# Ambient air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interactions affect confirmed cases of COVID-19 in 120 Chinese cities

Jianli Zhou [a], Linyuan Qin [b], Nan Liu [a, c, d, e*]

[a] Department of Occupational Health and Occupational Medicine, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Tropical Disease Research, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, 510515, P. R. China.

[b] Department of Epidemiology and Statistics, School of Public Health, Guilin Medical University, Guilin, 541001, P. R. China.

[c] Institute of Public Health, School of Nursing, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China.

[d] College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 540001, P. R. China.

[e] Pinghu Hospital, Health Science Center, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518116, P. R. China.

*Corresponding authors:

**Nan Liu**

nhyy8132@smu.edu.cn

orcid.org/0000-0002-8895-3169

## Abstract

Emerging evidences have confirmed effects of meteorological factors on novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). However, few studies verify the impact of air pollutants on this pandemic. This study aims to explore the association of ambient air pollutants, meteorological factors and their interactions effect confirmed case counts of COVID-19 in 120 Chinese cities. Here, we collected total confirmed cases of COVID-19 by combining with meteorological factors and air pollutants data from 15th January 2020 to 18th March 2020 in 120 Chinese cities. Spearman correlation analysis was employed to estimate the association between two variables; univariate and multivariate negative binomial regression analysis were applied to explore the effect of air pollutants and meteorological parameters on the COVID-19 confirmed cases. Positive associations were found between the confirmed cases of COVID-19 and carbon monoxide (CO), aerodynamic particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 μm ($PM_{2.5}$), relative humidity (RH) and air pressure (AP). And negative association was found for sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$). In addition, multivariate negative binomial regression analysis suggested that confirmed cases of COVID-19 was positively correlated with ozone ($O_3$) in lag 0 day while it was negatively associated with wind velocity (WV) in lag 14 days, and the pollutants-meteorological factors interactions also associate with COVID-19. In conclusions, air pollutants and meteorological factors and their interactions all associate with COVID-19.

**Keywords:** COVID-19; meteorological parameters; air pollutants; interactions

## 1. Introduction

In December of 2019, a novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) reported in Wuhan City, P. R. China (Wu et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). COVID-19 outbreak has rapidly spread across China and many other countries (Holshue et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2017; Lai et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a), and emerging evidence indicates that the etiologic agent of COVID-19 may affect the physical and mental health of us like cough, myalgia, loss of appetite, have a fever, olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions, cause neurological complications (De Felice et al., 2020; Lechien et al., 2020) and anxiety (Wang et al., 2020b). Due to the great transmissibility and wide spreading, COVID-19 has officially been declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11th, 2020 (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019). By March 18th, 2020, COVID-19 have become prevalent in more than 160 countries around the world, with a total of 191127 confirmed cases worldwide (https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/); and 80928 confirmed cases have been reported in China (http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/xxgzbd/gzbd_index.shtml). This makes the world face a huge public health crisis.

Air pollutants can significantly affects health, and WHO announces 4.6 million individuals were died annually from diseases and illnesses directly related to poor air quality (Tobias and Molina, 2020). Particularly, increasing studies explore the relationship between air pollution and infectious diseases. A previous study reported that there was no relationship between air pollution and SARS outbreaks (Cai et al., 2007; Tobias and Molina, 2020; Yusuf et al., 2007). A positive association was

observed between air pollutants (sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide and ground-level ozone) and SARS case fatality (Cui et al., 2003). Another study in Brisbane suggested that high concentrations of $O_3$ and $PM_{10}$ were associated with more pediatric influenza cases (Xu et al., 2013).

Meteorological factors are regarded as an independent factor affecting the spreading of infectious diseases (Cai et al., 2007; Xie and Zhu, 2020). Although the association between virus that result in respiratory disease (SARS, influenza viral and SARS-CoV-2) and meteorological factors had been explored (Yusuf et al., 2007), the results of these studies were controversial or inconclusive. For example, Tan's study showed sharply change of ambient temperature was correlated to increase the risk of SARS (Tan et al., 2005), while another study by Yao claimed ambient temperature had no significant effect on the transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 (Yao et al., 2020a) and more studies indicated that the increase of average temperature contributed to an average relative risk (RR) and the decrease of daily SARS confirmed case counts (Cai et al., 2007). These inconsistent results implied that whether the interaction of pollutants-meteorological have influenced the transmission of these diseases.

