

1 **The Association between Poverty and Gene Expression within Peripheral Blood**

2 **Mononuclear Cells in a Diverse Baltimore City Cohort**

3 Short title: Association between poverty status and gene expression

4

5 Nicole S. Arnold¹, Nicole Noren Hooten², Yongqing Zhang³, Elin Lehrmann³, William Wood

6 III³, Wendy Camejo Nunez¹, Roland J. Thorpe, Jr.⁴, Michele K. Evans², and Douglas F. Dluzen^{1*}

7 ¹Department of Biology, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD

8 ²Laboratory of Epidemiology and Population Science, National Institute on Aging, National

9 Institutes of Health, Baltimore, MD

10 ³Laboratory of Genetics and Genomics, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of

11 Health, Baltimore, MD

12 ⁴Program for Research on Men's Health, Hopkins Center for Health Disparities Solutions, Johns

13 Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

14 *Correspondence:

15 Douglas F. Dluzen, PhD

16 douglas.dluzen@morgan.edu

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Abstract**

25 Socioeconomic status (SES), living in poverty, and other social determinants of health contribute
26 to health disparities in the United States. African American (AA) men living below poverty in
27 Baltimore City have a higher incidence of mortality when compared to either white males or AA
28 females living below poverty. Previous studies in our laboratory and elsewhere suggest that
29 environmental conditions are associated with differential gene expression (DGE) patterns in
30 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs). DGE have also been associated with hypertension
31 and cardiovascular disease (CVD) and correlate with race and sex. However, no studies have
32 investigated how poverty status associates with DGE between male and female AAs and whites
33 living in Baltimore City. We examined DGE in 52 AA and white participants of the Healthy
34 Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity across the Life Span (HANDLS) cohort, who were living
35 above or below 125% of the 2004 federal poverty line at time of sample collection. We
36 performed a microarray to assess DGE patterns in PBMCs from these participants. AA males
37 and females living in poverty had the most genes differentially-expressed compared with above
38 poverty controls. Gene ontology (GO) analysis identified unique and overlapping pathways
39 related to the endosome, single-stranded RNA binding, long-chain fatty-acyl-CoA biosynthesis,
40 toll-like receptor signaling, and others within AA males and females living in poverty and
41 compared with their above poverty controls. We performed RT-qPCR to validate top
42 differentially-expressed genes in AA males. We found that *KLF6*, *DUSP2*, *RBM34*, and *CD19*
43 are expressed at significantly lower levels in AA males in poverty and *KCTD12* is higher
44 compared to above poverty controls. This study serves as an additional link to better understand
45 the gene expression response in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in those living in poverty.

46 **Introduction**

47 In 2018, 38.1 million people living in the United States (11.8% of the US population)
48 were living in poverty. African American (AAs) in the US had the highest poverty rate of any
49 racial demographic (20.8%; 8.9 million) (1). This compares with 15.7% (15.7 million) of whites
50 living in poverty, whom have the lowest poverty rate in the US (1). Black household median
51 income levels in the US were just 59% of the median household income levels of non-Hispanic
52 Whites; whereas Hispanics were 73% of non-Hispanic Whites and Asian households were 123%
53 (1).

54 The influence of poverty on health and lifespan is associated with both chronic exposure
55 to poverty as well as sudden wealth loss (2, 3). Living in poverty or low socioeconomic status
56 (SES) also increases the risk of disability and all-cause mortality in the US and around the world
57 (4-7). These associations can be due to a variety of geopolitical factors and other social
58 determinants of health. For example, neighborhoods with social or economic disadvantages such
59 as elevated crime and violence levels can stagnant physical activity in local residents (8, 9) and
60 neighborhood segregation and reduced walkability and greenspace are associated with increased
61 risk for cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), particularly within minority populations (10-12). Food
62 insecurity can also predispose individuals to chronic cardiometabolic diseases such as obesity,
63 diabetes, and hypertension (13, 14).

64 Living in poverty and low SES increases the risk of myocardial infarction (15, 16),
65 having higher blood pressure and body mass index (17-19), reduced neuroanatomic health in
66 white matter integrity (20) and hippocampal volume (21), and development of diabetes mellitus
67 (22, 23). AAs living in poverty are at an increased risk for early mortality, particularly among
68 AA men (24, 25). AA women in low SES living in the urban and rural south of the US were over

69 twice as likely to have worse cardiovascular health compared with white women (18).
70 Additionally, there is a disproportionately high incidence and prevalence of CVD and poverty-
71 related health complications in AA men and women compared with non-Hispanic whites and
72 other ethnicities (24-28).

73 While considerable work has been spent identifying the environmental risk factors
74 associated with living in poverty, there still remains the challenge of fully understanding how
75 these risk factors translate biologically into disease and health disparities. Biomarkers have
76 brought some clarity into physiological changes associated with SES. For example, adults with
77 early lifetime exposure to poverty and low SES had increased production of cortisol and greater
78 stress-response production of the pro-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6) and longer
79 duration of IL-6 elevation in circulation (29-31).

80 Social determinants of health, including racial discrimination (32) and experiencing
81 traumatic stress (33), can transcriptionally activate inflammatory pathways in blood cells.
82 Transcriptional activation or repression of inflammatory genes and related pathways has also
83 been linked with low SES. The whole-blood transcriptional profiles of low SES AAs showed
84 over-expressed inflammatory pathways including those related to interleukin-8 signaling and
85 transcription factor NF- κ B signaling (34). AAs experiencing racial discrimination also had
86 elevated expression of *NF- κ B*, *AP-1*, *CREB*, and the glucocorticoid receptor compared with
87 European Americans, suggesting stress-response pathways may influence the predisposition to
88 inflammation and related disparate health conditions (32). Several of these genes are part of the
89 conserved transcriptional response to adversity (CTRA) gene network. The CTRA is a stress
90 response pathway mediated by the sympathetic nervous system and beta-adrenergic signaling to
91 induce transcriptional activation of inflammatory genes in the immune system (32, 35). In a

92 recent study of over 1,000 diverse and young adults, family poverty status was associated with
93 transcription of interferon-response factors and immune cell activation of dendritic cells and may
94 contribute to lifespan-related predisposition to inflammatory diseases and conditions (36).

95 In 2018, nearly 26.1% of black or AAs were living below the federal poverty line in
96 Baltimore City in Maryland, with individuals earning less than \$12,140, and 50% of all
97 individuals living in poverty in Baltimore City had incomes below 50% of the federal poverty
98 line, earning approximately \$6,000 per individual (37). Those living in poverty in Baltimore
99 City, particularly AA men, have the highest risk for mortality when compared with other groups
100 influenced by poverty, and this was linked with neighborhood income inequality (24, 25).
101 Baltimore City is also the only county on the eastern seaboard north of Washington D.C. to have
102 an average life expectancy at birth below 72 year of age (38).

103 Understanding the biological mechanisms linked with poverty status will help us
104 elucidate how disparate health conditions arise and influence treatment outcomes, particularly in
105 Baltimore City. In this study, we analyzed gene expression within a diverse sub cohort of AA
106 and white, male and female individuals from the Healthy Aging in Neighborhoods of Diversity
107 Across the Lifespan (HANDLS) study living above or below the federal poverty line (28). The
108 objective of this study was to gain insight into the association between poverty status and
109 differential gene expression (DGE).

