Country differences in hospitalisation, length of stay and admission to Intensive Care Units

due to SARS-CoV-2 infection: a rapid review of available literature

Elizabeth A Lane[1, 2], Damien J Barrett[2, 5], Miriam Casey[1] , Conor G. McAloon[3], Áine B. Collins[1,

2], Kevin Hunt[4] , Andrew W. Byrne[5], David Mc Evoy [6], Ann Barber [1], John Griffin[1], Patrick Wall[6]

and Simon J. More[1]

[1] Centre for Veterinary Epidemiology and Risk Analysis, UCD School of Veterinary

Medicine, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin D04 W6F6, Ireland;

[2] Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine, Administration Building, Backweston

Campus, Celbridge, Co. Kildare, Ireland;

[3] Section of Herd Health and Animal Husbandry, UCD School of Veterinary Medicine,

University College Dublin, Dublin D04 W6F6, Ireland;

[4] School of Biosystems and Food Engineering, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin

4, Ireland;

[5] One Health Scientific Support Unit, Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine,

Kildare Street, Dublin 2;

[6] School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin,

Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

Running title: Country differences in hospitalisation and length of stay following SARS-CoV-2

infection

Corresponding author: Elizabeth A Lane

Tel: Int-353-01-5058706; Mobile: Int-353-87-2433849

Email: Elizabeth.lane@ucd.ie

Abstract

Objectives: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus is spreading rapidly worldwise and threatening the collapse of national health care systems. The development of effective resource models are critical for long term health planning. The aim was to evaluate the available literature, to consider parameters affecting hospital resources, to effectively guide health policy and planning. **Design**: A detailed search of the literature, using Google Scholar, PubMED, MedRxiv and BioRxiv, was conducted for the time period 01-DEC-2019 to 04-MAY-2020; using appropriate keywords: resultant articles were scrutinised in detail, and appraised for reported data pertaining to hospitalization and hospital length of stay (LOS). **Results**: Disease presentation was described in China; 81 % mild, 14 % moderate and 5 % severe. The experience, thus far, in Europe and the USA are suggestive of a higher degree of severity. Initial reports suggest high hospitalisation and ICU admittance rates. More recent reports from the ECDC lower this estimation. Perhaps the relative age, the level of pre-existing conditions, and other health factors may be contributors to differences. Data from Irish cases suggest hospitalisation rate may be lower in parts of Europe and time dependent. Hospital LOS is described in seventeen articles, with median lengths of stay between 4 and 25 days. The evidence regarding the LOS in ICU is reported in eighteen studies, fifteen deemed relevant. The majority of studies report ICU LOS between 7 to 10 days. Many of these studies are likely skewed towards shorter stay due to study cut-off dates. Indications based on ICU LOS reported for patients continuing care suggest median ICU stay will be longer. **Conclusions**: These parameter estimates are key to the development of an effective health care resource model. Based on our rapid appraisal of the literature, is it essential that Europe manages mitigation measures to ensure that hospital and ICU capacity does not become overwhelmed to manage this pandemic long term.

Keywords: Hospitalisation, Length of Stay, Hospital stay, ICU, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus is spreading rapidly across the world, threatening the collapse of many national health care systems and exerting a grave impact on the global economy. As of 9th May 2020, data compiled from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) reported 3,898,658 confirmed infections of COVID-19 cases worldwide with 274,290 deaths recorded. The SARS-CoV-2 virus, first detected in December 2019, in Wuhan China, has spread to 212 countries and territories. By 9th May 2020, European countries, including the European Union (EU)-27, the UK, Norway, Switzerland and Iceland have reported 1,259,681 cases and 147,085 deaths following infection with SARS-CoV-2 infection (ECDC, 2020).

Clinical presentations of COVID-19 range from asymptomatic to life threatening and fatal (World Health Organisation (WHO), 2020); Wu and McGoogan (2020) outlined the spectrum of disease of COVID-19 in China; 81 % were mild; 14 % severe and 5 % critical. The experience in European countries has differed, and varied among countries. The eight update Report from the ECDC (2020a) indicates that up to 30 % of diagnosed COVID-19 cases were hospitalised in some countries. Individual studies from Italy (Cereda et al., 2020; Grasselli et al., 2020) indicated a higher proprotion were accessing ICU care, with 16 and 18 % of all COVID-19 patients being cared for in an ICU setting. However, the European wide analysis report (ECDC, 2020a) suggests severe illness requiring respiratory support or ICU care has accounted for 2.4 % cases, the most recent report; the ninth update (ECDC, 2020b) lowers this esimtate (median 2 %).

