Title: Wild spirits: Elevated hypomanic tendencies are associated with entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial success

Authors: Helen Pushkarskaya[1,*], Christopher Pittenger[1,2], Marco Kleine[3], Godfrey Pearlson[1,4], David R. Just[5]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA.

[2] Child Study Center, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA.

[3] Max Plank Institute for Innovation and Competition, Marstallplatz 1, 80539 Munich, Germany

[4] Olin Neuropsychiatric Research Center, Institute of Living, Hartford, CT 06102, USA.

[5] Department of Economics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-2501, USA

*Correspondence to: helen.pushkarskaya@yale.edu.

Abstract: Entrepreneurs take extreme risks and make rash decisions but are responsible for innovation, technological change, and economic growth. Attempts to characterize the entrepreneurial personality have had limited success. We hypothesized that elevated hypomanic tendencies (HPM), which have been linked to increased risk for psychopathology, are also associated with entrepreneurship. We tested the association of HPM with entrepreneurial activities cross-sectionally in three different populations (total N = 2,200, including 899 entrepreneurs), using three different measures of hypomanic tendencies. We report a robust positive association of HPM with several measures of entrepreneurial intent, behavior, and success. This may reflect a particular ecological fit between individual characteristics associated with HPM and unique demands of entrepreneurial lifestyle, but highlights the psychological vulnerability of entrepreneurs, especially under conditions of stress.

One Sentence Summary: A positive relationship between hypomanic tendencies and entrepreneurship is revealed in three large independent datasets.

Main Text:

Joseph Schumpeter, one of the most influential economists of the 20th century, described entrepreneurs as "wild spirits" who take extreme risks and make rash decisions but are responsible for innovation and technological change, and thus for economic growth (*1*). Much subsequent literature has attempted to characterize the entrepreneurial personality and to identify determinants of entrepreneurial drive (*2*). Entrepreneurship is not associated with the dimensions of personality in the prominent 'Big 5' personality model (*2*). However, many of the documented characteristics of entrepreneurs (*3-6*) parallel the older construct of hypomanic personality (*7-13*): impulsivity (*14, 15*), altered perception of risk (*16*) and increased risk taking (*17, 18*), decreased tendency to think counterfactually (*19*), ambition and overconfidence (*20*), perseverance (*21*), positive affectivity (*22*), goal orientation (*23, 24*), little need for sleep (*25, 26*), and reduced regard for social norms (*27, 28*). Mania and hypomania have long been associated with creativity and with increased goal-directed activity (*7, 10, 29-31*). There have been many case studies suggesting a relationship between hypomanic tendencies and entrepreneurship (*7-9, 32*); but the empirical literature is limited and mixed. Some small studies have supported an association between

hypomania and entrepreneurship (*32*); others have found no such relationship (*33*). These small studies have used a range of measures of hypomania and of entrepreneurial behavior. We hypothesized that strong and persistent hypomanic traits (HPM) would be associated with entrepreneurship. We tested the association of HPM with entrepreneurial activities cross-sectionally in three different populations (total N = 2,200, including 899 individuals with a history of business creation), using three different measures of hypomanic traits (detailed below). We report a robust positive association of HPM with several different measures of entrepreneurial intent, behavior, and success. Our results thus provide empirical support for the "wild spirits" hypothesis of entrepreneurship and provide new insight into the entrepreneurial drive.

"Good science has to begin with good definitions" (*34*). Some have defined entrepreneurship as the creation of any new business (*35*), while others restrict it to the creation of innovative businesses, excluding the creation of ventures that are not innovative (like opening a franchise) (*36*). In either case, the success rate of new ventures is very low, across a wide array of success measures (*37-42*). Some researchers also include innovation within an existing and thriving corporation (*42, 43*) or in the sphere of social activism (*44*) under the umbrella of entrepreneurship. We examine several of these different notions of entrepreneurship but focus primarily on the creation of new ventures (irrespective of the level of innovation).

Hypomania has likewise been conceptualized and measured in a variety of ways (*45-49*). It is a risk factor for the development of bipolar disorder (~20%, versus ~1.5% in general population) (*50, 51*), but most individuals with HPM do not go on to develop major psychopathology (*52, 53*). Some studies have documented the mental health sequelae of the stress of venture creation (*54-56*). We posit that psychiatric difficulties in this population may derive from an interaction of this stress with an underlying temperamental vulnerability (i.e., HPM). However, we emphasize that the very factors associated with this vulnerability may simultaneously serve an important adaptive purpose, driving the creation of technological change and economic growth (*57*).

Whether mania exists on a continuum of severity or not, with hypomanic personality representing a mild form, depends in part on the type and level of analysis. This is one aspect of the broader question of whether continuous personality traits (e.g. hypomanic tendencies) can be truly separated from discrete pathologic entities (e.g. psychiatric illnesses such as bipolar disorder). Both biological measures (e.g. polygenic risk scores for bipolar disorder (*58*)) and non-biological measures (e.g. family pedigree analysis of bipolar family members (*59*)) may help resolve the question of whether hypomania and bipolar disorder lie on a continuum. We did not here attempt to resolve this important question.

