. Negative associations were also found for sulfur dioxide and wind velocity both with and without controlling for population migration. In addition, air pollutants and meteorological factors had interactive effects on COVID-19 after controlling for MSI. In conclusion, air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interactions all affect COVID-19 cases.

Keywords: air pollutants; COVID-19; interactive effect; meteorological parameters; migration scale index; negative binomial regression

1. Introduction

In Dec. 2019, a novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was reported in Wuhan City, P. R. China (Wu et al. 2020, Zhou et al. 2020). The COVID-19 outbreak rapidly spread across China and to many other countries (Holshue et al. 2020, Huang et al. 2017, Lai et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2020a). Due to its transmissibility and increasing spread, COVID-19 was officially declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on 11 March 2020 (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019). By 17 August 2020, COVID-19 had become prevalent in 188 countries, with a total of 21,869,433 confirmed cases worldwide (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/); and 89906 confirmed cases in China (http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/xxgzbd/gzbd_index.shtml). This has created a worldwide public health crisis.

Air pollutants can significantly affect health and the WHO indicates that 4.6 million individuals die annually from diseases and illnesses directly related to poor air quality (Tobias &Molina 2020). In particular, increasing numbers of studies suggest a relationship between air pollution and infectious diseases. While previous studies report no relationship between air pollution and SARS outbreaks (Cai et al. 2007, Tobias &Molina 2020, Yusuf et al. 2007), a positive association was observed between air pollutants (sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide and ground-level ozone) and SARS fatality rates (Cui et al. 2003). Another study in Brisbane suggested that high concentrations of ozone (O_3) and particulate matter <10 microns (PM_{10}) were associated with increased pediatric influenza cases (Xu et al.

2013).

Meteorological factors are another independent factor that can affect the spread of infectious diseases (Cai et al. 2007, Xie &Zhu 2020). Although the association between viruses leading to respiratory disease (e.g., SARS, viral influenza and SARS-CoV-2) and meteorological factors has been explored (Yusuf et al. 2007), results of these studies remain controversial. For example, Tan et al. found a sharp change in ambient temperature was correlated with increased risk of SARS, (Tan et al. 2005), while Yao et al. found ambient temperature had no significant effect on the transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 (Yao et al. 2020b). Other studies indicate that an increase in average temperature contributes to an increase in average relative risk (RR) but a decrease in daily confirmed case counts of SARS (Cai et al. 2007). These inconsistent results may reflect an interaction occurring between pollutants and meteorological effects on the transmission of these diseases.

The trends of the SARS outbreak may be influenced by air pollutants and meteorological factors that change host susceptibility and survival time of SARS-CoV in vitro (Cai et al. 2007). COVID-19 infections can cause serious respiratory disease. Common symptoms at the beginning of infection include fever, cough, muscle aches or fatigue, etc., similar to SARS-CoV (Liu et al. 2020b, Siordia 2020), and an effect of air pollutants and meteorological factors, similar to that seen in SARS, on confirmed COVID-19 cases has also observed (Jiang et al. 2020, Liu et al. 2020a, Oliveiros et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2020b, Zhu et al. 2020); However, the interactive effects of air pollutants and meteorological factors has not yet been considered. Given the human to human transmission of COVID-19, population migration is also likely to be an important confounding factor when evaluating the effects of meteorological factors and air pollutants on COVID-19, and it has been established that COVID19

cases are almost linearly correlated with the number of people traveled from Wuhan to the destination city (Hu Jianxiong 2020). In this study, we investigate the association between ambient air pollutants, meteorological factors, and their interactive effects on the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases while also controlling for population migration effects, to extend and further our understanding of the relationship between transmission of this disease and environmental factors.

2. Methods

2.1 Data collection

We observed the numbers of the confirmed cases in relation to air pollutants, meteorological parameters and the migration scale index (MSI) in 120 Chinese cities covering the majority of mainland China. The MSI reflects the scale of urban migration into or out of the assigned population, using a horizontal comparison among cities to reflect the trend of population migration between the city pairs. All cities included had no less than five cases from 15 January to 18 March 2020 inclusive. Wuhan was locked down at 10 am on 23 Jan, so we consider only people that traveled from Wuhan to the destination city between 17 and 23 Jan. 2020. All data were taken from the official website of Baidu Migration (https://qianxi.baidu.com/2020/). To better fit the model, we do not include the data of Wuhan City since its large number of the confirmed case counts skew the data and thus may reduce the robustness of the model.

Daily confirmed case counts and daily air pollutants were collected from the official website of Harvard University (https://dataverse.harvard.edu). Meteorological indexes, including average temperature (AT), diurnal temperature range (DTR), relative humidity (RH), wind velocity (WV), air pressure (AP), precipitation (PRE), and hours of sunshine (HS), were obtained from China's Meteorological Data Sharing

Service System (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html). Air pollutant data included particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 μm ($PM_{2.5}$), nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone (O_3). Pollutant concentrations and meteorology parameters were available as daily means in each city; we calculated a single mean for the 63 days of our date range for use in the model.