The trends of the SARS outbreak may be influenced by air pollutants and meteorological factors which would have changed the host susceptibility and the survival time of SARS-CoV in vitro (Cai et al., 2007). COVID-19 infections can cause serious respiratory diseases, the common symptoms at the beginning includes fever, cough, muscle aches or fatigue, etc. which is similar to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (Liu et al., 2020b; Siordia, 2020), and the similar effect of air pollutants or meteorological factors on confirmed COVID-19 cases was also observed (Jiang et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020a; Oliveiros et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020c; Zhu et al., 2020); however, the interaction effects of air pollutants and

meteorological factors remained out of consideration. In this study, we investigate the association of ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors effects and, especially their interaction effects on COVID-19 confirmed cases, for extending and further understanding the relations of transmission of this disease and environmental factors.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Data collection

We observed the numbers of the confirmed cases in relation to air pollutants and meteorological parameters in 120 Chinese cities (to better fit the model, we delete the data of Wuhan since confirmed case counts are large in it and the data of Wuhan may reduce the robustness of the model) that covered the majority of the Chinese mainland with no less than 5 cases during 15 January 2020 to 18 March 2020. Daily confirmed case counts and daily air pollutants were collected from the official websites of Harvard University (https://dataverse.harvard.edu). Meteorological data were obtained from China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (http://data.cma.cn/site/index.html), respectively. Air pollutants data included particulate matter with aerodynamic particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter ≤ 2.5 μm ($PM_{2.5}$), nitrogen dioxide ($NO_2$), and sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone ($O_3$). Meteorological parameters including average temperature （AT）, diurnal temperature range （DTR）, relative humidity (RH), wind velocity (WV), air pressure (AP), precipitation (PRE), and hours of sunshine (HS) . The means of daily pollutants concentration and meteorological factors value in every city were calculated to represent the responding variable in that city during the whole period.

Therefore, there is no need to have ethical review.

## 2.2 Statistical analysis

The descriptive analysis was performed for all the data to explore the characteristics of total confirmed case counts, the average concentration of $O_3$, $NO_2$, $SO_2$, CO, and $PM_{2.5}$, AT, DTR, RH, WV, AP, PRE and HS. Meanwhile, we account for the lag effect and the latent period of COVID-19, we evaluated the associations in lag 0, 3, 7 and 14 d between confirmed case counts and these air pollutants and meteorological factors (Liu et al., 2020a). Spearman correlation analysis was employed to explore the correlation of meteorological factors and air pollutants; associations between air pollutants, meteorological factors and confirmed case counts. We adopted generalized linear models with negative binomial regression to analyze the associations between air pollutants factors ($O_3$, $NO_2$, $SO_2$, CO, $PM_{2.5}$), meteorological factors (AT, DTR, RH, WV, AP, PRE and HS) and the total counts of confirmed case as this count data is clustered and may be over-dispersed. We first establish a univariate negative binomial regression models to analyze the air pollutants and meteorological factors and the confirmed case counts, then we conducted multivariate negative binomial regression models that including all above-mentioned factors since the independent variables are not serious related (all $|rs|<0.9$). The core negative binomial regression equation is: $\mathrm{Log}(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \ldots + \beta_m X_m$, $\lambda$ here is the confirmed case counts, and $X_1$, $X_2$, $X_m$ are the factors that affect $\lambda$, and $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\beta_m$ are the correlation coefficient of $X_1$, $X_2$, $X_m$. To explore the interaction effects, we force $X_i*X_j$ as an interaction term in the previous model, where $X_i$ represents an air pollutant and $X_j$ represents a meteorological factor. We put only one interaction term in one model fit for cautiousness.

All the statistical analyses were performed by employing SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS

Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R software (version 3.6.3) with two-sided *P* value < 0.05 considered as statistically significant.

# 3. Results

## 3.1 Study area

Figure 1 showed the locations of these 120 cities in China mainland and the total confirmed cases in each city was collected in March 18, 2020. The latitude range of these 120 cities is 20°00′to 47°23′N, and the longitude range is 99°11′to 130°55′E.