We tested the association of HPM with entrepreneurship in three independent studies: a sample of 1387 residents of Kentucky (a primarily rural state in the U.S.), which was oversampled to include 45% (619) who reported having created a business (Study 1; Supplementary text); a sample of 417 general population respondents recruited in Connecticut, 72 of whom reported a history of creating a business (Study 2; Supplementary text); and an international group of 396 individuals from executive MBA programs, 208 of whom described themselves as having created a business (Study 3; Supplementary text). In all three samples, using different instruments to measure HPM, individuals with strong hypomanic propensities were overrepresented among individuals with a history of business creation, especially among individuals with a history of creating multiple businesses (i.e. serial entrepreneurs; Figure 1). This robustly supports the hypothesized positive association between HPM and entrepreneurship.

The Hypomanic Personality Scale (HPS) of Eckblad and Chapman (*46*) is the most widely used instrument to measure hypomanic tendencies in non-clinical samples, but it is inconveniently

long for large-scale surveys. In Study 1 (N = 1387), we measured HPM using a shortened version of the HPS (HPS-short, or HPS-s), which we validated against the full HPS in an independent group of subjects (Supplementary text, Figure S1). Entrepreneurial attitudes were evaluated using two validated scales: the Business Risk-Taking Scale (BRT) (*60*) and a shortened version of the Entrepreneurial Intentions Scale (EI) (*61*). Details of these scales, including the construction and validation of the shortened EI (EI-r), are given in Supplementary text, as are demographic characteristics of the sample and summary statistics of measures of HPM and entrepreneurship.

As shown in Figure 1A, there was a positive and monotonic relationship between HPS-S and history of business creation: serial entrepreneurs on average scored higher than one-time entrepreneurs, who in turn on average scored higher than individuals that never created a business. Similar significant effects were seen when we used a dichotomous measure of business entrepreneurship (i.e. "have you ever started a business [yes/no]"; Figure S2).

In this large sample we were able to examine association of HPM with current entrepreneurial activity and intentions, in addition to history. Hypomanic propensities were elevated in those with any past or present business creation, relative to those who had never started a business, but were most markedly elevated in those who were actively in the process of starting a business (nascent entrepreneurs, NE; Figure 2A; see Supplementary text) (*62-64*). This could be because elevated HPM represents a stable trait, and individuals with high HPM self-select into the current entrepreneur group (*65*). Alternatively, HPM may represent a combination of stable trait and more transient state effects (*66*); individuals may be drawn into venture creation during periods when their HPM is high, or elevated HPM may be triggered, in susceptible individuals, by the stress of venture creation. Our cross-sectional design does not allow us to distinguish between these possibilities.

Similarly, there was a positive association between hypomanic propensities and current entrepreneurial intentions (irrespective of actual activity), measured using the EI-r (Figure 2B). Since the variance in EI-r increased dramatically at higher levels of HPS-s (Breusch–Pagan test $p < 10^{-10}$), we analyzed these data using quantile regression (*67*), which divides EI-r into nine quantiles at each level of HPS-s, and then examines the relationship between HPS-s and EI-r in each of these subsets of the data.[1] As shown in Figure 2C, the relationship between HPS-s and EI-r was significant and positive across all quantiles, but stronger in the higher quantiles (see also Table S9). That is, not all individuals with HPM in our sample formed entrepreneurial intentions, but almost no individuals without HPM did so.

In aggregate, these several analyses in the initial cohort of over 1,000 show a robust positive association of HPM with entrepreneurial behavior and intention.

In Study 2, we examined the specificity of the association of HPM with venture creation, relative to different personality constructs and different conceptions of entrepreneurship. Hypomanic propensities do not map cleanly onto the most widely used dimensional model of personality, the Big Five model, and no associations have been found between entrepreneurship and the dimensions of that model (*2*). Hypomania is specifically measured by an older, but extremely well validated and widely used, instrument for characterizing personality variation and pathology along nine dimensions: the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) (*49, 68*). We used a more recent version of MMPI, MMPI-2 (*49*), and several measures of entrepreneurship (as defined by the entrepreneurial outcomes inventory, EO (*69*)) to access 417 general population subjects, 72 of whom had a history of creating a business (see Supplementary text for details of these measures and descriptive statistics of the sample). As in Study 1, hypomanic tendencies (the MMPI-2 Ma subscale) were positively associated with history of

business creation, measured either across three levels (Figure 1B) or as a dichotomous variable (Table 1). The other eight MMPI-2 dimensions showed no such relationship (Table 1; Supplementary text, Table S14). Thus, the positive association of venture creation with hypomanic propensities is specific, at least amongst the nine dimensions measured by the MMPI-2.

