Does Heat Thermotherapy Improve Cardiovascular and Cardiometabolic Health? A Systematic Review and Narrative Synthesis of the Literature

Ben S. Price, Samuel J. E. Lucas, Rachel E. Gifford, N. Timothy Cable & Rebekah A. I. Lucas
School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: Mr Ben Price, bsp774@student.bham.ac.uk; School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom, B15 2TT

Word Count: 4338

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the efficacy of heat thermotherapy to improve cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health, and to compare potential heat thermotherapy moderating factors.

Design: Systematic review and narrative synthesis.

Data Sources: Electronic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE and Web of Science) were searched up to 6th February 2020.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies: Journal articles with adult participants, a controlled trial study design, and a passive heating stimulus with a cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health outcome were included.

Results: From 1036 articles, 39 articles met the inclusion criteria. Heat thermotherapy was delivered acutely (one bout; n=19), short term (2-15 bouts; n=6) and chronically (>15 bouts; n=14), via either hot water immersion (n=26; water temperature 38-43 °C) or heated air exposure (n=11; air temperature 31-90 °C), or water perfused suit/handheld device (n=2). Heat exposure ranged from 10 to 240 minutes. Cardiovascular and cardiometabolic measurement techniques varied across studies, alongside participant age (≤35 years, n=376; >35 ≤60 years, n=335 and >60 years, n=350) and health status. 21/27 studies measuring cardiovascular outcomes reported positive health benefits, including increased flow-mediated dilation (1.3-5.3%) and lower systolic blood pressure (4-16 mm Hg). 15/22 studies measuring cardiometabolic outcomes reported positive health benefits, including reduced postprandial glucose (-0.89 to -0.5 $mmol.L^{-1}$) and C-reactive protein concentrations (-0.9 to -10.94 $mg.L^{-1}$).

Conclusion: Overall, 29/39 studies demonstrated significant positive cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health benefits from heat thermotherapy across various population demographics, despite varied study design and modality. Heat thermotherapy is an efficacious treatment for cardiovascular disease alongside pharmaceutical and exercise-based interventions.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading non-communicable cause of death worldwide.[1] Main risk factors include hypertension, obesity and physical inactivity, all of which are associated with a sedentary lifestyle.[2] Typical approaches to prevent and treat CVD include pharmaceutical and exercise interventions (e.g. 150 min/week of moderate-intensity or 75 min/week of vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise).[3] Pharmaceutical interventions, however, are costly and have a low adherence rate. For example, over 50% of patients after 12 months stop taking antihypertensive medication.[4] Similarly, despite its efficacy to reduce CVD risk,[2] exercise also has low adherence rates due to factors such as time constraints, cost of participation (i.e. gym memberships) and confidence.[5] Therefore, there is a demand for alternative interventions that are cost-effective, pragmatic and have a potential for higher adherence rates than traditional intervention strategies.

Heat Thermotherapy (HT) is the application of a passive (non-exercising) heating stimulus that increases core body temperature and results in a beneficial health outcome. Recent literature has shown both positive cardiometabolic benefits[6] and positive cardiovascular benefits of HT.[7] This includes reductions in blood pressure, inflammatory markers and improved endothelial functioning, which are associated with a reduction in cardiovascular-related mortality.[2 8] These cardiovascular and cardiometabolic improvements have been seen in numerous populations from young sedentary, healthy cohorts to chronic heart failure patients. Furthermore, HT adherence rates are higher than exercise interventions.[9] Therefore, initial evidence indicates that HT is potentially a pragmatic and easily accessible method to help prevent non-communicable disease progression in a variety of population groups.

Despite the promise of HT to improve both cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health, a systematic review of the literature to formally assess this conjecture has not been conducted to date. Moreover, the minimal and optimal conditions for HT to elicit beneficial cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health benefits are yet to be determined. Recent reviews have aimed to analyse the mechanisms for cardiovascular and cardiometabolic benefits during HT or to show positive associations between regular HT sessions and reduced all-cause mortality rates.[10-12] Despite the benefits of identifying the physiological mechanisms underpinning HT adaptations, these reviews have not directly analysed the methodology and subsequent results of HT. Furthermore, they include studies that focus on associative data, which may show the potential positive effects of HT but cannot establish causational relationships. Therefore, a systematic review is needed to assess whether HT improves

cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes, including papers with causative study designs.

This systematic review and narrative synthesis aimed to investigate the balance of evidence for the efficacy of passive heat therapy in improving cardiovascular function and cardiometabolic health. Specifically, this review systematically reviewed causational study design literature and quantified different intervention conditions and subsequent cardiovascular and cardiometabolic responses. The narrative synthesis focused on potential key moderating factors such as the relationship between core body temperature change and subsequent cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes. Thus, the combination of the systematic review and narrative synthesis will provide comprehensive evidence on whether HT can improve cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health. It will also, where possible, indicate the most promising methods to elicit positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes through HT.

METHODS

Overview

For this systematic review the PICO process, PRISMA guidelines were strictly adhered to including the development of a PRISMA flowchart.[13-15] The narrative synthesis was conducted according to established guidelines to gather heterogeneous evidence with multiple outcomes in a transparent, non-bias, systematic way.[16] The narrative synthesis was conducted in four stages: 1. developing a theory; 2. developing a preliminary synthesis; 3. exploring relationships, and 4. assessing the robustness of the synthesis.

Study Selection Process

Studies were chosen using the patient, intervention, comparison, outcome (PICO[13]) process (*see online supplementary table 1)*. The PICO was designed to ensure a broad array of HT studies with cardiovascular or cardiometabolic measurements were included. The criteria for participants were human adult (≥18 years old) studies. The population section included a broad range of terms to ensure both healthy participants and participants in cardiovascular and cardiometabolic preclinical or disease states were included. In the intervention section, the criteria were designed to capture different HT interventions that used passive heating to increase body temperature. The comparison section was designed to include journal articles in

English with causational study design. The outcome section was designed to cover a range of cardiovascular and cardiometabolic measurements.

The study selection process is outlined in *Figure 1*. Two reviewers (BP, RG) screened the abstracts and titles of the 1036 citations retrieved in the database and manual searches with any disagreement concluded by a third reviewer (RL). From the 1036 abstracts reviewed, 119 papers were selected for the second screening process. This involved the reading of the full text of 119 papers and assessing their content according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria outlined above, with the addition that studies were also excluded if the full text was not available. Authors were contacted via email to retrieve any missing data. From the 119 full texts reviewed, 39 papers were selected for full data extraction and inclusion in the narrative synthesis.

Information Sources and Search Strategy

Bibliographic databases, MEDLINE, EMBASE and Web of Science, were formally searched as the primary means of identifying relevant texts (*see online supplementary table 2*). An additional manual literature search was conducted, and nine papers were added to the initial screening phase. Databases and manual searches included texts from the earliest start date to the 6th February 2020. In total 1036 papers were retrieved. Search results were extracted to EndNote (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA) and duplicates were removed before continuing the screening process.

Narrative Synthesis

Developing a Theory

A theory was developed to display the reasons and potential moderating variables for the efficacy of HT to improve cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health (*Figure 2)*. This theory was then evaluated in the exploring relationships section of the narrative synthesis.

Preliminary Synthesis

A systematic search of the literature was conducted to investigate the HT and the positive cardiovascular/cardiometabolic health outcomes theory. The focus of the search was to locate literature of causational design that has measured cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health outcomes with an HT intervention. The narrative synthesis was created using the data extracted from 39 studies, which had a range of participants, study designs, intervention designs, body temperature changes and cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes. The

preliminary synthesis was completed in two stages. In stage one, the data from all included 39 studies were assessed by a common format (core body temperature change) and summarised to highlight the overall findings in terms of the number of participants alongside cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes. In stage two, studies were grouped by different factors such as intervention type (bath vs sauna), duration of the stimulus and the number of bouts (acute vs short term vs chronic studies). Data considered to be of interest (e.g. average core body temperature change and cardiovascular outcomes) was tabulated to help identify patterns in the data.

Exploring Relationships

This stage investigated the relationships between HT conditions and cardiovascular/cardiometabolic outcomes within and between studies. Conceptual mapping and triangulation techniques were used to consider what information from the tables and figures was important enough to be included within the narrative synthesis. The focus of the techniques was to derive the moderating variables that may influence HT in terms of its efficacy to induce cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health benefits.

Assessing the Robustness of the Synthesis

The robustness of the narrative synthesis was assessed in two sections. Firstly, the amount and quality of the evidence in the included studies were assessed and secondly, the methods used to create the narrative synthesis was assessed. To address the first section, a Risk of Bias Assessment was conducted using COCHRANE Guidelines.[17] This assessment determined the risk of type one or type two error by assessing five domains, specifically: potential bias arising from the randomisation process; potential bias due to deviations from intended interventions; potential bias due to missing outcome data; potential bias in the measurement of the outcome, and potential bias in the selection in the reported result (*see online supplementary table 3 for more details*). Two reviewers completed the risk of bias (BP and RL) with a third reviewer (SL) consulted to resolve any discrepancies. In section two, the methods in the narrative synthesis were critically evaluated, including any assumptions about the data reported and/or any methodological limitations, to give an overall assessment of the robustness of the synthesis.