**Figure 1**

## 3.2 Description of confirmed COVID-19 cases, meteorological factors and air pollutants

The maximum and minimum values, median, interquartile range, and other indicators number of confirmed cases, ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors of the assigned 120 cities were described in Table 1. Among these cities, during our study period (Jan 15 to Mar 18, 2020), the median of daily concentrations of CO, $NO_2$, $SO_2$, $O_3$ and $PM_{2.5}$, were 0.82 mg/m$^3$, 20.89 μg/m$^3$, 8.23 μg/m$^3$, 56.38μg/m$^3$, and 43.58 μg/m$^3$, respectively. The ranges of RH, AT, PRE, AP, HS, WV, DTR were 35.42%~85.50%, -12.48~21.29 (℃), 0.00~6.82 mm, 758.41~1024.43 hPa, 1.60~9.20 (h), 1.00~5.05 (s/m), 5.13~18.37 (℃), respectively.

**Table1** Summary of total confirmed COVID-19 cases, meteorological data and air pollutants of 120 cities

| Variables | Min | P25 | P50 | P75 | Max |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| confirmed case counts | 5.00 | 13.50 | 35.50 | 81.00 | 3518.00 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Concentrations of air pollutants | | | | | |
| CO (mg/m$^3$) | 0.42 | 0.69 | 0.82 | 0.98 | 1.67 |
| $NO_2$ (μg/m$^3$) □ | 5.06 | 16.65 | 20.89 | 28.06 | 44.01 |
| $SO_2$ (μg/m$^3$) | 2.70 | 5.92 | 8.23 | 13.83 | 41.74 |
| $O_3$ (μg/m$^3$) □ | 29.63 | 48.42 | 56.38 | 62.66 | 85.94 |
| $PM_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$) | 13.62 | 30.75 | 43.58 | 59.54 | 94.83 |
| **Meteorological factors** | | | | | |
| RH (%) | 35.42 | 62.43 | 73.71 | 79.28 | 85.50 |
| AT (℃) | -12.48 | 2.10 | 8.14 | 10.77 | 21.29 |
| PRE (mm) | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.95 | 2.64 | 6.82 |
| AP (hPa) | 758.41 | 978.83 | 1008.22 | 1016.43 | 1024.43 |
| HS(h) | 1.60 | 3.31 | 4.48 | 6.91 | 9.20 |
| WV (m/s) □ | 1.00 | 1.78 | 2.19 | 2.57 | 5.05 |
| DTR (℃) | 5.13 | 6.73 | 8.92 | 10.90 | 18.37 |

□ The data were normal distribution by normal distribution test.

### 3.3 Correlation analysis of air pollutants and meteorological factors

Spearman correlation coefficient ($r_s$) between air pollutants concentrations and meteorological factors were demonstrated in Figure 2The larger circle indicates stronger relation of two variables. Figure 2 showed $r_s$ for any two variables are less than |0.9|. Therefore, in the multivariate negative binomial regression analysis, all the variables were integrated into the model.

**Figure 2**

### 3.4 Effects of air pollutants and meteorological parameters on confirmed case counts

The scatter plot displayed the relationship between pollutants, meteorological parameters and confirmed case counts for lag 14 d in Figure 3. There were positive

associated between the confirmed case counts and $PM_{2.5}$ ($P$=0.024), RH ($P$=0.001), PRE ($P$=0.015) and AP ($P$<0.001); while there were negative between the confirmed case counts and $SO_2$ ($P$<0.001) and HS ($P$=0.018). Meanwhile, we haven't found any correlations between the confirmed case counts and CO, $NO_2$, $O_3$, AT, WV, and DTR.

**Figure 3**

### 3.5 Negative binomial regression model analysis

Univariate negative binomial regression results were shown in Figure 4. CO, $NO_2$, $SO_2$, $O_3$, $PM_{2.5}$, RH, AT, AP, HS, WV were significantly associated with confirmed cases counts while PRE have no effect on it in lag 0, 3, 7 and 14 d ($P$<0.05); DTR have except an effect on confirmed cases counts in lag 7 and lag 14 d. Multivariate negative binomial regression model are shown in Figure 5, CO, $PM_{2.5}$, RH and AP are positive associated with confirmed case counts but $SO_2$ negative associated with it in lag 0, 3, 7, and 14 d. However, $O_3$ have a positive effect on confirmed case counts in lag 0 d and WV have a positive effect on confirmed case counts in lag 14 d.