2.2 Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was performed on all data to explore the characteristics of total confirmed case counts, average concentration of O_3, NO_2, SO_2, CO, $PM_{2.5}$, AT, DTR, RH, WV, AP, PRE, HS and MSI. Meanwhile, to account for the lag effect and the latent period of COVID-19, we evaluated associations with confirmed case counts using lags of 0, 3, 7 and 14 d for air pollutants and meteorological factors (Liu et al. 2020a). Lags were incorporated into parameters by shifting the date range of the appropriate number of days. For example, for lag 3, mean parameter values were calculated using daily means from 15 Jan to 15 March for use in the model; confirmed case counts were always for the date range 18 Jan to 18 March. Spearman correlation analysis was employed to explore the correlation between meteorological factors and air pollutants, and among air pollutants, meteorological factors and confirmed case counts. We used generalized linear models with a negative binomial error distribution to analyze associations between air pollutant factors (O_3, NO_2, SO_2, CO and $PM_{2.5}$), meteorological factors (AT, DTR, RH, WV, AP, PRE and HS), MSI and the total counts of confirmed case since the count data was expected to be clustered and thus over-dispersed. First, we established simple negative binomial regression models (including only one independent variable) to analyze each air pollutant, meteorological factor, and MSI effects on confirmed case counts. We then conducted multiple negative binomial regression models (including multiple independent

variables), with and without MSI, that included all above-mentioned air pollutants and meteorological factors since the independent variables are not strongly correlated (all $|r| < 0.9$). The general negative binomial regression equation is:

$$\text{Log}(\lambda) = \beta 0 + \beta 1X1 + \beta 2X2 + \ldots + \beta mXm,$$

where λ represents the risk of being a confirmed case counts, $X1$, $X2$, …, Xm are the factors that affect λ; and $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, …, βm are the partial coefficients of $X1$, $X2$, and Xm, respectively. To explore the interaction effects, we included $Xi*Xj$ as an interaction term in the model, where Xi and Xj represent an air pollutant and a meteorological factor, respectively. A stratified analysis was done to consider the effect of air pollutants on confirmed COVID-19 cases under different levels of meteorological factors. When a significant interaction effect was present in the negative binomial model, the meteorological factor was stratified into two groups using the median as the dividing point, and a negative binomial model containing all pollutants and remaining meteorological factors was fitted to analyze the effect of air pollutants on the confirmed number of COVID-19 cases was done for each group.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/ with a two-sided P-value < 0.05 considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Study area

Figure 1(a) displays the location of the 120 selected cities in mainland China and the total confirmed cases in each city collected from 15 January 18 March 2020. The

latitude and longitude of these 120 cities range from 20°00′ to 47°23′ N and from 99° 11′ to 130°55′ E, respectively. Most cases were concentrated in the central and eastern parts of mainland China, with fewer cases in the northwest. The detailed distribution of confirmed COVID-19 cases in the 120 cities is given in Table S1. Hubei province contained the majority of confirmed COVID-19 cases; excluding Wuhan City, Xiangfan, Shiyan, Yichang, Jingzhou, Suizhou, and Xiaogan were the cities with the highest number of cases (>500 per city).

Figure 1

3.2 Description of confirmed COVID-19 cases, meteorological factors and air pollutants

Table1 Summary of total confirmed COVID-19 cases, meteorological data and air pollutants of 120 Chinese cities

Variables	Min	P25	P50	P75	Max
Confirmed case counts	5	14	36	81	3518
Concentrations of air pollutants					
CO (mg/m^3)	0.42	0.69	0.82	0.98	1.67
NO_2 (μg/m^3)	5.06	16.65	20.89	28.06	44.01
SO_2 (μg/m^3)	2.70	5.92	8.23	13.83	41.74
O_3 (μg/m^3)	29.63	48.42	56.38	62.66	85.94
$PM_{2.5}$ (μg/m^3)	13.62	30.75	43.58	59.54	94.83
Meteorological factors					
RH (%)	35.42	62.43	73.71	79.28	85.50
AT (°C)	-12.48	2.10	8.14	10.77	21.29
PRE (mm)	0.00	0.36	0.95	2.64	6.82
AP (hPa)	758.41	978.83	1008.22	1016.43	1024.43
HS(h)	1.60	3.31	4.48	6.91	9.20
WV (m/s)	1.00	1.78	2.19	2.57	5.05
DTR (°C)	5.13	6.73	8.92	10.90	18.37

MSI	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.37	5.13

The data were normal distribution by normal distribution test.