RESULTS

Development of a Theory

The theoretical model was based on recent review papers in the literature[11 18] and is illustrated in *Figure 2*. HT, as derived from the theory, increases core temperature, which drives cardiometabolic and cardiovascular responses. These cardiovascular responses subsequently result in health improvements (e.g. reduced blood pressure and inflammation) and reduce the risk of chronic diseases or attenuate disease progression[18]. Such health benefits could be moderated by HT methodology parameters, such as the duration or number of bouts the participant is exposed to.

Preliminary Synthesis

Within the 39 included studies, 1061 participants completed one to over 127 separate thermotherapy bouts within the same intervention protocol. The HT modality characteristics are reported in *Table* 1. Heat thermotherapy was delivered acutely (one bout; n=19), short term (2-15 bouts; n=6) and chronically (>15 bouts; n=14), via either hot water immersion (n=26; water temperature 38-43 °C) or heated air exposure (n=11; air temperature 31-90 °C), or a water perfused suit/handheld device (n=2). Participant's age for included studies was: ≤35 years, n=376; >35 ≤60 years, n=335 and >60 years, n=350. Participants health status was: Healthy (no risk factors or health conditions; n = 328), Cardiovascular risk factor (≥1) including being overweight/obese (n= 666) and congestive heart failure (any class; n = 67)).

The paper summaries for all 39 included studies outlining key details regarding environmental conditions, duration, and number of bouts during the experimental trial are shown in *Table 2*. Core body temperature was measured in 21/39 of the included studies. Studies that did report core body temperature used a range of measurement sites including rectal, oral, tympanic, and auxiliary. The most frequently reported cardiovascular measures was blood pressure (MAP, SBP and DBP respectively). The most frequently reported cardiometabolic measures was glucose concentration (fasting, during and postprandial collectively). Overall, 74% of studies reported either a positive cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health benefit because of an HT intervention (*Table 3*). Of those papers, the breakdown of potential moderating thermotherapy intervention parameters and the cardiovascular/cardiometabolic outcomes are reported in *Figure 3*.

Table 1. HT Method Characteristics

Descriptive Factor (Initial Thermotherapy conditions)	**Number of Papers**	**Mean Duration (minutes)**	**Duration Range (minutes)**	**Mean Core Body Temperature Change (°C)**	**Core Body Temperature Change Range (°C)**
Hot Water Immersion					
Temperate water temperature (≤38 °C)	3	27	20-30	Not Reported	Not Reported
Warm water temperature (>38; ≤39.9 °C)	4	53	10-120	1.6	1.5-1.6
Hot water temperature (>39.9 °C)	14	44	10-90	1.5	0.6-1.8
Heated Air					
Moderately high air temperature, low humidity (≤63 °C; ≤30% RH)	4	39	15-110	0.6	0.6-0.6
Moderately high air temperature, high humidity (≤63 °C; >30% RH)	1	240	240-240	0.8	0.8-0.8
High air temperature, low humidity (>63 °C; ≤30% RH)	5	32	25-45	1.7	0.8-2.7
High air temperature, high humidity (>63 °C; >30% RH)	1	16	16-16	Not Reported	Not Reported

Note. Eight papers were excluded from the table as they heated a single limb, feet only or used a water perfused suit. For HWI papers: core temperature was not reported in 3/3 of the temperate water (≤38 °C) papers, 2/4 warm water (>38; ≤39.9 °C) papers and 5/14 of the hot water (>39.9 °C) papers. For heated air papers, core temperature was not reported in 3/4 of the moderately high temperature, low humidity (≤63 °C; ≤30% RH) papers, 1/5 high temperature, low humidity papers (>63 °C; ≤30% RH) and 1/1 of the high temperature, high humidity (>63 °C; >30% RH) papers. Overall, 15/39 heated air and HWI papers included in the table did not report core temperature change.

Table 2. Heat Thermotherapy Intervention Papers Included in the Narrative Synthesis

Reference	Intervention Method	Duration (minutes)	Number of Bouts	Participant Characteristics	Mean Core Body Temperature Change	Cardiovascular Outcomes	Cardiometabolic Outcomes
Akerman et al.[19]	HWI: 39 °C (Xiphoid process) Ambient air, not reported	30	34-56 bouts (3-4 bouts per week for 12 weeks)	Elderly males and females with PAD BMI: (28.7 ± 3.52 kg.m-^{2})	1.1 °C (telemetric pill)	No change in FMD, central or peripheral PWV SBP ↑ (15 mm Hg) DBP ↑ (11 mm Hg) MAP ↑ (13 mm Hg)	No change in VEGF, HCT or HB
Bailey et al.[20]	HWI: Water, 42 °C (Chest) Ambient air, 24 °C, 45% RH	30	24 (3 bouts x 8 weeks)	Young healthy females BMI: (24 ± 4.2 kg.m-^{2})	Not reported	Brachial Artery FMD ↑ (1.7%) Cardiorespiratory fitness ↑ (2.26kg.mL^{-1}.min^{-1}) Resting MCAv ↑ 2.30 cm.s^{-1} No change in MAP	None reported
Brunt et al.[21]	HWI: Water, 40.5 °C (Shoulder and waist height) Ambient air, not reported	90	36 (4-5 bouts x 8 weeks)	Young, healthy, sedentary males and females BMI: (22.4 ± 1.7 kg.m-^{2})	1.3-1.8 °C (Rectal)	CVC at the control site ↑ (11%) CVC at nadir ↑ (5%) ↑ NO-dependent dilation NO-dependent dilation accounted for 78±4% of overall CVC plateau increase	None reported
Brunt et al.[22]	HWI: 40.5 °C (Shoulder and waist height) Ambient Air, not reported	90	36 (4-5 bouts x 8 weeks)	Young healthy males and females BMI: (22.4+_0.6 kg.m-^{2})	1.3-1.8 °C (Rectal)	Brachial FMD ↑ 5.3% SFA compliance ↑ 0.03 mm^{2} mm Hg^{-1} Carotid intima-media thickness ↓ 0.06mm MAP ↓ 5 mm Hg Aortic pulse wave velocity ↓ (7.1±0.3 to 6.1±0.3 ms^{-1})	None reported

Brunt, et al.[23]	HWI 40.5 °C (Shoulder and waist height) Ambient Air 21-24°C	60	1 bout	Young healthy, recreationally active males and females BMI: (22.8 ± 1.7 kg.m-^{2})	1.3-1.8 °C (Rectal)	Prevented FMD ↓ after IR Prevented IR reduction in peak vascular conductance	None reported
Dumke et al.[24]	Heated Air, 43 °C, 33% RH	240	1 bout	Young healthy males BMI: (20.9 ± 0.6 kg.m-^{2})	~0.8 °C (Rectal)	None reported	Serum Glucose ↑ Serum Insulin ↑
Ely et al.[10]	HWI: 40.5 °C (Shoulder and waist height) Ambient air, not reported	60	30 (3-4 bouts for 8-10 weeks)	Young, obese women diagnosed with PCOS BMI: (≥30 and ≤45 kg.m^{-2})	1.3-1.8 °C (Rectal)	SBP ↓ (124±5 to 114±6 mm Hg) DBP ↓ (77+_6 to 68 ±3 mm Hg) MAP ↓ (93±5 to 83±3 mm Hg) MSNA Burst Frequency ↓ (20±8 to 13±5 bursts/min) MSNA Burst incidence ↓ (31±16 to 20±11 bursts/100 heartbeats) FMD pre-IR - no change FMD post-IR ↑ (5.6±2.7 to 9.5±1.8%) Shear Rate corrected FMD pre-IR ↑ (3.7±0.9 to 6.5±2.5%) Shear Rate corrected FMD post-IR ↑ (3.4±0.9 to 5.7±2.6%) BA diameter ↑ (0.315±0.075 to 0.337±0.066mm) PWV carotid-femoral - no change PWV Brachial-ankle ↓ (870±81 to 798±72cm/s) Control group - no change in outcome measures	None reported
Ely et al.[25]	HWI: 40.5 °C (Shoulder and waist height) Ambient air, not reported	60	30 (3-4 bouts for 8-10 weeks)	Young, obese women diagnosed with PCOS BMI: (≥30 and ≤45 kg.m^{-2})	1.3-1.8 °C (Rectal)	None reported	BMI & Waist Circumference – no change Fasting glucose ↓ (5.83±0.17 to 4.94±0.28 mmol.L^{-1}) Fasting insulin – no change Fasting cholesterol ↓ (5.4±0.4 to 5.0±0.3 mmol.L^{-1})

