Transmission dynamics and control of COVID-19 in Chile, March-June, 2020

Amna Tariq[1]*, Eduardo A. Undurraga[2,3], Carla Castillo Laborde[4], Katia Vogt-Geisse[5], Ruiyan Luo[1], Richard Rothenberg[1], Gerardo Chowell[1]

1 Department of Population Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA

2 Escuela de Gobierno, Pontifica Universidad Católica de Chile

3 Millennium Initiative for Collaborative Research in Bacterial Resistance (MICROB-R), Chile

4 Centro de Epidemiología y Políticas de Salud, Facultad de Medicina, Clínica Alemana Universidad del Desarrollo, Santiago, Chile

5 Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Santiago, Chile

* Correspondence: Amna Tariq, Department of Population Health Sciences, Georgia State University School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA, 30303, atariq1@student.gsu.edu; Tel.: 470-985-6352

Abstract

Since the detection of first case of COVID-19 in Chile on March 3rd, 2020, a total of 301019 cases including 6434 deaths have been reported in Chile as of July 7th, 2020. In this manuscript we estimate the reproduction number during the early transmission phase in Chile and study the effectiveness of control interventions by conducting short-term forecasts based on the early transmission dynamics of COVID-19. We also estimate the reproduction number and conduct short term forecasts for the most recent developments in the epidemic trajectory of COVID-19 in Chile (May 9th-July 7th, 2020) to study the effectiveness of re-imposition of lockdowns in the country. The incidence curve in Chile displays early sub-exponential growth dynamics with the scaling of growth parameter, p, estimated at 0.8 (95% CI: 0.7, 0.8) and the reproduction number, estimated at 1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 1.9). Our analysis emphasizes that the control measures at the start of the epidemic significantly slowed down the spread of the virus. However, easing of the COVID-19 restrictions and spread of virus to the low income neighborhoods in May led to a new wave of infections, followed by the re-imposition of lockdowns in Santiago and other municipalities. These measures have decelerated the virus spread with R estimated at ~0.87(95% CI: 0.84, 0.89) as of July 7th, 2020. Our current findings point that the sustained transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Chile is being brought under control. The COVID-19 epidemic followed an early sub-exponential growth trend (p ~0.8) that transformed into a linear growth trend (p ~0.5) as of July 7th, 2020. While the broad scale social distancing interventions have slowed the virus spread, the number of new COVID-19 cases continue to accrue, underscoring the need for persistent social distancing and active case detection and isolation efforts to bring epidemic under control.

Author summary

In context of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, Chile is one of the hardest hit countries in Latin America, struggling to contain the spread of the virus. In this manuscript we employ renewal equation to estimate the reproduction number for the early ascending phase of the COVID-19 epidemic and the most recent time

period to guide the magnitude and intensity of the interventions required to combat the COVID-19 epidemic. We also generate short terms forecasts based on the epidemic trajectory using phenomenological models and assess counterfactual scenarios to understand any additional resources required to contain the spread of virus. Our results indicate early sustained transmission of SARS-CoV-2. However, the initial control measures at the start of the epidemic significantly slowed down the spread of the virus whose effect is visible two weeks after the implementation of interventions. Easing of the COVID-19 restrictions in May led to a new wave of infections, followed by the re-imposition of lockdowns in Santiago and other municipalities. While the broad scale social distancing interventions have slowed the most recent spread of the virus spread, the number of new COVID-19 cases continue to accrue, underscoring the need for persistent social distancing efforts to bring epidemic under control.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11th, 2020 [1]. This highly contagious unprecedented virus has impacted government and public institutions, strained the health care systems, restricted people in their homes and caused country-wide lock downs resulting in a global economic crisis. Moreover, as of July 7th, 2020, more than 11.5 million COVID-19 cases in 213 countries and territories have been reported, including more than 530,000 deaths [2]. The social, economic and psychological impact of this pandemic on much of the world's population is profound [3].

Soon after its initial rapid spread in China, the first case of novel coronavirus beyond China was reported in Thailand on January 13th, 2020 [4]. The first case in the USA was not identified until January 20th, 2020 followed by the detection of the first cases in the European territory on January 24th, 2020 [5, 6]. The COVID-19 pandemic has since spread to every continent except the Antarctica. While some countries like New Zealand, and the Republic of Korea have steadily suppressed the COVID-19 spread , reporting < 70 cases per day as of July 7th, 2020, other countries like Brazil, India and the USA still struggle to contain the increasing number of cases [7]. Subsequently, considerable COVID-19 outbreaks have occurred in Latin America since late February 2020, with 1603055 cases reported in Brazil, followed by 302718 cases in Peru and 301019 cases in Chile as of July 7th, 2020 [7, 8]. The first case of SARS-CoV-2 in Chile was identified on March 3rd, 2020. While the initial cases were imported from Southeast Asia and Europe, the COVID-19 case counts have expanded in this country, placing Chile in phase 4 of the pandemic on March 25th, 2020 [9, 10].