Worldwide, age and co-morbidities have been demonstrated as major risk factors for the development of severe disease (Chen et al., 2020; ECDC, 2020a; 2020b; COVID-19 Surveillance Group , 2020; Gang et al., 2020; Paranjpe et al., 2020; Qian et al., 2020;

Richardson et al., 2020; Russell et al., 2020; Wu and McGoogan, 2020). Children are

implicated as possible asymptomatic carriers of SARS-CoV-2 virus and a number of articles

report both symptomatic and asymptomatic infection in children (Chan et al., 2020; Hu et

al., 2020; Korean Society of Infectious Diseases et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2020;

Tao et al., 2020; Wu and McGoogan 2020). Many, but not all (Korean Society of Infectious

Diseases 2020), studies indicate a higher proportion of cases are male (Chen et al., 2020;

ECDC, 2020a; 2020b; Fan et al., 2020; Linton et al., 2020; COVID-19 Surveillance Group,

2020; ICNARC, 2020a; 2020b); and recent risk assessments conducted by the ECDC (ECDC,

2020a; 2020b) indicates that males are at higher risk of death compared with their female

contempories. Close contact with a case is a risk factor (WHO, 2020), and the basis of public

health advice includes social distancing and staying at home apart from essential activity

(ECDC, 2020a). Bi et al. (2020) reports that household contacts (Odds Ratio 6) and those

travelling with a case were at higher risk of infection (OR 7) than other close contacts. Other

factors, including smoking (Vardavas and Nikitara, 2020) have been highlighted as risk

factors for severe infection. There are indications too that infection rate may be altered by

blood-group (Fan et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020).

The prevention of infection among health care workers is of critical importance to the

management of this pandemic. Of serious concern to policymakers is the clear evidence

indicating that both healthcare workers and hospitalised persons are at particularly high risk

of exposure (WHO 2020; Istituto Superiore di Sanità 2020). Indeed some of the work

conducted early in the epidemic reported hospital-associated transmission of virus was

suspected in 41% of patients (Wang et al., 2020; n= 138). Of concern too, many healthcare

authorities are bringing retired medics and nurses back into service. The placement of these

people considered vulnerable due to their age must be considered. At a national level, the

latest work by Stier et al. (2020) emphasizes population density, with attack rates increasing

with city size.

Preparedness is key and resource matching to clinical requirement essential. Previous work

(Rhodes et al., 2012) suggests a large variation in availability of critical care bed in the EU /

EEA area, ranging from 29.2 in Germany to 4.2 critical care beds per 100,000 population in

Portugal. Perhaps this is key to understanding the difference in coping between different EU

countries. Clearly too, differences will exist between cultures, few western governments will

seek to use hospitalisation as a tool for quarantine. As the infection is spreading across the

world; this rapid review paper considers parameters affecting hospital resources resulting

from infection with SARS-CoV-2 beyond the evidence published from China. The objective is

to evaluate the current scientific literature from across the developed world with the overall

aim to influence health policy and support evidence-based decision-making. The proportion

of patients hospitalized due to infection with SARS-CoV-2 infection is discussed. The

proportion of patients who required critical or ICU care is outlined. Time spent hospitalised;

both in a general and an ICU setting is considered. For those who have been admitted to an

ICU, the length of time pre ICU, the length of time in ICU and the length of time post ICU to

discharge or death was collated from available literature. These estimates are central to

national resource modelling, which are being developed to aid policymakers to ensure

optimal resourcing during this pandemic.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Initial study appraisal

This paper follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-

Analyses—Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist (Tricco et al., 2018). A

comprehensive search of the scientific literature and national and international government

reports was conducted by contributing researchers for the time period 1st December 2019 to

4th May 2020. The search engines Google Scholar, PubMED, MedRxiv and BioRxiv were used,

using the following keywords: ("Novel coronavirus" OR "SARS-CoV-2" OR "2019-nCoV" OR

"COVID-19") AND ("length of stay" OR "duration of stay" OR "hospital stay" OR "ICU"). No

restriction on language was imposed as long as the abstract was available in English.