							HOMA-IR ↓ (5.9±0.9 to 4.9±0.9) **Serum** IL-1β ↓ (22.5±6.8 to 12.5±6.2 pg.ml^{-1}) IL-6 ↓ (19.5±9.9 to 1.2±1.1 pg.ml^{-1}) TNF-α ↓ (23.5±7.1 to 8.9±5.9 pg.ml^{-1}) Hsp27 ↑ (70%) No change in Hsp70 or Hsp90 CRP ↓ (12.54±1.46 to 1.60±1.32mg.L^{-1}) **Stromal Vascular function** IL-1β ↓ (295.8±93.1 to 106.1±18.7 pg.mL^{-1}) IL-8 ↓ (903.1±311.2 to 272.2±57.0 pg.mL^{-1}) TNF- α ↓ (91.0±31.3 to 74.2±31.0 pg.mL^{-1})
Engelland et al.[26]	HWI: 42 °C (Lower limbs) Ambient air, 21 °C	60	1 bout	Healthy men and women BMI: (23.8 ± 3.2 kg.m^{-2})	0.6 °C (Telemetric)	No change in MAP, no change in FMD, baseline diameter or shear rate under the curve. Therefore, HT stopped any reductions in cardiovascular measures post IR.	No change in ET-1
Faulkner et al.[27]	HWI: 40.2±2 °C (Waist) Ambient Air, 23.9°C, 49% RH	60	1 bout	Healthy, lean and overweight young males BMI: (Lean <25 kg.m^{-2} Overweight >27 kg.m^{-2})	1 °C (Rectal)	None reported	eHSP70 ↑ (23%) IL-6 ↑ (118% (0.44-0.97pg/ml) Energy expenditure ↑ (79%) Peak glucose concentration after a meal following PH (compared to exercise group) ↓ (6.3 ± 1.4 vs 6.8 ± 1.2 mmol.L^{-1}) No difference in 24-hour glucose AUC

Francisco et al.[28]	HWI: 33-42 °C (Single Forearm) Ambient Air, 22-24°C	~30	10 bouts (10 days consecutively)	Healthy, young, recreationally active males and females BMI: (not reported)	No change	No change in microvascular responsiveness to ACh or local heating	None reported
Gayda et al.[29]	Heated Air, 85-90 °C, 50-60% RH	2x8 minutes heating, 2x10 minutes rest and 2x2 minutes cold showering	1 bout	Young to elderly overweight and obese males and females with cardiovascular complications BMI: (30.57 ± 4.90 $kg.m^{-2}$)	Not reported	Peripheral resistance ↓ No change in BP immediately post or 24hrs post-heating	None reported
Genç et al.[30]	HWI 44 °C (Not reported) Ambient Air, not reported	10	20 sessions (2 daily for 2 weeks)	Healthy, middle-aged women BMI: Control (28.2 ± 5.8 $kg.m^{2}$) and HWI (26.6 ± 5.5 $kg.m^{-2}$).	Not reported	None reported	TNF-α release ↓ (11.59 ± 3.65 vs 7.92 ± 2.17 $pg.mL^{-1}$)
Gryka et al.[31]	Heated air, 90 °C, RH 5-16%	3 x 15 minutes with 2 minutes cooldowns in 20°C water	10 sessions (3-4 per week)	Young healthy men BMI: (23.51 ± 2.28 $kg.m^{-2}$)	1.5 °C (Rectal)	Resting BP – no change Last session, final SBP compared to first session, final SBP ↓ (131.5±8.2 vs 126.0±8.1 mm Hg) Last session, final DBP compared to first session, final DBP ↓ (69.5±6.85 vs 72.0±9.2 mm Hg)	NO ↑ (16.9%)
Hedley et al.[32]	Heated Air, 65-70 °C, RH 15%	30	1 bout	Healthy, young male weightlifters BMI: not reported	2.48 °C (Tympanic)	Immediate post sauna SBP ↑ (93.2 ± 1.6 vs. 89.6 ± 2.7 mm Hg) Immediate post sauna DBP ↓ (78.0 ± 1.5 vs. 60.8 ± 3.7 mm Hg)	None reported

						Immediate post sauna MAP ↓ (123.6 ± 2.0 vs. 147.8 ± 4.8 mm Hg)	
Hoekstra et al.[6]	HWI: 39 °C (Neck) Ambient Air, 39°C, 40% RH	Session 1-5 = 45 minutes Session 6-10 = 60 minutes	10 sessions (5 per week for 2 weeks)	Young and middle-aged, healthy, sedentary, overweight and obese males BMI: Control (30 ± 2.5 $kg.m^{-2}$) and HWI (31 ± 4.2 $kg.m^{-2}$)	1.6 °C (Rectal)	**Acute:** SBP ↓ (126±13 vs 138±15 mm Hg) No change DBP (83±9 vs 78±9 mm Hg) **Chronic:** SBP ↓ (126±13 vs 118±15 mm Hg) DBP ↓ (83±9 vs 79±11 mm Hg)	**Acute:** IL-6 ↑ (1.37±0.94 to 2.51±1.49 $pg.mL^{-1}$) No change in iHSP72 or eHSP72 Plasma Nitrate concentration ↑ (271±52 to 391±72 nM) **Chronic:** No change in IL-6, iHSP72 and Plasma Nitrate eHSP72 (17±41%), fasting glucose (4.44±0.93 to 3.98±0.98 $mmol.L^{-1}$), insulin (68.1±44.6 to 55.0±29.9 $pmol.L^{-1}$) and HOMA-IR ↓ **One-week post**: iHSP72 and IL-6 did not change eHSP72 ↓ Blood glucose remained lowered Fasting insulin ↑
Hu et al.[33]	HWI 41-43 °C (Footbath) Ambient Air, not reported	30	1 bout	Healthy, young and elderly females, who are either healthy weight or overweight BMI: Young (19.8 ± 0.4 $kg.m^{-2}$) and elderly (25.7 ± 0.6 $kg.m^{-2}$)	0.5 °C (Tympanic)	CAVI ↓ young (8% change) and the elderly (3.5% change)	None reported
Iguchi et al.[34]	Heated Air, 76 °C, <10% RH	30	1 bout	Young healthy males and females BMI: women (22.1 ± 2.4 $kg.m^{-2}$) and men (22.6 ± 4.0 $kg.m^{-2}$)	0.8 °C (Rectal)	SBP ↓ (16 mm Hg) DBP ↓ (5 mm Hg)	Norepinephrine ↑ (58%) Prolactin ↑ (285%) eHSP72 ↑ (48.7% ± 53.9%)
						FMD ↑ (4.4±2.5, vs. 5.7±2.5%)	

Imamura et al.[35]	Heated Air, 60 °C <10% RH	15 (30 minutes warm blankets)	14 (7 bouts per week for 2 weeks)	Young and middle-aged healthy and overweight men with ≥1 cardiovascular risk factor BMI: (25.6 ± 2.8 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	No change in %NTG-induced dilation SBP ↓ (128±18 vs 124±17 mm Hg) DBP ↓ (77±17 vs 72±16 mm Hg) Clinical symptoms ↑ (0/10/10 vs. 1/14/5 (class1/class2/class3))	Fasting plasma glucose ↓ (99±25 vs 94±16 mg.dL^{-1}) Bodyweight ↓ (75.2±9.9 vs 74.9±4.9 kg)
Kaldur et al.[36]	Heated Air, 42.8 °C, 18% RH	110	1 bout	Young, healthy men BMI: (23.7 kg.m^{-2})	0.61 °C (Rectal)	No change in HR, BP or central PWV. Large artery elasticity (17%; 24.68 ± 5.53 to 20.42 ± 2.65 mL/mm Hg)	No change in HCT, HB or CRP. IL-6 ↑ (30%; 0.44±0.16 vs 0.59±0.27)
Ketelhut et al.[37]	Heated Air, 93 °C, 13-20% RH	25	1 bout	Middle-aged, healthy women BMI: (24.4 ± 2 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	SBP and DBP increased during sauna immersion but both levels by 30 minutes of recovery were lower than baseline levels. SBP Rest vs end of Sauna ↑ (120.7 ± 15.9 vs 135.7 ± 17 mm Hg) SBP Rest vs end of Recovery ↓ (120.7 ± 15.9 vs 112.7 ± 14.1 mm Hg) DBP Rest vs end of Sauna ↑ 82.1 ± 11.5 vs 92.1 ± 11.8 mm Hg) DBP Rest vs end of Recovery ↓ (82.1 ± 11.5 vs 77.6 ± 12.8 mm Hg)	None reported
Kihara et al.[38]	Heated Air, 60 °C <10% RH	15 (30 minutes warm blankets)	10 (10 bouts per week for two weeks)	Middle-aged to elderly healthy weight individuals with CHF BMI: Not reported	Not reported	SBP ↓ (107±22 vs 97±17 mm Hg) Cardiothoracic Ratio ↓ (58.2±7.1 vs 55.9±7.9%) LVEDD ↓ (59±8 vs 57±9 mm) FMD ↑ (4.0±1.7 to 5.8±1.3%) No change in %NTG (18.7±4.2 vs. 20.4±5.1%)	BNP ↓ (441±444 vs 293±302 pg.mL^{-1})
Kimball et al.[39]	Heated Air, 73 °C, 10% RH	≥30	1 bout	Healthy young lean and obese males and females BMI: lean (22.02 ± 1.52 kg.m^{-2}) and obese (34.80 ± 3.87 kg.m^{-2}).	~1.7 °C (Tympanic)	None reported	Fasting glucose immediately post-sauna ↑ (10%, 8.60 ±5.58 mg.dL^{-1}) and returned to baseline after 30 minutes.