**Figure 4**

**Figure 5**

### 3.6 Interaction effects between air pollutants and meteorological factors

The significant interaction effects were showed in table 2. As it shows, most of the CO-meteorological factor interaction terms were listed. Except for CO*DTR and CO*DHS, other CO-meteorological factor interaction effect is positive to the total of

COVID-19 conformed case. We also identify that $PM_{2.5}$*DARH, $PM_{2.5}$*DPRE, $SO_2$*DAAP and NO2 * DAT are positive to the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases, and O3 * DAWV are negative to the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases.

**Table 2** Ambient air pollutants and meteorological factors interaction on COVID-19 confirmed cases

| **Variables** | ***β*** | **Lower 95% CI** | **Upper 95% CI** | ***P*** |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CO * DAT | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.022 |
| CO * DARH | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.001 |
| CO * DTR | -0.35 | -0.64 | -0.06 | 0.018 |
| CO * DPRE | 0.93 | 0.06 | 1.81 | 0.037 |
| CO * DHS | -0.79 | -1.35 | -0.23 | 0.006 |
| PM2.5 * DARH | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.032 |
| SO2 * DAAP | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.021 |
| O3 * DAWV | -0.04 | -0.08 | 0.00 | 0.047 |
| NO2 * DAT | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.040 |
| PM2.5 * DPRE | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.002 |

* Interaction

## 4. Discussion

In this paper, we explored the relationship between air pollutants and meteorological factors and their interactions on confirmed cases counts in 120 Chinese cities, We focused on these cities due to the meteorological data we have obtained was limited (not including all the cities in China). Our results indicated that air pollutants and meteorological factors and their interactions all associate with COVID-19.

Many previous studies have showed that the effect of meteorological factors on infectious diseases (such as influenza, SARS, MERS, COVID-19) (Cai et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2020a; Prata et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2005; van Doremalen et al., 2013; Yusuf et al., 2007), however, the effect of air pollutants on infectious diseases especially COVID-19 is out of consider. Understanding the relationship between

ambient air pollutants exposure and health can help us take protective measures to reduce the risk of exposure and disease. Some researchers have found that the higher concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ the greater healthcare use for acute lower respiratory infection (Horne et al., 2018) and PM air pollutants was associated with acute respiratory illness (Pope, 1989). A Ecologic analysis carry out in Chinese demonstrated that air pollutants have a positive effect on SARS case fatality (Cui et al., 2003). Our research shows that, air pollutants of CO, $PM_{2.5}$ was positively while $SO_2$ inversely associated with confirmed COVID-19 cases; $NO_2$ and $O_3$ may positively associated with confirmed COVID-19 cases (Univariate negative binomial regression reach significance while multivariate negative binomial regression and Spearman correlation didn't reach significance). Within our perception air pollutants should not affect survival of COVID-19 in vitro, though it may affect host local resistance. Therefore, we speculate that exposure to these pollutants makes the body more likely to infect SARS-CoV-2 and aggravate the severity of its infection. For example, exposure to ozone modulates human airway protease/ anti-protease balance contributing to increased influenza A infection , but epidemiological studies do not shed light on why air pollutants promotes these processes and it only reveals relationships, hence, further investigations are needed to explain it.

Weather variables like air temperature, diurnal temperature range ,relative humidity, wind velocity, air pressure, precipitation and hours of sunshine are important factors influencing survival of microorganisms in the external environment (Anwar et al., 2019; Bezirtzoglou et al., 2011; Casadevall, 2020; Chan et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2020a).

For decades, experts have issued warnings that climate change may be related to changes in the epidemiology of infectious diseases (Casadevall, 2020). Global warming, rising sea levels, and climate change will be accompanied by new and unknown diseases (Bezirtzoglou et al., 2011; Casadevall, 2020). Weather variables can change the host's behavior (such as spending more time indoors or outdoors), and it can change the host's defense mechanism (inhalation of dry and cold air will cause damage to the cleaning function of cilia) or change the infectivity and stability of the virus, a study found that it seemed that the confirmed COVID-19 case number increase with temperature increasing in the range of −20°C~20°C. However, with DTR in the range of 5°C~15°C the confirmed case number decreased, and the relationship between it and absolute humidity shows a non-linear relationship (Liu et al., 2020a). In addition, other studies have shown that when the average temperature is below 3℃, it is positively correlated with confirmed COVID-19 case counts (Xie and Zhu, 2020). A Brazilian study shows that a negative linear relationship between temperatures and daily cumulative confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the range from 16.8 °C to 27.4 °C (Xie and Zhu, 2020). Besides, a study involving 244 cities in China shows no significant associations between relative humanity, maximum temperature, minimum temperature and cumulative incidence rate or $R_0$ of COVID-19 (Yao et al., 2020b). The temperature range of this work is -12.48 ~ 21.29 °C, and our results show that RH is positively correlated with confirmed COVID-19 cases and AT, DTR may be related to confirmed case counts. This reveals that SARS-CoV-2 can adapt to higher temperatures. In addition, the experimental results of the University of Hong Kong show that the virus is very stable at 4 °C, but as the culture temperature increased to 70 °C, the virus inactivation time was shortened to 5 minutes (Chin et al., 2020). The relationship between coronavirus and RH is not a monotonic linear

