

Changes in premature birth rates during the Danish nationwide COVID-19 lockdown: a nationwide register-based prevalence proportion study

Gitte Hedermann^{1*}, Paula L Hedley^{1*}, Marie Bækvad-Hansen^{1,2}, Henrik Hjalgrim^{3,4}, Klaus Rostgaard³, Porntiva Pooririsak⁵, Morten Breindahl⁵, Mads Melbye^{3,6,7}, David M Hougaard^{1,2}, Michael Christiansen^{1,8#}, Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen^{5#}

¹ Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers; ² Danish Center for Neonatal Screening; ³ Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁴ Department of Haematology; ⁵ Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet; ⁶ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ⁷ Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA; ⁸ Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

* Contributed equally; # Contributed equally

Corresponding authors #: Michael Christiansen: mic@ssi.dk: Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers, Statens Serum Institut, Artillerivej 5, Copenhagen-2300, Denmark

Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen: ulrik.lausten-thomsen@regionh.dk: Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, Copenhagen-2100, Denmark

Abstract

Objectives To explore the impact of COVID-19 lockdown on premature birth rates in Denmark

Design Nationwide register-based prevalence proportion study.

Participants 31,180 live singleton infants born in Denmark between March 12, and April 14, during 2015 to 2020

Main outcome measures Main outcome measure was the odds ratio of premature birth, per preterm category, during the lockdown period compared with the calendar match period in the five previous years.

Results A total of 31 180 newborns were included in the study period, of these 58 were born extremely premature (gestational age below 28 weeks). The distribution of gestational ages was significantly different ($p = 0.004$) during the lockdown period compared to the previous five years. The extremely premature birth rate during the lockdown was significantly lower than the corresponding mean rate for the same dates in the previous years (odds ratio 0.09 [95 % CI 0.01 – 0.04], $p < 0.001$). No significant difference between the lockdown and previous years was found for other gestational age categories.

Conclusions The birth rate of extremely premature infants decreased significantly (~90 % reduction) during the Danish nationwide lockdown from a stable rate in the preceding five years. The reasons for this decrease are unclear. Identification of possible causal mechanisms might stimulate changes in clinical practice. Ideally, some cases of extreme prematurity are preventable which may decrease infant morbidity and mortality.

35 Introduction

36 The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the new coronavirus disease, COVID-19, a Public Health Emergency of
37 International Concern on the January 30, 2020.¹ Subsequently, on the basis of more than 20 000 confirmed cases and
38 almost 1 000 deaths in Europe, a pandemic was declared on March 12, 2020.² This led to an almost global lockdown
39 that has been a crucial element in slowing the spread of the virus.³ Despite the start and scale of the lockdown varying
40 between countries, the lockdown has literally quieted the planet as even seismic noise has been reduced due to
41 changes in human activity.⁴ Beyond controlling transmission of the virus, the consequences of the global lockdown
42 have been widespread including economic disruptions,⁵ and environmental impacts.^{6,7} Additionally, the lockdown has
43 affected virtually all branches of medicine and brought about changes in patterns of hospital contacts for conditions
44 other than COVID-19.⁸

45 The consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infections in pregnancy and early infancy are only just being reported, but maternal-
46 foetal transmission appears to be rare⁹ and the majority of SARS-CoV-2-infected pregnancies do not develop major
47 complications.¹⁰ Although perinatal death has been reported¹⁰, most SARS-CoV-2 positive neonates appear to be only
48 mildly affected.¹⁰

49 Prematurity is a complex and challenging pathophysiological condition associated with increased risk of long term
50 morbidity and mortality¹¹ and it is the leading cause of death in children under five years of age.¹²⁻¹³ Global
51 prematurity rates are approximately 10 %, but vary from 4-5 % in some European countries to 15-18 % in some parts
52 of Africa and Asia.^{12,14} During the pandemic child birth will continue, and some children will inevitably be born
53 prematurely. The aetiology of premature birth and preterm labour is multifaceted and linked to a wide range of socio-
54 demographic, medical, obstetric, foetal, psychosocial, and environmental factors.¹⁵ Still, it is only partly understood
55 and approximately two-thirds of premature births occur without an evident risk factor.¹⁵