Descriptive statistics (maximum and minimum values, median, and interquartile range) for the number of confirmed cases, air pollutant measures, and the meteorological parameters of the 120 cities are presented in Table 1. Among these cities, during our study period (15 January to 18 March 2020), the median daily concentrations of CO, NO_2, SO_2, O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$, were 0.82 mg/m^3, 20.89 μg/m^3, 8.23 μg/m^3, 56.38 μg/m^3, and 43.58 μg/m^3, respectively. For meteorological parameters, ranges of RH, AT, PRE, AP, HS, WV, DTR were 35.42-85.50%, -12.48 to 21.29 °C, 0.00-6.82 mm, 758.41-1024.43 hPa, 1.60-9.20 h, 1.00-5.05 m/s, and 5.13 to 18.37 °C, respectively. MSI ranged from 0.01 to 5.13.

3.3 Correlation analysis of air pollutants and meteorological factors

Spearman correlation coefficients (r_s) between concentrations of air pollutants and meteorological factors are shown in Figure 1(b). Circle size indicates strength of relationship between two variables. All correlations are less than |0.9|. Therefore, all the variables could be integrated into the multiple negative binomial regression model.

3.4 Effects of air pollutants and meteorological parameters on confirmed case counts

The relationship between pollutants, meteorological parameters and confirmed case counts for the lag of 14 d are presented in a scatter plot in Figure 2. Positive associations were present between the confirmed case counts and the pollutant measure $PM_{2.5}$ (P = 0.024), and the meteorological measures RH (P = 0.001), PRE (P = 0.015) and AP (P <0.001). Negative associations occurred between the confirmed case counts and the pollutant SO_2 (P <0.001) and the meteorological variable HS (P = 0.018). Correlations between confirmed case counts and all other variables were not

significant.

Figure 2

3.5 Negative binomial regression model analysis

Simple negative binomial regression results are shown in Figure S1(a-d). CO, NO_2, SO_2, O_3, $PM_{2.5}$, RH, AT, AP, HS, WV and MSI were significantly associated with confirmed cases counts (all P <0.05). PRE had no effect on confirmed case counts for lags of 0, 3, 7 and 14 d, while DTR was significantly associated with confirmed cases counts at lags of 7 and 14 d. The multiple negative binomial regression model results (without adjusting for MSI) are shown in Figure 3(a1-d1). CO, $PM_{2.5}$ and RH were positively associated with confirmed case counts, while SO_2 was negatively associated with confirmed case counts at lags 0, 3, 7, and 14 d. However, O_3 has a positive effect on confirmed case counts at lag 0 and WV has a positive effect on confirmed case counts at lag 14 d. After adjusting for migration using MSI, CO, $PM_{2.5}$, RH, O_3, SO_2, WV were also statistically significant, as shown in Figure 3(a2-d2).

Figure 3

3.6 Interaction effects between air pollutants and meteorological factors

Table 2 The significant interaction effects of ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors on COVID-19 confirmed cases after adjusting MSI (P<0.05)

Variables	β	Lower 95% CI	Upper 95% CI	*P*
CO by HS	-0.811	-1.420	-0.202	0.009
CO by DTR	-0.365	-0.693	-0.037	0.029
CO by RH	0.137	0.058	0.216	0.001
SO_2 by AP	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.014
$PM_{2.5}$ by PRE	0.022	0.008	0.036	0.002

Significant interactive effects (P <0.05) of ambient air pollutants and meteorological

factors on COVID-19 confirmed cases after adjusting with MSI are shown in Table 2. CO interacted with two meteorological factors, HS and DTR, and SO_2 interacted with AP to negatively affect the total number of COVID-19 confirmed cases; while CO and RH, and $PM_{2.5}$ and PRE interacted to positively affect total case count.

Table 3 Stratified analysis of ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors interaction effects on COVID-19 confirmed cases

Air pollutant	**Meteorological factor**	**β**	**Lower 95% CI**	**Upper 95% CI**	***P***
CO	HS<P50	3.321	-0.230	6.873	0.067
	HS≥P50	1.115	-0.247	2.478	0.108
CO	DTR<P50	5.763	2.402	9.124	0.001
	DTR≥P50	0.931	0.066	1.796	0.035
CO	RH<P50	0.930	-0.574	2.434	0.226
	RH≥P50	6.045	3.247	8.844	<0.001
SO_2	AP<P50	-0.006	-0.052	0.041	0.812
	AP≥P50	-0.243	-0.307	-0.178	<0.001
$PM_{2.5}$	PRE<P50	0.004	-0.019	0.027	0.727
	PRE≥P50	0.049	-0.009	0.107	0.100

