

Cancer and risk of COVID-19 through a general community survey

Karla A. Lee, MB. BCh.,^{1*}, Wenjie Ma, Sc.D.^{2*}, Daniel R. Sikavi, M.D.³, Jonathan Wolf, B.Sc.⁴, Claire J. Steves, Ph.D.¹, Tim D. Spector, M.D.,¹, Andrew T. Chan, M.D.² on behalf of the COPE consortium

* Contributed equally to this work

¹ Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London, U.K.

² Clinical and Translational Epidemiology Unit and Division of Gastroenterology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School. Boston, MA, USA.

³ Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

⁴ Zoe Global Limited. London, U.K.

Correspondence:

Andrew T. Chan, M.D., M.P.H.

Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School

100 Cambridge Street, 15th Floor

Boston, MA 02114

achan@mgh.harvard.edu

Manuscript word count: 578

Abstract

Background: Data are limited on the risk of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) among individuals with cancer and whether cancer-related therapy exacerbates this risk.

Methods: We evaluated the risk for COVID-19 among patients living with cancer compared to the general community and whether cancer-related treatments influence this risk. Data were collected from the COVID Symptom Study smartphone application since March 24, 2020 (United Kingdom), March 29 (U.S.), and April 29, 2020 (Sweden) through May 8, 2020. We used multivariate-adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of a positive COVID-19 test as well as predicted COVID-19 infection using a validated symptom model.

Results: Among 23,266 participants with cancer and 1,784,293 without cancer, we documented 10,404 reports of a positive COVID-19 test. Compared to participants without cancer, those living with cancer had 62% increased risk of a positive COVID-19 test (95% CI: 1.37-1.91). Among patients with cancer, current treatment with chemotherapy/immunotherapy was associated with a nearly 2.5-fold increased risk of a positive test (aOR: 2.42; 95% CI: 1.81-3.25). The association between cancer and COVID-19 positivity was stronger among participants >65 years (aOR: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.51- 2.46) compared to younger participants (aOR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.06-1.64; P interaction <0.001); and among males (aOR: 1.71; 95% CI: 1.36-2.15) compared to females (aOR; 95% CI: 1.14-1.79; P interaction =0.02).

Conclusions: Individuals with cancer had a significantly increased risk of infection compared to the general community. Those treated with chemotherapy or immunotherapy were particularly at-risk of infection.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04331509

Introduction

Individuals with cancer may be at higher risk for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). However, data are limited largely to small studies conducted among hospitalized patients.

Methods

We recruited individuals from the general population in the United Kingdom, United States, and Sweden using The COVID Symptom Study, a freely available smartphone application developed by Zoe Global Ltd. in collaboration with the Massachusetts General Hospital and King's College London offering a guided interface to report a range of baseline demographic information and comorbidities as previously reported.¹ Participants are encouraged to use the application daily to report symptoms and COVID-19 testing results. We queried if individuals were living with cancer (yes/no) and if they were on chemotherapy or immunotherapy (yes/no) beginning on March 29, 2020. We employed multivariable logistic regression models to examine the association between cancer and risk of a positive COVID-19 test (COVID-19+). We separately analyzed the risk associated with chemotherapy/immunotherapy for COVID-19+ among individuals with cancer. Two-sided p -values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using R 3.6.1 (Vienna, Austria).

Results

Through May 8, 2020, 1,807,559 participants provided demographic and longitudinal symptom and testing information. Compared to individuals without cancer, those with cancer were older, more frequently male, and more commonly overweight/obese, among other comorbidities (**Table 1**). They were more likely to use several common medications and have health problems requiring them to stay

at home. Among 23,266 individuals with cancer and 1,784,293 without cancer, we documented 155 and 10,249 reports of a positive COVID-19+ test, respectively (**Table 2**). Compared to individuals without cancer, those with cancer had a 60% increased risk of COVID-19+ (adjusted odds ratio (aOR): 1.60; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.36-1.88). The association between cancer and COVID-19+ was stronger among participants >65 years (aOR: 1.93; 95%CI: 1.51-2.46) compared to younger participants (aOR: 1.32; 95%CI: 1.06-1.64; $P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.001$); and among males (aOR: 1.71; 95%CI: 1.36-2.15) compared to females (aOR: 1.43; 95%CI: 1.14-1.79; $P_{\text{interaction}} = 0.02$). Chemotherapy or immunotherapy was associated with a 2-fold increased risk of COVID-19+ (aOR: 2.22; 95%CI: 1.68-2.94). An increased risk of hospitalization due to COVID-19 was associated with a cancer diagnosis (aOR: 2.47; 95%CI: 2.22-2.76) and chemotherapy/immunotherapy (aOR: 4.16; 95%CI: 2.50-4.95). Using a validated symptom-based prediction model for COVID-19,² the aOR for predicted COVID-19 was 1.32 (95% CI:1.22-1.42) for those with cancer and 1.55 (95%CI: 1.33-1.79) for those on chemotherapy/immunotherapy.