Hitherto we have defined entrepreneurship as a history of business creation. However, entrepreneurship has been defined and studied in other ways. We examined the association of other forms of entrepreneurship with MMPI-2 personality dimensions. Significant relationships were seen between the MMPI-2 Ma subscale and both student and corporate entrepreneurship (Table 1). Negative associations emerged with MMPI-2 D and Si subscales (measuring depression and social isolation tendencies, respectively) and student and corporate entrepreneurship – that is, these dimensions work against these forms of entrepreneurship. Overall, across several different definitions of entrepreneurship, MMPI-2 Ma has the most consistent association, and the only positive one, further supporting the robustness and specificity of the relationship. There were no significant associations between any MMPI-2 dimensions and innovation entrepreneurship.

In Study 3 we tested whether the association between hypomanic tendencies and entrepreneurship would hold in individuals who self-selected to receive business education, and how it associates with entrepreneurial success. We used on-line data collection to characterize an international group of 396 individuals enrolled in executive MBA programs, currently or in the past; 208 described themselves as having created a business (Supplementary text; Table S15). Hypomanic tendencies in this sample were characterized using the full HPS. We again replicated the core finding seen in the first two studies: that a history of having started a business associated positively with HPM (Figure 1C).

Collection of the full HPS in this sample allowed us to examine three well-validated subscales that measure different aspects of HPM (*70*): social vitality (SV), mood volatility (MV), and excitement (Ex; see Supplementary text). These subscales are highly intercorrelated in general, and in our sample (Supplementary text). History of business creation was positively associated with SV ($\text{mean}_{\text{one}} - \text{mean}_{\text{none}} = 0.23$, $\text{mean}_{\text{several}} - \text{mean}_{\text{one}} = 0.24$; Kruskal-Wallis $p = 0.001$) and, more weakly, with Ex ($\text{mean}_{\text{one}} - \text{mean}_{\text{none}} = 0.23$, $\text{mean}_{\text{several}} - \text{mean}_{\text{one}} = 0.01$; Kruskal-Wallis $p = 0.014$); there was no consistent monotonic association with MV ($\text{mean}_{\text{one}} - \text{mean}_{\text{none}} = 0.23$, $\text{mean}_{\text{several}} - \text{mean}_{\text{one}} = -0.12$; Kruskal-Wallis $p = 0.060$; Table 2). This suggests that the association between hypomanic propensities and entrepreneurship is driven primarily by social vitality. Relationships of HPS subscales with the other forms of entrepreneurship measured by the EO were weaker (Table S17).

A subset of this third sample (N = 109) provided data on past entrepreneurial success, measured as peak annual revenue of the most successful business created. We examined the relationship of business success with the three HPS subscales (Supplementary text; Table S17). Since business success is highly skewed – high levels of success are rare (*36-39*) – analyzing this relationship again required the use of quantile regression (*67*), including as predictors the three HPS subscales and their squares (to allow for quadratic relationships). Only SV predicted entrepreneurial success, and only in the top quantile (Figure 3; Table S18): that is, most entrepreneurial enterprises fail, but amongst those that succeed, social vitality of the entrepreneur is a significant predictor of the degree of success, as measured by peak income.

A minority of economic actors function as entrepreneurs, and yet the actions of this subgroup are critical to innovation and economic growth (*1*). It has been challenging to identify personality traits of individuals who will self-select to function as entrepreneurs in the economy, and who will be successful. We provide convergent data across three large samples, supporting a positive

association between hypomanic tendencies and entrepreneurship. Notably, HPM is particularly high among serial entrepreneurs – individuals who select venture creation as a lifestyle rather than a onetime activity – and among nascent entrepreneurs, as they navigate a particularly stressful period.

Extreme personality traits are often thought to be associated with psychopathology and impaired function. HPM is no exception; elevated HPM is a predictor of the development of bipolar disorder (*50, 51*). However, it has been speculated that HPM (and even bipolar disorder) may have benefits as well; for example, case reports and some studies associate HPM with creativity (*30, 31*). We provide evidence that elevated HPM also contributes positively to entrepreneurship. This may reflect a particular ecological fit between individual characteristics associated with HPM and unique demands and opportunities of entrepreneurial lifestyle (*71*). This association is specific; none of the other measured personality dimensions correlate with business entrepreneurship. Social vitality (SV) appears to be the strongest predictor of both entrepreneurial activity and success. However, SV strongly correlates with other dimensions of HPM, mood volatility and excitement; this combination of intercorrelated traits may constitute the "wild spirit" of entrepreneurs.

Improved understanding of the relationship between personality variation and entrepreneurship may help policy makers, educational institutions, and support programs to identify, cultivate, and support potential and active entrepreneurs. The identification of a psychological trait (which has been suggested to be heritable (*72*)) that is associated both with entrepreneurship and with psychopathology highlights the psychological vulnerability of entrepreneurs and their family members (*73*), especially under conditions of stress. However, it is important to emphasize that most individuals with HPM (~80%) do not go on to develop major psychopathology (*52, 53*). Identifying resilience factors in this high-risk population is a critical topic for future research. Hypomania may be a double-edged sword. Appreciation of this fact may inform strategies to support entrepreneurs as they provide a critical engine for the economy.