Chile is the fifth country in Latin America after Brazil, Mexico, Ecuador and Argentina to report COVID-19 cases. The first six imported cases were reported in the city of Talca and the capital of Chile, Santiago

[10]. However, since the early phase of the outbreak, Chile has employed an agile public health response by announcing a ban on large public health gatherings of more than 500 people on March 13th, 2020 when the nationwide cumulative case count reached 44 reported cases [11]. Moreover, the Chilean government announced the closure of all day cares, schools and universities on March 16th, 2020. This was followed by the announcement to close country borders on March 18th, 2020, and the declaration of national emergency on the same date, which was accompanied with several concrete interventions to further contain the outbreak in the region [12]. In particular, these included a night time curfew in Chile starting on March 22nd, 2020, and small-area lockdowns (i.e., intermittent lockdowns at the municipality level depending on total cases and case growth) starting on March 28th, 2020 in two municipalities in Southern Chile and seven municipalities in Santiago [13]. These initial containment strategies kept the COVID-19 case counts lower than regional peers; Brazil, Peru and Ecuador until the end of April, 2020. However, the government started to ease the COVID-19 restrictions in early May by reopening the economy under the "Safe Return" plan, including televised opening of some businesses and stores, as new infections had reduced between 350-500 per day by the end of April, implying an only apparent flattening of the COVID-19 curve [14-16]. Moreover, the approach of imposing and lifting the small-area lockdowns by area proved unsuccessful, resulting in a new wave of infections; with the virus spreading from more affluent areas of Chile to poorer, more crowded communities, forcing the government to reimpose lockdown measures in Santiago in mid-May [17]. The case counts have since continued to increase averaging ~4943 cases per day in June 2020. Thus far, Chile has accumulated a total of 301019 reported cases including 6434 deaths as of July 7th, 2020. The majority (~77%) of COVID-19 cases are concentrated in Chile's capital, Santiago, with 231171 reported cases, followed by Valparaiso (13433) in coastal central Chile, and Antofagasta in Northern Chile (10594) [18]. However, the crude case fatality rate in Chile (2.1%) remains below the global average (4.6%) [7, 19].

In this study we estimate the transmission potential of COVID-19, including the effective reproduction number, R, during the early transmission phase of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile that can guide the

magnitude and intensity of control interventions to combat the epidemic [20, 21]. We can also study the effectiveness of control interventions in Chile (see Table 1) on the transmission rate by conducting short-term forecasts calibrated using the early trajectory of the epidemic [22]. We also generate the reproduction number and timely short term forecasts from the COVID-19 reported cases for the most recent time period, as of July 7th, 2020, to anticipate any additional resources required to combat the growing epidemic in Chile.

Table 1: Timeline of the implementation of the social distancing interventions in Chile as of July 7th, 2020.

Methods

COVID-19 incidence and testing data

We obtained updates on the daily series of COVID-19 cases as of July 7th, 2020 from the publicly available data from the GitHub repository created by the government of Chile [8]. Incidence case data by the date of reporting, confirmed by PCR (polymerase chain reaction) tests from March 3rd–July 7th, 2020 were analyzed. The daily testing and positivity rates available from April 9th–July 7th, 2020 were also analyzed.

Generalized growth model (GGM)

We generate short term forecasts using the generalized growth model (GGM) that characterizes the early ascending phase of the epidemic by estimating two parameters: (1) the intrinsic growth rate, r; and (2) a dimensionless "deceleration of growth" parameter, p. This model allows to capture a range of epidemic growth profiles by modulating parameter p. The GGM model is given by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = C'(t) = rC(t)^p$$

In this equation $C'(t)$ describes the incidence curve over time t, $C(t)$ describes the cumulative number of cases at time t and $p \in [0,1]$ is a "deceleration of growth" parameter. This equation becomes constant

incidence over time if p=0 and an exponential growth model for cumulative cases if p =1. Whereas if p is in the range 0< p <1, then the model indicates sub-exponential growth dynamics [23, 24].

Generalized logistic growth model (GLM)

The generalized logistic growth model (GLM) is an extension of the simple logistic growth model that allows capture of a range of epidemic growth profiles including sub-exponential (polynomial) and exponential growth dynamics. GLM characterizes epidemic growth by estimating (i) the intrinsic growth rate, r (ii) a dimensionless "deceleration of growth" parameter, p and (iii) the final epidemic size, k_0. The deceleration parameter modulates the epidemic growth patterns including the sub-exponential growth (0< p <1), constant incidence (p =0) and exponential growth dynamics (p =1). The GLM model is given by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = rC^p(t)(1 - \frac{C(t)}{k_0})$$

Where $\frac{dC(t)}{dt}$ describes the incidence over time t and the cumulative number of cases at time t is given by $C(t)$ [22].

Calibration of the GGM and GLM model

We calibrate the GGM and the GLM model to the daily incidence curve by dates of reporting in Chile using time series data that is available from March 3rd–March 30th, 2020 and from May 9th – July 7th, 2020 , respectively.