Discussion

Among >1.8 million participants, we found that individuals living with cancer had a 60% increased risk of COVID-19+ or hospitalization with COVID-19, with greater risks for older individuals or those receiving anti-cancer therapies. Prior studies have shown that individuals with cancer comprise a disproportionate share of poorer COVID-19 outcomes,³⁻⁶ including death. However, these studies had small sample sizes and are largely based on hospitalized patients, capturing the most severe cases. Individuals living with cancer also tend to be older with greater comorbidities that predispose to hospitalization and adverse events. Our results from a large, community-based sample support that incidence of infection, including milder disease with more limited symptoms, is also higher in individuals with cancer. Our study was limited by the use of self-reported information collected from individuals who used smartphone devices. Covid-19 testing was not based on uniform screening. However, the current shortage of PCR-based testing kits in both the U.K. and the U.S. does not make such an

approach feasible. We had limited data on specific tumor types and treatment regimens. We are planning future studies collecting more detailed information from individuals with cancer with linkage to other data sources.

Funding

Zoe provided in kind support for all aspects of building, running and supporting the tracking app and service to all users worldwide. King's College of London investigators (KAL, CJS, TDS) were supported by the Wellcome Trust and EPSRC (WT212904/Z/18/Z, WT203148/Z/16/Z, T213038/Z/18/Z), the NIHR GSTT/KCL Biomedical Research Centre, MRC/BHF (MR/M016560/1), and the Alzheimer's Society (AS-JF-17-011). ATC is the Stuart and Suzanne Steele MGH Research Scholar and Stand Up to Cancer scientist. An Evergrande COVID-19 Response Fund Award through the Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness (MassCPR) and Mark and Lisa Schwartz also supported MGH investigators (WM, DRS, ATC). Support was also received from the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (LUDC-IRC 15-0067), the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation and the Swedish research Council.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the more than 3 million contributing citizen scientists who have downloaded the COVID Symptom Study, including participants of cohort studies within the COronavirus Pandemic Epidemiology (COPE) Consortium. We thank the investigators including Long H. Nguyen, David A. Drew, Amit D. Joshi, Chuan-Guo Guo, and Chun-Han Lo from the MGH Clinical and Translational Epidemiology Unit (CTEU); Sebastien Ourselin, Carole H. Sudre, Mary Ni Lochlainn, Thomas Varsavsky, Mark Graham, M.Jorge Cardoso, Cristina Menni, Marc Modat, Ruth Bowyer, Maxim B Freidin, Benjamin Murray, Alessia Visconti and Veronique Bataille from King's College London; Paul W. Franks and Maria Gomez from Lund University Diabetes Center; and Tove Fall from Uppsala University for their assistance with data collection, analysis and writing.

We also thank the investigators of the cohort studies enrolled in the COPE Consortium; the MGH CTEU Clinical Research Coordination team; Sophie Papa, Paul Nathan and Heather Shaw for development of cancer-related questions; the staff of Zoe Global Ltd for providing technical support for the app; Stand Up to Cancer for their assistance in media and social media outreach.

Ethics: In the UK, the App Ethics has been approved by KCL ethics Committee REMAS ID 18210, review reference LRS-19/20-18210 and all subscribers provided consent. In Sweden, ethics approval for the study was provided by the central ethics committee (DNR 2020-01803).

Conflicts of Interest

JW is an employee of Zoe Global Ltd. TDS is a consultant to Zoe Global Ltd. ATC previously served as an investigator on a clinical trial of diet and lifestyle using a separate mobile application that was supported by Zoe Global Ltd. Other authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Data Availability

Data collected in the app are being shared with other health researchers through the NHS-funded Health Data Research UK (HDRUK)/SAIL consortium, housed in the UK Secure e-Research Platform (UKSeRP) in Swansea. Anonymized data collected by the symptom tracker app can be shared with bonafide researchers via HDRUK, provided the request is made according to their protocols and is in the public interest (see <https://healthdatagateway.org/detail/9b604483-9cdc-41b2-b82c-14ee3dd705f6>). US investigators are encouraged to coordinate data requests through the COPE Consortium (www.monganinstitute.org/cope-consortium). Data updates can be found at <https://covid.joinzoe.com>.