References and Notes:

1. J. A. Schumpeter, The creative response in economic history. The journal of economic history 7, 149-159 (1947).
2. S. P. Kerr, W. R. Kerr, T. Xu, Personality traits of entrepreneurs: a review of recent literature. Foundations and Trends® in Entrepreneurship 14, 279-356 (2018).
3. D. G. Mitton, The complete entrepreneur. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 13, 9-20 (1989).
4. J. B. Cunningham, J. Lischeron, Defining entrepreneurship. Journal of small business management 29, 45-61 (1991).
5. J. F. DeCarlo, P. R. Lyons, in Academy of Management Proceedings. (Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 1979), vol. 1979, pp. 369-373.
6. H. Chye Koh, Testing hypotheses of entrepreneurial characteristics: A study of Hong Kong MBA students. Journal of managerial Psychology 11, 12-25 (1996).
7. J. D. Gartner, The hypomanic edge: the link between (a little) craziness and (a lot of) success in America. (Simon and Schuster, 2008).

8. S. N. Ghaemi, A first-rate madness: Uncovering the links between leadership and mental illness. (Penguin Books, 2012).

9. P. C. Whybrow, American mania: When more is not enough. (WW Norton & Company, 2006).

10. S. L. Johnson, J. W. Madole, M. A. Freeman, Mania risk and entrepreneurship: Overlapping personality traits. Academy of Management Perspectives 32, 207-227 (2018).

11. W. Mansell, D. Lam, “I Won’t Do What You Tell Me!”: Elevated mood and the assessment of advice-taking in euthymic bipolar I disorder. Behaviour Research and Therapy 44, 1787-1801 (2006).

12. E. Harmon-Jones et al., Effect of bipolar disorder on left frontal cortical responses to goals differing in valence and task difficulty. Biological psychiatry 63, 693-698 (2008).

13. J. Gruber, A review and synthesis of positive emotion and reward disturbance in bipolar disorder. Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy 18, 356-365 (2011).

14. J. Wiklund, W. Yu, H. Patzelt, Impulsivity and entrepreneurial action. Academy of Management Perspectives 32, 379-403 (2018).

15. J. Wiklund, W. Yu, R. Tucker, L. D. Marino, ADHD, impulsivity and entrepreneurship. Journal of Business Venturing 32, 627-656 (2017).

16. K. Podoynitsyna, H. Van der Bij, M. Song, The role of mixed emotions in the risk perception of novice and serial entrepreneurs. Entrepreneurship theory and practice 36, 115-140 (2012).

17. R. H. Brockhaus Sr, Risk taking propensity of entrepreneurs. Academy of management Journal 23, 509-520 (1980).

18. J. Block, P. Sandner, F. Spiegel, How do risk attitudes differ within the group of entrepreneurs? The role of motivation and procedural utility. Journal of Small Business Management 53, 183-206 (2015).

19. R. A. Baron, Psychological perspectives on entrepreneurship: Cognitive and social factors in entrepreneurs' success. Current directions in psychological science 9, 15-18 (2000).

20. A. Rauch, M. Frese, Let's put the person back into entrepreneurship research: A meta-analysis on the relationship between business owners' personality traits, business creation, and success. European Journal of work and organizational psychology 16, 353-385 (2007).

21. J. R. Baum, E. A. Locke, The relationship of entrepreneurial traits, skill, and motivation to subsequent venture growth. Journal of applied psychology 89, 587 (2004).

22. R. A. Baron, J. Tang, K. M. Hmieleski, The downside of being ‘up’: entrepreneurs' dispositional positive affect and firm performance. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal 5, 101-119 (2011).

23. J. W. Carland, F. Hoy, W. R. Boulton, J. A. C. Carland, in Entrepreneurship. (Springer, 2007), pp. 73-81.

24. S. S. Culbertson, M. R. Smith, P. I. Leiva, Enhancing entrepreneurship: The role of goal orientation and self-efficacy. Journal of Career Assessment 19, 115-129 (2011).

25. J. J. Gish, D. T. Wagner, in Academy of Management Proceedings. (Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510, 2017), vol. 2017, pp. 14293.

26. B. C. Gunia, The sleep trap: Do sleep problems prompt entrepreneurial motives but undermine entrepreneurial means? Academy of Management Perspectives 32, 228-242 (2018).

27. T. Åstebro, J. Chen, P. Thompson, Stars and misfits: Self-employment and labor market frictions. Management Science 57, 1999-2017 (2011).

28. C. Hinz, Women beyond the pale: Marital “misfits and outcasts” among Japanese women entrepreneurs. Women's Studies 33, 453-479 (2004).