110

111 **RESULTS**

112 *Analysis of Gene Expression in Individuals Living in Poverty*

113 In order to identify DGE patterns in individuals living in poverty, we performed a mRNA
114 microarray of PBMCs isolated from a sub cohort of the HANDLS study (28). This sub-cohort

115 was comprised of 54 AAs and whites (W), who were either male (M) or female (F), and self-
116 reported living either below (BL) or above (AB) 125% of the 2004 Federal poverty guideline in
117 Baltimore City at the time of PBMC collection (n=6-7/group; see S1 Appendix). There was no
118 significant difference in age, cholesterol or CRP levels, monocyte or white blood cell counts, or
119 history of diabetes, diagnosis of hypertension, or current smoking status between each of the 8
120 groups. After an initial data quality analysis of our microarray raw probe signals, we removed
121 one white male and one white female living below poverty from our analysis for a total N of 52.

122 We used iPathway Guide software (39-42) to identify significantly and differentially-
123 expressed genes between groups in our cohort. Genes were considered to be significant if the
124 $|\log_{2}FC| > 0.60$ and they had an adjusted false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.30 . We identified 1,058
125 unique mRNAs as significant in our analysis and dependent upon the comparison being
126 performed. There were 127 genes significantly different between AA men living below poverty
127 compared with AA men living above (Figure 1A). Of these, seven mRNAs were up-regulated in
128 AA men living in poverty (*DUSP2*, *HLA-DQB1*, *CD19*, *MCOLN2*, *RMBM38*, *DDX39*, and
129 *KLF6*) and the remaining 120 were down-regulated (see. S2 Appendix for complete list).

130
131 *Figure 1: Gene expression changes associated with poverty in the HANDLS cohort.* Significantly
132 and differentially-expressed genes were identified in Baltimore City residents living below (BL)
133 vs above (AB) poverty via microarray. Volcano plots generated in iPathway Guide show
134 significantly ($|\log_{2}FC| > 0.6$, FDR AdjPvalue < 0.3) up-regulated (red) or down-regulated (blue)
135 genes in individuals living in poverty who were (A) African American males (AAM), (B)
136 African American females (AAF), (C) white males (WM), or (D) white females (WF). N= 6/7
137 per group; see S1 Appendix for completely demographics.

138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150

We identified 993 transcripts that were significantly different between AA females living below poverty compared with AA females living above (Figure 1B). Of these transcripts, 70 genes were up-regulated and 923 were down-regulated in those living in poverty. Within whites in Baltimore, there were fewer significant genes when comparing those below poverty compared with above. We identified only two mRNAs (*MTIE* and *SSR4*) significantly different between white males living in poverty compared with white males living above, of which both transcripts were down-regulated (Figure 1C). When comparing white females living in poverty with those above poverty, there were 10 transcripts significantly different, three of which were up-regulated (*CD68*, *FBP1*, and *FNI*) and 7 down-regulated (*EBF*, *TMEM16J*, *MCOLN2*, *AIM2*, *BLK*, *FCRL5*, and *HLA-DQB1*; Figure 1D). Table 1 lists the top 10 significantly up-regulated and down-regulated genes.

	Top 10 Upregulated Genes	Top 10 Downregulated Genes
WFBL vs. WFAB	<i>FBP1, FCN1, CD68</i>	<i>HLA-DQB1, FCRL5, BLK, AIM2, MCOLN2, TMEM16J, EBF</i>
WMBL vs. WMAB	<i>MTIE, SSR4</i>	None
AAMBL vs. AAMAB*	<i>DUSP2, HLA-DQB1, CD19, MCOLN2, RBM38, DDX39, KLF6</i>	<i>KCTD12, CD36, TNFSF10, RGS18, GIMAP1, MS4A6A, LILRB3, TMEM170B, ZNF467, BRI3P1</i>
AAFBL vs. AAFAB	<i>BSG, TSPAN32, IGLL1, ACTN4, GZMM, C7orf21, ARHGEF1, SMARCB1, ZNF683, ARHGDI</i>	<i>TSEN15, KIAA1033, CAND1, PRDM10, ANKRD17, KIAA1370, PTGES3, PTPLB, SLC40A1, CANX</i>
*There are only 7 genes significantly upregulated in this comparison.		

151
152
153

We next sought to identify the overlap of significant genes between AA males (AAMs), AA females (AAFs), white females (WFs), and white males (WMs) living in poverty in order to

154 identify common and unique mRNA profiles associated with poverty in each group. We found
155 that a majority of the 1,058 significant transcripts were unique to each demographic group
156 (Figure 2A; see. S2 Appendix for complete list). AAFs living in poverty had 921 transcripts
157 which were significantly different with above poverty controls. AAMs living in poverty had 54
158 genes significantly different with AAM living above poverty. Additionally, there were 71
159 significant transcripts that were shared between AA men and AA women living in poverty. WMs
160 living in poverty had only two significantly different transcripts compared with WMs living
161 above poverty (*MTIE* and *SSR4*). We observed that AAMs shared two significant transcripts
162 with WFs (*HLA-DQB1* and *MCOLN2*) and AAFs shared one (early B cell factor 1, *EBF*, Figure
163 2A) with WFs.

164
165 *Figure 2: Gene expression profiles associated with poverty, sex, and race.* DGE profiles of
166 significant genes ($|\text{LogFC}| > 0.6$, FDR AdjPvalue < 0.3) in each comparison subgroup were
167 compared by Venn diagram using iPathway Guide and stratified either by poverty status (A), Sex
168 (B) or Race (C) to identify common and overlapping genes. N= 6/7 per group; see S1 Appendix
169 Table 1 for completely demographics. White females below poverty (WFBL) or above poverty
170 (WFAB); white males below poverty (WMBL) or above (WMAB); African American males
171 below poverty (AAMBL) or above (AAMAB); African American females below poverty
172 (AAFBL) or above (AAFAB).

173
174 We observed that few genes overall were significantly different when stratifying by sex
175 and within the same poverty status (Figure 2B). We observed that 128 genes were unique when
176 comparing WMs and WFs living in poverty, compared with only 6 that were significantly

177 different between AAMs and AAFs. There were 7 genes significantly different between males
178 and females regardless of race or poverty status (*PRKY*, *EIF1AY*, *RPS4Y1*, *RPS4Y2*, *SMCY*,
179 *USP9Y*, and *CYorf15B*), of which nearly all are X- or Y-linked genes (Figure 2B). When
180 comparing between races and within the same sex and poverty status, we identified 140 genes
181 different between AAMs and WMs and 123 genes different between AAFs and WFs (Figure
182 2C). Together, we observed the most significant gene changes with respect to poverty status and
183 this remained the primary focus of our analysis.