56 In Denmark, a nationwide lockdown was declared from March 12, 2020.¹⁶ Effective from that date, childcare facilities,
57 schools and universities were closed, all non-essential public servants were sent home, private employers were urged
58 to ensure that as many people as possible worked from home, gatherings of more than ten people were prohibited,
59 and the borders were closed to foreign visitors.¹⁶ Epidemiological reports from the Danish authorities show that the
60 lockdown resulted in a flattening of the epidemic curve.¹⁷ The number of deaths per day and number of hospitalized
61 patients due to COVID-19 peaked around April 1, 2020.¹⁷ As of May 20, 2020, 554 have died from COVID-19 in
62 Denmark equivalent to 96 deaths per million inhabitants.¹⁸ A gradual lifting of lockdown restrictions began on April 15,
63 2020 as schools and childcare facilities started reopening.¹⁹

64 Anecdotal observations from neonatal intensive care units suggest that there were fewer extremely premature births
65 during the lockdown period. It is likely that the lockdown itself - with its changes in work environment, social
66 interactions, and focus on hygiene – has reduced exposure to infectious agents as well as impacted premature birth
67 rates.

68 To elucidate if any association exists between nationwide lockdown and premature births, we assessed the
69 distribution of gestational age among all live born singleton births in Denmark during the most rigorous part of the
70 nationwide lockdown and compared it with corresponding distributions in previous years.

71 **Methods**

72 *Study Design and Data Sources*

73 The study was a nationwide prevalence proportion study with premature births as cases, term pregnancies as
74 controls, and birth during the lockdown period as exposure. In Denmark, all newborns have been offered a centralised
75 screening for an expanding number of congenital conditions since 1975. Clinical data on the births have been stored
76 together with the collected and analysed dried blood spots samples (DBSS) at Statens Serum Institut in the Danish
77 National Screening Biobank (DNSB) since 1982.²⁰ The participation is nearly complete as judged nationally as well as
78 locally,²¹ and the need to receive and analyse the DBSS within three days after birth makes the DNSB an updated
79 source of information on Danish pregnancies. We used the DNSB to identify children born in Denmark during the most
80 rigorous part of the lockdown period (March 12, to April 14, 2020)^{16,19} and during the corresponding calendar period
81 in the previous five years (2015 to 2019). We also identified births in the period January 20, to February 22, for all
82 years 2015 – 2020 (n= 32 070).

83 To limit the influence of other determinants of timing of birth, we considered only singletons, for whom information
84 on date of birth and gestational age at delivery, was retrieved. The DNSB database records data on gestational age
85 (reported in completed weeks) at delivery as reported by the midwives based on the information from the hospital
86 charts. We categorised gestational age at birth as extremely premature (before 28 weeks); very premature (28⁺⁰ weeks
87 – 31⁺⁶ weeks); moderate/late premature (32⁺⁰ weeks – 36⁺⁶ weeks); term (37⁺⁰ weeks – 41⁺⁶ weeks); and late term
88 (after 42⁺⁰ weeks).

89 *Statistical Analysis*

90 Likelihood-ratio based tests, estimates and confidence intervals regarding changes in composition of gestational age
91 at birth categories between the lockdown period and the consolidated reference period for 2015-2019 were obtained
92 from a series of logistic regressions. Kaplan-Meier curves and frequency plots were used to illustrate variations in
93 gestational ages between the birth cohorts studied, differences between gestational age categories for the periods
94 under study were evaluated by log-rank tests. Statistical analyses were run in SAS (v9.4) and R (v3.6.1).

