

Estimation of the true infection rate and infection fatality rate of COVID-19 in the whole population of each country

Authors: Masahiro Sonoo, Takamichi Kanbayashi, Takayoshi Shimohata, Masahito Kobayashi, and Hideyuki Hayashi.

Affiliations:

Teikyo University School of Medicine, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo, Japan (M. Sonoo, T. Kanbayashi)

Gifu University Graduate School of Medicine, Gifu-shi, Gifu, Japan (T. Shimohata)

Yokohama National University, Yokohama-shi, Kanagawa, Japan (M. Kobayashi)

Osaka Medical College, Takatsuki-shi, Osaka, Japan (H. Hayashi)

Address for correspondence: Masahiro Sonoo, Department of Neurology, Teikyo University School of Medicine. Kaga 2-11-1, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 1738605, Japan; e-mail: sonoom@med.teikyo-u.ac.jp; tel: +81 3 3964 1211; fax: +81 3 3964 1707

Abstract

The true infection rate of COVID-19 and the infection fatality rate in the whole population have been estimated for each country. The estimate well coincided with local surveys. The fact that several tens of times, or more, of identified cases are already infected might require reconsideration of the strategy.

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is now a worldwide peril. Recently, a few local surveys revealed surprisingly high infection rates in general population (1–4). However, the True Infection Rate (TIR) in the whole population of each country is unknown. We devised a simple method to infer TIR, as well as the Infection Fatality Rate (IFR) based on the open data.

We analyzed the data at a website on April 12th and 26th, 2020 (5). Countries or regions having more than 1000 cases on April 12th were included. Positive rate of PCR tests (Infection Rate; IR) and the rate of PCR tests among the population (Examination Rate; ER) were calculated. The cardinal assumption is that IR and ER are negatively correlated because PCR examinations will be restricted to cases with strong suspicion while ER is low, and vice versa. Then TIR of a specific region can be estimated using the linear regression. The slope value calculated from the data on April 12th was applied to the data on April 26th, because of the reasons explained later. The ratio of the infected persons in the population to the already identified cases was calculated and named as True/Identified Case Ratio (TICR). IFR was estimated using the number of total deaths at the same website (5). For each parameter, 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated. All statistical calculation was done using Microsoft Excel for Mac.

Included were 67 countries or regions. IR was negatively correlated with ER on logarithmic scales on April 12th ($r = -0.394$, $p = 0.00097$; Figure 1) and on 26th ($r = -0.362$, $p = 0.0026$). The slope value was -0.234 on 12th and -0.234 on 26th. The estimated TIR, TICR and IFR on April 26th of representative countries are presented (Table 1). The data of Tokyo and Hyogo prefectures in Japan are also added. The data for the whole countries or regions investigated are presented in the Appendix Table. TIR took on values between 1% to 10% for

most countries. TICR was around 20 to 40 in major western countries, and over 100 in some countries, including Japan. IFR also took on wide range of values, generally higher in western countries than in eastern countries.

A number of factors obscure the correlation between IR and ER. These include the variation of actual situations of conducting PCR-tests among different countries. However, these varieties will be averaged over many countries included in this study. The observed correlation was highly significant, indicating that the inverse relation of IR and ER is sufficiently robust. The variation of TIR among countries will become higher as the time lapses, which will result in decreasing correlation (actually, -0.394 to -0.362 during 2 weeks). As another disturbing factor, if countries with high TIR actively expand the PCR tests due to a sense of crisis, the negative correlation will be reduced, which will also become evident as the time lapses. These are the reasons why we used the slope value calculated from the earlier data. As a matter of fact, however, the slope value remained almost constant during 2 weeks (-0.234 to -0.234) despite the increase of the y-intercept from 0.810 to 0.833 (corresponding to 5% increase of IR), which indicates the reliability of our analysis.

Comparison of the present results with local surveys supports the validity of our estimate. Keio University in Tokyo found 6% IR using PCR tests for in-patients unrelated to COVID-19 (1). Our estimate of TIR in Tokyo is 6.8%. Others are antibody surveys. Kobe City Medical Center General Hospital in Hyogo prefecture, Japan found 3.3% IR for unselective out-patients (2). Our estimate of TIR in Hyogo is 1.8% (95%CI: 0.8 – 4.5). Reported IRs were 1.5% in Santa Clara (3) and 13.9% in New York state (4), and estimated TIR in the whole USA is 6.9%.

The pandemic is still expanding and uncontrolled in most countries as evident in the trajectory analysis (6,7). Only limited countries, such as China or Iceland, succeeded in

containing the pandemic (present estimation is not applicable to these countries). The fact that several tens of times of identified cases are already infected, often asymptomatic, may urge reconsideration of the strategy in the management of COVID-19. Finding out all infected persons and completely containing the infection is unrealistic since ER is less than 3% in most major countries. Other than complete containment, herd immunity, and effective treatment/vaccines, attenuation of the viral virulence is another way to make the pandemic less damaging (8). This is achieved in animals by natural selection (9) but in humans the prevention of in-hospital infection, especially from severe cases, would be the key. This might be a choice, especially in countries with already low estimated IFR, mainly Asian countries such as Singapore, India, Indonesia or Japan (Table 1).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Masashi Idogawa, Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine, for providing information on the trajectory analysis.