184

185 *Pathway Analysis of Significant Genes*

186 We next performed a Gene Ontology (GO) analysis within iPathway to identify relevant
187 pathways related to the significant genes differentially-expressed in individuals living in poverty.
188 Initial GO pathway P-values were adjusted using either elimination pruning for biological
189 processes, molecular functions, and cellular components (43) or FDR to correct for multiple
190 comparisons for identified diseases and pathways (Figure 3). When stratified by poverty status,
191 nearly all Biological Processes were unique to each comparative group. There were 116
192 biological processes related to the differentially-expressed genes in AA males living in poverty
193 compared to those above the poverty line (Figure 3A). AA females had 91 biological processes
194 unique to their comparative group. White males and females had fewer biological processes
195 identified between those living in poverty vs. above the poverty line, 3 and 35, respectively
196 (Figure 3A). There were 3 processes that overlapped between AA males and white females in
197 poverty: positive regulation of interleukin-1 beta secretion (GO: 0050718), positive regulation of
198 macrophage inflammatory protein 1 alpha production (GO: 0071642), and positive regulation of
199 monocyte chemotactic protein-1 production (GO: 0071639). We observed similar patterns for

200 each of our poverty comparisons for the GO analysis of Molecular Functions and Cellular
201 Components (Figure 3A), with few overlapping pathways between each group.

202
203 *Figure 3: Gene ontology and pathway analysis of top poverty-related genes.* Venn diagrams
204 breaking down significant (A) GO terms related to Biological Processes, Molecular Function, or
205 Cellular Components and stratified by poverty status for each comparison. iPathway Guide
206 identification of unique and overlapping genetic pathways (B) or diseases (C) related to observed
207 DGE in each comparison. N= 6/7 per group; see S1 Appendix for completely demographics.
208 White females below poverty (WFBL) or above poverty (WFAB); white males below poverty
209 (WMBL) or above (WMAB); African American males below poverty (AAMBL) or above
210 (AAMAB); African American females below poverty (AAFBL) or above (AAFAB).

211
212 We next sought to identify relevant KEGG Pathways or Diseases related to DGE in each
213 comparative group. After adjusting for FDR, only two pathways (mineral absorption [ID: 04210]
214 and protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum [04141]) were significant in our entire
215 dataset, specifically in white males in poverty (Figure 3B). We found similar results when
216 identifying KEGG diseases (Figure 3C). One disease was significant for white males in poverty
217 (Congenital disorders of glycosylation type I; H00118) and 14 in white females in poverty,
218 including viral myocarditis (H00295), fructose-1, 6-biphosphate deficiency (H00114), and
219 asthma (H00079; Figure 3C). We also conducted a GO and KEGG pathway analysis when
220 comparing our sub cohort groups by sex and race (S1 Appendix Figure 1). A complete list of all
221 pathway rankings for each subgroup and comparisons in Figures 3 and S1 Appendix Figure 1
222 can be found in S1 Appendix.

223 We decided to focus the remainder of our pathway and gene expression analysis on AA
224 males and females as both groups had the most significant gene expression differences (Figure 1
225 and 2) when comparing those living below the poverty line with those above in each group. GO
226 analysis identified 1 shared biological process between AA males and females living in poverty
227 (endosome organization; GO: 0007032; Figure 3A and 4A). There were 11 out of 22 genes
228 within the endosome organization process that exhibited significant down-regulation in either
229 AA males and females living in poverty (Figure 4B).

230
231 *Figure 4: Gene ontology (GO) analysis in African American females and males. (A) Venn*
232 *diagram of GO Biological Processes of significant genes identified in AAFBL vs. AAFAB*
233 *compared with AAMBL vs. AAMAB. Unique and common pathways for each comparison of*
234 *poverty status in females (pink) and males (green) were identified after elimination pruning P-*
235 *value adjustment to eliminate false positives. Tables provide Top 10 Biological Processes unique*
236 *to AA females or AA males or overlapping between both groups. Pathways are reported with GO*
237 *ascension number, name, and adjusted P-value (AdjPvalue). (B) Differential expression of*
238 *significant genes identified common Endosome Organization pathway. Gene expression is*
239 *reported as logFC in AAFBL vs. AAFAB (pink) or AAMBL vs. AAMAB (green).*

240
241 There were 91 GO biological processes unique to AA females in poverty. Among the
242 Top 10 most significant were long chain fatty-acyl-CoA biosynthetic processes, B-cell
243 differentiation, genetic imprinting, and interleukin-12-mediating signaling (Figure 4A). AA
244 males living in poverty had 119 biological processes identified in iPathway Guide compared
245 with AA males above poverty. Top significant processes included neutrophil degranulation, toll-

246 like receptor 9 signaling, MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling, and positive regulation
247 of toll-like receptor signaling (Figure 4A).

248 We also identified unique and overlapping molecular functions and cellular components
249 in AA males and females. Two molecular functions were identified in both AA males and
250 females living in poverty: GDP Binding (GO: 0019003) and single-stranded RNA binding (GO:
251 0003727; S1 Appendix Figure 2A). For both of those GO terms, seven genes were significantly
252 down-regulated in AAs living in poverty compared with above poverty (S1 Appendix Figure
253 2B). Twenty-six molecular functions were unique within AA females, including top significant
254 functions including GTPase activity and binding, nuclear receptor transcription coactivator
255 activity, and hormone receptor binding (S1 Appendix Figure 2A). There were 35 molecular
256 functions unique to AA males living in poverty and top pathways included Double-stranded
257 RNA binding, insulin-like growth factor receptor binding, and toll-like receptor binding (S1
258 Appendix Figure 2A).

259 There was one cellular component GO pathway related to the early endosome (GO:
260 0005769) shared between AA males and females (S1 Appendix Figure 3A). Genes in this
261 pathway were predominantly down-regulated in AAs living in poverty, particularly in AA
262 women, where 32 of the 34 genes in this pathway were significantly down-regulated (S1
263 Appendix Figure 3B). Similar to our GO analysis of biological processes and molecular
264 functions, there were more unique pathways for AA males and females. There were 21 cellular
265 components identified as significant in AA females living in poverty, including the SWI/SNF
266 complex, nuclear heterochromatin, and membrane rafts. Of the 26 pathways significant in AA
267 males living in poverty, some of the most significant included phagocytic vesicle membranes,
268 recycling of the endosome membrane, and SNARE complex (S1 Appendix Figure 3A).

269

270 *Gene Expression Validation*

271 The expression levels of mRNAs that were identified in our microarray analysis were
272 quantified and validated by RT-qPCR in an expanded cohort of AA males living below (n=27) or
273 above (n=29) poverty (S1 Appendix). We chose to validate genes in AA males because this
274 demographic group is at the greatest risk for mortality in Baltimore City (24). We selected genes
275 identified in our microarray analysis within the top 10 up-regulated or down-regulated between
276 AA males living in poverty compared with those living above poverty (Table 1, red), as well as
277 non-significant control genes and genes of interest related to immune signaling or inflammation.

278 We validated that there is a significant difference in the expression of *KLF6*, *DUSP2*,
279 *RBM38*, *CD19*, and *KCTD12* between AA males living in poverty versus those living above
280 poverty (Figure 5). With the exception of *KCTD12*, the rest of the genes had lower levels of
281 expression in AA males living in poverty. We were unable to validate the expression levels of
282 *CD36*, *HLA-DQB1*, or *GIMAP1*, which were significant in our microarray but not our expanded
283 cohort (Figure 5). Collectively, these data indicate that our microarray analysis and validation
284 approach can identify genes differentially-expressed in populations living in poverty.