29. S. L. Johnson, Mania and dysregulation in goal pursuit: A review. Clinical psychology review 25, 241-262 (2005).

30. A. W. Clare, Touched with Fire: Manic Depressive Illnesss and the Artistic Temperament By Kay Redfield Jamison. London: Free Press. 1992. 370 pp. $19.95. ISBN 0029160308. Alternative edition: Hemel Hempstead: Simon & Schuster.£ 9.99. ISBN 0029160030. The British Journal of Psychiatry 171, 395-395 (1997).

31. B.-N. Kim, S.-M. Kwon, The link between hypomania risk and creativity: The role of heightened behavioral activation system (BAS) sensitivity. Journal of affective disorders 215, 9-14 (2017).

32. K. K. Akiskal, M. Savino, H. S. Akiskal, Temperament profiles in physicians, lawyers, managers, industrialists, architects, journalists, and artists: a study in psychiatric outpatients. Journal of affective disorders 85, 201-206 (2005).

33. S. L. Johnson, M. A. Freeman, P. J. Staudenmaier, Manic tendencies are not related to being an entrepreneur, intending to become an entrepreneur, or succeeding as an entrepreneur. Journal of affective disorders 173, 154-158 (2015).

34. W. D. Bygrave, C. W. Hofer, Theorizing about entrepreneurship. Entrepreneurship theory and Practice 16, 13-22 (1992).

35. C. A. Kent, D. L. Sexton, K. H. Vesper, Encyclopedia of entrepreneurship. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship, (1982).

36. P. Drucker, Innovation and entrepreneurship. (Routledge, 2014).

37. P. Gompers, A. Kovner, J. Lerner, D. Scharfstein, Performance persistence in entrepreneurship. Journal of Financial Economics 96, 18-32 (2010).

38. T. Åstebro, Basic statistics on the success rate and profits for independent inventors. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 23, 41-48 (1998).

39. H. E. Aldrich, M. A. Martinez, in Entrepreneurship. (Springer, 2007), pp. 293-311.

40. H. A. Cader, J. C. Leatherman, Small business survival and sample selection bias. Small Business Economics 37, 155-165 (2011).

41. J. M. Unger, A. Rauch, M. Frese, N. Rosenbusch, Human capital and entrepreneurial success: A meta-analytical review. Journal of business venturing 26, 341-358 (2011).

42. R. Chattopadhyay, A. K. Ghosh, Entrepreneurial Intention Model-BasedQuantitative Approach to EstimateEntrepreneurial Success. Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship 21, 1-21 (2008).

43. W. D. Guth, A. Ginsberg, Guest editors' introduction: Corporate entrepreneurship. Strategic management journal, 5-15 (1990).

44. A. M. Peredo, M. McLean, Social entrepreneurship: A critical review of the concept. Journal of world business 41, 56-65 (2006).

45. A. P. Association, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. BMC Med 17, 133-137 (2013).

46. M. Eckblad, L. J. Chapman, Development and validation of a scale for hypomanic personality. Journal of abnormal psychology 95, 214 (1986).

47. H. S. Akiskal et al., TEMPS-A: validation of a short version of a self-rated instrument designed to measure variations in temperament. Journal of Affective Disorders 85, 45-52 (2005).

48. R. Depue, D. Klein, Identification of unipolar and bipolar affective conditions in nonclinical and clinical populations by the General Behavior Inventory. Relatives at risk for mental disorder, 179-202 (1988).

49. J. N. Butcher, W. G. Dahlstrom, J. R. Graham, A. M. Tellegen, B. Kreammer, The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory-2 (MMPI-2) Manual for Administration and Scoring., (University of Minneapolis Press., Minneapolis, MN, 1989).

50. G. L. Faedda et al., An International Society of Bipolar Disorders task force report: Precursors and prodromes of bipolar disorder. Bipolar disorders, (2019).

51. G. G. Homish, D. Marshall, S. L. Dubovsky, K. Leonard, Predictors of later bipolar disorder in patients with subthreshold symptoms. Journal of affective disorders 144, 129-133 (2013).

52. K. Beesdo et al., Mood episodes and mood disorders: patterns of incidence and conversion in the first three decades of life. Bipolar disorders 11, 637-649 (2009).

53. D. A. Axelson et al., Course of subthreshold bipolar disorder in youth: diagnostic progression from bipolar disorder not otherwise specified. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 50, 1001-1016. e1003 (2011).

54. O. Torrès, R. Thurik, Small business owners and health. Small Business Economics 53, 311-321 (2019).

55. U. Stephan, Entrepreneurs' mental health and well-being: A review and research agenda. Academy of Management Perspectives 32, 290-322 (2018).

56. J. Wiklund, B. Nikolaev, N. Shir, M.-D. Foo, S. Bradley. (Elsevier, 2019).

57. J. Wiklund, I. Hatak, H. Patzelt, D. A. Shepherd, Mental disorders in the entrepreneurship context: when being different can be an advantage. Academy of Management Perspectives 32, 182-206 (2018).

58. D. M. Ruderfer et al., Genomic dissection of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia, including 28 subphenotypes. Cell 173, 1705-1715. e1716 (2018).