							Insulin response was delayed until 30 minutes. Then 70% ↑ (2.74 ±3.55 uU.mL^{-1}) occurred.
Masuda et al.[40]	Heated Air, 60 °C <10% RH	15 (30 with warm blankets)	14 (7 bouts a week for 2 weeks)	Middle-aged healthy and overweight men and women with ≥1 cardiovascular risk factor BMI: (24±6 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	SBP ↓ (110 ± 15 mm Hg vs 122 ± 13 mm Hg) No change in DBP	urinary 8-epi-PGF2α level ↓ (in vivo marker of oxidative stress)
Michalsen et al.[41]	HWI: 40 °C (Arms and feet) Ambient Air, not reported	10 (3 x 10 minutes daily)	126 (3 daily sessions for 6 weeks).	Overweight elderly men and women with CHF BMI: (26.4 ± 1.3 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	Heart rate at rest and the rate-pressure product ↓ No BP change	None reported
Mizuno et al.[42]	HWI: 39 °C (Not reported) Ambient Air, not reported	10	1 bout	Young, healthy males BMI: (not reported)	0.5 °C (Auxillary)	No change in BP	No change in fasting plasma glucose level, cholesterol or triglyceride concentration
Moore et al.[43]	Hand heating: 42 °C Ambient Air, not reported	30	1 bout	Young, healthy males and females BMI: (23.0 ± 3.0 kg.m^{-2})	≤ 0.15 °C (Tympanic)	None reported	Fasting blood glucose – no change Peak blood glucose ↓ (1 ± 1.6 mmol.L^{-1}) Glucose AUC ↓ (5.2 ± 15%)
Neff et al.[44]	Water Perfused Suit: 48 °C Ambient Air (22-24 °C)	90	1 bout	Elderly, overweight PAD patients BMI: (26.6 ± 0.3)	0.8 °C (Telemetric)	SBP ↓ (11 mm Hg) DBP ↓ (6 mm Hg) Popliteal Artery blood flow ↑ (100%)	ET-1 ↓ (60%)
Ogoh et al.[45]	HWI: 38 °C (Legs) Ambient Air, 23–24°C	20	1 bout	Young, healthy males BMI: (~21.8 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	No change in BP No change in FMD	None reported

Olah et al.[46]	HWI: 38 °C (Not reported) Ambient Air, not reported	30	15 (5 sessions per week for 3 weeks)	Middle-age and elderly ambulatory male and female patients BMI: (not reported but 54% were overweight and 31% were obese)	Not reported	None reported	CRP, 3 months post intervention ↓ (3.6±2.9 vs 2.7±2.9 $mg.L^{-1}$) No change immediately post intervention (CRP) apart from LDL ↓ (3.94 ± 1.27 vs 3.57 ± 1.39 $pg.mL^{-1}$)
Olah et al.[47]	HWI: 38 °C (Not reported) Ambient Air, not reported	30	15 (5 sessions per week for 3 weeks)	Middle-aged and elderly males and females with ≥1 cardiovascular risk factor BMI: (>25 $kg.m^{-2}$)	Not reported	None reported	No change in glucose concentration Haemoglobin A1C level ↓ (6.65vs 6.02 $mg.L^{-1}$) No change in triglyceride concentrations
Oyama et al.[48]	HWI: 40 °C (Xiphoid process) Ambient Air, not reported	10	10 (5 sessions per week for 2 weeks)	Elderly males and females with CHF BMI: (22.7 ± 1.0 $kg.m^{-2}$)	Not reported	No change in BP CTR ↓ (5.1%) Ejection fraction ↑ (9.6%) Clinical symptoms ↑ (0/4/12/0 vs. 1/14/1/0 (class1/class2/class3/class4))	hsCRP ↓ (~3 $mg.L^{-1}$) TNF-a ↓ (~12 $pg.mL^{-1}$) IL-6 ↓ (~1.8 $pg.mL^{-1}$) BNP ↓ (~350 $pg.mL^{-1}$)
Pellinger et al.[49]	HWI: 42 °C (Lower limbs) Ambient air, 21-22°C	45	1 bout	Elderly, overweight males and females with PAD BMI: (28.8 ± 4.3 $kg.m^{-2}$)	Not reported	Popliteal artery blood flow (238.2%; 78.9 ± 13.5 vs 266.8 ± 57.8 $mL.min^{-1}$). Six-minute walk distance (12%)	None reported
Qiu et al.[50]	HWI: 39 °C (Single leg immersion) Ambient Air, not reported	20	28 (7 sessions per week for 4 weeks)	Elderly males and females with stenosis BMI: (Not reported)	Not reported	None reported	No change in fasting or 2-hour post blood glucose or HbA1C
Romero et al.[51]	HWI: 42 °C (Lower Limbs) Ambient Air, 23 °C	45	1 bout	Young and elderly, healthy weight and overweight males and females	0.5 °C (Telemetric)	Young Adults Antegrade Shear Rate (118 ± 14 vs 394 ± 39%) Retrograde Shear Rate (-61 ± 11 vs 0 ± 0)	None reported

						MAP (at the end of bathing and recovery) Baseline SFA Diameter (0.657 ± 0.027 vs (0.645 ± 0.029) Shear rate AUC (22739 ± 2014 vs 29,386 ± 2,814) No FMD change Aged Adults Antegrade Shear Rate (114 ± 21 vs 355 ± 52) Retrograde Shear Rate (-54 ±15 vs -1 ± 1) MAP (at the end of bathing and recovery) Baseline SFA Diameter (0.647 ± 0.045 vs 0.641 ± 0.044) Shear rate AUC (23,956 ± 3,729 vs 35,305 ± 6,026) Significant increase in FMD but number not reported	
Teixeira et al.[52]	HWI: 42 °C (Single foot) Ambient Air, not reported	30	15 (3 sessions daily for 5 days)	Young, healthy men BMI: (~24.2 kg.m^{-2})	Not reported	Increase in shear rate of heated leg popliteal artery (119.3 ± 26.4%) No change in BP or FMD Inactive leg ↓ in popliteal artery FMD	None reported
Thomas et al.[7]	HWI: 42.1 °C (Waist) Ambient Air, 24.4°C, ~40% RH	30	1 bout	Elderly healthy weight males and females with PAD BMI: (24 kg.m^{-2})	1.8 °C (Aural)	MAP immediately post HWI ↓ (22 ± 9 mm Hg) MAP 3 hours post-HWI ↓ (7±7 mm Hg) During immersion popliteal artery shear rate ↑ (258±54 %) During immersion lower limb blood flow ↑ (200% duplex ultrasound, 100% plethysmography)	None reported

						Central and peripheral pulse wave velocity ↓ (1.0 ± 1.5 $m.s^{-1}$)	
Zellner et al.[53]	HWI: 39.5 °C (Not reported), Ambient Air, not reported	120	1 bout	Young healthy men and women BMI: (Not reported)	Not reported	None Reported	TNF-α release ↑
Zhou et al.[54]	HWI: 40 °C (Upper and lower limbs) Ambient Air, not reported	30	84 (7 per week for 12 weeks)	Young to middle-aged men and women with Diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis BMI: (Not reported)	Not reported	None Reported	CRP ↓ (15.1 to 8.5 $mg.L^{-1}$)

Note. Abbreviations: RH (Relative humidity), FMD (flow mediated dilation), HWI (hot water immersion), Tre (rectal temperature), HR (heart rate), CRP (C-reactive protein), TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor alpha), IL-6 (Interleukin-6), BMI (body mass index), MAP (mean arterial pressure), BP (blood pressure), SBP (systolic blood pressure), DBP (diastolic blood pressure), CTR (cardiac-thoracic ratio), HbA1C (glycated haemoglobin), BNP (brain natriuretic peptide), hsCRP (high sensitivity C-reactive protein), CHF (chronic heart failure), GSSG (glutathione disulphide), GSH (glutathione), SOD (superoxide dismutase), NTG (nitroglycerin), NO (Nitric Oxide) LDL (low-density lipoprotein), LVEDD (Left Ventricular End Diastolic Diameter), eHSP72 (extracellular heat shock protein-72), iHSP72 (intracellular heat shock protein 72), SFA (superficial femoral artery), IR (Ischemia-reperfusion), AUC (area under the curve), PAD (Peripheral Arterial Disease), VEGF (Vascular endothelial growth factor), HB (haemoglobin), HCT (Haematocrit), CAVI (cardio-ankle vascular index), MCAv (middle cerebral artery blood flow velocity), MSNA (Muscle sympathetic nervous activity), PCOS, (Polycystic Ovary Syndrome), ET-1 (Endothelin-1), HOMA-IR (Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance), CVC (cutaneous vascular conductance). When data was not reported, approximate values were reported from figures.