285

286 *Figure 5: mRNA validation in African American males living in poverty.* 11 mRNAs identified
287 from our microarray analysis were validated using real-time quantitative PCR in African
288 American males living above (AB) or below (BL) poverty. Genes were quantified using mRNA-
289 specific primers and outliers were excluded. All genes were normalized to the combined average
290 of *ACTB* and *GAPDH*. Violin plots of the eleven genes compared BL (n=27) vs AB (n=29).
291 *P<0.05, **P<0.01; Student's t-test.

292

293 **Discussion**

294 Our analysis identified several significantly and differentially-expressed mRNAs in
295 PBMCs associated with poverty status in Baltimore City residents. AA males and females living
296 in poverty had more genes differentially-expressed than whites when compared with their above
297 poverty controls. In AA males, we used RT-qPCR to validate the expression of several of the
298 transcripts identified in our microarray within an expanded cohort (Figure 5). Unexpectedly,
299 several significant genes, including *KLF6*, *DUSP2*, *RBM38*, and *CD19*, were found to be down-
300 regulated in AA males living in poverty, opposite of our initial findings in our microarray. This
301 may be due to the fact that our microarray was performed in six or 7 individuals, as well as the
302 fact that RT-qPCR is much more accurate in single-gene expression validation compared with
303 global discovery analysis (44). We did not identify any transcripts to be significant in our RT-
304 qPCR analysis that were not identified in our microarray.

305 The low levels of expression of these transcripts in AA males living in poverty offers
306 several intriguing paths to pursue during follow-up analyses. Kruppel-like factor 6 (KLF6) has
307 well-defined roles as a transcriptional activator in endothelial cells and regulates the repair of
308 vascular damage after tissue injury (45). KLF6 also represses the expression of B-cell leukemia 6
309 (BLC6) in macrophages and monocytes to promote pro-inflammatory gene expression patterns
310 necessary for alleviating tissue damage. When KLF6 was repressed in mouse models, the
311 required inflammatory response from macrophages to promote healing was attenuated (46, 47).
312 This response network is also mediated through miR-223 and hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha
313 (HIF1A), both of which are important node regulators of vascular inflammatory response (48,
314 49). RNA-binding motif protein 38 (RBM38) has identified roles in endothelial repair following

315 arterial injury (50) and deficient mouse models develop an accelerated aging phenotype and have
316 impaired hematopoiesis (51).

317 We also identified low levels of dual specificity phosphatase 2 (DUSP2) in AA males
318 living in poverty. DUSP2 negatively regulates ERK1 and ERK2 signaling within the MAP
319 kinase signaling pathway and is important for T-cell gene expression regulation (52). DUSP2
320 inhibition in mice leads to susceptibility to colitis and inflammation and increase secretion of
321 cytokines from T_H17 cells (53). If *KLF6*, *RBM28*, or *DUSP2* may be novel mechanisms in which
322 susceptibility to vascular injury or inflammatory-related conditions is promoted in AA males living
323 in poverty. This work could provide some key explanations of how inflammation and stress is
324 linked to social disadvantage. Futures studies experimentally validating this hypothesis are
325 warranted.

326 Our GO analysis of gene expression in AA males identified several pathways related to
327 TLRs, toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) signaling, MyD88-dependent TLR signaling, and positive
328 regulation of TLR signaling, with potential functions relevant to living in poverty and driven by
329 lower expression levels of related *TLR1* and *TLR8* (Figure 4A). Most TLRs are bound within the
330 membrane of the endosome, including *TLR8*, and several are found in the plasma membrane of
331 the cell, including *TLR1*. The TLR family of enzymes are heavily involved in the activation of
332 innate immunity within white and peripheral blood cells, inflammation response, as well as
333 playing a variety of roles related to adaptive immunity (54). A recent analysis of AA whole-
334 blood transcriptomes identified low SES association with up-regulation of *TLR1* and *TLR8* (34).
335 We found TLRs to be down-regulated with poverty in our cohort, highlighting the need to further
336 study these genes and related pathways in response to social and environmental stress within
337 those living in impoverished conditions over a long period of time. It is possible adverse

338 conditions affect different communities in different ways on the level of a single gene, yet have
339 similar consequences when looking across multiple genes within the same pathway.

340 AA women living below poverty had the most genes differentially-expressed compared
341 with above poverty controls (Figure 1). There is significant down-regulation of genes related to
342 fatty-acid biosynthesis and metabolism, including *PTPLB*, *ACSL3*, and *ACSL5*, and
343 transcriptional regulators including *HDAC2*, *HDAC4*, and *SP3*. *HDAC2* and *HDAC4* are part of
344 the family of histone deacetylases and prior studies have reported that each have been linked
345 with vascular inflammation and Angiotensin II-induced autophagy (55, 56). These genes have
346 not been associated with poverty status before.

347 Our gene enrichment analysis identified endosome organization (GO: 0007032) as the
348 only biological process significant in both AA males and females living in poverty. The
349 endosomal compartments within immune cells are critical for extracellular signaling response
350 and for appropriate activation of inflammatory responses to external stimuli (57). Endosomal
351 trafficking of several TLRs is important for this response (58) and perturbations in TLR
352 activation or in dysfunctional endosomes can lead to autoimmune conditions and an increase in
353 cellular inflammatory response resulting in downstream inflammation (58-60). While there have
354 been no prior studies associating endosomal functioning with SES, behavioral work in macaques
355 identified social status-related differences in toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-mediated inflammation
356 via downstream transcriptional NF- κ B activation (61).

357 Prior studies have linked gene expression in PBMCs with poverty status in HANDLS
358 participants. For example, profiling of young and old AAs and white males living above or
359 below poverty identified hundreds of mRNAs and long, non-coding RNAs associated with
360 poverty in white males. This included enrichment for genes related to stress and immune

361 signaling in whites living above poverty (62). Poverty status and social adversity are also
362 associated with differential expression of stress- and inflammatory-related genes within the
363 conserved transcriptional response to adversity (CRTA) network (32, 34-36). There are 53 genes
364 in the CRTA network including cytokines, inflammatory transcriptional regulators (including
365 NF- κ B), and members of the Type I interferon response. Their expression is susceptible to
366 environmental stressors (63). When comparing the CRTA gene set with our microarray analysis,
367 we did find some overlap in significant genes. AA males living in poverty have significantly
368 lower levels of interferon induced with helicase C domain 1 (*IFIH1*), a member of the Type I
369 interferon response pathway. AA females in poverty also expressed *IFIH1* at significantly lower
370 levels, in addition to lower levels of inflammatory transcript factor *NFKB1*, and higher levels of
371 preB-cell receptor immunoglobulin lambda like polypeptide 1 (*IgLL1*) compared with AA
372 females living above poverty (data not shown). We did not observe any overlap with the CRTA
373 network and expression differences in whites in our study. Together, this suggests additional
374 studies are needed for these over-lapping transcripts, as these CRTA-related genes have been
375 identified in several independent cohorts.