Model parameters are estimated by a non-linear least square fitting of model solution to the incidence data by the date of reporting. This is achieved by searching for the set of model parameters $\widehat{\Theta} = (\widehat{\Theta}_1, \widehat{\Theta}_{2,\ldots.} \widehat{\Theta}_m)$ that minimizes the sum of squared differences between the observed data $y_{ti} = y_{t1}, y_{t2}, \ldots. y_{tn}$ and the corresponding mean incidence curve given by $f(t_i, \widehat{\Theta}) = C'(t)$: where $\widehat{\Theta} = (r, p)$ corresponds to the set

175 of parameters of the GGM model and $\widehat{\Theta} = (r, p, k_0)$ to the set of parameters of the GLM model. In both
176 cases, the objective function for the best fit solution of $f(t_i, \widehat{\Theta})$ is given by :
177
178 $\widehat{\Theta}$=arg min$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(f(t_i, \Theta) - y_{t_i})^2$
179
180 where t_i is the time stamp at which the time series data are observed and n is the total number of data points
181 available for inference. The initial condition is fixed to the first observation in the data set. This way,
182 $f(t_i, \widehat{\Theta})$ gives the best fit to the time series data y_{t_i}. Next, we utilize a parametric bootstrapping approach
183 assuming negative binomial error structure for the GGM and GLM model to derive uncertainty in the
184 parameters obtained by non-linear least square fit of the data as previously described [23, 25]. The variance
185 is assumed to be 3 times the mean for GGM and 96 times of the mean for the GLM. The model confidence
186 intervals of parameters and the 95% prediction intervals of model fit are also obtained using the parametric
187 bootstrap approach [23].
188
189 **Reproduction number, *R*, from case incidence using GGM**
190 The reproduction number, *R*, is defined as the average number of secondary cases generated by a primary
191 case at time t during the outbreak. This is a crucial quantity to identify the intensity of interventions required
192 to contain an epidemic [26-28]. Estimates of effective *R* indicate if the disease transmission continues (*R*>1)
193 or if the active disease transmission ceases (*R*<1). Therefore, in order to contain an outbreak, we need to
194 maintain *R*<1. We estimate the reproduction number by calibrating the GGM to the early growth phase of
195 the epidemic (27 days) [24]. We model the generation interval of SARS-CoV-2 assuming gamma
196 distribution with a mean of 5.2 days and a standard deviation of 1.72 days [29]. We estimate the growth
197 rate parameter, *r*, and the deceleration of growth parameter, *p*, as described above. Then we simulate the
198 progression of local incidence cases I_i at calendar time t_i, from the calibrated GGM model and apply the

discretized probability distribution of the generation interval denoted by ρ_i to the renewal equation to estimate the reproduction number [20, 21, 30]:

$$R_{t_i} = \frac{I_i}{\sum_{j=0}^{i}(I_{i-j}\rho_j)}$$

The numerator represents the total new cases I_i, and the denominator represents the total number of cases that contribute to generating the new cases I_i at time t_i. This way, R_t, represents the average number of secondary cases generated by a single case at time t. Next, we derive the uncertainty bounds around the curve of R_t directly from the uncertainty associated with the parameter estimates (*r, p*). We estimate R_t for 300 simulated curves assuming a negative binomial error structure where variance is assumed to be 3 times the mean [23].

Reproduction number, *R*, from case incidence using GLM

We also estimate the most recent reproduction number by calibrating the GLM from May 9th – July 7th, 2020 [24]. Next we model the generation interval [29], estimate the model parameters (r, p, k_0) from GLM model and the reproduction number from the renewal equation as described above [20, 21, 30]. The uncertainty bounds around the curve of R_t are derived directly from the uncertainty associated with the parameter estimates (r, p, k_0). We estimate R_t for 300 simulated curves assuming a negative binomial error structure [23] where the variance is assumed to be 96 times of the mean calculated by averaging mean to variance ratio calculated from the data (by binning data points and calculating directly from the data itself).

3. Results

Case incidence data

A total of 301019 cases were reported by the Ministry of Health Chile as of July 7th, 2020 [8]. On average ~443 (SD: 133.6) new cases per day were reported in April 2020, ~2697 (SD:1342) new cases per day were reported in May 2020, ~4943 (SD:972.2) new cases per day were reported in June 2020 and ~3163 (SD:570) new cases per day have been reported from July 1st-7th, 2020. Figure 1 shows the daily incidence data of all confirmed cases in Chile as of July 7th, 2020.

Figure 1: Daily incidence curve for all COVID-19 confirmed cases in Chile as of July 7th, 2020 (9).

Initial growth dynamics and estimate of the reproduction number using GGM

We estimate the reproduction number for the first 27 epidemic days incorporating the effects of the social distancing interventions as explained in Table 1. The incidence curve displays sub-exponential growth dynamics with the scaling of growth parameter, p, estimated at 0.77 (95% CI: 0.73, 0.81) and the intrinsic growth rate, r, estimated at 0.81 (95% CI: 0.67, 1.0). The reproduction number for the early transmission phase was estimated at 1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 1.9) (Figure 2).