References

1. Keio University Hospital. Update on 2019 Novel Coronavirus Situation at Keio University Hospital. <http://www.hosp.keio.ac.jp/en/oshirase/detail/40174/> Accessed April 26, 2020.
2. Kobe Newspaper next. Three percent of out-patients have antibodies. <https://www.kobe-np.co.jp/news/sougou/202005/0013318051.shtml> Accessed May 5, 2020 (Japanese).
3. Bendavid E, Mulaney B, Sood N, Shah S, Ling E, Bromley-Dulfano R, et al. COVID-19 Antibody Seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California. medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20062463> 2020 Accessed April 26, 2020.
4. BGR. New York coronavirus antibody study shows just how far the virus has spread. <https://bgr.com/2020/04/23/coronavirus-new-york-spread-study-results/> Accessed April 26, 2020.
5. Worldometers.info. Reported Cases and Deaths by Country, Territory, or Conveyance. Dover, Delaware, U.S.A. <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/> Accessed April 12 and 26, 2020.
6. Sapporo Medical University School of Medicine. Trajectory analysis of new coronavirus COVID-19 cases and deaths by country. https://web.sapmed.ac.jp/canmol/coronavirus/trajectory_e.html) Accessed May 5, 2020.
7. Idogawa M, Tange S, Nakase H, Tokino T. Interactive web-based graphs of novel coronavirus COVID-19 cases and deaths per population by country. Clinical Infectious Diseases in press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa500>
8. Hunter P. The spread of the COVID-19 coronavirus: Health agencies worldwide prepare for the seemingly inevitability of the COVID-19 coronavirus becoming endemic. EMBO

Rep 2020;21(4):e50334. doi: 10.15252/embr.202050334.

9. Kerr PJ. Myxomatosis in Australia and Europe: a model for emerging infectious diseases.

Antiviral Res 2012;93:387–415.

Table 1. Parameters for 14 representative countries and 2 prefectures in Japan.

Country	Cases	ER (%)	IR (%)	TIR (%) (95%CI)	TICR (95%CI)	IFR (%) (95%CI)
USA	960,896	1.595	18.2	6.91 (3.95 – 12.10)	23.8 (13.6 – 41.7)	0.237 (0.136 – 0.415)
Spain	223,759	1.990	24.1	9.62 (5.67 – 16.34)	20.1 (11.8 – 34.1)	0.509 (0.300 – 0.864)
Italy	195,351	2.825	11.4	4.97 (3.07 – 8.04)	15.4 (9.5 – 24.9)	0.879 (0.543 – 1.423)
France	161,488	0.710	34.8	10.95 (5.61 – 21.37)	44.3 (22.7 – 86.4)	0.316 (0.162 – 0.617)
Germany	156,513	2.474	7.6	3.18 (1.93 – 5.24)	17.0 (10.3 – 28.1)	0.221 (0.134 – 0.364)
UK	148,377	0.944	23.2	7.78 (4.14 – 14.61)	35.6 (19.0 – 66.9)	0.385 (0.205 – 0.722)
Russia	74,588	1.865	2.7	1.08 (0.63 – 1.85)	21.1 (12.3 – 36.2)	0.043 (0.025 – 0.074)
Brazil	59,324	0.137	20.3	4.35 (1.78 – 10.60)	155.9 (64.0 – 379.9)	0.044 (0.018 – 0.107)
Canada	45,354	1.813	6.6	2.59 (1.51 – 4.46)	21.6 (12.6 – 37.1)	0.252 (0.146 – 0.433)
Belgium	45,325	1.631	24.0	9.15 (5.25 – 15.97)	23.4 (13.4 – 40.8)	0.652 (0.374 – 1.137)
India	26,283	0.042	4.5	0.74 (0.26 – 2.10)	386.3 (135.1 – 1104.9)	0.008 (0.003 – 0.023)
Japan	13,231	0.117	9.0	1.85 (0.74 – 4.61)	176.7 (70.9 – 440.2)	0.015 (0.006 – 0.038)
Singapore	12,693	2.082	10.4	4.21 (2.50 – 7.11)	19.4 (11.5 – 32.8)	0.005 (0.003 – 0.008)
Indonesia	8,607	0.025	12.7	1.82 (0.59 – 5.59)	578.4 (188.3 – 1776.3)	0.014 (0.005 – 0.044)
Tokyo	4,152	0.087	35.5	6.82 (2.63 – 17.70)	221.9 (85.6 – 575.5)	0.013 (0.005 – 0.034)
Hyogo	645	0.137	8.6	1.84 (0.76 – 4.50)	156.4 (64.1 – 381.3)	0.017 (0.007 – 0.041)

The parameters for countries are adopted and calculated from the data on April 26th (5). Those for Japanese prefectures are acquired from Toyo Keizai Online. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Situation Report in Japan. <https://toyokeizai.net/sp/visual/tko/covid19/en.html> Accessed May 2, 2020.

Abbreviations: CI confidence interval; ER, examination ratio; IFR, infection fatality rate; IR, infection ratio; TICR, true/identified case ratio; TIR, true infection ratio.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Two-dimensional plot of IR vs. ER on April 12th, 2020.

A dotted line indicates the regression line. There was a significant negative correlation ($r = -0.394$).

Appendix Table. Parameters of the COVID-19 Pandemic in 68 countries and regions.

(Appendix Table is submitted as a separate word file)


Infection rate (IR, %)

100.0

10.0

1.0

0.1



$r = -0.394$

April 12, 2020

Examination rate (ER, %)

0.001

0.010

0.100

1.000

10.000

100.000