Table 3. Change in key Cardiovascular and Cardiometabolic Measures following HT intervention

Measures	Total no. of papers	Papers reporting beneficial health change	Papers reporting negative health change	Papers reporting no change	Change Values Reported	Average change	Range of change
Cardiovascular							
FMD	10	6	0	4	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1.3, 1.7, 1.8, 3.9, 5.3%	1.6%	0 to 5.3%
MAP	13	5	0	8	-23, -22, -13, -10, -5, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 mm Hg	-5.6 mm Hg	0 to -23 mm Hg
SBP	11	10	1	0	-16, -15, -11, -12, -10, -10, -8, -8, -5.5, -4, 3.6 mm Hg	-8 mm Hg	3.6 to -16 mm Hg
DBP	10	9	0	1	-18, -11, -9, -6, -5, -5, -4, -5, -3.5, 0 mm Hg	-8.7 mm Hg	0 to -18 mm Hg
SFA Compliance	1	1	0	0	0.03 mm^2 $mm.Hg^{-1}$	0.03 mm^2 $mm.Hg^{-1}$	0.03 mm^2 $mm.Hg^{-1}$
Pulse Wave Velocity	5	3	0	2	0, 0, -72, -1, -1 $m.s^{-1}$	-14.8 $m.s^{-1}$	-72 to 0 $m.s^{-1}$
Carotid intima media thickness	2	2	0	0	-0.06 mm	-0.06 mm	-0.06 mm
CAVI	1	1	0	0	8%	8%	8%
CTr	1	1	0	0	-2.3%	-2.3%	-2.3%
CVC	1	1	0	0	11%	11%	11%
Antegrade shear rate	5	5	0	0	100, 119, 238, 258, 276%	198%	119 to 276%
MCAv	1	1	0	0	2.3 $cm.s^{-1}$	2.3 $cm.s^{-1}$	2.3 $cm.s^{-1}$
Cardiometabolic							
IL-6	5	2	2	1	-18.3, ~-1.8, 0, 0.54, 0.15 $pg.ml^{-1}$	-3.9 $pg.ml^{-1}$	-18.3 to 0.54 $pg.ml^{-1}$
iHSP72	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

eHSP72	2	1	1	0	-17, 49%	16%	-17 to 49%
Plasma nitrate	1	1	0	0	120 nM	120 nM	120 nM
Glucose							
a. immediately post-intervention	2	0	2	0	0.5 mmol.L^{-1}	0.25 mmol.L^{-1}	0.5 mmol.L^{-1}
b. fasting	5	2	0	3	-0.46, -0.3, 0, 0, 0 mmol.L^{-1}	-0.15 mmol.L^{-1}	0 to -0.46 mmol.L^{-1}
c. postprandial	2	2	0	0	-0.89, -0.5 mmol.L^{-1}	-0.7 mmol.L^{-1}	-0.89 to -0.5 mmol.L^{-1}
Insulin							
a. immediately post-intervention	2	0	2	0	19 pmol.L^{-1}	9.5 pmol.L^{-1}	19 pmol.L^{-1}
b. fasting	2	1	0	1	-13 pmol.L^{-1}	-13 pmol.L^{-1}	-13 pmol.L^{-1}
Energy expenditure	1	1	0	0	79% ↑	79% ↑	79% ↑
Hb1Ac	2	1	0	1	0, -0.63 mg.L^{-1}	-0.32 mg.L^{-1}	0 to -0.32 mg.L^{-1}
CRP	4	4	0	0	-10.94, -6.6, -3, -0.9 mg.L^{-1}	-5.36 mg.L^{-1}	-10.94 to -0.9 mg.L^{-1}
BNP	2	2	0	0	~-350, -148 pg.mL^{-1}	249 pg.mL^{-1}	-350 to -148 pg.mL^{-1}
TNF-α	4	3	1	0	-14.8, ~-12, -3.67 pg.mL^{-1}	-5.2 pg.mL^{-1}	-12 to -3.67 pg.mL^{-1}
Triglycerides	2	0	0	2	n/a	n/a	n/a

Note. Abbreviations: FMD (flow-mediated dilation), HWI (hot water immersion), Tre (rectal temperature), HR (heart rate), CRP (C-reactive protein), TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor-alpha), IL-6 (Interleukin-6), BMI (body mass index), MAP (mean arterial pressure), BP (blood pressure), SBP (systolic blood pressure), DBP (diastolic blood pressure), CTR (cardiac-thoracic ratio), HbA1C (glycated haemoglobin), BNP (brain natriuretic peptide), hsCRP (high sensitivity C-reactive protein), CHF (chronic heart failure), LVEDD (Left Ventricular End Diastolic Diameter), eHSP72 (extracellular heat shock protein-72), iHSP72 (intracellular heat shock protein 72), SFA (superficial femoral artery), CAVI (cardio-ankle vascular index), MCAv (middle cerebral artery blood flow velocity), CVC (cutaneous vascular conductance). When data was not reported, approximate values were reported from figures.

Narrative Synthesis Robustness

A Risk of Bias assessment was completed for all papers in the Narrative Synthesis. In domain one, potential bias arising from the randomisation process, papers were deemed low risk (5/39) and some concerns (27/39). In domain two, potential bias due to deviations from intended interventions, papers were deemed no risk (38/39) and high risk (1/39). In domain three, potential bias due to missing outcome data, papers were deemed low risk (32/39) and some concerns (7/39). In domain four, potential bias in the measurement of the outcome, papers were deemed low risk (39/39). In domain five, potential bias in the selection of the reported result, papers were deemed low risk (2/39) and some concerns (37/39). For the overall risk of bias, papers were deemed some concerns (31/39) and high risk (8/39).

DISCUSSION

Main Findings

This is the first systematic review of the evidence from HT intervention studies reporting cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes. The main finding was that HT consistently improved cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes across different population groups (age, disease status, etc.), despite heterogeneous HT methodologies between studies. Specifically, over 74% of studies reported either a positive cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health benefit because of an HT intervention. These benefits included cardiovascular improvements in FMD, pulse wave velocity (PWV) and reductions in blood pressure. Cardiometabolic health improvements included reductions in inflammation and postprandial glucose concentration, and increased plasma nitrate concentration, all of which are associated with a reduction in overall CVD risk and mortality.[18] Collectively, findings from this systematic review demonstrate the potential for HT to be a viable avenue to help prevent non-communicable disease progression in young sedentary populations, or attenuate cardiovascular or cardiometabolic disease progression (e.g. CVD or Type Two Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)).

Participant and Thermotherapy Method Characteristics

For the 39 included studies, participants ranged considerably in terms of their age and health status. Positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes in young healthy, albeit sedentary, adults are perhaps surprising. This is due to the assumption that in the young,

endothelial functioning and arterial health is robust enough to prevent a decline or improvement in cardiovascular/cardiometabolic health.[55] Positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes were also seen in older cohorts and cohorts with CVD complications. Overall, HT demonstrated positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes consistently across different population demographics despite varying HT type, durations, and temperatures.

HT type has an important role to play in the thermal load participants are exposed to and, potentially, the subsequent cardiovascular/cardiometabolic response. For HWI the most frequent exposure was hot water above 39.9 °C (see *Table 1*). The rationale for using a hotter, longer HT stimulus likely comes from heat acclimation literature, where sustaining a core body temperature above 38.5 °C is associated with increased proliferation of HSP and successful heat acclimation.[56 57] Another reason may be that it is purported that antegrade shear rate patters in the limbs are greater when core body temperature is above 38.5 °C and sustaining this type and magnitude of shear rate results in greater cardiovascular adaptations.[11] However, sustaining such high body/limb temperatures may not be obligatory, as several studies included herein reported positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health benefits despite maintaining core body temperature below 38.5 °C.[27 33 34]

Heated air exposure, typically via sauna or steam room visits, are a common form of HT, especially in Scandinavian countries e.g. Finland.[12] For the included studies that used heated air exposure, HT interventions typically lasted 16 to 32 minutes, which resulted in an average body core temperature increase of 0.8 to 1.7°C, compared to 1.5 to 1.6°C in HWI interventions. Despite the difference in core temperature change, both interventions showed improvements in cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes. Unfortunately, it is difficult to assess whether core temperature increase is the cause of cardiovascular or cardiometabolic adaptations, as 18/39 papers did not report the change in body core temperature induced by the HT exposure, and the 21/39 studies measuring core temperature used different body sites for temperature measurement. Future studies should consider using valid and reliable core temperature measures to investigate the relationship between core temperature change and the subsequent cardiovascular/cardiometabolic response.

To ensure a cardiovascular response to HT, immersion to the shoulder/neck may be needed to ensure an adequate thermal load. For example, frequent ten-minute partial body HWI resulted in no cardiovascular and cardiometabolic changes.[41]. In terms of sauna bathing, 15 minutes of hot air exposure followed by 30 minutes of blankets in ambient environmental conditions resulted in cardiovascular and cardiometabolic improvements with participants of varying age and health status.[35 38] Again, these papers used a short duration protocol, indicating

that it is possible to have beneficial outcomes with a short exposure to HT if there are enough bouts, a strong enough thermal stimulus, and enough body surface area exposed. These short durations also indicate that there does not need to be an increase of over 1.5-2 °C in rectal core temperature for positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes, although most short duration papers in the narrative synthesis did not report any core temperature recordings to confirm this.

Measurements of Cardiometabolic and Cardiovascular Health

The most consistent measurement of cardiovascular health was FMD, with 6/10 papers reporting a 1.3 – 5.3% positive change following HT. The remaining four studies reported no significant change in FMD following HT, although two studies found FMD decreases in control groups following five days of physical inactivity or 40 minutes of forearm ischemia-reperfusion.[23 52] Thus, HT appears to improve and even protect cardiovascular function against insults such as physical inactivity and ischemia-reperfusion injury. The most frequently reported cardiovascular outcome was blood pressure (Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) respectively)). On average, SBP, DBP and MAP reduced by -6 to -9 mmHg, which is clinically meaningful since population-wide reductions in SBP of modest magnitude (2 mm Hg) are predicted to have a substantial impact on CVD prevention.[58] The most consistent measurement for a positive cardiometabolic outcome was the inflammation marker CRP, with 4/4 studies reporting a significant reduction following the HT intervention. CRP is a surrogate marker for insulin resistance and is strongly associated with atherosclerotic disease.[59] Therefore, reductions in CRP and other inflammatory markers as shown in *Table 5* indicate cardiometabolic health benefits when exposed to HT.