Figure 2 : Reproduction number with 95% CI estimated using the GGM model. The estimated reproduction number of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile as of March 28th, 2020 is 1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 1.9).

Recent growth dynamics and estimate of reproduction number using GLM

We also estimate the reproduction number from May 9th- July 7th, 2020 incorporating the effects of the reimplementation of lockdowns in Santiago, Antofagasta and Valparaíso. The incidence curve displays nearly linear growth trend with the scaling of growth parameter, p, estimated at 0.51 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.56), the intrinsic growth rate, r, estimated at 22 (95% CI: 13, 31) and the final epidemic size, k_0, estimated at 3.4 e+05 (95% CI: 3.1 e+05, 3.7 e+05). The reproduction number was estimated at 0.87 (95% CI: 0.84, 0.89) as of July 7th, 2020 (Figure 3).

Figure 3 : Reproduction number with 95% CI estimated by calibrating the GLM model from May 9th-July 7th, 2020. The estimated reproduction number of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile as of July 7th, 2020 is, 0.87 (95% CI: 0.84, 0.89).

Assessing the impact of social distancing interventions

To assess the impact of social distancing interventions in Chile given in Table 1, we generated a 20-day ahead forecast for Chile based on the daily incidence curve until March 30th, 2020. The 28-day calibration period of the GGM model yields an estimated growth rate, *r*, at 0.8 (95% CI: 0.6, 1.0) and a scaling of growth rate parameter, *p*, at 0.8 (95% CI: 0.7, 0.8). The 20-day ahead forecast suggested that the early social distancing measures significantly slowed down the early spread of the virus in Chile, whose effect is noticeable about two weeks after the implementation of an intervention as shown in Figure 4. A case resurgence was observed in Chile in mid-May 2020. As a consequence of this case resurgence a total lockdown was imposed in Santiago (representing ~77% of total COVID-19 cases) on May 15th, 2020, which is still in place [12]. We generated a 20-day ahead forecast based on daily incidence curve from May 9th-July 7th, 2020. The 60 day calibration of the GLM model yields an estimated scaling of the growth parameter, *p*, at 0.52 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.57) representing an almost linear growth pattern. The 20-day ahead average forecast utilizing the GLM model shows that Chile will accumulate ~46677 cases (95% PI: 28746-70071) within next 20-days (Figure 5).

Figure 4: 20-days ahead forecast of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile by calibrating the GGM model until March 30th, 2020. Blue circles correspond to the data points, the red solid line indicates the best model fit and the red dashed lines represent the 95% prediction interval. The vertical black dashed line represents the time of the start of the forecast period.

Figure 5: 20-days ahead forecast of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile by calibrating the GLM model from May 9th-July 7th, 2020. Blue circles correspond to the data points, the red solid line indicates the best model

fit and the red dashed lines represent the 95% prediction interval. The vertical black dashed line represents the time of the start of the forecast period.

COVID-19 Testing rates and positivity rate

Daily testing and positivity rates for the time period April 9th–July 7th, 2020 by the date of reporting are shown in Figure 6. The total number of tests performed for this time period were 1149770, amongst which 263995 had positive results. The average number of tests performed daily was estimated at ~5460 for April 2020 and ~12959 for May 2020, a 137% increase. The testing rate in Chile further increased in June 2020, testing on average ~17578 individuals per day. The positivity rate (percentage of positive tests among the total number of tests) has fluctuated from an average of ~9.07% (SD: 2.3) in April 2020 to an average of ~28.3% (SD: 4.9) in June 2020.

Figure 6: Laboratory results for the COVID-19 tests conducted in Chile as of July 7th, 2020. Blue color represents the negative test results and the yellow color represents the positive test results. The orange solid line represents the positivity rate of COVID-19 in Chile.

4. Discussion

The estimates of the early transmission potential in Chile for the first 27 days of the epidemic indicates sustained local transmission in the country with the estimate of reproduction number R at ~1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 1.9) which is in accordance with the estimates of the reproduction numbers retrieved from studies conducted in China, Brazil, Korea, Peru, South Africa and Iran that lie in the range of 1.5-7.1 [31-40]. In contrast, even lower estimates of R (R <1) that have been reported in Singapore and Australia can be correlated with the implementation of early strict social distancing interventions in these countries [41, 42].

The initial scaling of the growth parameter in Chile indicates a sub-exponential growth pattern (p~0.8), consistent with sub-exponential growth patterns of COVID-19 that have been observed in Singapore ($p\sim0.7$), Korea ($p\sim0.76$) and other Chinese provinces excluding Hubei ($p\sim0.67$) [36, 41, 43]. In contrast, some recent studies have reported nearly exponential growth pattern of the COVID-19 in Iran and Peru and an exponential growth pattern in China [33, 40, 43].