376 Our and other related analyses examining gene expression profiles related to poverty
377 status highlight an important question: how does environmental stress mechanistically induce
378 these changes? Prior analysis of DGE in AA and white women with hypertension identified
379 differentially-expressed microRNA (miRNA) profiles associated with differentially-expressed
380 mRNAs in AA hypertensives (64, 65). miRNAs post-transcriptionally regulate gene expression
381 levels and aberrant expression of these regulatory RNAs can lead to more aggressive diseases,
382 including cancer in AAs (66, 67). We used TargetScan's default settings (68) to predict and

383 identify possible miRNA regulators of each of the top genes identified in our study in AA males
384 and females (miRNAs listed in S2 Appendix). *In vitro* confirmation of these results is necessary.

385 Allelic variation and methylation can also influence gene expression levels. Variants
386 likely to promote up-regulation of proinflammatory cytokine genes IL-1 and IL-6 and down-
387 regulation of anti-inflammatory IL-10 are more likely found in AA women (69). Ancestral
388 alleles can strongly influence expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) governing stress- and
389 pathogen-response gene expression in immune cells (70). Recent studies also suggest that
390 neighborhood disadvantage and SES at birth are correlated with differential CpG methylation
391 states (71, 72). Increased methylation states of inflammatory genes in individuals coming from
392 SES disadvantage have been linked with differential expression of *FKBP5*, *CD1D*, *F8*, *KLRG1*,
393 and *NLRP12* (73). Understanding environmental stress response by untangling the connections
394 between allelic variation, epigenetic change, and pre- and post-transcriptional regulation will
395 pinpoint the most important pathways that contribute to gene expression influenced by living in
396 poverty. This may then offer new strategies to prevent these changes from causing downstream
397 health complications in AA males and females and others who live in poverty.

398 There are a few limitations to this study. While the HANDLS study is a representative
399 sampling of residents in Baltimore City, gene expression and pathway validation in additional
400 independent diverse cohorts is warranted to identify if the pathways we have identified here are
401 representative within AAs living in other parts of the United States. Our study was not able to
402 discern which factor of living within poverty is primarily associated with DGE in our cohort, for
403 example food insecurity or nutritional factors, the influence of psychosocial stress or perceived
404 violence, or many of the other complex environmental influences resulting from living in an
405 urban impoverished area. This initial study also does not identify a specific biological

406 mechanism through which poverty transduces physiological responses. It's possible these
407 predispositions are channeled through just one or several key genes unique to each AA and white
408 males and females or that the few overlapping genes identified here are the most relevant. This
409 analysis was a cross-sectional study of gene expression in HANDLS. A longitudinal analysis
410 may provide deeper insight into the most important pathways and genes affected by living in
411 poverty.

412 We analyzed gene expression within a mixed population of peripheral blood
413 mononuclear cells and did not discern whether DGE profiles were associated with unique cell
414 types. Follow-up analyses are warranted to experimentally validate these initial findings and
415 identify if DGE profiles in specific cell types serve as better biological biomarkers for the effect
416 of poverty status on gene expression. Results from our initial analysis can be validated with such
417 an approach as well as inform targeted gene arrays or RT-qPCR validation studies.

418 In conclusion, our study provides additional evidence that gene expression patterns in
419 PBMCs are associated with poverty status, particularly in African Americans. We observed that
420 genes related to endosomal function and toll-like receptor signaling are differentially-expressed
421 in AA males living in poverty. Impoverished environments have long been associated with an
422 elevation in prevalence of health disparities. This work helps elucidate the genetic pathways
423 potentially susceptible to environmental stress in AA males and females. While these findings
424 are promising, a more nuanced examination of what is it about poverty status that influences
425 governs these gene expression differences is needed. Disentangling other factors that are often
426 associated with poverty status such as segregation, high crime, low quality housing, and poor
427 education will be useful for successful interventions. Together, this deeper understanding of the

428 social determinants of health on gene expression can provide new avenues for disease prevention
429 and intervention.

430

431 **Materials and Methods**

432 *Cohort Demographics:* Gene expression analysis was performed on peripheral blood
433 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) isolated from a subcohort of participants in the Healthy Aging in
434 Neighborhoods of Diversity Across the Life Span (HANDLS) study of Baltimore City residents
435 (28). HANDLS is a longitudinal, epidemiological study of a diverse and representative sample of
436 Baltimore City residents and was designed and implemented by the National Institute on Aging
437 Intramural Research Program (NIA IRP) (28). The subgroups for this study included age-
438 matched African American (AA) or white (W), males (M) or females (F) who were living above
439 (AB) or below (BL) 125% of the 2004 federal poverty guideline in Baltimore City at the time of
440 collection (n=6-7/group; S1 Appendix for complete demographics). All blood samples were
441 collected in the morning after an overnight fast. The isolation of the PBMCs was conducted
442 within 3 hours of blood draw, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C. The Institutional Review Boards of
443 the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and Morgan State University approved
444 this study and a written informed consent was signed by all participants.

445

446 *RNA isolation and Microarray:* Total RNA was isolated from PBMCs using Trizol (Invitrogen)
447 phenol/chloroform and subjected to DNase digest. Total RNA quality and quantity was assessed
448 with a Nanodrop 2000 and an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Global gene expression in PBMCs was
449 analyzed via microarray using the Illumina Beadchip HT-12 v4 (San Diego, CA). Total RNA
450 was prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol and raw signal data was filtered as

451 previously described (64, 65). Briefly, probe signal data were subjected to Z-score and FDR
452 normalization to identify outliers. Individual genes with pair-wise z-test P-values <0.05 , average
453 intensity >0 , $|Z\text{-ratios}| >1.5$ -fold, and $FDR \leq 0.3$ were considered significant and were based on
454 the maximum score identified from multiple probes of the same gene. The logFC and FDR
455 values were next imported into iPathway for further analysis (see below). The microarray data
456 has been submitted to the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE149256).

457
458 *Gene and Pathway Analysis:* We uploaded our microarray gene sets into Advaita iPathwayGuide
459 (Ann Arbor, MI) to identify the top up- and down-regulated genes that were poverty-related (39-
460 42). Each gene set for AA males, AA females, white males, and white females compared those
461 living below 125% of the 2004 federal poverty line at the time of sample collection with those
462 living above. Genes were considered significant if $|LogFC| >0.6$ and $FDR\ AdjPvalue <0.3$ to
463 reduce false positives and plotted on volcano plots using iPathway. Our pathway enrichment
464 analysis was complied with the gene ontology (GO) tool of iPathway Guide Program. Gene
465 ontology terms were biological process, molecular function, and cellular components associated
466 with significantly differentially-expressed genes. A P-value correction was applied with an
467 elimination pruning (43) to remove potential false positives for the GO analysis. False positives
468 for the biological and disease pathways tools in iPathwayGuide were removed with a p-value
469 correction using FDR.

470
471 *RT-qPCR:* Total RNA from AA males living above or below the poverty line was reverse
472 transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random
473 hexamers. Levels of each target transcript were quantified by RT-qPCR using 2x SYBR green

474 PCR master mix (ThermoFisher) and gene-specific primers (see S1 Appendix for forward and
475 reverse sequences). All reactions were run in duplicate using an Applied Biosystems
476 QuantStudio 6 Flex System Real-Time using the 384-well block (Thermofisher). Transcript
477 expression levels were normalized to the combined average of *GAPDH* and *ACTB* and compared
478 between AA men living below or above the poverty line using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (74).