The adjusted interaction effects of CO with HS, DTR, RH, of SO_2 with AP, and of $PM_{2.5}$ with PRE are listed in Table 3. The remaining adjusted air pollutants, meteorological factors and MSI and under the levels of HS values below 50th percentile and HS and above 50th percentile, had no significant effects on COVID-19 case counts by CO. At the low DTR level (<50th percentile), an increase of CO by 1 mg/m^3 is expected to increase the log of risk of being confirmed COVID-19 cases by a factor of >5 (β = 5.763, P = 0.001), while the same increase in CO at the high DTR level (≥ 50th percentile) increases the riskby a factor of <1 (β = 0.931, P = 0.035). CO has no significant effect on confirmed case counts at low RH level, but at a high RH level, a 1 mg/m^3 increase of CO significantly raises the risk of being confirmed case

(β = 6.045, P <0.001). An increase of SO_2 was associated with lower risk of confirmed case counts (β = -0.243, P <0.001) at high level AP, but was not significantly associated with confirmed case counts at low level AP. $PM_{2.5}$ variation had no significant effect for either low or high PRE levels. These results indicate that the effects of CO and SO_2 vary depending on the level of meteorological factors.

4. Discussion

In this work, we explored the role of air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interactive effects on confirmed case counts in 120 Chinese cities. We focused on these cities as their meteorological data was available (this is not true for all cities in China). Our results indicate that air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interaction are associated with COVID-19 confirmed case counts, with and without adjusting using MSI.

Previous studies indicate that meteorological factors and air pollutants influence prevalence of infectious diseases (e.g., influenza, SARS, MERS, COVID-19 (Cai et al. 2007, Liu et al. 2020a, Prata et al. 2020, Tan et al. 2005, van Doremalen et al. 2013, Yusuf et al. 2007)). An ecological analysis carried out in China demonstrated that air pollutants increase SARS case fatalities (Cui et al. 2003). As a major component of air pollution, particulate matter is associated with a variety of cardiorespiratory diseases, including acute lower respiratory tract infections. $PM_{2.5}$ has adverse effects on human health and is associated with many diseases, especially respiratory disease (Horne et al. 2018). $PM_{2.5}$ may damage bronchial immunity and epithelial cell integrity (Jiang et al. 2020), which, in turn, will reduce the body's ability to fight off viruses, making affected individuals more vulnerable to respiratory disease. Exposure to higher concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ results in greater use of healthcare resources for acute lower respiratory infection (Horne et al. 2018). Jiang et al. (Jiang et al. 2020), Suhaimi et al.

(Suhaimi et al. 2020) and Zhu et al. (Zhu et al. 2020) studies suggested that $PM_{2.5}$ was positively associated with confirmed COVID-19 cases, which were consistent with our results (Figure 3). Cai et al. (2007) indicated that no correlation exists between air pollution (including particulate matter, SO_2, NO_2, O_3, and CO) and SARS outbreaks (Cai et al. 2007). However, our results are consistent with Zhu et al. (Zhu et al. 2020), who found that increases in CO were positively associated with the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases, while SO_2 was negatively correlated with COVID-19 cases (Figure 3). The difference is that migration was taken into account in our analysis and it was not in the analysis by Zhu et al. (Zhu et al. 2020). Hu's (Hu Jianxiong 2020) study indicated that the cumulative number of reported COVID-19 cases in each province was positively correlated with the population index of Wuhan moving out to each province, and the correlation coefficient is 0.84, so we believe that it is necessary to control the population migration.

Kesic et al. suggests that exposure to O_3 might disrupt the protease/anti-protease balance of human airways, contributing to an increased influenza A infection rate (Kesic et al. 2012). We similarly found that exposure to $PM_{2.5}$, CO and O_3 increased likelihood of being infected by COVID-19 (Figure 3). Understanding the relationship between air pollutant exposure and health provides clues regarding effective protective measures that can reduce the incidence or risk of diseases. Despite the positive relationship between air pollutants (including $PM_{2.5}$, CO, and O_3) and confirmed COVID-19 cases and the negative relationship between SO_2 and confirmed COVID-19 cases that we found, the mechanism by which pollutants affect COVID-19, whether via changes in the transmission of the virus or in the immunity of the human body, remains unknown. Further experimental studies are required to explore the molecular regulation mechanisms involved.

Meteorological factors such as AT, DTR, RH, WV, AP, PRE and HS are important factors influencing the survival of microorganisms in the external environment (Anwar et al. 2019, Bezirtzoglou et al. 2011, Casadevall 2020, Chan et al. 2011, Liu et al. 2020a). For decades, experts have issued warnings that climate change may affect the epidemiology of infectious diseases (Casadevall 2020). Global warming, rising sea levels, and climate change will be accompanied by new and unknown diseases (Bezirtzoglou et al. 2011, Casadevall 2020). Meteorological factors may change the host's behavior, e.g., by affecting time spent indoors or outdoors, affect the host's defense mechanisms (inhalation of dry and cold air will cause damage to the cleaning function of cilia), or change the infectivity and stability of the virus. Although many studies on meteorological factors and respiratory infectious diseases (including COVID-19) exist, research results remain controversial. While temperature is unlikely to act alone in determining the population’s health, but it can work synergistically with other weather parameters to do so (Huang et al. 2017).