Although the initial transmission stage of COVID-19 in Chile has been attributed to multiple case importations, Chile was quick to implement control measures against the COVID-19 epidemic including border closures on March 18th, 2020 to prevent further case importations. The 20-day ahead forecast of our GGM model calibrated to 28 days suggest that the social distancing measures including closure of schools, universities and day cares have helped slow down the early virus spread in the country by reducing population mobility [44]. The commixture of interventions including small-area lockdowns, night time curfew and ban on social gatherings in Chile can be attributed to preventing the disease trend from growing exponentially in the early growth phase. However, the significant increase in case incidence observed in mid-May can be attributed to the phased reopening of the country and easing of distancing restrictions in early May, in the context of the "Safe Return" plan [12]. As the virus reached the lower income neighborhoods in Chile, the pandemic quickly exploded [45]. While, the COVID-19 case incidence exhibited a relative stabilization in case trajectory for April 2020 (with an average of ~443 cases per day), highlighting the positive effects of early quarantine and lockdowns, measured reopening of the country's economy in early May 2020 resulted in the surge of cases that continue to accrue till date. The total lockdown comprised of stay at home orders imposed in Santiago (which accounts for about 77% of cases in the country) on May 15th is now showing an effect in slowing the transmission of the virus. Similar lockdowns were imposed in Antofagasta on May 5th and in Valparaíso on June 12th, though these regions together represent only ~8% of cases in Chile [12]. The scaling of growth parameter, p , has been estimated at ~0.51 (95% CI: 0.47, 0.56) after the re-imposition of lockdowns and social distancing measures in May, consistent with a linear incidence growth trend. Moreover, the reproduction number is estimated at ~0.87

(95% CI: 0.84, 0.89), indicating a decline in transmission of the virus consistent with the stay at home orders. The 20-day ahead forecast calibrating data to the GLM model (from May 9th-July 7th, 2020) is reasonable indicating a declining trend in case incidence and implies that Chile could accumulate between 28746-70071 cases from July 8th-July 27th, 2020. Therefore, implementation of prolonged quarantine and continued reduction in population mobility is required to contain the spread of the virus [46].

Though the number of reported cases in Latin America remains low compared to the USA, official data for many Latin American countries are incomplete. However, Chile has tested a higher percentage of its residents than any other Latin American nation lending confidence to its reliability [47]. For instance, the average number of COVID-19 tests performed in Chile per day per thousand people is 0.75 compared to the neighboring South American country, Peru (~0.09 tests per thousand people) [48]. The average positivity rate of COVID-19 in Chile is estimated at ~28.3% for June, 2020 and ~20% for the whole span of the epidemic. This high positivity rate indicates that the government has failed to cast a wide enough net to test the masses, and there are probably many more active cases than those detected by epidemiological surveillance, underestimating the epidemic growth curve [49-51]. This positivity rate for Chile is also consistent with the high positivity rates derived from Mexico and Nigeria (20-50%) [48]. In comparison countries like Denmark, Germany, Canada, New Zealand, Australia and South Korea have reached very low positivity rates (0-3%) with South Korea's large testing capacity combined with a strategy that tracks infected people via cell phones [47, 48, 52]. Other countries like India, US and Singapore exhibit moderately high positivity rates (4-8%) for COVID-19, indicating an overall limited testing in these countries [48, 53]. Moreover, studies suggest there is asymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2, which means we could have underestimated our estimates based on the daily incidence's growth trend from symptomatic cases [54-56]. On the other hand, preliminary results of a study have shown the relative transmission of asymptomatic cases in Santiago to be almost ~3% [57]. While our study highlights the effectiveness of broad scale social distancing and control interventions in Chile, it also underscores the need

for persistent isolation and social distancing measures to stomp all active disease transmission chains in Chile.

This study has some limitations. First our study analyzes cases by the dates of reporting while it is ideal to analyze the cases by the dates of onset or after adjusting for reporting delays. On the other hand, a substantial fraction of the COVID-19 infections exhibit very mild or no symptoms at all, which may not be reflected by data [58]. Second, the data is not stratified by local vs. imported cases, therefore, we assume that all cases contribute equally to the transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Finally, the extent of selective underreporting, and its impact on these results, is difficult to assess.

5. Conclusions

In this study we estimate the transmission potential of SARS-CoV-2 in Chile. Our current findings point to sustained transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in the early phase of the outbreak, with our estimate of the reproduction number at R~1.8. The COVID-19 epidemic in Chile followed an early sub-exponential growth trend (p ~0.8) which has transformed into an almost linear growth trend (p ~0.5) with the most recent estimate of reproduction number (R~0.8) as of July 7th, 2020 indicating a decline in the virus transmission in Chile. The implementation of lockdowns and apt social distancing interventions have indeed slowed the spread of the virus. However, the number of new COVID-19 cases continue to accumulate, underscoring the need for persistent social distancing and active case finding efforts to bring the epidemic under control.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.C. and A.T.; methodology, G.C, A.T.; validation, G.C.; formal analysis, A.T., G.C.; investigation, A.T.; resources, G.C.,A.T.; data curation, A.T.; writing—original draft preparation, A.T., G.C.; writing, review and editing, A.T., G.C., E.U, C.C, K.V., R.R, R.L, G.C.; visualization, A.T., G.C.; supervision, G.C.; project administration, G.C.; funding acquisition, G.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: G.C. is partially supported from NSF grants 1610429 and 1633381 and R01 GM 130900.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. WHO. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19-11 March 2020; World Health Organization, 2020 [Accessed on: April 23] [Available from: https://bit.ly/2A8aCIO].

2. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020;20(5):533-4.

3. Bank W. The Economy in the Time of Covid-19. LAC Semiannual Report. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2020 April 12. [Available from: https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/33555].

4. Okada P, Buathong R, Phuygun S, Thanadachakul T, Parnmen S, Wongboot W, et al. Early transmission patterns of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in travellers from Wuhan to Thailand, January 2020. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2020;25(8).

5. Spiteri G, Fielding J, Diercke M, Campese C, Enouf V, Gaymard A, et al. First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the WHO European Region, 24 January to 21 February 2020. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin. 2020;25(9):2000178.

6. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, Lofy KH, Wiesman J, Bruce H, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;382(10):929-36.

7. WHO. Situation Reports Coronavirus; World Health Organization, 2020 [Accessed on: March 5] [Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports].

8. Government of Chile M. MinScience/ Data-COVID19 , 2020 [Accessed on: April 25] [Available from: https://github.com/MinCiencia/Datos-COVID19].

9. Chile Gd. Chile enters the Stage 4 Coronavirus transmission scenario and President Piñera announces the closure of the borders and secures the supply chain. Gob.cl; 2020 March 16. [Available from: https://www.gob.cl/en/news/chile-enters-stage-4-coronavirus-transmission-scenario-and-president-pinera-announces-closure-borders-and-secures-supply-chain/].

10. MOH. Cifras Oficiales COVID-19, 2020 [April 2]. [Available from: https://www.gob.cl/coronavirus/cifrasoficiales/].

11. Cambero F. Chile bans large public events over coronavirus fears, ahead of planned protests. Rueters. 2020 March 13.

12. (MINSAL) MdS. Plan de acción por coronavirus, 2020 [Accessed on: July 1] [Available from: https://www.gob.cl/coronavirus/plandeaccion/].

13. MOH. Dispone medidas sanitarias que indica por brote de COVID-19.Norms 1143498, 1143591, 1746958, 1143651,1143645; Biblioteca del Congreso Nacional de Chile, 2020 [Accessed on: April 24] [Available from: https://www.leychile.cl/N?i=1143498&f=2020-04-04&p=].

14. Wires N. Chile's capital goes into strict lockdown after Covid-19 surge. France 24. 2020 May 17.

15. Prensa Presidencia GC. "Presidente Piñera presenta Plan Retorno Seguro: “Hoy es tiempo de poner a Chile por delante”.", 2020 [Accessed on: June 10] [Available from: https://prensa.presidencia.cl/comunicado.aspx?id=150453].

16. Tercera L. 55% of the stores open and lines to enter: This was the reopening of the Mall Apumanque under strict protocol. La Tercera. 2020 April 30.

17. Beaubien J. How Chile Ended Up With One Of The Highest COVID-19 Rates. npr. 2020 July 2.

18. Gob.cl. Official figures COVID-19, 2020 [Accessed on: April 24] [Available from: https://www.gob.cl/coronavirus/cifrasoficiales/#reportes].

423 19. MOH. Cifras Oficiales COVID-19', Ministry of Health, Plan de Acción Coronavirus COVID-19,
424 2020 [Accessed on: April 2] [Available from: https://www.gob.cl/coronavirus/cifrasoficiales/].
425 20. Nishiura H, Chowell G. Early transmission dynamics of Ebola virus disease (EVD), West Africa,
426 March to August 2014. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European
427 communicable disease bulletin. 2014;19(36).
428 21. Nishiura H, Chowell G. The Effective Reproduction Number as a Prelude to Statistical Estimation
429 of Time-Dependent Epidemic Trends. Springer, editor2009. 103-12 p.
430 22. Shanafelt DW, Jones G, Lima M, Perrings C, Chowell G. Forecasting the 2001 Foot-and-Mouth
431 Disease Epidemic in the UK. Ecohealth. 2018;15(2):338-47.
432 23. Chowell G. Fitting dynamic models to epidemic outbreaks with quantified uncertainty: A primer
433 for parameter uncertainty, identifiability, and forecasts. Infectious Disease Modelling. 2017;2(3):379-98.
434 24. Viboud C, Simonsen L, Chowell G. A generalized-growth model to characterize the early
435 ascending phase of infectious disease outbreaks. Epidemics. 2016;15:27-37.
436 25. Chowell G, Ammon CE, Hengartner NW, Hyman JM. Transmission dynamics of the great
437 influenza pandemic of 1918 in Geneva, Switzerland: Assessing the effects of hypothetical interventions.
438 Journal of Theoretical Biology. 2006;241(2):193-204.
439 26. Chowell G, Abdirizak F, Lee S, Lee J, Jung E, Nishiura H, et al. Transmission characteristics of
440 MERS and SARS in the healthcare setting: a comparative study. BMC Medicine. 2015;13(1):210.
441 27. Anderson RM, May RM. Infectious Diseases of Humans. Oxford, editor. Oxford Univeristy
442 Press1991.
443 28. Nishiura H, Chowell G, Heesterbeek H, Wallinga J. The ideal reporting interval for an epidemic to
444 objectively interpret the epidemiological time course. J R Soc Interface. 2010;7(43):297-307.
445 29. Ganyani T, Kremer C, Chen D, Torneri A, Faes C, Wallinga J, et al. Estimating the generation
446 interval for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) based on symptom onset data, March 2020. Eurosurveillance.
447 2020;25(17):2000257.

