Transmission onset distribution of COVID-19 in South Korea

June Young Chun[1†], Gyuseung Baek[2†], Yongdai Kim[2]*

[1]Department of Internal Medicine, National Cancer Center, Goyang, South Korea

[2]Department of Statistics, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

[†]June Young Chun and Gyuseung Baek contributed equally to this manuscript.

*Correspondence to:

Yongdai Kim, Ph.D.

Department of Statistics, Seoul National University, 56-1 Mountain, Sillim-dong, Gwanak-gu,

Seoul, 08826, South Korea

E-mail: ydkim0903@gmail.com, Tel: 82-2-880-9255; Fax: 82-2-883-6144

Abstract

Background

The distribution of the transmission onset of COVID-19 relative to the symptom onset is a key parameter for infection control. It is often not easy to study the transmission onset time, as is difficult to know who infected whom exactly when.

Aim

Based on the solid epidemiologic data, we estimate the transmission onset, incubation period, and serial interval distribution of COVID-19 in South Korea.

Methods

We inferred transmission onset time from 72 infector-infectee pairs in South Korea, either with known or inferred contact dates by means of incubation period. Combining this data with known information of infector's symptom onset, we could generate the transmission onset distribution of COVID-19, using Bayesian methods. Serial interval distribution could be automatically estimated from our data.

Results

We estimated the median transmission onset to be 1.31 days (standard deviation, 2.64 days) after symptom onset with peak at 0.72 days before symptom onset. The pre-symptomatic

transmission proportion was 37% (95% credible interval [CI], 16–52%). The median

incubation period was estimated to be 2.87 days (95% CI, 2.33–3.50 days) and the median

serial interval to be 3.56 days (95% CI, 2.72–4.44 days).

Conclusion

Considering the transmission onset distribution peaked with the symptom onset and the pre-

symptomatic transmission proportion is substantial, the usual preventive measure might be

too late to prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, infectious disease transmission, infectious disease

incubation period

Introduction

SARS-CoV-2, a novel coronavirus first reported in Wuhan City, China in December 2019, has been spreading globally and the World Health Organization declared it as a pandemic on March 11, 2020 [1, 2]. A total of 3,096,626 cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and 217,896 confirmed deaths were counted world widely by April 30, 2020 [3].

Considering its global threat, the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 should be made explicit. There have been studies reporting the estimated serial interval and incubation period, based on the early epidemics in China [4-7]. The serial interval is the duration between the symptom onset of successive cases, and the incubation period is the time since infection to the symptom onset. Those are key epidemiological parameters, giving essential insight to infer the transmission potential or to determine the quarantine duration [8].

There have been multiple reports of pre-symptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2, highlighting the difficulty of containment and mitigation of the disease [9-11]. However, transmission onset distribution of COVID-19 has never been studied before. It is not easy to study the transmission onset time, as is difficult to know who infected whom exactly when. In this report, we tried to estimate the transmission onset distribution relative to the symptom onset by means of solid epidemiologic data of infector-infectee pairs in South Korea.

Methods

Data

We searched public reports of confirmed COVID-19 patients by government and each

municipal website of South Korea [12-15]. As of March 31, 9,887 cases have been confirmed

of which 8,260 (83.5%) cases were linked to certain clusters such as religious groups,

hospitals, or long-term healthcare facilities [12]. Five-hundred and sixty cases (5.7%) were

imported from abroad [12]. We screened all available cases between January 23 to March 31,

2020 and selected the pairs with clearly defined contact history. We excluded cases from

main clusters unless the causal relationship between an infector and infectee pair was evident.

For each pair of cases, we collected information on the dates of symptom onset of both the

infector and the infectee, exposure dates of the infectee by the infector, and the dates of

confirmation of both. Considering the South Korean quarantine policy, the confirmation date

could be regarded as the date on which isolation started. Demographic information of age and

sex were also gathered.

Procedures

We tried to estimate the time difference between an infector's symptom onset and its

transmission onset time. We defined symptom onset date (S), an infectee's infection date (I),

an infector's transmission onset date (P), and contact time of the pair as (C_L, C_R), where

subscripts L and R denote the left and right boundaries. We put i as an infectee and j_i as

the corresponding infector, and observed ($S_{j_i}, C_{L,i}, C_{R,i}, S_i$). I_i should be within interval

$[C_{L,i}, C_{R,i}]$, and transmission onset of an infector (P_j) is described as $P_j = \min_{i:j_i=j} I_i$. Using

the pair $\{(S_j, P_j) | j : infector\}$, we were able to estimate the distribution $f(W)$ of

$W = P - S$.