COVID-19 case numbers appear to increase with increasing temperature in the range of -20 to 20 °C (Liu et al. 2020a). Other studies have reported that when AT is below 3 °C, it is positively correlated with confirmed COVID-19 case counts (Xie &Zhu 2020). A Brazilian study suggests a negative linear relationship exists between AT and daily cumulative confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the temperature range of 16.8 to 27.4 °C (Prata et al. 2020), while Auler et al.’s research (also conducted in Brazilian) suggests both higher AT and RH favor COVID-19 transmission.(Auler et al. 2020). The results of studies in some tropical countries emphasize that COVID-19 cases positively correlate with AT (Pani et al. 2020, Suhaimi et al. 2020, Tosepu et al. 2020). Another study demonstrated that in DTR increased in range of from 5 to 15 °C, the counts of confirmed COVID-19 cases decreased, and that a non-linear relationship

occurred between COVID-19 cases and absolute humidity (Liu et al. 2020a). A study of 244 cities in China found no significant associations between RH, AT and cumulative incidence rate or R_0 of COVID-19 (Yao et al. 2020a), which is in contrast to our finding that RH and AT are positively associated with COVID-19 case counts (Figure 3 and S1, respectively). Temperature in our study ranged from -12.48 to 21.29 °C, and our results of AT as positively associated with confirmed case counts is consistent with other studies in tropical countries and China indicating that COVID-19 can survive at higher temperatures, this reveals that COVID-19 can survival under higher temperatures. Experimental research on SARS-CoV-2 confirmed that this virus is very stable at 4 °C, but when the temperature increases to 70 °C, inactivation time for the virus is shortened to 5 min (Chin et al. 2020).

Hu et al. reported that low WV might increase the risk of mumps (Hu et al. 2018). Our multiple negative binomial regression models [lag 14 d in Figure 3(d1)] suggest that WV is negatively associated with COVID-19 confirmed case counts, consistent with Hu et al (Hu et al. 2018). Wind can affect the duration of droplets suspended in air. In indoor environments, high wind speed means that rooms are well-ventilated and in outdoor environments, high wind speed enhances dilution and removal of droplets, both of which could shorten droplet suspension time in the air (Cai et al. 2007).

Regarding rainfall, our study showed PRE is positively related to confirmed case counts (Figure 2); however, this effect was not statistically significant in simple and multiple negative binomial regression analysis (Figure S1 and 3). Some epidemiological data generally support a relationship between influenza virus infection and PRE (Pica &Bouvier 2012). Agrawal et al. found a significant association between rainfall and influenza virus infection in India, and fewer reports

of infection in the dry season (Agrawal et al. 2009). The association between PRE and respiratory syncytial virus infection showed similar results in our research and in other studies (Shek &Lee 2003).

To the best of our knowledge, interactive effects of air pollutants and meteorological factors have not previously been identified as affecting COVID-19 cases but have been identified in noninfectious diseases (Guo et al. 2019, Huang et al. 2017) and cause-specific diseases (Chen et al. 2019). In this study, we observed interactive effects on the total number of COVID-19 confirmed cases, especially for CO, which showed interactions with three meteorological factors (HS, DTR and RH; Table 2). The degree of increase in number of COVID-19 confirmed cases with CO depends on the level of certain meteorological factors. For example, effect of CO on confirmed cases is 5.763 (β = 5.763, P= 0.001) at low DTR level (< 50th percentile), but it is 0.931 (β= 0.931, P= 0.035) at high DTR level (Table 3) and the interactive effect was negative (β = -0.365, P = 0.029, Table 2), creating an antagonistic effect between these two factors. Although the interactive effect of CO and HS was statistically significant (β = -0.811, P = 0.009, Table 2), the stratified analysis did not find differences between the two categories of HS (Table 3), and thus the biological meaning of the interaction is unclear. Interactive effects of $PM_{2.5}$ with PRE and SO_2 with AP were also statistically significant. Our findings suggest that environmental pollutants do not influence COVID-19 spread alone, but that they play important roles in combination with meteorological variables. The exact mechanisms of these interactive effects requires for further study.

We have comprehensively evaluated the effect of environmental pollution, meteorological factors and their interaction on the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases with and without controlling for MSI, to increase understanding of these risk

factors on the transmission of COVID-19. The limitation of our research is that we only analyzed data from 120 cities in China. Further studies are required in more countries and regions to clarify the effect of environmental air pollutants on COVID-19 infection rates worldwide.