479
480 *Statistical Analysis:* A one-way ANOVA was used when comparing multiple group means for
481 each physiological metric in our cohort and a Fisher's Exact Test was used to determine the the
482 association between poverty status and disease history or smoking status. mRNA expression
483 levels in the validation cohort were examined for Gaussian distribution by measuring skewness,
484 kurtosis, and using a visual inspection of histograms. Outliers from each group for each validated
485 were removed using Grubb's Test with an $\alpha = 0.05$. Violin plots were generated using GraphPad
486 Prism 8 software. A Student's t-test was used when comparing two groups, unless indicated
487 otherwise. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant unless otherwise specified.

488

489 **Acknowledgments**

490 The authors thank Althaf Lohani and David Freeman for their technical assistance and Dr. Kevin
491 Becker for his input. We thank Dr. Ngozi Ejiogu and the HANDLS staff for the management and
492 careful evaluation of study participants. We thank Dr. Cordelia Ziraldo for her assistance and
493 training using iPathwayGuide software.

494

495 **References**

- 496 1. Semega J, Kollar, Melissa, Creamer, John, and Mohanty, Abinash Income and Poverty in
497 the United States: 2018. US Census Bureau, Current Population Reports 2019(Report Number:
498 P60-266).
- 499 2. Hajat A, Kaufman JS, Rose KM, Siddiqi A, Thomas JC. Long-term effects of wealth on
500 mortality and self-rated health status. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2011;173(2):192-200.
- 501 3. Pool LR, Burgard SA, Needham BL, Elliott MR, Langa KM, Mendes de Leon CF.
502 Association of a Negative Wealth Shock With All-Cause Mortality in Middle-aged and Older
503 Adults in the United States. *JAMA.* 2018;319(13):1341-50.
- 504 4. Chetty R, Stepner M, Abraham S, Lin S, Scuderi B, Turner N, et al. The Association
505 Between Income and Life Expectancy in the United States, 2001-2014. *JAMA.*
506 2016;315(16):1750-66.
- 507 5. Makaroun LK, Brown RT, Diaz-Ramirez LG, Ahalt C, Boscardin WJ, Lang-Brown S, et
508 al. Wealth-Associated Disparities in Death and Disability in the United States and England.
509 *JAMA Intern Med.* 2017;177(12):1745-53.
- 510 6. Stringhini S, Carmeli C, Jokela M, Avendano M, Muennig P, Guida F, et al.
511 Socioeconomic status and the 25 x 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a
512 multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women. *Lancet.*
513 2017;389(10075):1229-37.
- 514 7. Thorpe RJ, Jr., Koster A, Bosma H, Harris TB, Simonsick EM, van Eijk JT, et al. Racial
515 differences in mortality in older adults: factors beyond socioeconomic status. *Ann Behav Med.*
516 2012;43(1):29-38.
- 517 8. Barnett DW, Barnett A, Nathan A, Van Cauwenberg J, Cerin E, Council on E, et al. Built
518 environmental correlates of older adults' total physical activity and walking: a systematic review
519 and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2017;14(1):103.
- 520 9. Han B, Cohen DA, Derosé KP, Li J, Williamson S. Violent Crime and Park Use in Low-
521 Income Urban Neighborhoods. *American journal of preventive medicine.* 2018;54(3):352-8.
- 522 10. Barber S, Hickson DA, Wang X, Sims M, Nelson C, Diez-Roux AV. Neighborhood
523 Disadvantage, Poor Social Conditions, and Cardiovascular Disease Incidence Among African
524 American Adults in the Jackson Heart Study. *Am J Public Health.* 2016;106(12):2219-26.
- 525 11. Kershaw KN, Osypuk TL, Do DP, De Chavez PJ, Diez Roux AV. Neighborhood-level
526 racial/ethnic residential segregation and incident cardiovascular disease: the multi-ethnic study of
527 atherosclerosis. *Circulation.* 2015;131(2):141-8.
- 528 12. Sprung MR, Faulkner LMD, Evans MK, Zonderman AB, Waldstein SR. Neighborhood
529 crime is differentially associated with cardiovascular risk factors as a function of race and sex. *J*
530 *Public Health Res.* 2019;8(3):1643.
- 531 13. Castillo DC, Ramsey NL, Yu SS, Ricks M, Courville AB, Sumner AE. Inconsistent
532 Access to Food and Cardiometabolic Disease: The Effect of Food Insecurity. *Curr Cardiovasc*
533 *Risk Rep.* 2012;6(3):245-50.
- 534 14. Seligman HK, Laraia BA, Kushel MB. Food insecurity is associated with chronic disease
535 among low-income NHANES participants. *J Nutr.* 2010;140(2):304-10.
- 536 15. Kucharska-Newton AM, Harald K, Rosamond WD, Rose KM, Rea TD, Salomaa V.
537 Socioeconomic indicators and the risk of acute coronary heart disease events: comparison of
538 population-based data from the United States and Finland. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2011;21(8):572-9.
- 539 16. Schultz WM, Kelli HM, Lisko JC, Varghese T, Shen J, Sandesara P, et al.
540 Socioeconomic Status and Cardiovascular Outcomes: Challenges and Interventions. *Circulation.*
541 2018;137(20):2166-78.