There are three possible scenarios for I_i in our data.

(i)   There is an exact calendar date of an exposure. In this case, we could directly

95   achieve the timing of transmission as $I_i = C_{L,i} = C_{R,i}$.

96   (ii)   There is a specified duration of exposure ($C_{L,i} < C_{R,i}$).

97   (iii)   There is a continuous exposure such as household members ($C_{L,i} = -\infty, C_{R,i} =$

98   S_i).

Recall that the aim is to estimate the distribution $f(W)$ of W based on the data

$(S_i, C_{L,i}, C_{R,i}, S_{j_i})$ for infectees. We consider the following parametric model for $f(W)$:

$$W \sim f(\cdot | \theta) \Rightarrow W + \mu \sim \pi\, g(\cdot | \phi) + (1 - \pi)\mathcal{U}(-D, 0)(\cdot)$$

where $\theta = (\phi, \mu, \pi)$, $\pi \in (0,1)$, $\mu > 0$, $g(\cdot | \phi)$ is the gamma distribution with the

parameter ϕ and $\mathcal{U}(-D, 0)(\cdot)$ is the uniform distribution with the support $(-D, 0)$. In the

model, μ is the shift parameter for cases with transmission prior to the symptom onset. We

introduced the term $(1 - \pi)\mathcal{U}(-D, 0)(\cdot)$ to make the effect of negative outliers of W be

minimal to the estimation of the parameter. That is, we considered W to be an outlier if

$W < -\mu$. In the model, $D > 0$ is an arbitrary limit for an outlier of W. In the analysis, we

used $D = 14$, since the usual incubation period is within 14 days.

A difficulty in estimating θ is that the exact W is not observed for cases in categories (ii)

and (iii). However, conceptually we could impute the timing of transmission I by use of the

estimated incubation period distribution [16]. With our notation, $Z = S - I$ is the incubation

period. From our data of contact dates and symptom onset dates, we could estimate the

distribution of Z which we utilized to impute I. That is, in case (ii), we could generate Z

from conditional probability distribution $Z| Z \in [S - C_R, S - C_L]$, and then we let $I = S - Z$.

Similarly, we could generate Z from conditional probability distribution $Z | Z \leq S$ for case

(iii). To accommodate this idea, we used a Bayesian method and obtained the posterior

distribution of θ based on the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm in which W

is imputed for cases in categories (ii) and (iii). A detailed description of the MCMC algorithm

is provided in Supplementary Material.

For sensitivity analysis, we utilized the incubation period distribution of a previous study and

replicated the same analysis as above [6]. Moreover, we hold the parameter μ as 4, and

compared the result using the same procedure, since the minimum of W from our data was -

4 days. Serial interval distribution could be automatically estimated from our data.

All analyses were conducted using the R statistical software version 3.6.3. All code and data

are available in the supplementary material. We present case numbers with randomization

process, instead of nationally designated identification numbers, in order to maintain

confidentiality.

Ethics Approval

All the data used in this study were publicly available and were approved from institutional

review board assessment of National Cancer Center (NCC2020-0119).

Results

We found 89 infectees with defined source of infection (infector) and contact history. Four

infectors (4.5%) were asymptomatic until diagnosed, and thus were excluded from the

analysis. Sixteen infectees (18.0%) were asymptomatic when diagnosed, among which 13

cases had no specified contact date and were unable to guess the infection time. In short, 72

infector-infectee pairs were included in the study (Figure 1).

Twenty-two cases documented a single point date of contact, and 16 cases had documented

duration of contact dates. Otherwise, 34 cases (32 household members and 2 colleagues) had

continuous exposure history (Supplementary Material). The median age of the 72 infectees

was 40 years (interquartile range [IQR], 24 to 54 years). Of these, 34 (47%) were male and

38 (53%) were female. The infectees had 40 unique infectors. The average number of

transmissions per infector was 1.8, with a maximum of four cases (Figure 2).

We estimated the incubation period distribution of COVID-19 using a lognormal model.

Among 35 pairs with given contact dates, the median incubation period was 2.87 days (95%

credible interval [CI], 2.33–3.50) (Table 1). The estimated median serial interval from 69

infector-infectee pairs with given symptom onset dates was 3.56 days (95% CI, 2.72–4.44),

with the best-fit using a lognormal distribution (Table 2).