448 30. Paine S, Mercer G, Kelly P, Bandaranayake D, Baker M, Huang Q, et al. Transmissibility of 2009
449 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in New Zealand: effective reproduction number and influence of age,
450 ethnicity and importations. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles =
451 European communicable disease bulletin. 2010;15(24).
452 31. Read JM, Bridgen JR, Cummings DA, Ho A, Jewell CP. Novel coronavirus 2019-nCoV: early
453 estimation of epidemiological parameters and epidemic predictions. medRxiv. 2020:2020.01.23.20018549.
454 32. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international
455 spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. The Lancet.
456 2020;395(10225):689-97.
457 33. Muniz-Rodriguez K, Fung IC-H, Ferdosi SR, Ofori SK, Lee Y, Tariq A, et al. Transmission
458 potential of COVID-19 in Iran. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.08.20030643.
459 34. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Chowell G. Early epidemiological assessment of the transmission
460 potential and virulence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan City: China, January-February,
461 2020. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.12.20022434.
462 35. Hwang J, Park H, Kim S-H, Jung J, Kim N. Basic and effective reproduction numbers of COVID-
463 19 cases in South Korea excluding Sincheonji cases. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.19.20039347.
464 36. Shim E, Tariq A, Choi W, Lee Y, Chowell G. Transmission potential and severity of COVID-19
465 in South Korea. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020;93:339-44.
466 37. Felix FHC, Fontenele JB. Instantaneous R calculation for COVID-19 epidemic in Brazil. medRxiv.
467 2020:2020.04.23.20077172.
468 38. Mbuvha R, Marwala T. Bayesian Inference of COVID-19 Spreading Rates in South Africa.
469 medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.28.20083873.
470 39. Masjedi H, Rabajante JF, Bahranizadd F, Zare MH. Nowcasting and Forecasting the Spread of
471 COVID-19 in Iran. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.22.20076281.

40. Munayco CV, Tariq A, Rothenberg R, Soto-Cabezas GG, Reyes MF, Valle A, et al. Early transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in a southern hemisphere setting: Lima-Peru: February 29th–March 30th, 2020. Infectious Disease Modelling. 2020.

41. Tariq A, Lee Y, Roosa K, Blumberg S, Yan P, Ma S, et al. Real-time monitoring the transmission potential of COVID-19 in Singapore, March 2020. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.21.20026435.

42. Price DJ, Shearer FM, Meehan MT, McBryde E, Moss R, Golding N, et al. Early analysis of the Australian COVID-19 epidemic. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.25.20080127.

43. Roosa K, Lee Y, Luo R, Kirpich A, Rothenberg R, Hyman JM, et al. Real-time forecasts of the COVID-19 epidemic in China from February 5th to February 24th, 2020. Infectious Disease Modelling. 2020;5:256-63.

44. Cuadrado C, Monsalves MJ, Gajardo J, Bertoglia MP, Najera M, Alfaro T, et al. Impact of small-area lockdowns for the control of the COVID-19 pandemic. medRxiv. 2020:2020.05.05.20092106.

45. Contesse J. Responding to COVID-19 Without Public Trust. The Regulatory Review. 2020 June 2.

46. France-Presse A. Chile prolongs Santiago lockdown as daily virus deaths rise. The Jakarta Post. 2020 June 4.

47. Thomson E, Sanders P. Chile Charts New Path With Rolling Lockdowns, Immunity Cards. Bloomberg. 2020 April 22.

48. Oxford Uo. Our World in Data: Oxford Martin School, 2020 [Accessed on: June 28] [Available from: https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/peru?country=~PER#how-many-tests-are-performed-each-day].

49. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, Song Y, Zhang T, Yang W, et al. Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). Science (New York, NY). 2020;368(6490):489-93.