95 *Data and Ethics Approvals*

96 Statens Serum Institut has approval from the Danish Data Protection Agency (DPA) to conduct register-based studies
97 and the current study was approved by the DPA officer, approval no: 20/04753 at Statens Serum Institut. Studies
98 based solely on register data do not require further ethics committee approval as per Danish laws and regulations.

99 *Patient involvement*

100 There were no funds or time available to include patient and public involvement in this study. However, we have an
101 urgent requirement to disseminate the results of the research publicly and have measures in place to do so.

102 Results

103 We included a total of 31,180 live singleton infants born in Denmark from March 12, to April 14, during 2015 to 2020.
104 Births were distributed into gestational age categories as shown in Table 1. The total number of singleton births
105 during lockdown in 2020 ($n = 5\,162$) did not differ statistically significantly from the other years (mean births per year
106 (March 20 – April 14): $5\,203.6$, $SD \pm 221.4$; $p=0.24$). We identified 1 566 premature births (gestational age below 37
107 weeks) in total from singleton pregnancies (5.02 %).

108 Logistic regression analyses demonstrated that the distribution of gestational age in 2020 differed highly significantly
109 from the previous years ($p = 0.004$). The proportion of extremely and very premature births (gestational age below 32
110 weeks) (shown in Figure 1) was significantly different between the 2020 nationwide lockdown and the same calendar
111 period from the previous five years ($p = 0.003$). However, the difference was solely due to a reduction in extremely
112 premature to 0.19/1000 births during the 2020 nationwide lockdown compared with an average of 2.19/1000 births
113 for the five previous years ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2, Figure 1). In order to compare the distribution of gestational age
114 categories across a time period not influenced by the lockdown, we retrieved data on births from January 20, to
115 February 22, in 2020 and the preceding five years ($n = 32\,070$). It is evident that the reduction in proportion of
116 extremely premature births was not present in the months immediately prior to the lockdown (Figure 1 inset). The
117 Kaplan-Meier curves depicting births as a function of gestational age (Figure 2) indicates a significant shift in
118 gestational age at birth among extremely and very premature (gestational age < 32 weeks) births during the lockdown
119 period ($p= 0.01$), this shift is not noted in later weeks (gestational age < 32 weeks, $p = 0.8$).

120 Discussion

121 In this study, we analysed nationwide data on live births from the DNSB and identified a marked decrease in the
122 number of extremely premature births. This is the first study to report a potential effect of a nationwide lockdown on
123 extremely premature birth rates. Although too early to draw any definitive conclusions, we believe that these findings
124 and their potential implications merits to be signalled to the public immediately. Despite modern neonatal intensive
125 care, the complexity of prematurity makes it the leading cause of death in neonates and children under five.
126 Therefore, any prevention of preterm labour is a key factor in reducing perinatal and early paediatric morbidity and
127 mortality.

128 A premature birth may be initiated by multiple factors, but in most cases the precise mechanism cannot be
129 identified.²² The COVID-19 lockdown has drastically changed our lives by reducing physical interactions, increasing our
130 focus on hygiene, changing our working environment, and lowering air pollution. This unusual situation is likely to
131 have influenced several risk factors for premature birth.

132 *Potential lockdown effects on modifiable risk factors of premature birth*

133 Several risk factors for premature birth are known to give rise to increased systemic maternal inflammation²³, which
134 along with other immunologically mediated processes are believed to play a part in the preterm birth syndrome.²⁴ It is
135 possible that the increased focus on hygiene, strict physical distancing, and home confinement during the lockdown
136 period have influenced the overall inflammatory state of pregnant women. Thus, we have already seen reports
137 documenting a significant lowering of the incidence of influenza and other viral and bacterial infections as a result of
138 the COVID-19 lockdown.²³

139 The literature on a potential link between work and premature birth is contradictory,^{24 25} yet the changes in physical
140 work and activity caused by the lockdown restrictions may equally play a role in the observed reduction of premature
141 births. Additionally, the decrease in air pollution may play a role as air pollution, particularly the anthropogenic PM_{2.5},
142 has been estimated in meta-analyses to be associated with 18% of premature births globally.²⁶ The Centre for
143 Research on Energy and Clean Air has estimated a potential reduction in premature birth in Europe due to reduced air
144 pollution.²⁷ Therefore, the lockdown associated improved air quality could also be a contributing factor to the
145 observed reduction in the number of extremely premature births.