relationship, and the survival rate is greater at low RH (20%) and high RH (80%) than at moderate RH (50%).

Wenqi Hu's (Hu et al., 2018) research suggests low wind velocity may increase mumps risk of Mumps. The research by Cai QC et al. shows that the larger the daily average wind velocity, the smaller the secondary attack rate (Cai et al., 2007). Our multivariate negative binomial regression (lag 14 d) showed with higher wind velocity may decrease confirmed case counts, consistent with previous research results. Wind can affect how long droplets are suspended in the air. In indoor environments, high wind speeds mean that the rooms are well ventilated and in outdoor environments, high wind speeds are beneficial to the dilution and removal of droplets, both of which can shorten their suspension time in the air (Cai et al., 2007). Our work found that air pressure may increase the confirmed case counts, but previous studies have reached the opposite conclusion that high air pressure may reduce the suspending time of SARS-CoV in the air (Cai et al., 2007). Our results indicate that hours of sunshine may reduce the confirmed case counts, which may be related to the sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 to ultraviolet rays. About rainfall, some epidemiological data generally seem to support the relationship between influenza virus infection and precipitation (Pica and Bouvier, 2012). A study found a significant association between rainfall and influenza virus infection in India, and in the dry season there are few reports of infection (Agrawal et al., 2009). And association between precipitation and respiratory syncytial virus infection has also been seen in other studies. In our study, Spearman correlation analysis showed PRE was positive relate to confirmed case counts ,

however, univariate and multivariate negative binomial regression analysis did not find the relationship between precipitation and confirmed case counts，as this finding results from investigations in only Chinese areas, further studies need to be conducted.

Although to our knowledge there was no report indicating the interaction effect between air pollutants and meteorological factors existing in the onset of respiratory infectious disease, the interaction effects of these factors were identified in noninfectious disease (Huang et al., 2017) or unclassified cases (Chen et al., 2019). In this study, we observed the interaction effects on total number of COVID-19 conformed case, especially the pollutant CO interacted with most other meteorological factors, which indicates that the effect of CO in increasing total number of COVID-19 conformed case varies depending on the value of a named meteorological factor. The interaction effects of $PM_{2.5}$ by DARH or DPRE, $NO_2$ by DAT were identified at significant level, even though these coefficients were much closed to zero. The interaction effects of CO*DTR is negative (-0.35), which indicates there was an antagonistic effect between these two factors. The exact mechanics behind all the interaction effects are still unclear for the limitation of this study.

This is the first time that we have comprehensively evaluated the effect of environmental pollution factors and meteorological factors on the confirmed COVID-19 case number, which gave us a further understanding of the risk factors of COVID-19. Of course, the limitation of our research is that we only analyzed the data of 120 cities in China. Further research should cross more countries and regions to clarify the effect of environmental air pollutants on COVID-19.

## 5. Conclusion

Our work implicates that air pollutants and meteorological factors and their interactions all associate with COVID-19, the increased number of confirmed COVID-19 case was accompanied by exposure to higher concentrations of CO, $PM_{2.5}$ and higher RH, AP, and increased confirmed COVID-19 cases was accompanied by exposure to lower concentrations of $SO_2$. Our research shows that it may be possible to reduce the transmission of COVID-19 by 1. reducing the concentrations of CO and $PM_{2.5}$ in the air, 2. lower the RH and AP, but government public health precautions are essential.

## Credit author statement

Jianli Zhou and Linyuan Qin: conceptualization, methodology, data curation, writing-original draft preparation, visualization, investigation. Nan Liu: supervision, validation, writing- reviewing and editing.


## Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial supports by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 81872584 and 81273078), the National 863 Young Scientist Program (No. 2015AA020940), the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (No. 201704020056), and the Scientific Research Project for University of Education Bureau of Guangzhou (No. 201831841).

## Declaration of Competing Interest

All the authors in the study declare no potential conflicts of interest.

## Reference


Agrawal AS, Sarkar M, Chakrabarti S, et al., 2009. Comparative evaluation of real-time PCR and conventional RT-PCR during a 2 year surveillance for influenza and respiratory syncytial virus among children with acute respiratory infections in Kolkata, India, reveals a distinct seasonality of infection. J Med Microbiol. 58 (1473-5644 (Electronic)), 1616-1622. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/jmm.0.011304-0.

Anwar A, Anwar S, Ayub M, et al., 2019. Climate change and infectious diseases: evidence from highly vulnerable countries. Iran J Public Health. (2251-6085 (Print)), 2187-2195.

Bezirtzoglou C, Dekas K, Charvalos E. 2011. Climate changes, environment and infection: facts, scenarios and growing awareness from the public health community within Europe. Anaerobe 17 (6), 337-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2011.05.016.

Cai QC, Lu J, Xu QF, et al., 2007. Influence of meteorological factors and air pollution on the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Public Health 121 (4), 258-65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2006.09.023.

Casadevall A. 2020. Climate change brings the specter of new infectious diseases. J Clin Invest 130 (2), 553-555. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI135003.

Chan KH, Peiris JS, Lam SY, et al., 2011. The effects of temperature and relative humidity on the viability of the SARS Coronavirus. Adv Virol 2011 734690. https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/734690.

Chen Y, Zheng M, Lv J, et al., 2019. Interactions between ambient air pollutants and temperature on emergency department visits: Analysis of varying-coefficient model in Guangzhou, China. Sci Total Environ 668 825-834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.049

Chin AWH, Chu JTS, Perera MRA, et al., 2020. Stability of SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. The Lancet Microbe 1 (1), e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30003-3.

Cui Y, Zhang ZF, Froines J, et al., 2003. Air pollution and case fatality of SARS in the People's Republic of China: an ecologic study. Environ Health 2 (1), 15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-2-15.

De Felice FG, Tovar-Moll F, Moll J, et al., 2020. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and the central nervous system. Trends Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2020.04.004.

Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al., 2020. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N Engl J Med 382 (10), 929-936. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001191.

Horne BD, Joy EA, Hofmann MG, et al., 2018. Short-term elevation of fine particulate matter air

pollution and acute lower respiratory infection. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 198 (6), 759-766. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201709-1883OC.

Hu W, Li Y, Han W, et al., 2018. Meteorological factors and the incidence of mumps in Fujian province, China, 2005-2013: non-linear effects. Sci Total Environ 619-620 1286-1298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.108.

Huang CH, Lin HC, Tsai CD, et al., 2017. The interaction effects of meteorological factors and air pollution on the development of acute coronary syndrome. Sci Rep 7 44004. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44004

Jiang Y, Wu XJ, Guan YJ. 2020. Effect of ambient air pollutants and meteorological variables on COVID-19 incidence. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.222.

Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, et al., 2020. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): The epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents 55 (3), 105924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924.

Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, et al., 2020. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multicenter European study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-020-05965-1.

Liu J, Zhou J, Yao J, et al., 2020a. Impact of meteorological factors on the COVID-19 transmission: a multi-city study in China. Sci Total Environ 726 138513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138513.

Liu K, Chen Y, Lin R, et al., 2020b. Clinical features of COVID-19 in elderly patients: a comparison with young and middle-aged patients. J Infect 80 (6), e14-e18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.005.

Oliveiros B, Caramelo L, Ferreira NC, et al., 2020. Role of temperature and humidity in the modulation of the doubling time of COVID-19 cases. medRxiv 2020.03.05.20031872. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.05.20031872.

Pica N, Bouvier NM. 2012. Environmental factors affecting the transmission of respiratory viruses. Curr Opin Virol 2 (1), 90-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2011.12.003.

Pope CA, 3rd. 1989. Respiratory disease associated with community air pollution and a steel mill, Utah Valley. Am J Public Health 79 (5), 623-8. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.79.5.623.

Prata DN, Rodrigues W, Bermejo PH. 2020. Temperature significantly changes COVID-19 transmission in (sub)tropical cities of Brazil. Sci Total Environ 729 138862. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138862.