59. D. M. Dick, T. Foroud, L. Flury, E.S. Bowman, M.J. Miller, N.L. Rau, P.R. Moe, N. Samavedy, R. El-Mallakh, H. Manji, D.A. Glitz, Genomewide linkage analyses of bipolar disorder: a new sample of 250 pedigrees from the National Institute of Mental Health Genetics Initiative. The American Journal of Human Genetics 73, 107-114 (2003).

60. H. Pushkarskaya, M. W-P Fortunato, N. Breazeale, D. R. Just, Enhancing measures of ESE to incorporate aspects of place: Personal reputation and place-based social legitimacy. Journal of Business venturing (forthcoming), (2020).

61. E. R. Thompson, Individual entrepreneurial intent: Construct clarification and development of an internationally reliable metric. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice 33, 669-694 (2009).

62. P. Davidsson, B. Honig, The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs. Journal of business venturing 18, 301-331 (2003).

63. H. Pushkarskaya, E. L. Usher, Self-efficacy for resolving environmental uncertainties: Implications for entrepreneurial educational and support programs. Journal of Extension 48, 1-10 (2010).

64. H. Pushkarskaya, D. Vedenov, How internet penetration into rural communities changes demographics of rural entrepreneurs. Innovative Marketing 6, 1-8 (2010).

65. S. Akhtar, Hypomanic personality disorder. Integrative psychiatry 6, 37-52 (1988).

66. G. Parker, K. Fletcher, S. McCraw, M. Hong, The hypomanic personality scale: A measure of personality and/or bipolar symptoms? Psychiatry research 220, 654-658 (2014).

67. R. Koenker, K. Hallock, Quantile regression. Journal of economic perspectives 15(4), 143-156 (2001).

68. S. R. Hathaway, J. C. McKinley, A multiphasic personality schedule (Minnesota): I. Construction of the schedule. The Journal of Psychology 10, 249-254 (1940).

69. G. Ahmetoglu, T. Chamorro-Premuzic, Entrepreneurial Outcomes Inventory. (2010).

70. B. D. Schalet, C. E. Durbin, W. Revelle, Multidimensional structure of the Hypomanic Personality Scale. Psychological assessment 23, 504 (2011).

71. J. A. Timmons, Characteristics and role demands of entrepreneurship. American journal of small business 3, 5-17 (1978).

72. S. L. Johnson, C. S. Carver, J. Joormann, M. Cuccaro, A genetic analysis of the validity of the Hypomanic Personality Scale. Bipolar disorders 17, 331-339 (2015).

73. M. A. Freeman, P. J. Staudenmaier, M. R. Zisser, L. A. Andresen, The prevalence and co-occurrence of psychiatric conditions among entrepreneurs and their families. Small Business Economics 53, 323-342 (2019).

74. J. C. McKinley, S. R. Hathaway, The Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory. V. Hysteria, hypomania and psychopathic deviate. Journal of Applied Psychology 28, 153 (1944).

75. B. Honig, M. Samuelsson, Planning and the entrepreneur: A longitudinal examination of nascent entrepreneurs in Sweden. Journal of Small Business Management 50, 365-388 (2012).

76. G. Ahmetoglu, T. Chamorro-Premuzic. (2010).

77. M. Cheraghi, K. Adsbøll Wickstrøm, K. Klyver, Life-course and entry to entrepreneurship: embedded in gender and gender-egalitarianism. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development 31, 242-258 (2019).

78. T. Zhang, Z. Acs, Age and entrepreneurship: Nuances from entrepreneur types and generation effects. Small Business Economics 51, 773-809 (2018).

79. S. A. Rusticus, C. Y. Lovato, Impact of sample size and variability on the power and type I error rates of equivalence tests: A simulation study. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation 19, 1-10 (2014).

80. A. Zeileis, Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix estimators. Statistical Software 11, (2004).

81. T. R. Hinkin, Scale development principles and practices. Research in organizations: Foundations and methods of inquiry 161, (2005).

82. T. S. Breusch, A. R. Pagan, A simple test for heteroscedasticity and random coefficient variation. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 1287-1294 (1979).

83. W. M. Verniaud, Occupational differences in the Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory. Journal of Applied Psychology 30, 604 (1946).

84. L. P. Blum, A comparative study of students preparing for five selected professions including teaching. The Journal of Experimental Education 16, 31-65 (1947).

85. P. S. Faunce, Personality characteristics and vocational interests related to the college persistence of academically gifted women. Journal of Counseling Psychology 15, 31 (1968).

86. O. M. Lough, M. E. Green, Comparison of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory and the Washburne SA Inventory as Measures of Personality of College Women. The Journal of Social Psychology 32, 23-30 (1950).

87. H. M. Hoberman, R. G. Riedel, in Sexual Offending. (Springer, 2016), pp. 279-329.

88. M. Zuckerman, R. N. Bone, R. Neary, D. Mangelsdorff, B. Brustman, What is the sensation seeker? Personality trait and experience correlates of the Sensation-Seeking Scales. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology 39, 308 (1972).