146 *Premature birth during lockdown*

147 We found no significant differences in the rates of the very premature, moderate premature, term or post term births,
148 which may reflect that no such differences exist, or that the differences are too subtle to be detected. However, it is
149 noteworthy that we observed a non-significant but slightly increased number of very premature births. It is possible
150 that whatever impact the lockdown had on risk factors for premature birth, it served to simply postpone extremely
151 preterm labour in some high-risk pregnancies, although this impact was not sufficient to avoid premature births
152 altogether. For extremely premature infants the chance of survival increases dramatically with increasing gestational
153 age at delivery. Identification of risk factors that may result in postponement of time of delivery for such infants may
154 therefore have large implications for their chance of survival.

155 *Strengths and limitations*

156 Our study has several strengths. As Denmark has excellent registers with a very high coverage,²⁸ we believe the data
157 accurately reflects the current prematurity rates in Denmark. It is based on reliable mandatorily reported data from
158 the entire country. Because exposure (the lockdown) is independent of the recorded outcome, differential
159 misclassification is not considered to be an issue. Although it is possible that a larger number of pregnancies resulted
160 in intrauterine death and that these pregnancies were classified as late abortions, this seems unlikely to explain our
161 observations as it collides with reports from obstetric departments.²⁹

162 Importantly, this study is observational and the association between the decreased number of extremely premature
163 births and nationwide lockdown is not necessarily causal. As such, this data needs to be confirmed in other countries,
164 although international discrepancies regarding changes in premature birth rates could reflect the variation in baseline
165 premature birth rates as well as differences in implementation of national lockdowns around the world. Future studies
166 should also aim to elucidate potential causalities.

167 *Conclusions*

168 Our data indicates that the occurrence of extreme prematurity may be further reduced through preventive measures.
169 If this tendency is confirmed, future studies may even identify causal mechanisms that may be applicable outside a
170 lockdown. Possibly, lessons learned during the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown may contribute to improved
171 guidelines leading to fewer extremely premature births and thus decrease infant morbidity and mortality.

172 What is already known on this topic

- 173 • Prematurity, particularly extreme prematurity, defined as gestational age below 28 weeks, has a high
174 morbidity, and is considered the primary cause of mortality in children under five years old.
- 175 • Global overall prematurity rates are approximately 10 %, but large regional variation exists.
- 176 • The aetiology of preterm labour and premature birth is multifaceted and linked to a wide range of socio-
177 demographic, medical, obstetric, foetal, psychosocial, and environmental factors.

178 What this study adds

- 179 • The rates of premature birth decreased during the COVID-19 lockdown and it is possible that elements of the
180 lockdown (e.g. generally reduced infection load caused by increased focus on hygiene, physical distancing,
181 reduced work and physical activity, and improved quality of air) are beneficial for reducing extreme
182 prematurity and potentially reducing infant mortality.

183 **Acknowledgements**

184 This research was conducted using the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank and the Danish National Biobank resource,
185 funded by the Novo Nordisk Foundation.

186 Footnotes

187 **Contributors:** GH*, PLH*, DMH, MC# and ULT# designed the study. GH, MBH collected the data. PLH*, KR, MC#, and
188 ULT# performed statistical analyses. GH*, PLH*, MC# and ULT# co-wrote first draft. All authors contributed to the
189 interpretation of the data and critically revised the manuscript. All authors had full access to tables and figures in the
190 study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. ULT# and MC#
191 share the responsibility of guarantors. The corresponding authors (ULT, MC) attests that all listed authors meet
192 authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.
193 * contributed equally as first authors, # contributed equally as corresponding authors

194 **License for publication:** The corresponding author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on
195 behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and
196 media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the
197 Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within
198 collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative
199 work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic
200 links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do
201 any or all of the above.