Although it may be a strength that different studies reported different, positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes across a range of cohorts, it also makes it difficult to state whether these specific outcomes are consistent and reproducible. Moreover, it is important to understand the relationship of how one health outcome compares with other outcome measurements within the same participants. For example, alongside an increase in brachial FMD, an indicator of improved endothelial function and potentially cardiovascular health, other measurements such as pulse wave velocity, MAP and Cardiovascular Conductance (CVC) would provide a more conclusive examination of cardiovascular health. Indeed, this was the case for cardiovascular measures in young sedentary participants[21 22] and elderly participants[7] reviewed herein, but our analysis revealed that a consistent battery of cardiovascular assessments has not been conducted in a middle-aged overweight cohort. This

is surprising because middle-aged overweight/obese cohorts are at high-risk for insulin resistance and non-communicable disease progression, which typically is associated with cardiovascular health complications.[18] Regarding cardiometabolic health, a battery of assessments has been conducted in overweight, middle-aged participants,[6 27] but not in elderly populations or cohorts that are not obese but have cardiovascular risk factors or congestive heart failure; populations that are also at high risk of developing metabolic syndrome.[18] To understand the cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes across different population cohorts and to better prescribe tailored HT health interventions, especially for those who are at more risk of CVD complications, studies need to incorporate these test batteries into future research trials.

Participant Health Status, Age and Thermotherapy Outcomes

Of studies that included overweight/obese individuals, 9/10 studies reported positive cardiovascular outcomes and 9/10 studies reported beneficial cardiometabolic outcomes following an HT intervention. One study, however, reported a negative cardiometabolic outcome (i.e. increased fasting glucose concentration and delayed insulin response) after a one-off, single bout of HT.[60] Similarly, in young, healthy men and women, one bout of HT resulted in immediate increases in fasting glucose, insulin and TNF-α.[61 62] It is unclear why an acute bout of HT appears to impair glucose control, particularly given the positive effects of chronic HT (10-14 bouts) on cardiometabolic outcomes.[6 35] A possible reason may be the residual effect of stress hormones, which are elevated after HWI.[63] These stress hormones can temporarily impair insulin sensitivity and increase hepatic glucose output.[64]

Of studies that included healthy individuals (e.g., not obese, no CVD etc.), 11/16 studies reported beneficial cardiovascular outcomes including reduced blood pressure, carotid media thickness and increased FMD.[22] Notably, three of the studies that did not report improved cardiovascular outcomes following HT did prevent a reduction in FMD in the brachial[23 26] and femoral[52] arteries relative to a control group, where a reduction in FMD occurred across the control period. In contrast, cardiometabolic outcomes following HT were more inconsistent in healthy cohorts, with 5/10 of studies reporting positive outcomes, 4/10 studies reporting negative outcomes,[60 61] and one study reporting no change following an HT intervention.[65] Given that healthy individuals typically are not insulin resistant nor have increased levels of systemic inflammation, which are factors associated with cardiometabolic dysfunction,[18] such findings are perhaps unsurprising. Alternatively, the thermal load used in these studies[42 45] may not have been sufficiently large enough to evoke a cardiometabolic response. For example,

these studies used protocols of brief duration[42] (10 minutes) or partial limb heating protocols.[45] Interestingly, studies that reported negative cardiometabolic outcomes also had significantly longer HT durations than other HT interventions (120-240 minutes at 33°C air temperature, 44% RH;[24] vs narrative synthesis average of 53 minutes; *Table 4*). These prolonged exposures may have negative effects on those who can normally regulate serum glucose concentrations[24] by promoting a prolonged, pro-inflammatory response as shown by the increase in TNF-α.[45]

All elderly participants were either overweight/obese or possessed a cardiovascular risk factor. Within this elderly cohort, 6/8 studies reported a positive cardiovascular outcome and 4/5 studies reported a positive cardiometabolic outcome. Cardiovascular improvements may be more apparent in elderly participants in comparison to younger cohorts due to age-related changes in vasculature compliance, endothelial function and underlying increases in atherosclerotic plaque.[66] Cardiometabolic improvements may also be more apparent as HT could attenuate age-related increases in the chronic inflammatory state caused by cell senescence and innate immunity dysregulation.[67]

LIMITATIONS

Risk of Bias Assessment

No studies selected within the narrative synthesis achieved overall a low-risk score. In the domain bias, included articles were classified as "high risk" because they purposely matched participants by age and body mass, removing any randomisation process. Given the experimental nature and therefore smaller *n* used in these studies, appropriate matching of covariates is necessary to examine the hypothesis. It highlights the need, however, for randomised control studies to be conducted to progress the use of HT as an alternative treatment. Other included studies were classified as "some concerns" because, despite reporting random sequencing, they did not state how this occurred (e.g. computer sequencing). Another area of concern was the "risk of bias in the selection of the reported result" domain (see *Table 7)*, where most studies were marked "some concerns". This was due to papers not reporting a pre-specified plan of how the results will be reported. The overall level of risk for the included studies reflects the stage of HT research, where studies are still mechanistic in nature. To summarise, despite strong methodological processes and most papers using non-subjective measurements, there are some concerns of a type one or two error risk for papers included in the narrative synthesis.

Systematic Review Limitations

The main strength of this systematic review was the ability to select a wide range of studies using different methodologies and outcome measures. This enabled us to assess the overall question of whether HT improves cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health across different participant profiles and HT methodology. However, due to the broad range of population groups and measures used to assess cardiovascular and cardiometabolic function, it is difficult to compare the strengths and weaknesses of different HT interventions and to determine which HT method produces the most optimal cardiovascular/cardiometabolic outcome. Subsequently, a meta-analysis could not be performed at this time. To generate the conditions needed to conduct a metanalysis and to empirically assess heat thermotherapy strategies, more papers are needed that use a consistent participant profile, cardiovascular/cardiometabolic health outcome measures and HT methodology.

Overall Narrative Synthesis Robustness

A strength of this narrative synthesis is that all included papers were controlled trials using reliable and objective measures. Included studies were selected using a systematic approach, thus minimising the risk of researcher bias. To test the robustness of the narrative synthesis, a risk of bias assessment was performed that concluded there was some level of risk with the papers included. Due to these processes, we feel confident that the results of the narrative synthesis are a true reflection of HT research to date, and the low percentage of high-risk papers was deemed insufficient to affect the interpretation of the results from the review.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

HT results in positive cardiovascular and cardiometabolic outcomes in a range of participant cohorts. However, the research to date has exclusively been experimental, mechanistic studies. Future research needs to move towards larger randomised control trials and address the issues raised in the Risk of Bias Assessment. Current evidence on HT decay is limited, with only two studies reporting cardiometabolic health outcomes post HT and no studies assessing the decay effect of cardiovascular outcomes. Therefore, information on how long these cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health benefits last for, and whether the decay effect is attenuated by the number of bouts (i.e. short-term vs a chronic HT intervention) is limited. It is also not known whether the decay effect can be attenuated by “top-up” bouts and the duration of intervention needed before the “top-up” bout is effective. Another factor to consider is adherence and enjoyment. Future HT interventions must assess psychophysical

responses as well as adherence in study populations, to determine if HT is a viable alternative to more traditional lifestyle interventions (e.g. diet and exercise).

CONCLUSION

The aim for this systematic review was to objectively assess whether HT improves cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health; by collating and analysing all causational designed HT papers with a cardiovascular or cardiometabolic outcome in a non-biased, reproducible manner. Despite a wide range of methodology, participant profiles and some concerns regarding the Risk of Bias Assessment, over 74% of papers reported positive cardiovascular/cardiometabolic health outcomes after an HT intervention. These findings indicate that HT is a promising therapy to protect and mitigate cardiovascular and cardiometabolic disease progression. Given the potential wide-ranging benefits of HT, further clinical research is warranted.

What is already known

- Treatments of cardiovascular disease (exercise and pharmaceutical interventions) can have limited impact and poor adherence rates.
- Heat Thermotherapy (HT) can address cardiovascular and cardiometabolic disease risk factors and has greater adherence rates than traditional treatments.
- No study to date has systematically reviewed the efficacy of HT to improve cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes.

What are the new findings

- A systematic review of the literature demonstrated that over 74% of included studies reported a positive cardiovascular or cardiometabolic health outcome following HT.
- HT improved cardiovascular and cardiometabolic health outcomes across a wide range of participant cohorts (age and health status), despite the various HT methodology used (duration of HT, number of bouts etc.).
- HT is a promising alternative therapy to reduce cardiovascular or cardiometabolic disease risk/progression in young sedentary populations as well as clinical populations.

Acknolegements: We would like to thank Dr Andy Soundy and Ms Bethany Skinner for their assistance.