Based on the 72 infecfor-infectee pairs, the Bayes estimate of the transmission onset

distribution after a smoothing procedure was given as Figure 3A. The mean and median

160 values were 1.31 days (95% CI, 0.38–2.55) and 0.68 days (95% CI, -0.09–1.73) after

161 infectors' symptom onset, respectively, with the peak at 0.72 days before symptom onset. The

162 pre-symptomatic transmission proportion was 37% (95% CI, 16–52%).

164 For sensitivity analysis, applying the incubation period of previous study, the transmission

165 onset distribution was inferred as Figure 3B. The mean and median values were 0.59 days (95%

166 CI, -0.33–1.79) and 0.12 days (95% CI, -0.75–1.06) after the infector's symptom onset,

167 respectively, with the peak at 0.41 days before symptom onset. The pre-symptomatic

168 transmission proportion was 48% (95% CI, 29–67%). With a fixed parameter of μ as 4, the

169 mean and median were 0.59 (95% CI, -0.34–1.79) and -0.07 days (95% CI, -0.91–0.99), with

170 the pre-symptomatic transmission proportion of 51% (95% CI, 37–64%).

Discussion

The transmission onset distribution is of utmost interest to clinicians and public health workers, but it has not been widely studied to date due to lack of epidemiological information. Based on concrete data, we hereby present that the transmission of COVID-19 could start before the symptom onset, and the probability peaked as the symptom start and declined thereafter. Interestingly, the trend of this distribution looks similar with published SARS-CoV-2 viral load kinetics though it could not include the viral load data before the symptom onset [17, 18].

In South Korea, the first COVID-19 case was identified on January 19, 2020 in a traveler from Wuhan City, who was quarantined immediately at the airport screening. Until February 18, 2020, there were only imported cases from abroad or cases from their close contacts who were under the surveillance. The situation was totally changed after an outbreak with an unknown source of infection occurred within a religious community, resulting in a total of 5,210 confirmed cases in that single cluster as of April 12, 2020, a number which is almost half (49.6%) of the total 10,512 cases in South Korea [12]. This study spanned from late January to the end of March, 2020, and encompassed all the phases observed in South Korea, thus enhancing the reliability of the results. Furthermore, in South Korea close contacts of confirmed patients were screened extensively with SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid testing, regardless of whether the contact had symptoms. Given this situation, it can be assumed that cases of infection are unlikely to have been missed.

Among the 89 infectors, 4 cases (4.5%) were asymptomatic when diagnosed, but out of 89

infectees, 16 cases (18.0%) were asymptomatic when diagnosed. The difference is attributed

to the active surveillance of close contacts of COVID-19 patients, including the screening

tests of those asymptomatic, which in turn, pre-symptomatic. Our study revealed that

approximately 40% of individuals with COVID-19 infected others when they could not

acknowledge any symptom. This finding is in line with other recently published studies

revealing pre-symptomatic transmission ratio of 46% (95% CI, 21-46%), 44% (95% CI, 25-

69%) in China, and 48% (95% CI, 32-67%) in Singapore [9, 10, 19].

This study has several limitations. First, the dates of symptom onset and contact time were

identified from epidemiological investigation and it could be false due to recall bias. Second,

we only collected data from definite infector-infectee pairs with defined contact times. Hence,

our estimation of transmission onset distribution is the upper bound of the true value. Third,

we excluded clustered data to promote the accuracy of causal relationships. The transmission

behavior of SARS-CoV-2 might have different characteristics within unique clusters, but this

could not be measured in this study. Fourth, we might not catch the late-onset transmission

cases, considering the pre-emptive screening and isolation measures implemented in South

Korea.

This study provides an important message in terms of public health practice. It indicates that

the usual preventive measure of isolating people when they become symptomatic, might be

too late to prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmission.

Acknowledgements

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

Data availability

All code and data are available in the supplementary materials. We present case numbers with

randomization process, instead of nationally designated identification numbers, in order to

maintain confidentiality.

References

1.   Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from

Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(8):727-33.

2.   Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 52: World Health

Organization;   [Available   from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-

source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200312-sitrep-52-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=e2bfc9c0_4.

3.   Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic: World Health Organization;

[Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019.

4.   Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics

in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med.

2020;382(13):1199-207.

5.   Nishiura H, Linton NM, Akhmetzhanov AR. Serial interval of novel coronavirus

(COVID-19) infections. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;93:284-6.

6.   Lauer SA, Grantz KH, Bi Q, Jones FK, Zheng Q, Meredith HR, et al. The Incubation

Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases:

Estimation and Application. Ann Intern Med. 2020.