Siordia JA, Jr., 2020. Epidemiology and clinical features of COVID-19: A review of current literature. J Clin Virol 127 104357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104357.

Tan J, Mu L, Huang J, et al., 2005. An initial investigation of the association between the SARS outbreak and weather: with the view of the environmental temperature and its variation. J Epidemiol Community Health 59 (3), 186-92. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.020180.

Tobias A, Molina T. 2020. Is temperature reducing the transmission of COVID-19 ? Environ Res 186 109553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109553.

van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Munster VJ. 2013. Stability of Middle East respiratory

syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) under different environmental conditions. Euro Surveill 18 (38). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es2013.18.38.20590.

Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, et al., 2020a. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet 395 (10223), 470-473. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9.

Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al., 2020b. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17 (5). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051729.

Wang M, Jiang A, Gong L, et al., 2020c. Temperature significant change COVID-19 Transmission in 429 cities. medRxiv 2020.02.22.20025791. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.22.20025791.

Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al., 2020. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature. 579 (1476-4687 (Electronic)), 265-269. https://doi.org/ doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.

Xie J, Zhu Y. 2020. Association between ambient temperature and COVID-19 infection in 122 cities from China. Sci Total Environ 724 138201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138201.

Xu Z, Hu W Fau - Williams G, Williams G Fau - Clements ACA, et al., 2013. Air pollution, temperature and pediatric influenza in Brisbane, Australia. Environ Int. 59 (1873-6750 (Electronic)), 384-388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.06.022.

Yao Y, Pan J, Liu Z, et al., 2020a. No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. Eur Respir J 55 (5). https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00517-2020

Yao Y, Pan J, Liu Z, et al., 2020b. No association of COVID-19 transmission with temperature or UV radiation in Chinese cities. Eur Respir J. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00517-2020.

Yusuf S, Piedimonte G, Auais A, et al., 2007. The relationship of meteorological conditions to the epidemic activity of respiratory syncytial virus. Epidemiol Infect 135 (7), 1077-90. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095026880600776X.

Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al., 2020. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579 (7798), 270-273. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7.

Zhu Y, Xie J, Huang F, et al., 2020. Association between short-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 infection: Evidence from China. Sci Total Environ 727 138704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138704.

## Figure Captions

**Figure 1.** Locations of 120 cities and total confirmed cases in each city in Mar. 18th, 2020.

NA: Cities not included in this study.

**Figure 2.** Correlation analysis of meteorological factors and air pollutants

**Figure 3.** Correlation analysis of confirmed case counts and air pollutants, meteorological parameters

Log (CCC), Log (confirmed cases counts)

**Figure 4.** Univariate negative binomial regression model of the relationship between air pollutants, meteorological factors and confirmed case counts

A: lag 0 d; B: lag 3 d; C: lag 7 d; D: lag 14 d.

**Figure 5.** Multivariate negative binomial regression model of the relationship between air pollutants, meteorological factors and confirmed case counts

A: lag 0 d; B: lag 3 d; C: lag 7 d; D: lag 14 d.


Confirmed cases
NA
>501
201~500
101~200
51~100
26~50
5~25


CO
NO2
SO2
O3
PM2.5
RH
AT
PRE
AP
HS
WV
DTR
−1
−0.8
−0.6
−0.4
−0.2
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1


Log(CCC)
rs=0.03 p=0.788
CO (mg/m3)


Log(CCC)
rs=−0.00 p=0.989
NO2 (µg/m3)


Log(CCC)
rs=−0.314 p<0.001
SO2 (µg/m3)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.04 p=0.676
O3 (µg/m3)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.21 p=0.024
PM2.5 (µg/m3)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.30 p=0.001
RH (%)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.10 p=0.304
AT (...)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.22 p=0.015
PRE (mm)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.41 p<0.001
AP (hPa)


Log(CCC)
rs=−0.22 p=0.018
HS (h)


Log(CCC)
rs=0.07 p=0.428
WV (m/s)


Log(CCC)
rs=−0.16 p=0.09
DTR (...)