202 **Competing interests:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form
203 at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; MB has a
204 patent (NeoHelp) with royalties paid, all other authors reported no financial relationships with any organisations that
205 might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that
206 could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

207
208 **Data sharing:** The process of accessing data from the Danish National Biobanks is detailed here
209 <https://www.danishnationalbiobank.com/access>. No additional data are available.

210
211 **Transparency:** The corresponding author (ULT) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent
212 account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any
213 discrepancies are disclosed.

214 References

- 215 1. World Health Organisation. Statement on the second meeting of the International Health Regulations
216 (2005) Emergency Committee regarding the outbreak of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Geneva,
217 Switzerland: World Health Organisation; 2020 [Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)2020)
218 [room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)2020)
219 [regulations-\(2005\)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-\(2019-](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)2020)
220 [ncov\)2020](https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)2020).
221 2. World Health Organisation. WHO announces COVID-19 outbreak a pandemic Copenhagen, Denmark:
222 World Health Organisation; 2020 [updated 2020/03/12/. Available from:
223 [http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/news/news/2020/3/who-announces-covid-19-outbreak-a-pandemic2020)
224 [19/news/news/2020/3/who-announces-covid-19-outbreak-a-pandemic2020](http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/news/news/2020/3/who-announces-covid-19-outbreak-a-pandemic2020).
225 3. Tobias A. Evaluation of the lockdowns for the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in Italy and Spain after one month
226 follow up. *Sci Total Environ* 2020;725:138539. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138539 [published
227 Online First: 2020/04/19]
228 4. Gibney E. Coronavirus lockdowns have changed the way Earth moves. *Nature* 2020;580(7802):176-77.
229 doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-00965-x [published Online First: 2020/04/03]
230 5. Nicola M, Alsaifi Z, Sohrabi C, et al. The Socio-Economic Implications of the Coronavirus and COVID-19
231 Pandemic: A Review. *Int J Surg* 2020 doi: 10.1016/j.ijisu.2020.04.018 [published Online First:
232 2020/04/20]
233 6. Dutheil F, Baker JS, Navel V. COVID-19 as a factor influencing air pollution? *Environ Pollut* 2020;263(Pt
234 A):114466. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114466 [published Online First: 2020/04/14]
235 7. Tobias A, Carnerero C, Reche C, et al. Changes in air quality during the lockdown in Barcelona (Spain) one
236 month into the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic. *Sci Total Environ* 2020;726:138540. doi:
237 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138540 [published Online First: 2020/04/18]
238 8. De Filippo O, D'Ascenzo F, Angelini F, et al. Reduced Rate of Hospital Admissions for ACS during Covid-19
239 Outbreak in Northern Italy. *N Engl J Med* 2020 doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2009166 [published Online First:
240 2020/04/29]
241 9. Schwartz DA, Dhaliwal A. Infections in Pregnancy with Covid-19 and Other Respiratory RNA Virus
242 Diseases are Rarely, if ever, Transmitted to the Fetus: Experiences with Coronaviruses, HPIV, hMPV
243 RSV, and Influenza. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2020 doi: 10.5858/arpa.2020-0211-SA [published Online
244 First: 2020/04/28]
245 10. Yu N, Li W, Kang Q, et al. Clinical features and obstetric and neonatal outcomes of pregnant patients
246 with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective, single-centre, descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis*
247 2020;20(5):559-64. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30176-6 [published Online First: 2020/03/30]
248 11. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood.
249 *Lancet* 2008;371(9608):261-9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60136-1 [published Online First:
250 2008/01/22]
251 12. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller AB, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels of
252 preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*
253 2019;7(1):e37-e46. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30451-0 [published Online First: 2018/11/06]
254 13. Harrison MS, Goldenberg RL. Global burden of prematurity. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;21(2):74-9.
255 doi: 10.1016/j.siny.2015.12.007 [published Online First: 2016/01/08]
256 14. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm
257 birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic
258 analysis and implications. *The Lancet* 2012;379(9832):2162-72. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(12)60820-
259 4