7.   Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus

(2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20-28 January 2020. Euro

Surveill. 2020;25(5).

8.   White LF, Pagano M. A likelihood-based method for real-time estimation of the

serial interval and reproductive number of an epidemic. Stat Med. 2008;27(16):2999-3016.

9.   Liu Y, null n, Funk S, Flasche S. The contribution of pre-symptomatic infection to

the transmission dynamics of COVID-2019 [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]. 2020;5(58).

10.   He X, Lau EHY, Wu P, Deng X, Wang J, Hao X, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral

shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020.

11.   Wei WE, Li Z, Chiew CJ, Yong SE, Toh MP, Lee VJ. Presymptomatic Transmission

of SARS-CoV-2 - Singapore, January 23-March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.

2020;69(14):411-5.

12.   Coronavirus Disease-19, Republic of Korea: Korea Centers for Disease Control and

Prevention;   [Available from: http://ncov.mohw.go.kr/.

13.   COVID-19 Situation Report (Seoul): Seoul Metropolitan Government;   [Available

from: http://www.seoul.go.kr/coronaV/coronaStatus.do.

14.   COVID-19 Situation Report (Gyeonggi): Gyeonggi Provincial Government;

[Available from: https://www.gg.go.kr/bbs/board.do?bsIdx=722&menuId=2903#page=21.

15.   COVID-19 Response Status: Gyeonggi Provincial Government;   [Available from:

https://blog.naver.com/gyeonggi_gov/221856148207.

16.   Reich NG, Lessler J, Cummings DA, Brookmeyer R. Estimating incubation period

distributions with coarse data. Stat Med. 2009;28(22):2769-84.

17.   Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load

in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(12):1177-9.

18.   Kim ES, Chin BS, Kang CK, Kim NJ, Kang YM, Choi JP, et al. Clinical Course and

Outcomes of Patients with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection: a

Preliminary Report of the First 28 Patients from the Korean Cohort Study on COVID-19. J

Korean Med Sci. 2020;35(13):e142.

19.   Ganyani T, Kremer C, Chen D, Torneri A, Faes C, Wallinga J, et al. Estimating the

generation interval for COVID-19 based on symptom onset data. 2020:2020.03.05.20031815.

Table 1. Estimated incubation period distributions for COVID-19 based on 35 infector-

infectee pairs with defined contact dates.

	Lognormal	Gamma	Weibull
Median (95% CI)	2.87 (2.33–3.50)	2.99 (2.44–3.60)	3.10 (2.54–3.71)
Parameter 1	1.055 (0.845–1.254)	4.544 (2.590–10.494)	2.310 (1.747–3.743)
Parameter 2	0.493 (0.301–0.643)	0.709 (0.312–1.178)	3.635 (3.030–4.208)
Log-likelihood	-46.6	-45.4	-45.1

274 For the lognormal distribution, parameter 1 and parameter 2 are the mean and SD of the
275 natural logarithm and for all other distributions, parameter 1 and parameter 2 are the shape
276 and scale parameters, respectively.

278   Table 2. Estimated serial interval distributions for COVID-19 based on 69 infector-infectee

279   pairs with symptom onset dates.

	Lognormal	Gamma	Weibull
Median (95% CI)	3.56 (2.72–4.44)	3.75 (2.87–4.72)	3.93 (3.04–4.92)
Parameter 1	3.18 (2.22–4.24)	3.45 (2.56–5.19)	1.96 (1.64–2.44)
Parameter 2	0.75 (0.47–1.03)	2.19 (1.44–2.91)	8.47 (7.53–9.53)
Log-likelihood	-77.5	-192.8	-189.8

For the lognormal distribution, parameter 1 and parameter 2 are the mean and SD of the
natural logarithm and for all other distributions, parameter 1 and parameter 2 are the shape
and scale parameters, respectively.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Transmission plot of infector-infectee pairs. For cases of continuous exposure, the

interval presentation was limited to 10 days prior to the symptom onset whoever came first,

considering the upper 95th percentile of pre-estimated incubation period is 10.1.

Fig. 2. Number of secondary infections per infector in the reported data set.

Fig. 3. Estimated transmission onset distribution of COVID-19 (A) using the incubation

period distribution in the current study, and (B) using the previous incubation period

distribution. [6]

A

Predictive density of W

mean 4.51
sd 1.94

Days after symptom onset

B

Predictive density of W

mean 6.59
sd 2.3

Days after symptom onset