89. J. Birtchnell, Some MMPI characteristics of psychiatric patients whose breakdown followed recent parent death. Social psychiatry 14, 181-186 (1979).

90. R. Trumbull, A study of relationships between factors of personality and intelligence. The Journal of Social Psychology 38, 161-173 (1953).

91. D. L. Espelage et al., A cluster-analytic investigation of MMPI profiles of serious male and female juvenile offenders. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 42, 770-777 (2003).

92. J. Birtchnell, Early parent death and the clinical scales of the MMPI. The British Journal of Psychiatry 132, 574-579 (1978).

93. T. L. Andolina, Self-Monitoring and Romantic Relationships: Individual Differences in Romantic Jealousy. (2015).

94. W. Schofield, A study of medical students with the MMPI: II. Group and individual changes after two years. The Journal of Psychology 36, 137-141 (1953).

95. A. Zeileis, Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix estimators. (2004).

96. K. Stanton, D. B. McArtor, D. Watson, Parsing the hypomanic personality: Explicating the nature of specific dimensions defining mania risk. Assessment 26, 492-507 (2019).

97. K. Stanton, J. Gruber, D. Watson, Basic dimensions defining mania risk: A structural approach. Psychological assessment 29, 304 (2017).

98. D. M. Meads, R. P. Bentall, Rasch analysis and item reduction of the hypomanic personality scale. Personality and Individual Differences 44, 1772-1783 (2008).

99. T. D. Meyer, The Hypomanic Personality Scale, the Big Five, and their relationship to depression and mania. Personality and Individual Differences 32, 649-660 (2002).

100. M. A. Walsh, D. P. DeGeorge, N. Barrantes-Vidal, T. R. Kwapil, A 3-year longitudinal study of risk for bipolar spectrum psychopathology. Journal of abnormal psychology 124, 486 (2015).

101. D. P. DeGeorge, M. A. Walsh, N. Barrantes-Vidal, T. R. Kwapil, A three-year longitudinal study of affective temperaments and risk for psychopathology. Journal of affective disorders 164, 94-100 (2014).

102. A. Giovanelli, M. Hoerger, S. L. Johnson, J. Gruber, Impulsive responses to positive mood and reward are related to mania risk. Cognition & emotion 27, 1091-1104 (2013).

103. S. L. Johnson, C. S. Carver, S. Mulé, J. Joormann, Impulsivity and risk for mania: Towards greater specificity. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice 86, 401-412 (2013).

104. L. J. Chapman et al., Impulsive nonconformity as a trait contributing to the prediction of psychotic-like and schizotypal symptoms. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, (1984).

105. T. R. Kwapil et al., A longitudinal study of high scorers on the Hypomanic Personality Scale. Journal of Abnormal Psychology 109, 222 (2000).

106. B. S. Cade, J. D. Richards, P. W. Mielke Jr, Rank score and permutation testing alternatives for regression quantile estimates. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 76, 331-355 (2006).

[1] - Standard regression analysis yields a similar and significant relationship

Acknowledgments: The authors extend sincere thanks to Dr. Hal Arkes of Ohio State University for constructive and thoughtful comments on the manuscript; to Drs. Nicole Breazeale, Alison Davis, Ellen Usher, and Ronald Hustedde of University of Kentucky who were essential for the collection of data for Study 1; Jessica Halten of Yale School of Management who was essential

for collection of data for Study 2; critical graduate student support for Study 1 was provided by Cami Bush at Western Kentucky University (WKU), Shaheer Burney of CEDIK, Spencer Walters and Stephen Gibbons of WKU. The authors express gratitude to The Community and Economic Development Initiative of Kentucky (CEDIK) for supporting Study 1; Behavioral Laboratory of Yale School of Management for supporting Study 2; LMU Entrepreneurship Center for distributing the Study 3 survey via their network. **Funding:** USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) 2011-68006-30807 (to H.P.); National Institutes of Mental Health Grants K01 MH101326-01 (to H.P.); and the State of Connecticut through its support of the Ribicoff Research Facilities at the Connecticut Mental Health Center. **Author contributions:** Helen Pushkarskaya: methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing – review and editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition; Christopher Pittenger: methodology, validation, writing – original draft preparation, writing – review and editing, supervision, Marco Kleine: software, validation, investigation, resources, data curation, writing – review and editing, project administration; Godfrey Pearlson: validation, writing –review and editing, supervision; David R. Just – conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, resources, writing – review and editing, supervision; **Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data is available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

Supplementary text

Figures S1-S3

Tables S1-S18

External Databases S1-S9

References (74-106)