REFERENCES

1. World Health O. World health statistics 2018: monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals. Geneva: World Health Organization 2018:viii, 86 p.
2. Fiuza-Luces C, Santos-Lozano A, Joyner M, et al. Exercise benefits in cardiovascular disease: beyond attenuation of traditional risk factors. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2018;15(12):731-43. doi: 10.1038/s41569-018-0065-1 [published Online First: 2018/08/18]
3. GOV.UK. Physical activity guidelines: UK chief medical officers' report, 2019. Available: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/physical-activity-guidelines-uk-chief-medical-officers-report 2019 [accessed 29th April 2020.
4. Sung S-K, Lee S-G, Lee K-S, et al. First-year treatment adherence among outpatients initiating antihypertensive medication in Korea: Results of a retrospective claims review. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2009;31(6):1309-20. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2009.06.011
5. Standage M, Ryan RM. Self-Determination Theory and Exercise Motivation: Facilitating Self-Regulatory Processes to Support and Maintain Health and Well-Being. In: Roberts GC, Treasure DC, eds. Advances in Motivation in Sport and Exercise. 3 ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics 2012:233-70.
6. Hoekstra SP, Bishop NC, Faulkner SH, et al. The acute and chronic effects of hot water immersion on inflammation and metabolism in sedentary, overweight adults. *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985)* 2018 doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00407.2018 [published Online First: 2018/10/20]
7. Thomas KN, van Rij AM, Lucas SJ, et al. Lower-limb hot-water immersion acutely induces beneficial hemodynamic and cardiovascular responses in peripheral arterial disease and healthy, elderly controls. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2017;312(3):R281-R91. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00404.2016
8. Ras RT, Streppel MT, Draijer R, et al. Flow-mediated dilation and cardiovascular risk prediction: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;168(1):344-51. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.09.047 [published Online First: 2012/10/09]
9. Naumann J, Sadaghiani C, Kruza I, et al. Effects and Feasibility of Hyperthermic Baths for Patients with Depressive Disorder: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Pilot Trial. *bioRxiv* 2018:409276. doi: 10.1101/409276
10. Ely BR, Clayton ZS, McCurdy CE, et al. Heat therapy improves glucose tolerance and adipose tissue insulin signaling in polycystic ovary syndrome. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2019;317(1):E172-E82. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00549.2018
11. Cheng JL, MacDonald MJ. Effect of heat stress on vascular outcomes in humans. *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md : 1985)* 2019;126(3):771-81. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00682.2018 [published Online First: 2019/01/25]
12. Laukkanen T, Khan H, Zaccardi F, et al. Association between sauna bathing and fatal cardiovascular and all-cause mortality events. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015;175(4):542-8. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.8187 [published Online First: 2015/02/24]
13. Schardt C, Adams MB, Owens T, et al. Utilization of the PICO framework to improve searching PubMed for clinical questions. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2007;7:16. doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-7-16 [published Online First: 2007/06/19]
14. Stewart LA, Clarke M, Rovers M, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses of individual participant data: the PRISMA-IPD Statement. *Jama* 2015;313(16):1657-65. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.3656 [published Online First: 2015/04/29]
15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009;339:b2535. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2535

16. Arai L, Britten N, Popay J, et al. Testing methodological developments in the conduct of narrative synthesis: a demonstration review of research on the implementation of smoke alarm interventions. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice* 2007;3(3):361-83. doi: 10.1332/174426407781738029
17. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2019;366:l4898. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l4898
18. Ely BR, Clayton ZS, McCurdy CE, et al. Meta-inflammation and cardiometabolic disease in obesity: Can heat therapy help? *Temperature (Austin, Tex)* 2018;5(1):9-21. doi: 10.1080/23328940.2017.1384089 [published Online First: 2018/04/25]
19. Akerman AP, Thomas KN, Rij AMv, et al. Heat therapy vs. supervised exercise therapy for peripheral arterial disease: a 12-wk randomized, controlled trial. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology* 2019;316(6):H1495-H506. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00151.2019
20. Bailey TG, , Cable NT, et al. Repeated Warm Water Immersion Induces Similar Cerebrovascular Adaptations to 8 Weeks of Moderate-Intensity Exercise Training in Females. *International journal of sports medicine* 2016;37(10):757-65. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-106899
21. Brunt VE, Eymann TM, Francisco MA, et al. Passive heat therapy improves cutaneous microvascular function in sedentary humans via improved nitric oxide-dependent dilation. *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md* 2016;: 1985). 121(3):716-23. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00424.2016
22. Brunt VE, Howard MJ, Francisco MA, et al. Passive heat therapy improves endothelial function, arterial stiffness and blood pressure in sedentary humans. *Journal of Physiology* 2016;594(18):5329-42. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/JP272453
23. Brunt VE, Jeckell AT, Ely BR, et al. Acute hot water immersion is protective against impaired vascular function following forearm ischemia-reperfusion in young healthy humans. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 2016;311(6):R1060-R67. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00301.2016
24. Dumke CL, Slivka DR, Cuddy JS, et al. The Effect of Environmental Temperature on Glucose and Insulin After an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test in Healthy Young Men. *Wilderness and Environmental Medicine* 2015;26(3):335-42. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2015.03.002
25. Ely BR, Francisco MA, Halliwill JR, et al. Heat therapy reduces sympathetic activity and improves cardiovascular risk profile in women who are obese with polycystic ovary syndrome. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 2019;317(5):R630-R40. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00078.2019
26. Engelland RE, Hemingway HW, Tomasco OG, et al. Acute lower leg hot water immersion protects macrovascular dilator function following ischaemia-reperfusion injury in humans. *Experimental Physiology* 2019 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1113/EP088154
27. Faulkner SH, Jackson S, Fatania G, et al. The effect of passive heating on heat shock protein 70 and interleukin-6: A possible treatment tool for metabolic diseases? *Temperature (Austin, Tex)* 2017;4(3):292-304. doi: 10.1080/23328940.2017.1288688 [published Online First: 2017/09/26]
28. Francisco MA, Brunt VE, Jensen KN, et al. Ten days of repeated local forearm heating does not affect cutaneous vascular function. *Journal of applied physiology (Bethesda, Md* 2017;: 1985). 123(2):310-16. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00966.2016
29. Gayda M, , Paillard F, et al. Effects of sauna alone and postexercise sauna baths on blood pressure and hemodynamic variables in patients with untreated hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 2012;14(8):553-60. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7176.2012.00637.x

30. Genç A, Çelik SU, Evcik D, et al. Balneotherapy is an alternative treatment for mastalgia; a randomized controlled trial. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine* 2018;19:100-04. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eujim.2018.03.004
31. Gryka D, Pilch WB, Czerwinska-Ledwig OM, et al. The influence of Finnish sauna treatments on the concentrations of nitric oxide, 3-nitrotyrosine and selected markers of oxidative status in training and non-training men. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2020 doi: 10.13075/ijomeh.1896.01514 [published Online First: 2020/01/18]
32. Hedley AM, Climstein M, Hansen R. The effects of acute heat exposure on muscular strength, muscular endurance, and muscular power in the euhydrated athlete. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 2002;16(3):353-58. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1519/1533-4287%282002%29016%3C0353:TEOAHE%3E2.0.CO;2
33. Hu Q, , Zhu W, et al. Acute effects of warm footbath on arterial stiffness in healthy young and older women. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 2012;112(4):1261-8. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-2066-1
34. Iguchi M, , Littmann AE, et al. Heat stress and cardiovascular, hormonal, and heat shock proteins in humans. *Journal of athletic training* 2012;47(2):184-90.
35. Imamura M, SadatoshiKihara, TakashiYoshifuku, ShiroTakasaki, KunitsuguOtsuji, YutakaMinagoe, ShinichiToyama, YoshifumiTei, Chuwa. Repeated thermal therapy improves impaired vascular endothelial function in patients with coronary risk factors. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2001;38(4):1083-88. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(01)01467-x
36. Kaldur T, EveÖöpik, VahurZilmer, MihkelEha, JaanPaapstel, KaidoKals, Jaak. The acute effects of passive heat exposure on arterial stiffness, oxidative stress, and inflammation. *Medicina* 2016;52(4):211-16. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medici.2016.06.001
37. Ketelhut S, Ketelhut RG. The blood pressure and heart rate during sauna bath correspond to cardiac responses during submaximal dynamic exercise. *Complement Ther Med* 2019;44:218-22. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2019.05.002 [published Online First: 2019/05/28]
38. Kihara T, SadatoshiImamura, MasakazuYoshifuku, ShiroTakasaki, KunitsuguIkeda, YoshiyukiOtuji, YutakaMinagoe, ShinichiToyama, YoshifumiTei, Chuwa. Repeated sauna treatment improves vascular endothelial and cardiac function in patients with chronic heart failure. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2002;39(5):754-59. doi: 10.1016/s0735-1097(01)01824-1
39. Kimball AL, McCue PM, Petrie MA, et al. Whole body heat exposure modulates acute glucose metabolism. *International Journal of Hyperthermia* 2018 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02656736.2018.1516303
40. Masuda A, Miyata M, Kihara T, et al. Repeated sauna therapy reduces urinary 8-epi-prostaglnadin F<inf>2alpha</inf>. *Japanese Heart Journal* 2004;45(2):297-303. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1536/jhj.45.297
41. Michalsen A, Ludtke R, Buhring M, et al. Thermal hydrotherapy improves quality of life and hemodynamic function in patients with chronic heart failure. *American heart journal* 2003;146(4):728-33.
42. Mizuno K, Tanaka M, Tajima K, et al. Effects of mild-stream bathing on recovery from mental fatigue. *Medical Science Monitor* 2010;16(1):CR8-CR14.
43. Moore J, Kressler J, Buono MJ. Hand heating lowers postprandial blood glucose concentrations: A double-blind randomized controlled crossover trial. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2020;49 (no pagination) doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2019.102280
44. Neff D, Kuhlenhoelter AM, Lin C, et al. Thermotherapy reduces blood pressure and circulating endothelin-1 concentration and enhances leg blood flow in patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease. *American journal of physiology Regulatory, integrative*