- 542 17. Jimenez MP, Wellenius GA, Subramanian SV, Buka S, Eaton C, Gilman SE, et al.
543 Longitudinal associations of neighborhood socioeconomic status with cardiovascular risk
544 factors: A 46-year follow-up study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019;241:112574.
- 545 18. Davis SK, Gebreab S, Quarells R, Gibbons GH. Social determinants of cardiovascular
546 health among black and white women residing in Stroke Belt and Buckle regions of the South.
547 *Ethn Dis*. 2014;24(2):133-43.
- 548 19. Glover LM, Cain-Shields LR, Wyatt SB, Gebreab SY, Diez-Roux AV, Sims M. Life
549 Course Socioeconomic Status and Hypertension in African American Adults: The Jackson Heart
550 Study. *Am J Hypertens*. 2020;33(1):84-91.
- 551 20. Shaked D, Leibel DK, Katzel LI, Davatzikos C, Gullapalli RP, Seliger SL, et al.
552 Disparities in Diffuse Cortical White Matter Integrity Between Socioeconomic Groups. *Front*
553 *Hum Neurosci*. 2019;13:198.
- 554 21. Shaked D, Millman ZB, Moody DLB, Rosenberger WF, Shao H, Katzel LI, et al.
555 Sociodemographic disparities in corticolimbic structures. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0216338.
- 556 22. Saydah S, Lochner K. Socioeconomic status and risk of diabetes-related mortality in the
557 U.S. *Public Health Rep*. 2010;125(3):377-88.
- 558 23. Saydah SH, Imperatore G, Beckles GL. Socioeconomic status and mortality: contribution
559 of health care access and psychological distress among U.S. adults with diagnosed diabetes.
560 *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(1):49-55.
- 561 24. Zonderman AB, Mode NA, Ejiogu N, Evans MK. Race and Poverty Status as a Risk for
562 Overall Mortality in Community-Dwelling Middle-Aged Adults. *JAMA internal medicine*.
563 2016;176(9):1394-5.
- 564 25. Mode NA, Evans MK, Zonderman AB. Race, Neighborhood Economic Status, Income
565 Inequality and Mortality. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(5):e0154535.
- 566 26. Beydoun MA, Beydoun HA, Mode N, Dore GA, Canas JA, Eid SM, et al. Racial
567 disparities in adult all-cause and cause-specific mortality among us adults: mediating and
568 moderating factors. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1113.
- 569 27. Diez Roux AV. Neighborhoods and health: where are we and where do we go from here?
570 *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique*. 2007;55(1):13-21.
- 571 28. Evans MK, Lepkowski JM, Powe NR, LaVeist T, Kuczmarski MF, Zonderman AB.
572 Healthy aging in neighborhoods of diversity across the life span (HANDLS): overcoming
573 barriers to implementing a longitudinal, epidemiologic, urban study of health, race, and
574 socioeconomic status. *Ethn Dis*. 2010;20(3):267-75.
- 575 29. Lockwood KG, John-Henderson NA, Marsland AL. Early life socioeconomic status
576 associates with interleukin-6 responses to acute laboratory stress in adulthood. *Physiol Behav*.
577 2018;188:212-20.
- 578 30. Miller GE, Chen E, Fok AK, Walker H, Lim A, Nicholls EF, et al. Low early-life social
579 class leaves a biological residue manifested by decreased glucocorticoid and increased
580 proinflammatory signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(34):14716-21.
- 581 31. Brydon L, Edwards S, Mohamed-Ali V, Steptoe A. Socioeconomic status and stress-
582 induced increases in interleukin-6. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2004;18(3):281-90.
- 583 32. Thames AD, Irwin MR, Breen EC, Cole SW. Experienced discrimination and racial
584 differences in leukocyte gene expression. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2019;106:277-83.
- 585 33. O'Donovan A, Sun B, Cole S, Rempel H, Lenoci M, Pulliam L, et al. Transcriptional
586 control of monocyte gene expression in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Dis Markers*. 2011;30(2-
587 3):123-32.

- 588 34. Gaye A, Gibbons GH, Barry C, Quarells R, Davis SK. Influence of socioeconomic status
589 on the whole blood transcriptome in African Americans. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0187290.
- 590 35. Cole SW. The Conserved Transcriptional Response to Adversity. *Curr Opin Behav Sci*.
591 2019;28:31-7.
- 592 36. Cole SW, Shanahan MJ, Gaydos L, Harris KM. Population-based RNA profiling in Add
593 Health finds social disparities in inflammatory and antiviral gene regulation to emerge by young
594 adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(9):4601-8.
- 595 37. Maryland Poverty Profiles: 2020 [Internet]. 2020 [cited April 3, 2020]. Available from:
596 [http://mapadvocacy.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Maryland-Poverty-Profiles_2020-](http://mapadvocacy.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Maryland-Poverty-Profiles_2020-FINAL.pdf)
597 [FINAL.pdf](http://mapadvocacy.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Maryland-Poverty-Profiles_2020-FINAL.pdf).
- 598 38. Dwyer-Lindgren L, Bertozzi-Villa A, Stubbs RW, Morozoff C, Mackenbach JP, van
599 Lenthe FJ, et al. Inequalities in Life Expectancy Among US Counties, 1980 to 2014: Temporal
600 Trends and Key Drivers. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(7):1003-11.
- 601 39. Ahsan S, Draghici S. Identifying Significantly Impacted Pathways and Putative
602 Mechanisms with iPathwayGuide. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics*. 2017;57:7 15 1-7 30.
- 603 40. Donato M, Xu Z, Tomoiaga A, Granneman JG, Mackenzie RG, Bao R, et al. Analysis
604 and correction of crosstalk effects in pathway analysis. *Genome Res*. 2013;23(11):1885-93.
- 605 41. Draghici S, Khatri P, Tarca AL, Amin K, Done A, Voichita C, et al. A systems biology
606 approach for pathway level analysis. *Genome Res*. 2007;17(10):1537-45.
- 607 42. Tarca AL, Draghici S, Khatri P, Hassan SS, Mittal P, Kim JS, et al. A novel signaling
608 pathway impact analysis. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25(1):75-82.
- 609 43. Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J, Lengauer T. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene
610 expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics*. 2006;22(13):1600-7.
- 611 44. Klatte M, Bauer P. Accurate Real-time Reverse Transcription Quantitative PCR.
612 *Methods Mol Biol*. 2009;479:61-77.
- 613 45. Gallardo-Vara E, Blanco FJ, Roque M, Friedman SL, Suzuki T, Botella LM, et al.
614 Transcription factor KLF6 upregulates expression of metalloprotease MMP14 and subsequent
615 release of soluble endoglin during vascular injury. *Angiogenesis*. 2016;19(2):155-71.
- 616 46. Kim GD, Das R, Goduni L, McClellan S, Hazlett LD, Mahabeleshwar GH. Kruppel-like
617 Factor 6 Promotes Macrophage-mediated Inflammation by Suppressing B Cell
618 Leukemia/Lymphoma 6 Expression. *J Biol Chem*. 2016;291(40):21271-82.
- 619 47. Sweet DR, Fan L, Hsieh PN, Jain MK. Kruppel-Like Factors in Vascular Inflammation:
620 Mechanistic Insights and Therapeutic Potential. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2018;5:6.
- 621 48. Kim GD, Ng HP, Chan ER, Mahabeleshwar GH. Kruppel-like factor 6 promotes
622 macrophage inflammatory and hypoxia response. *FASEB J*. 2020;34(2):3209-23.
- 623 49. Kim GD, Ng HP, Patel N, Mahabeleshwar GH. Kruppel-like factor 6 and miR-223
624 signaling axis regulates macrophage-mediated inflammation. *FASEB J*. 2019;33(10):10902-15.
- 625 50. Sonnenschein K, Fiedler J, Pfanne A, Just A, Mitzka S, Geffers R, et al. Therapeutic
626 modulation of RNA-binding protein Rbm38 facilitates re-endothelialization after arterial injury.
627 *Cardiovasc Res*. 2019;115(12):1804-10.
- 628 51. Zhang J, Xu E, Ren C, Yan W, Zhang M, Chen M, et al. Mice deficient in Rbm38, a
629 target of the p53 family, are susceptible to accelerated aging and spontaneous tumors. *Proc Natl*
630 *Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111(52):18637-42.
- 631 52. Ward Y, Gupta S, Jensen P, Wartmann M, Davis RJ, Kelly K. Control of MAP kinase
632 activation by the mitogen-induced threonine/tyrosine phosphatase PAC1. *Nature*.
633 1994;367(6464):651-4.