	β	SE	p	Mean	CI, 95%	
					low	High
Study 1: DV = HPS-s, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.15	0.04	<0.01	-0.15	-0.23	-0.07
Started one business	0.29	0.06	<0.01	0.14	0.02	0.26
Started multiple businesses	0.42	0.08	<0.01	0.27	0.11	0.43
Study 2: DV = Ma, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.04	0.05	0.42	-0.04	-0.14	0.06
Started one business	0.08	0.17	0.66	0.04	-0.29	0.37
Started multiple businesses	0.52	0.17	0.00	0.48	0.15	0.81
Study 3: DV = HPS, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.07	0.08	0.41	-0.07	-0.22	0.09
Started one business	0.27	0.11	0.01	0.21	-0.01	0.42
Started multiple businesses	0.33	0.13	0.01	0.27	0.01	0.52

Fig. 1. Relationship between history of entrepreneurial activities and hypomanic personality, across three studies. We collected data in three different samples, totaling 2200 individuals, and assessed hypomanic tendencies using three different instruments. In all three cases there was a monotonic relationship between history of business creation (never, once, more than once) and hypomanic tendencies. Parameter estimates (βs) and standard errors (SE) are from a regression with a heteroskedasticity-consistent variance covariance matrix (robust linear regression).

A.



	β	SE	p	Mean	CI, 95% low	CI, 95% High
HPS-s age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.15	0.04	< 0.01	-0.15	-0.23	-0.07
Started business in the past, not operational	0.34	0.07	< 0.01	0.34	0.20	0.48
Started business in the past, still operational	0.22	0.07	< 0.01	0.22	0.08	0.36
Currently in the process of starting a business	0.90	0.15	< 0.01	0.90	0.61	1.19

B.



C.



Fig. 2. Association of hypomania with entrepreneurial intentions and current entrepreneurial activity in Study 1. A. HPM was elevated in all individuals who had a history of business creation, relative to those who did not, but was most markedly elevated in individuals currently in the process of starting a new business (nascent entrepreneurs). Parameter estimates (βs) and standard errors (SE) are from a regression with a heteroskedasticity-consistent variance covariance matrix (robust linear regression). B. There was a positive relationship between hypomania and current entrepreneurial intentions (EI). Regression lines are shown for the 10%, 50%, and 90% quantiles. C. Regression coefficients (in black) and confidence intervals (in gray) across all quantiles. In red are a coefficient (solid line) and confidence intervals (dotted lines) from the ordinary linear regression.



Fig. 3. Quantile regression of the relationship between social vitality (SV) and entrepreneurial success in Study 3. Of the HPS subscales, only SV was associated with entrepreneurial success, defined as peak revenue of businesses started (Table S6.2). Most business ventures fail, and thus at lower quantiles there was little variation in peak revenue and no correlation with SV. In the top quantiles, however, a positive relationship emerged.

Table 1.

	Business Entrepreneurship	Student Entrepreneurship		Corporate Entrepreneurship		Invention Entrepreneurship	
	Mann-Whitney U test, p	Spearman's ρ	p	Spearman's ρ	p	Spearman's ρ	p
Hs	0.310	-0.03	0.534	0.02	0.680	0.02	0.703
D	0.180	-0.14	0.006	-0.19*	<0.001	-0.09	0.077
Hy	0.800	< 0.01	0.990	0.01	0.830	0.03	0.589
Pd	0.960	-0.13	0.011	-0.05	0.355	-0.06	0.210
Pa	0.750	-0.03	0.534	-0.01	0.847	0.00	0.937
Pt	0.920	-0.09	0.057	-0.10	0.036	-0.07	0.178
Sc	0.200	-0.05	0.352	-0.03	0.555	-0.05	0.291
Ma	0.006*	0.15*	0.002	0.18*	<0.001	0.05	0.267
Si	0.150	-0.18*	<0.001	-0.21*	<0.001	-0.12	0.012

Relationship between MMPI dimensions and several types of entrepreneurship in Study 2. * - significant at a level corrected for multiple comparisons: for Mann-Whitney U tests $p \leq (0.05/9) = 0.006$; for Spearman's correlations $p \leq (0.05/27) = 0.002$.

Table 2.

	β	SE	p	Mean	CI, 95%	
					low	high
DV = SV, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.13	0.08	0.120	-0.13	-0.29	0.03
Started one business	0.23	0.11	0.040	0.10	-0.12	0.32
Started multiple businesses	0.47	0.13	<0.01	0.34	0.09	0.59
DV = MV, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	0.01	0.05	0.778	0.01	-0.09	0.11
Started one business	0.23	0.16	0.522	0.24	-0.07	0.55
Started multiple businesses	0.11	0.18	0.035	0.12	-0.23	0.47
DV = Ex, age and gender corrected (standardized)						
Never started own business	-0.04	0.08	0.590	-0.04	-0.20	0.12
Started one business	0.23	0.11	0.040	0.19	-0.03	0.41
Started multiple businesses	0.24	0.13	0.060	0.20	-0.05	0.45

Association of history of entrepreneurship with HPS subscales. SV – Social Vitality; MV – Mood Volatility; Ex – Excitement.