50. Bhatia S, Imai N, Cuomo-Dannenburg G, Baguelin M, Boonyasiri A, Cori A, et al. Report 6: Relative sensitivity of international surveillance. Imperial College London COVID-19 Response Team;

498 2020 February 21. [Available from: https://www.imperial.ac.uk/media/imperial-
499 college/medicine/sph/ide/gida-fellowships/Imperial-College-COVID19-international-surveillance-21-02-
500 2020.pdf].
501 51. Asahi K, Undurraga EA, Wagner R. Benchmarking the CoVID-19 pandemic across countries and
502 states in the U.S.A. under heterogeneous testing. medRxiv. 2020:2020.05.01.20087882.
503 52. Buchanan L, Lai KKR, McCann A. U.S. Lags in Coronavirus Testing After Slow Response to
504 Outbreak. The NewYork Times. 2020 March 17.
505 53. Project CT. The COVID-19 tracking project, 2020 [Accessed on: May 1] [Available from:
506 https://covidtracking.com/about-project].
507 54. Wei WE, Li Z, Chiew CJ, Yong SE, Toh MP, Lee VJ. Presymptomatic Transmission of SARS-
508 CoV-2 — Singapore, January 23–March 16, 2020. 2020 April 1. [Available from:
509 https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6914e1.htm?s_cid=mm6914e1_w].
510 55. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Zarebski A, Chowell G. Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of
511 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan,
512 2020. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable
513 disease bulletin. 2020;25(10):2000180.
514 56. Mayorga L, García Samartino C, Flores G, Masuelli S, Sánchez MV, Mayorga LS, et al. Detection
515 and isolation of asymptomatic individuals can make the difference in COVID-19 epidemic management.
516 medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.23.20077255.
517 57. Desarrollo Ud. UDD study seeks to determine Covid-19 prevalence in the Metropolitan Region.
518 School of Medicine, German clinic development university
519 . 2020 May 25.
520 58. Tindale L, Coombe M, Stockdale JE, Garlock E, Lau WYV, Saraswat M, et al. Transmission
521 interval estimates suggest pre-symptomatic spread of COVID-19. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.03.20029983.

59. Pública MdIyS. Declares status of constitutional exception of catastrophe, by public calamity, in the territory of Chile; Biblioteca del Congreso Nacional de Chile. , 2020 [Accessed on: April 22] [Available from: https://www.leychile.cl/Navegar?idNorma=1143580&idVersion=2020-03-26&idParte=.].

60. Regan H, Yeung J, Renton A, Woodyatt A, Meg Wagner. Chile mandates face masks on public and private transportation. CNN. 2020 April 6.

61. Mostrado E. Among applause: Mayor Lavín launches pilot plan to open the Apumanque mall. elm strador. 2020 April30.

62. Chile A. Total quarantine in Santiago: what time, when does it start and until what day does it extend. Tikitakas. 2020 May 15.

Date	Control interventions
March 13th, 2020	Ban on large social gatherings implemented in Chile [11]
March 16th, 2020	Closures of day care, schools and universities in Chile [13, 59] Mandatory quarantine of high risk individuals returning from Iran, China, West Europe and South Korea
March 18th, 2020	Declaration of national emergency (14) Closure of country borders (14) Telework implemented
March 19th, 2020	Closure of mall and department stores with the exception of supermarkets, pharmacies, banks and grocery stores
March 21st, 2020	Closure of non-essential business including theatres, restaurant, bars and gyms
March 22nd, 2020	Night time curfew implemented [13]
March 26th, 2020	Intermittent lockdown initiated (implemented at municipality level) [13]
April 8th, 2020	Orders on mandatory use of facemasks in public transport [60]
April 17th, 2020	Orders on mandatory use of facemasks in all public spaces (60)
April 30th, 2020	First shopping mall is reopened in Santiago and then closed the next day [61]

May 15th, 2020	Total lockdown imposed in all municipalities of Santiago [62]

Table 1: Timeline of the implementation of the social distancing interventions in Chile as of July 7th, 2020.



Figure 1: Daily incidence curve for all COVID-19 confirmed cases in Chile as of July 7th, 2020 (9).



Figure 2 : Reproduction number with 95% CI estimated using the GGM model. The estimated reproduction number of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile as of March 28th, 2020 is 1.8 (95% CI: 1.6, 1.9).



Figure 3 : Reproduction number with 95% CI estimated by calibrating the GLM model from May 9th-July 7th, 2020. The estimated reproduction number of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile as of July 7th, 2020 is, 0.87 (95% CI: 0.84, 0.89).



Figure 4: 20-days ahead forecast of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile by calibrating the GGM model until March 30th, 2020. Blue circles correspond to the data points, the red solid line indicates the best model fit and the red dashed lines represent the 95% prediction interval. The vertical black dashed line represents the time of the start of the forecast period.



Figure 5: 20-days ahead forecast of the COVID-19 epidemic in Chile by calibrating the GLM model from May 9th-July 7th, 2020. Blue circles correspond to the data points, the red solid line indicates the best model fit and the red dashed lines represent the 95% prediction interval. The vertical black dashed line represents the time of the start of the forecast period.



Figure 6: Laboratory results for the COVID-19 tests conducted in Chile as of July 7th, 2020. Blue color represents the negative test results and the yellow color represents the positive test results. The orange solid line represents the positivity rate of COVID-19 in Chile.

624