5. Conclusion

Our work verifies that air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interaction affect the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 even after controlling for migration. Our study suggests that increased RH, CO and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are associated with increased numbers of confirmed COVID-19 cases. In addition, pollutant-meteorological factor interaction effects influenced COVID-19 infection levels. Our research provides a theoretical basis for formulating public health policies for the prevention and control of COVID-19 that take into consideration the effects and relationship of air pollutants and meteorological factors.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81872584 and 81273078), National 863 Young Scientist Program (No. 2015AA020940), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (No. 201704020056), and Scientific Research Project for University of Education Bureau of Guangzhou (No. 201831841).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Jianli Zhou: conceptualization, methodology, data curation, writing-original draft preparation. Linyuan Qin: methodology, visualization, investigation, writing-original draft preparation. Xiaojing Meng: writing-reviewing and editing. Nan Liu: supervision, validation, writing-reviewing and editing.

Appendix A. Supplementary materials

Supplementary materials to this article can be found online at…

Reference

Agrawal AS, Sarkar M, Chakrabarti S, Rajendran K, Kaur H, Mishra AC, Chatterjee MK, Naik TN, Chadha MS, Chawla-Sarkar M (2009) Comparative evaluation of real-time PCR and conventional RT-PCR during a 2 year surveillance for influenza and respiratory syncytial virus among children with acute respiratory infections in Kolkata, India, reveals a distinct seasonality of infection. J Med Microbiol. 58: 1616-1622

Anwar A, Anwar S, Ayub M, Nawaz F, Hyder S, Khan N, Malik I (2019) Climate change and infectious diseases: evidence from highly vulnerable countries. Iran J Public Health.: 2187-2195

Auler AC, Cássaro FAM, da Silva VO, Pires LF (2020) Evidence that high temperatures and intermediate relative humidity might favor the spread of COVID-19 in tropical climate: A case study for the most affected Brazilian cities. Sci Total Environ 729: 139090

Bezirtzoglou C, Dekas K, Charvalos E (2011) Climate changes, environment and infection: facts, scenarios and growing awareness from the public health community within Europe. Anaerobe 17: 337-40

Cai QC, Lu J, Xu QF, Guo Q, Xu DZ, Sun QW, Yang H, Zhao GM, Jiang QW (2007) Influence of meteorological factors and air pollution on the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Public Health 121: 258-65

Casadevall A (2020) Climate change brings the specter of new infectious diseases. J Clin Invest 130: 553-555

Chan KH, Peiris JS, Lam SY, Poon LL, Yuen KY, Seto WH (2011) The effects of temperature and relative humidity on the viability of the SARS Coronavirus. Adv Virol 2011: 734690

Chen Y, Zheng M, Lv J, Shi T, Liu P, Wu Y, Feng W, He W, Guo P (2019) Interactions between ambient air pollutants and temperature on emergency department visits: Analysis of varying-coefficient model in Guangzhou, China. Sci Total Environ 668: 825-834

Chin AWH, Chu JTS, Perera MRA, Hui KPY, Yen H-L, Chan MCW, Peiris M, Poon LLM (2020) Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. The Lancet Microbe 1: e10

Cui Y, Zhang ZF, Froines J, Zhao J, Wang H, Yu SZ, Detels R (2003) Air pollution and case fatality of SARS in the People's Republic of China: an ecologic study. Environ Health 2: 15

Guo Q, Xiong X, Liang F, Tian L, Liu W, Wang Z, Pan X (2019) The interactive effects between

air pollution and meteorological factors on the hospital outpatient visits for atopic dermatitis in Beijing, China: a time-series analysis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 33: 2362-2370

Holshue ML et al. (2020) First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med 382: 929-936

Horne BD, Joy EA, Hofmann MG, Gesteland PH, Cannon JB, Lefler JS, Blagev DP, Korgenski EK, Torosyan N, Hansen GI, Kartchner D, Pope CA, 3rd (2018) Short-term elevation of fine particulate matter air pollution and acute lower respiratory infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 198: 759-766

Hu Jianxiong HG, Liu Tao, Xiao Jianpeng, Rong Zuhua, Guo Lingchuan, Zeng Weilin, Zhu Zhihua, Gong Dexin, Yin Lihua, Wan Donghua, Zeng Lilian, Ma Wenjun (2020) Risk assessment of exported risk of COVID-19 from Hubei Province Chinese Journal of Preventive Medicine 54: 632-366

Hu W, Li Y, Han W, Xue L, Zhang W, Ma W, Bi P (2018) Meteorological factors and the incidence of mumps in Fujian province, China, 2005-2013: non-linear effects. Sci Total Environ 619-620: 1286-1298

Huang CH, Lin HC, Tsai CD, Huang HK, Lian IB, Chang CC (2017) The interaction effects of meteorological factors and air pollution on the development of acute coronary syndrome. Sci Rep 7: 44004

Jiang Y, Wu XJ, Guan YJ (2020) Effect of ambient air pollutants and meteorological variables on COVID-19 incidence. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol: 1-11

Kesic MJ, Meyer M, Bauer R, Jaspers I (2012) Exposure to ozone modulates human airway protease/antiprotease balance contributing to increased influenza A infection. PLoS One 7: e35108

Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HJ, Hsueh PR (2020) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents 55: 105924

Liu J, Zhou J, Yao J, Zhang X, Li L, Xu X, He X, Wang B, Fu S, Niu T, Yan J, Shi Y, Ren X, Niu J, Zhu W, Li S, Luo B, Zhang K (2020a) Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: a multi-city study in China. Sci Total Environ 726: 138513

Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, Han K (2020b) Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: a comparison with young and middle-aged patients. J Infect 80: e14-e18

Oliveiros B, Caramelo L, Ferreira NC, Caramelo F (2020) Role of temperature and humidity in the modulation of the doubling time of COVID-19 cases. medRxiv: 2020.03.05.20031872

Pani SK, Lin NH, RavindraBabu S (2020) Association of COVID-19 pandemic with meteorological parameters over Singapore. Sci Total Environ 740: 140112

Pica N, Bouvier NM (2012) Environmental factors affecting the transmission of respiratory viruses. Curr Opin Virol 2: 90-5

Prata DN, Rodrigues W, Bermejo PH (2020) Temperature significantly changes COVID-19 transmission in (sub)tropical cities of Brazil. Sci Total Environ 729: 138862

Shek LP, Lee BW (2003) Epidemiology and seasonality of respiratory tract virus infections in the tropics. Paediatr Respir Rev 4: 105-11

Siordia JA, Jr. (2020) Epidemiology and clinical features of COVID-19: A review of current

literature. J Clin Virol 127: 104357

Suhaimi NF, Jalaludin J, Latif MT (2020) Demystifying a Possible Relationship between COVID-19, Air Quality and Meteorological Factors: Evidence from Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Aerosol Air Qual. Res 20: 1520-1529

Tan J, Mu L, Huang J, Yu S, Chen B, Yin J (2005) An initial investigation of the association between the SARS outbreak and weather: with the view of the environmental temperature and its variation. J Epidemiol Community Health 59: 186-92

Tobias A, Molina T (2020) Is temperature reducing the transmission of COVID-19 ? Environ Res 186: 109553

Tosepu R, Gunawan J, Effendy DS, Ahmad OAI, Lestari H, Bahar H, Asfian P (2020) Correlation between weather and Covid-19 pandemic in Jakarta, Indonesia. Sci Total Environ 725: 138436

van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Munster VJ (2013) Stability of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions. Euro Surveill 18: 20590

Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF (2020a) A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet 395: 470-473

Wang M, Jiang A, Gong L, Luo L, Guo W, Li C, Zheng J, Li C, Yang B, Zeng J, Chen Y, Zheng K, Li H (2020b) Temperature significant change COVID-19 Transmission in 429 cities. medRxiv: 2020.02.22.20025791

Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, Chen YM, Wang W, Song ZG, Hu YA-O, Tao ZW, Tian JH, Pei YY, Yuan ML, Zhang YL, Dai FH, Liu Y, Wang QM, Zheng JJ, Xu L, Holmes EA-O, Zhang YZ (2020) A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature. 579: 265-269

Xie J, Zhu Y (2020) Association between ambient temperature and COVID-19 infection in 122 cities from China. Sci Total Environ 724: 138201

Xu Z, Hu W Fau - Williams G, Williams G Fau - Clements ACA, Clements Ac Fau - Kan H, Kan H Fau - Tong S, Tong S (2013) Air pollution, temperature and pediatric influenza in Brisbane, Australia. Environ Int. 59: 384-388

Yao Y, Pan J, Liu Z, Meng X, Wang W, Kan H (2020a) No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. Eur Respir J: 2000517

Yao Y, Pan J, Liu Z, Meng X, Wang W, Kan H (2020b) No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. Eur Respir J 55: 2000517

Yusuf S, Piedimonte G, Auais A, Demmler G, Krishnan S, Van Caeseele P, Singleton R, Broor S, Parveen S, Avendano L, Parra J, Chavez-Bueno S, Murguia De Sierra T, Simoes EA, Shaha S, Welliver R (2007) The relationship of meteorological conditions to the epidemic activity of respiratory syncytial virus. Epidemiol Infect 135: 1077-90

Zhou P et al. (2020) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579: 270-273

Zhu Y, Xie J, Huang F, Cao L (2020) Association between short-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 infection: Evidence from China. Sci Total Environ 727: 138704

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Locations of 120 cities and total confirmed cases in each city on 18 Mar. 2020, and correlation analysis of meteorological factors and air pollutants.

NA: Cities not included in this study.

Figure 2. Correlation analysis of confirmed case counts with air pollution and meteorological parameters.

Log (CCC) = log (confirmed case counts)

Figure 3. The relationship between air pollutants, meteorological factors and confirmed case counts in a multiple negative binomial regression model with and without adjusting for migrations with MSI.