A
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 2.84(1.94~3.75) <0.001
NO2 −0.07(−0.09~−0.04) <0.001
SO2 −0.09(−0.11~−0.07) <0.001
O3 0.04(0.02~0.07) 0.002
PM2.5 0.02(0.01~0.03) 0.004
RH 0.06(0.05~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.06(0.02~0.09) 0.001
DTR −0.08(−0.18~0.02) 0.127
PRE 0.07(−0.08~0.23) 0.34
HS −0.15(−0.27~−0.02) 0.02
AP 0.01(0.01~0.02) <0.001
WV −0.46(−0.72~−0.2) 0.001
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


B
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 2.76(1.86~3.65) <0.001
NO2 −0.07(−0.09~−0.04) <0.001
SO2 −0.09(−0.11~−0.06) <0.001
O3 0.04(0.01~0.06) 0.008
PM2.5 0.02(0~0.03) 0.009
RH 0.06(0.05~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.05(0.02~0.09) 0.001
DTR −0.1(−0.2~0.01) 0.065
PRE 0.11(−0.05~0.26) 0.165
HS −0.17(−0.3~−0.05) 0.005
AP 0.01(0.01~0.02) <0.001
WV −0.43(−0.7~−0.17) 0.001
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


C
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 2.57(1.68~3.47) <0.001
NO2 −0.06(−0.09~−0.04) <0.001
SO2 −0.08(−0.1~−0.06) <0.001
O3 0.03(0~0.06) 0.032
PM2.5 0.01(0~0.03) 0.018
RH 0.07(0.05~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
DTR −0.13(−0.23~−0.02) 0.016
PRE 0.14(−0.01~0.3) 0.073
HS −0.21(−0.33~−0.1) 0.000
DAAP 0.01(0.01~0.02) <0.001
WV −0.41(−0.68~−0.15) 0.002
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


D
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 2.35(1.42~3.28) <0.001
NO2 −0.06(−0.09~−0.04) <0.001
SO2 −0.08(−0.1~−0.06) <0.001
O3 0.03(−0.01~0.06) 0.109
PM2.5 0.02(0~0.03) 0.010
RH 0.07(0.05~0.08) <0.001
AT 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.003
DTR −0.14(−0.25~−0.04) 0.009
PRE 0.03(−0.11~0.18) 0.638
HS −0.26(−0.38~−0.15) <0.001
DAAP 0.01(0.01~0.02) <0.001
WV −0.42(−0.7~−0.14) 0.003
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


A
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 1.84(0.67~3.02) 0.002
NO2 −0.01(−0.05~0.02) 0.387
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.03
SO2 −0.08(−0.11~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.045
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.16
DTR 0.09(−0.08~0.26) 0.316
PRE 0.05(−0.12~0.22) 0.564
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.006
HS 0.17(−0.07~0.4) 0.159
WV −0.32(−0.65~0.01) 0.055
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


B
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 1.87(0.71~3.03) 0.002
NO2 −0.01(−0.05~0.02) 0.402
PM2.5 0.02(0.00~0.04) 0.045
SO2 −0.08(−0.12~−0.04) <0.001
O3 0.03(0.00~0.06) 0.06
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.153
DTR 0.1(−0.08~0.27) 0.278
PRE 0.06(−0.11~0.22) 0.522
AP 0.01(0.00~0.01) 0.005
HS 0.18(−0.06~0.41) 0.134
WV −0.28(−0.61~0.05) 0.097
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


C
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 1.82(0.68~2.96) 0.002
NO2 −0.02(−0.05~0.02) 0.393
PM2.5 0.02(0~0.04) 0.044
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.04) 0.000
O3 0.03(0~0.06) 0.073
RH 0.05(0.02~0.08) 0.000
AT 0.04(−0.01~0.08) 0.145
DTR 0.1(−0.08~0.27) 0.278
PRE 0.07(−0.1~0.23) 0.434
AP 0.01(0~0.01) 0.009
HS 0.18(−0.05~0.42) 0.132
WV −0.3(−0.64~0.03) 0.076
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß


D
Variables
ß(95% CI)
P
CO 1.67(0.52~2.82) 0.005
NO2 −0.02(−0.06~0.02) 0.320
PM2.5 0.02(0~0.04) 0.049
SO2 −0.07(−0.11~−0.03) 0.000
O3 0.02(−0.01~0.05) 0.180
RH 0.06(0.03~0.09) <0.001
AT 0.03(−0.01~0.08) 0.162
DTR 0.11(−0.06~0.29) 0.207
PRE −0.02(−0.18~0.15) 0.841
AP 0.01(0~0.01) 0.002
HS 0.16(−0.09~0.4) 0.215
WV −0.35(−0.7~0) 0.047
−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5
ß