- 634 53. Lu D, Liu L, Ji X, Gao Y, Chen X, Liu Y, et al. The phosphatase DUSP2 controls the
635 activity of the transcription activator STAT3 and regulates TH17 differentiation. *Nat Immunol.*
636 2015;16(12):1263-73.
- 637 54. Fitzgerald KA, Kagan JC. Toll-like Receptors and the Control of Immunity. *Cell.*
638 2020;180(6):1044-66.
- 639 55. Ryu Y, Kee HJ, Sun S, Seok YM, Choi SY, Kim GR, et al. Class I histone deacetylase
640 inhibitor MS-275 attenuates vasoconstriction and inflammation in angiotensin II-induced
641 hypertension. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(3):e0213186.
- 642 56. Yang D, Xiao C, Long F, Su Z, Jia W, Qin M, et al. HDAC4 regulates vascular
643 inflammation via activation of autophagy. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2018;114(7):1016-28.
- 644 57. Gleeson PA. The role of endosomes in innate and adaptive immunity. *Semin Cell Dev*
645 *Biol.* 2014;31:64-72.
- 646 58. Miyake K, Shibata T, Ohto U, Shimizu T, Saitoh SI, Fukui R, et al. Mechanisms
647 controlling nucleic acid-sensing Toll-like receptors. *Int Immunol.* 2018;30(2):43-51.
- 648 59. Mouchess ML, Arpaia N, Souza G, Barbalat R, Ewald SE, Lau L, et al. Transmembrane
649 mutations in Toll-like receptor 9 bypass the requirement for ectodomain proteolysis and induce
650 fatal inflammation. *Immunity.* 2011;35(5):721-32.
- 651 60. Stanbery AG, Newman ZR, Barton GM. Dysregulation of TLR9 in neonates leads to fatal
652 inflammatory disease driven by IFN-gamma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020;117(6):3074-82.
- 653 61. Snyder-Mackler N, Sanz J, Kohn JN, Brinkworth JF, Morrow S, Shaver AO, et al. Social
654 status alters immune regulation and response to infection in macaques. *Science.*
655 2016;354(6315):1041-5.
- 656 62. Noren Hooten N, Evans MK. Age and poverty status alter the coding and noncoding
657 transcriptome. *Aging (Albany NY).* 2019;11(4):1189-203.
- 658 63. Cole SW, Levine ME, Arevalo JM, Ma J, Weir DR, Crimmins EM. Loneliness,
659 eudaimonia, and the human conserved transcriptional response to adversity.
660 *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2015;62:11-7.
- 661 64. Dluzen DF, Kim Y, Bastian P, Zhang Y, Lehrmann E, Becker KG, et al. MicroRNAs
662 Modulate Oxidative Stress in Hypertension through PARP-1 Regulation. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.*
663 2017;2017:3984280.
- 664 65. Dluzen DF, Noren Hooten N, Zhang Y, Kim Y, Glover FE, Tajuddin SM, et al. Racial
665 differences in microRNA and gene expression in hypertensive women. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:35815.
- 666 66. Li E, Ji P, Ouyang N, Zhang Y, Wang XY, Rubin DC, et al. Differential expression of
667 miRNAs in colon cancer between African and Caucasian Americans: implications for cancer
668 racial health disparities. *Int J Oncol.* 2014;45(2):587-94.
- 669 67. Wang BD, Ceniccola K, Yang Q, Andrawis R, Patel V, Ji Y, et al. Identification and
670 Functional Validation of Reciprocal microRNA-mRNA Pairings in African American Prostate
671 Cancer Disparities. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2015;21(21):4970-84.
- 672 68. Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam JW, Bartel DP. Predicting effective microRNA target sites in
673 mammalian mRNAs. *Elife.* 2015;4.
- 674 69. Ness RB, Haggerty CL, Harger G, Ferrell R. Differential distribution of allelic variants in
675 cytokine genes among African Americans and White Americans. *Am J Epidemiol.*
676 2004;160(11):1033-8.
- 677 70. Nedelec Y, Sanz J, Baharian G, Szpiech ZA, Pacis A, Dumaine A, et al. Genetic
678 Ancestry and Natural Selection Drive Population Differences in Immune Responses to
679 Pathogens. *Cell.* 2016;167(3):657-69 e21.

- 680 71. Fiorito G, McCrory C, Robinson O, Carmeli C, Rosales CO, Zhang Y, et al.
681 Socioeconomic position, lifestyle habits and biomarkers of epigenetic aging: a multi-cohort
682 analysis. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2019;11(7):2045-70.
- 683 72. Giurgescu C, Nowak AL, Gillespie S, Nolan TS, Anderson CM, Ford JL, et al.
684 Neighborhood Environment and DNA Methylation: Implications for Cardiovascular Disease
685 Risk. *J Urban Health*. 2019;96(Suppl 1):23-34.
- 686 73. Smith JA, Zhao W, Wang X, Ratliff SM, Mukherjee B, Kardia SLR, et al. Neighborhood
687 characteristics influence DNA methylation of genes involved in stress response and
688 inflammation: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Epigenetics*. 2017;12(8):662-73.
- 689 74. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
690 quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C(T)}$ Method. *Methods*. 2001;25(4):402-8.
691

Figure 1








Figure 2

A. Poverty Status




WF BL vs WF AB

WM BL vs WM AB

AAM BL vs AAM AB

AAF BL vs AAF AB

B. Sex




WM AB vs WF AB

WM BL vs WF BL

AAM AB vs AAF AB

AAM BL vs AAF BL

C. Race



WM BL vs AAM BL

WM AB vs AAM AB

WF BL vs AAF BL

WF AB vs AAF AB

Figure 3

A. Gene Ontology



Biological Processes




Molecular Functions



Cellular Components

B. Pathways



C. Diseases






Figure 4

A.

<u>GO Pathway</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>AdjPvalue (AAF)</u>	<u>AdjPvalue (AAM)</u>
GO:0007032	Endosome Organization	0.049	0.043



Top 10 Biology Processes in AAFBL vs. AAFAB

<u>GO Pathway</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>AdjPvalue</u>
GO:0035338	long-chain fatty-acyl-CoA biosynthetic process	8.90E-04
GO: 0043044	ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling	0.005
GO: 0030183	B cell differentiation	0.008
GO: 0046148	pigment biosynthetic process	0.009
GO: 0046653	tetrahydrofolate metabolic process	0.009
GO: 0071514	genetic imprinting	0.009
GO: 0034975	protein folding in endoplasmic reticulum	0.009
GO: 0006144	purine nucleobase metabolic process	0.009
GO: 0060179	male mating behavior	0.009
GO: 0035722	interleukin-12-mediated signaling pathway	0.010

Top 10 Biology Processes in AAMBL vs. AAMAB

<u>GO Pathway</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>AdjPvalue</u>
GO:0043312	neutrophil degranulation	0.001
GO: 0034162	toll-like receptor 9 signaling pathway	0.003
GO: 0019882	antigen processing and presentation	0.005
GO: 1904469	positive regulation of tumor necrosis factor secretion	0.008
GO: 0002755	MyD88-dependent toll-like receptor signaling pathway	0.012
GO: 0034123	positive regulation of toll-like receptor signaling pathway	0.012
GO: 0046466	membrane lipid catabolic process	0.013
GO: 0071447	cellular response to hydroperoxide	0.013
GO: 0010862	positive regulation of pathway-restricted SMAD protein phosphorylation	0.013
GO: 0071222	cellular response to lipopolysaccharide	0.014

B.




Figure 5