and comparative physiology 2016;311(2):R392-R400. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00147.2016 [published Online First: 2016/06/22]
45. Ogoh S, Nagaoka R, Mizuno T, et al. Acute vascular effects of carbonated warm water lower leg immersion in healthy young adults. *Physiol Rep* 2016;4(23)
46. Olah M, Koncz A, Feher J, et al. The effect of balneotherapy on C-reactive protein, serum cholesterol, triglyceride, total antioxidant status and HSP-60 levels. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 2010;54(3):249-54. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00484-009-0276-6
47. Olah M, Koncz A, Feher J, et al. The effect of balneotherapy on antioxidant, inflammatory, and metabolic indices in patients with cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension and obesity)- A randomised, controlled, follow-up study. *Contemporary Clinical Trials* 2011;32(6):793-801. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2011.06.003
48. Oyama JI, Kudo Y, Maeda T, et al. Hyperthermia by bathing in a hot spring improves cardiovascular functions and reduces the production of inflammatory cytokines in patients with chronic heart failure. *Heart and Vessels* 2013;28(2):173-78. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00380-011-0220-7
49. Pellinger TK, Neighbors CB, Simmons GH. Acute Lower Leg Heating Increases Exercise Capacity in Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease. *The Journal of cardiovascular nursing* 2019;34(2):130-33. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JCN.0000000000000510
50. Qiu Y, Zhu Y, Jia W, et al. Spa adjuvant therapy improves diabetic lower extremity arterial disease. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 2014;22(4):655-61. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2014.05.003
51. Romero SA, Gagnon D, Adams AN, et al. Acute limb heating improves macro- and microvascular dilator function in the leg of aged humans. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology* 2017;312(1):H89-H97. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00519.2016
52. Teixeira AL, Padilla J, Vianna LC. Impaired popliteal artery flow-mediated dilation caused by reduced daily physical activity is prevented by increased shear stress. *J Appl Physiol* 2017;123(1):49-54. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00001.2017
53. Zellner M, Hergovics N, Roth E, et al. Human monocyte stimulation by experimental whole body hyperthermia. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift* 2002;114(3):102-7.
54. Zhou J, Yang D, Zhou SH, et al. Clinical Efficacy and Safety of Bathing with Chinese Medicine Taohong Siwu Decoction () for Treatment of Diffuse Cutaneous Systemic Sclerosis: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine* 2018;24(3):185-92. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11655-017-2954-2
55. Black MA, Cable NT, Thijssen DH, et al. Impact of age, sex, and exercise on brachial artery flow-mediated dilatation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2009;297(3):H1109-16. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00226.2009 [published Online First: 2009/07/28]
56. Taylor NA. Human heat adaptation. *Compr Physiol* 2014;4(1):325-65. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c130022 [published Online First: 2014/04/03]
57. Fox RH, Goldsmith R, Kidd DJ, et al. Acclimatization to heat in man by controlled elevation of body temperature. *J Physiol* 1963;166:530-47. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1963.sp007121 [published Online First: 1963/05/01]
58. Hardy ST, Loehr LR, Butler KR, et al. Reducing the Blood Pressure-Related Burden of Cardiovascular Disease: Impact of Achievable Improvements in Blood Pressure Prevention and Control. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2015;4(10):e002276. doi: 10.1161/jaha.115.002276 [published Online First: 2015/10/29]
59. Yang JS, Gerber JN, You HJ. Association between fasting insulin and high-sensitivity C reactive protein in Korean adults. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2017;3(1):e000236. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000236

60. Kimball ALM, P. M.; Petrie, M. A.; Shields, R. K. Whole body heat exposure modulates acute glucose metabolism. *International Journal of Hyperthermia* 2018 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02656736.2018.1516303
61. Zellner MH, N.; Roth, E.; Jilma, B.; Spittler, A.; Oehler, R. Human monocyte stimulation by experimental whole body hyperthermia. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift* 2002;114(3):102-7.
62. Dumke CLS, Dustin R.; Cuddy, John S.; Hailes, Walter S.; Rose, Shawn M.; Ruby, Brent C. The Effect of Environmental Temperature on Glucose and Insulin After an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test in Healthy Young Men. *Wilderness and Environmental Medicine* 2015;26(3):335-42. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2015.03.002
63. Leicht CA, James LJ, Briscoe JHB, et al. Hot water immersion acutely increases postprandial glucose concentrations. *Physiol Rep* 2019;7(20):e14223. doi: 10.14814/phy2.14223
64. Yuen KC, Chong LE, Riddle MC. Influence of glucocorticoids and growth hormone on insulin sensitivity in humans. *Diabet Med* 2013;30(6):651-63. doi: 10.1111/dme.12184 [published Online First: 2013/03/21]
65. Mizuno KT, M.; Tajima, K.; Okada, N.; Rokushima, K.; Watanabe, Y. Effects of mild-stream bathing on recovery from mental fatigue. *Medical Science Monitor* 2010;16(1):CR8-CR14.
66. Paneni F, Diaz Canestro C, Libby P, et al. The Aging Cardiovascular System: Understanding It at the Cellular and Clinical Levels. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69(15):1952-67. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.01.064 [published Online First: 2017/04/15]
67. Sanada F, Taniyama Y, Muratsu J, et al. Source of Chronic Inflammation in Aging. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2018;5:12-12. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2018.00012


Identification
Screening
Eligibility
Included
Abstracts identified through database searching
MEDLINE n= 170
EMBASE n= 329
Web of Science n=625
Total n=1124
Additional records identified through other sources (n = 9)
Abstracts after duplicates removed (n = 1036)
Abstracts Screened (n = 1036)
Abstracts excluded, with reasons (n = 917:
No human participants = 29
Less than 18 years old = 5
Non-eligible study design = 19
Pharmaceutical drug intervention = 241
No passive heating stimulus = 585
Does not include primary outcomes = 23
Duplicates = 15
Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 119)
Full-text articles excluded, with reasons (n = 88:
Cannot access full text = 3
Does not include primary outcomes = 10
No passive heating stimulus = 70
Non-eligible study design = 5
Studies included in Narrative Synthesis (n = 39)


Heat Thermotherapy
Moderated by Thermal load
E.g. Type of thermotherapy, Duration, Cohort (age, disease status and body composition), Intervention temperature and/or Number of bouts
Cardiovascular Response
Cardiometabolic Response
↑ Shear Stress
E.g. ↑ Blood flow to the Periphery
↑ Heat Shock Proteins
E.g. Hsp72, Hsp70, Hsp27
♦ Enhanced Endothelial Functioning
♦ ↓ Blood Pressure
♦ ↓ Arterial Stiffness
♦ ↑ Flow Mediated Dilation
♦ ↑ CBF
♦ ↑ Microvascular Function
♦ Positive Vascular Remodelling
♦ ↓ Sympathetic Outflow
♦ ↓ Carotid intima media thickness
♦ ↓ CAVI
♦ ↓ Cardiothoracic Ratio
♦ ↓ LVEDD
♦ ↑ NYHA CHF Clinical Symptoms
♦ ↑ Insulin Sensitivity
♦ ↑ Tissue Glucose uptake
♦ ↓ FFA and glucose accumulation in the blood stream
♦ ↓ Low Grade Chronic Inflammation (TNF-α, IL-6, CRP)
♦ ↓ BNP
♦ ↑ Glycaemic Control
♦ ↑ Vascularisation of adipose tissue in obese populations reducing adipocytosis
Health Outcomes
⇒ Improved Cardiovascular and Cardiometabolic Health
⇒ Lowered risk of Non communicable Disease progression such as T2DM & CVD
⇒ Attenuation of disease progression in CVD and T2DM patients
⇒ Reduced Mortality & Better Quality of Life


Cardiovascular Outcomes
Cardiometabolic Outcomes
A
T_{core} 0-0.5°C
2
2
0
T_{core} 0.6-1°C
1
0
2
T_{core} 0-0.5°C
0
1
T_{core} 0.6-1°C
1
1
3
T_{core} 1.1-1.5°C
0
5
T_{core} >1.5°C
0
1
6
T_{core} 1.1-1.5°C
1
2
0
T_{core} >1.5°C
1
0
2
B
1 bout
6
8
0
2 - 15 bouts
0
8
1 Bout
1
5
3
2 - 15 Bouts
0
7
>15 bouts
0
7
>15 Bouts
3
4
0
C
0 - 10 minutes
1
0
2
11 - 30 minutes
2
0
10
0 - 10 Minutes
1
0
2
11 - 30 Minutes
5
5
0
31 - 60 minutes
3
0
8
>60 Minutes
0
3
31 - 60 Minutes
2
0
5
>60 Minutes
0
1
2
D
Hot Water Immersion
6
0
15
Heated Air
1
0
7
Hot Water Immersion
4
0
8
Heated Air
2
4
2
Positive Change
Negative Change
No Change