REFERENCES

1. Drew DA, Nguyen LH, Steves CJ, et al. Rapid implementation of mobile technology for real-time epidemiology of COVID-19. *Science*. 2020.
2. Menni C, Valdes AM, Freidin MB, et al. Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to predict potential COVID-19. *Nat Med*. 2020.
3. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, et al. Patients with Cancer Appear More Vulnerable to SARS-COV-2: A Multicenter Study during the COVID-19 Outbreak. *Cancer Discov*. 2020.
4. Liang W, Guan W, Chen R, et al. Cancer patients in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a nationwide analysis in China. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020;21(3):335-337.
5. Miyashita H, Mikami T, Chopra N, et al. Do Patients with Cancer Have a Poorer Prognosis of COVID-19? An Experience in New York City. *Ann Oncol*. 2020.
6. Robilotti EV, Babady NE, Mead PA, et al. Determinants of Severity in Cancer Patients with COVID-19 Illness. *medRxiv*. 2020:2020.2005.2004.20086322.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants according to cancer history and chemotherapy or immunotherapy

	Cancer		Chemotherapy/immunotherapy	
	No (n=1,784,293)	Yes (n=23,266)	No (n=1,802,655)	Yes (n=4,904)
Country (%)				
U.K.	81.6	77.1	81.5	75.1
U.S.	11.8	18.3	11.9	19.7
Sweden	6.6	4.7	6.6	5.2
Age group (%)				
<25	15.5	0.9	15.3	1.8
25-34	14.1	1.0	14.0	1.7
35-44	17.0	3.9	16.9	5.9
45-54	18.9	10.7	18.8	14.8
55-64	17.3	23.1	17.4	23.4
>=65	17.2	60.3	17.6	52.5
Male sex (%)	42.7	55.2	42.9	45.8
Ethnicity (%)				
Hispanic	5.9	3.5	5.9	4.4
Non-Hispanic	90.2	93.3	90.2	91.7
Prefer not to say	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.9
Race (%)				
White	93.6	95.6	93.7	94.9
Black	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.1
Asian	2.5	1.7	2.5	2.0
Other	2.0	1.2	2.0	1.4
Prefer not to say	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
Body mass index group (%)				

<18.5	6.5	3.3	6.4	4.1
18.5-24.9	40.4	37.0	40.3	38.7
25-29.9	31.1	36.5	31.2	33.8
>=30	22.0	23.2	22.0	23.3
Comorbidities (%)				
Diabetes	4.0	10.2	4.1	10.3
Heart disease	3.4	12.6	3.5	10.6
Lung disease	12.1	17.1	12.1	18.4
Kidney disease	0.8	4.5	0.9	4.8
Smoking status (%)				
Never	70.8	61.6	70.7	63.7
Past	20.2	33.2	20.4	31.4
Current	9.0	5.3	8.9	5.0
Limited mobility (%)^a	7.1	40.9	7.4	64.1
Medication use (%)				
Immunosuppressants ^b	3.5	16.3	3.5	43.7
ACE inhibitor	7.3	17.1	7.4	15.4
Aspirin	4.8	16.3	4.9	17.5
NSAIDs	7.4	10.8	7.5	10.8
Interaction with individuals with COVID-19 (%)				
No	87.0	93.2	87.1	94.5
Yes, suspected	9.5	4.8	9.4	3.8
Yes, documented	3.5	2.0	3.5	1.7
Frontline healthcare worker (%)	7.2	2.8	7.1	2.1

Abbreviations: ACE (angiotensin converting enzyme), NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Proportions are calculated based on the total number of participants with available data. History of cancer, uses of aspirin and NSAIDs, and smoking status have been queried since launch in the U.S. and Sweden and since 3/29/2020 in the U.K.

^a Immunosuppressant medications including steroids, methotrexate, biologics were asked.

^b Limited mobility was asked as “In general, do you have any health problems that require you to stay at home?”

Table 2. Associations between cancer history, chemotherapy/immunotherapy and risk of COVID-19

	Event/participants	Odds ratio (95% CI)	
		Model 1	Model 2
Positive COVID-19 testing			
Living with Cancer			
No	10249/1784293	1	1
Yes	155/23266	1.65 (1.40, 1.93)	1.60 (1.36, 1.88)
Chemotherapy/immunotherapy			
No	4854/1802655	1	1
Yes	50/4904	2.34 (1.77, 3.09)	2.22 (1.68, 2.94)
Predicted COVID-19 infection			
Living with Cancer			
No	83874/1784293	1	1
Yes	725/23266	1.38 (1.27, 1.48)	1.32 (1.22, 1.42)
Chemotherapy/immunotherapy			
No	84403/1802655	1	1

Yes	196/4904	1.61 (1.39, 1.86)	1.55 (1.33, 1.79)
Hospitalization for COVID-19			
Living with Cancer			
No	11698/1784293	1	1
Yes	370/23266	2.69 (2.42, 2.99)	2.47 (2.22, 2.76)
Chemotherapy/immunotherapy			
No	11928/1802655		
Yes	140/4904	4.62 (3.89, 5.49)	4.16 (3.50, 4.95)

Model 1: adjusted for age groups, country and date at entry.

Model 2: further adjusted for body mass index (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25-29.9, and ≥ 30 kg/m²), sex, history of diabetes, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease, and current smoker status.

Interaction was assessed by evaluating the significance of the cross-product terms of cancer and these factors using the Wald test. Models were adjusted for variables as above except for the factor that was stratified for.