(a)
Confirmed Cases
NA
>501
201~500
101~200
51~100
26~50
5~25


(b)
CO
NO2
SO2
O3
PM2.5
RH
AT
PRE
AP
HS
WV
DTR
−1
−0.8
−0.6
−0.4
−0.2
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1


Log(CCC)
rs=0.03 p=0.788
CO (mg/m3)
rs=−0.00 p=0.989
NO2 (μg/m3)
rs=−0.314 p<0.001
SO2 (μg/m3)
rs=0.04 p=0.676
O3 (μg/m3)
rs=0.21 p=0.024
PM2.5 (μg/m3)
rs=0.30 p=0.001
RH (%)
rs=0.10 p=0.304
AT (℃)
rs=0.22 p=0.015
PRE (mm)
rs=0.41 p<0.001
AP (hPa)
rs=−0.22 p=0.018
HS (h)
rs=0.07 p=0.428
WV (m/s)
rs=−0.16 p=0.09
DTR (℃)


(a1)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.84(0.67~3.02) 0.002
NO2 −0.01(−0.05~0.02) 0.387
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.030
SO2 −0.08(−0.11~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.045
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.160
DTR 0.09(−0.08~0.26) 0.316
PRE 0.05(−0.12~0.22) 0.564
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.006
HS 0.17(−0.07~0.40) 0.159
WV −0.32(−0.65~0.01) 0.055
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(a2)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 2.01(0.76~3.25) 0.002
NO2 −0.02(−0.05~0.02) 0.306
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.040
SO2 −0.08(−0.11~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.044
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.02~0.08) 0.191
DTR 0.09(−0.08~0.26) 0.295
PRE 0.04(−0.13~0.22) 0.649
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.006
HS 0.16(−0.07~0.40) 0.179
WV −0.33(−0.66~0.00) 0.048
MSI −0.21(−0.74~0.32) 0.437
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(b1)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.87(0.71~3.03) 0.002
NO2 −0.01(−0.05~0.02) 0.402
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.045
SO2 −0.08(−0.12~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.060
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.153
DTR 0.10(−0.08~0.27) 0.278
PRE 0.06(−0.11~0.22) 0.522
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.005
HS 0.18(−0.06~0.41) 0.134
WV −0.28(−0.61~0.05) 0.097
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(b2)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 2.00(0.76~3.24) 0.002
NO2 −0.02(−0.05~0.02) 0.341
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.057
SO2 −0.08(−0.12~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.059
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.02~0.08) 0.180
DTR 0.10(−0.08~0.27) 0.265
PRE 0.05(−0.12~0.22) 0.591
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.005
HS 0.17(−0.06~0.41) 0.151
WV −0.29(−0.62~0.04) 0.088
MSI −0.16(−0.72~0.39) 0.569
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(c1)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.82(0.68~2.96) 0.002
NO2 −0.02(−0.05~0.02) 0.393
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.044
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.073
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.04(−0.01~0.08) 0.145
DTR 0.10(−0.08~0.27) 0.278
PRE 0.07(−0.10~0.23) 0.434
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.009
HS 0.18(−0.05~0.42) 0.132
WV −0.30(−0.64~0.03) 0.076
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(c2)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.88(0.65~3.11) 0.003
NO2 −0.02(−0.05~0.02) 0.372
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.051
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.072
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.159
DTR 0.10(−0.08~0.27) 0.271
PRE 0.06(−0.11~0.23) 0.466
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.009
HS 0.18(−0.06~0.42) 0.143
WV −0.31(−0.64~0.03) 0.073
MSI −0.08(−0.67~0.51) 0.790
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(d1)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.67(0.52~2.82) 0.005
NO2 −0.02(−0.06~0.02) 0.320
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.049
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.03) <0.001
O3 0.02(−0.01~0.05) 0.180
RH 0.06(0.03~0.09) <0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.162
DTR 0.11(−0.06~0.29) 0.207
PRE −0.02(−0.18~0.15) 0.841
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.002
HS 0.16(−0.09~0.40) 0.215
WV −0.35(−0.70~0.00) 0.047
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß
(d2)
Variables ß(95% CI) P
CO 1.68(0.43~2.93) 0.008
NO2 −0.02(−0.06~0.02) 0.333
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.052
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.03) <0.001
O3 0.02(−0.01~0.05) 0.180
RH 0.06(0.03~0.09) <0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.167
DTR 0.11(−0.06~0.29) 0.209
PRE −0.02(−0.19~0.15) 0.837
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.002
HS 0.15(−0.09~0.4) 0.223
WV −0.35(−0.70~0.00) 0.048
MSI −0.01(−0.64~0.61) 0.964
−0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3
ß


Ambient air pollutants
Interaction
Meteorological factors
Adjusting population migration
COVID-19 confirmed cases
Negative binomial regression analysis
(a1)
(a2)
(b1)
(b2)
(c1)
(c2)
(d1)
(d2)