- 260 15. Vogel JP, Chawanpaiboon S, Moller AB, et al. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. *Best Pract Res*
261 *Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 2018;52:3-12. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.04.003 [published Online First:
262 2018/05/22]
- 263 16. Danish Police. New measures against covid 19 Copenhagen, Denmark: Danish Police; 2020 [Available
264 from: [https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/latest-news-and-hotlines/new-measures-](https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/latest-news-and-hotlines/new-measures-against-covid-192020)
265 [against-covid-192020](https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/latest-news-and-hotlines/new-measures-against-covid-192020).
- 266 17. Statens Serum Institut. COVID-19 i Danmark. Epidemiologisk overvågningsrapport. 06-05-2020, data
267 opdateret kl. 08:00 Copenhagen, Denmark: Statens Serum Institut; 2020 [17]. Available from:
268 [https://www.ssi.dk/aktuelt/sygdomsudbrud/coronavirus/covid-19-i-danmark-epidemiologisk-](https://www.ssi.dk/aktuelt/sygdomsudbrud/coronavirus/covid-19-i-danmark-epidemiologisk-overvaagningsrapport2020)
269 [overvaagningsrapport2020](https://www.ssi.dk/aktuelt/sygdomsudbrud/coronavirus/covid-19-i-danmark-epidemiologisk-overvaagningsrapport2020).
- 270 18. Statens Serum Institut. Overvågning af COVID-19 Copenhagen, Denmark: Statens Serum Institut; 2020
271 [updated 20 May 2020. Available from: [https://www.ssi.dk/sygdomme-beredskab-og-](https://www.ssi.dk/sygdomme-beredskab-og-forskning/sygdomsovervaagning/c/covid19-overvaagning)
272 [forskning/sygdomsovervaagning/c/covid19-overvaagning](https://www.ssi.dk/sygdomme-beredskab-og-forskning/sygdomsovervaagning/c/covid19-overvaagning) accessed 21 May 2020 2020.
- 273 19. Danish Police. Controlled reopening of the Danish society Copenhagen, Denmark: Danish Police; 2020
274 [Available from: [https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/controlled-reopening-of-the-danish-](https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/controlled-reopening-of-the-danish-society2020)
275 [society2020](https://politi.dk/en/coronavirus-in-denmark/controlled-reopening-of-the-danish-society2020).
- 276 20. Norgaard-Pedersen B, Hougaard DM. Storage policies and use of the Danish Newborn Screening
277 Biobank. *J Inherit Metab Dis* 2007;30(4):530-6. doi: 10.1007/s10545-007-0631-x [published Online
278 First: 2007/07/17]
- 279 21. Pihl K, Larsen T, Jonsson L, et al. [Quality control of prenatal screening]. *Ugeskr Laeger*
280 2008;170(35):2691-5. [published Online First: 2008/09/03]
- 281 22. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, et al. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet*
282 2008;371(9606):75-84. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4 [published Online First: 2008/01/08]
- 283 23. Soo RJJ, Chiew CJ, Ma S, et al. Decreased Influenza Incidence under COVID-19 Control Measures,
284 Singapore. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2020;26(8) doi: 10.3201/eid2608.201229 [published Online First:
285 2020/04/28]
- 286 24. Snijder CA, Brand T, Jaddoe V, et al. Physically demanding work, fetal growth and the risk of adverse
287 birth outcomes. The Generation R Study. *Occup Environ Med* 2012;69(8):543-50. doi:
288 10.1136/oemed-2011-100615 [published Online First: 2012/06/30]
- 289 25. Suzumori N, Ebara T, Matsuki T, et al. Effects of long working hours and shift work during pregnancy on
290 obstetric and perinatal outcomes: A large prospective cohort study-Japan Environment and
291 Children's Study. *Birth* 2020;47(1):67-79. doi: 10.1111/birt.12463 [published Online First:
292 2019/11/02]
- 293 26. Malley CS, Kyulentierna JC, Vallack HW, et al. Preterm birth associated with maternal fine particulate
294 matter exposure: A global, regional and national assessment. *Environ Int* 2017;101:173-82. doi:
295 10.1016/j.envint.2017.01.023 [published Online First: 2017/02/16]
- 296 27. CREA. 11,000 air pollution-related deaths avoided in Europe as coal, oil consumption plummet. Centre
297 for Research on Energy and Clean Air: Centre for Research on Energy and Clean Air, 2020.
- 298 28. Erlangsen A, Fedyszyn I. Danish nationwide registers for public health and health-related research.
299 *Scand J Public Health* 2015;43(4):333-9. doi: 10.1177/1403494815575193 [published Online First:
300 2015/03/12]
- 301 29. Zaigham M, Andersson O. Maternal and perinatal outcomes with COVID-19: A systematic review of 108
302 pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2020 doi: 10.1111/aogs.13867 [published Online First:
303 2020/04/08]

304

305 **Figure Legends**

306 Figure 1: The proportion of extremely premature and very premature births (permille of all births in the time period)
307 during the lockdown period (March 12 – April 14, 2020) compared with aggregated birth data for the previous five
308 years during the same date range (March 12 – April 14, 2015-2019). **Inset graph:** A comparison of extremely
309 premature and very premature births born between January 20, and February 22, 2020 and an aggregate from that
310 date range for the previous five years (January 20 to February 22, 2015-2019). Extremely premature (before 28⁺⁰
311 weeks' gestation) and very premature (28⁺⁰ – 31⁺⁶ weeks' gestation).

312

313 Figure 2: Kaplan-Meier curve comparing gestational age at birth for gestational ages <37 weeks for the lockdown
314 period (March 12 – April 14, 2020) and the aggregate of the same date range (March 12 – April 14) for the previous
315 five years (2015-2019). **Inset graph:** indicates the Kaplan-Meier curve for gestational ages < 32 weeks.

316

317 **Tables**

318 Table 1: Gestational age categories and the distribution of singleton births throughout the study periods. (March 12, -
 319 April 14, (2015 – 2020)).

	Gestational age (GA) (Weeks + days)	N	Percent	mean GA	SD
Extremely preterm	≤ 27+6	58	0·19	25·7	1·31
Very preterm	28+0 - 31+6	177	0·57	29·7	1·07
Moderate/late preterm	32+0 - 36+6	1 331	4·27	34·9	1·26
Term	37+0 - 41+6	28 947	92·84	39·6	1·15
Late Term	≥ 42+0	667	2·14	42·0	0·16
Total	all births	31 180	100	39·4	1·81

320

321 Table 2: The distribution of births permille, by gestation age category for the lockdown period (March 12 – April 14,
 322 2020) compared with consolidated data from March 12 – April 14, 2015-2019.

	Prevalence (permille)		OR	95% CI		p-value
	2015-2019	2020				
Extremely preterm	2·19	0·19	0·09	0·01	0·40	<0·001
Very preterm	5·57	6·20	1·11	0·75	1·61	0·589
Moderate/late preterm	42·85	41·84	0·98	0·84	1·13	0·742
Term	927·70	931·81	1·06	0·95	1·20	0·293
Late term	21·68	19·95	0·92	0·74	1·13	0·430

323