References within these publications were also searched as additional possibilities for

inclusion. Inclusion criteria for 'the proportion of cases hospitalised' were papers that

reported the proportion of hospitalised and proportion of those hospitalised accessing

higher level care including critical care and intensive care unit (ICU) care. Inclusion criteria

for 'length of stay' (LOS) included articles that reported length of hospital stay, length of stay

in ICU, length of stay prior to admission to ICU, and articles that referenced length of stay

post discharge from ICU to a general hospital setting. Each article was assessed briefly for

suitability for inclusion in this study. Papers that did not report length or duration of time in

hospital or in ICU were discarded. The latest governmental reports from the Health

Protection Surveillance Centre (HPSC), Ireland, and from the Intensive Care National Audit

and Research Centre (ICNARC, 2020a), UK were consulted after the 4th May cut-off.

2.2 Study appraisal

All articles and reports that described the proportion of COVID-19 positive cases

hospitalised, the proportion of cases that were admitted to higher level care including

critical or ICU care, the length of hospital stay or the duration of hospital stay or length of

time in critical care or ICU were scrutinised in detail, and appraised for reported data and

quality of the scientific evidence. Parameter estimates for the length of stay in non-ICU or in

an ICU setting from the relevant articles were recorded and evaluated.

For quality control, studies were (1) selected from search terms outlined above and initially

screened by three members of the team (*KH, SM and LL*), with parameters identified and

recorded; (*2*) reviewed and supplemented by manual search by *LL*, again with parameters

identified and recorded. (*iii*) Finally, the review was then internally reviewed by an additional

three members of the team (*SM, DB, MC*), and cross-referenced with other parameter

synthesis documents being developed by the group (*all authors*). Details of the resultant list

(n = 179), which included published and pre-printed articles, were entered in an Excel file.

Eighteen studies relating to hospital LOS and fifteen studies or reports relating to LOS in ICU

were selected for detailed scrutiny as they each reported summary estimates with a

corresponding variance. The Italian report (COVID-19 Surveillance Group, 2020) was the only

report without an estimate of variance that was considered for detailed scrutiny, as the

study population was significant (n = 25,452).

2.3 Source data and management

Data tabulating the daily number of notified cases and deaths for all countries and

Territories worldwide was downloaded in Excel format from the European Centre for

Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and may be accessed at:

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-

distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide. Data was imported into STATA version 14 (STATA

Corporation, USA). Cumulative cases and deaths were generated for each calendar day for

countries of interest, and expressed as a percentage of cumulative deaths to cumulative

cases. The crude mortality rate was expressed as a percentage over time, from day of

outbreak, defined as the day on which the tenth case was reported, in selected European

Countries.

Data regarding the epidemiological situation in Ireland was extracted from the Health

Protection Surveillance Centre daily epidemiological reports. These may be accessed a:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/epidemiologyofcovid-

19inireland/. Data were entered into Excel, then imported into STATA 14 to generate the

trends in cumulative cases, percentage of known cases hospitalised and the percentage of

confirmed cases admittance into ICU over time.

3. RESULTS

3.1 HOSPITALISATION RATE

A summary of available scientific literature from the outbreaks worldwide relating to the

proportion of COVID-19 cases requiring hospitalisation and the need for stepped up medical

care, including critical care and ICU, by country, is presented in Table 1. Data from 33 studies

or reports are presented.

<< Insert Table 1 here >>

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC updates)

The European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) collates the laboratory-

confirmed cases reported to the European Surveillance System (TESSy) and thus far has

published nine update reports as the situation unfolds across Europe (ECDC, 2020a; 2020b).

Preliminary estimates of severity were collated based on the analysis of data from EU and

EEA countries and from the UK. As expected some data were incomplete or missing in TESSy.

The eight update (ECDC, 2020a) indicates that 48,755 of 152,375 (32 %) were hospitalised

based on data from 26 European countries; with a country median 28 % (IQR 14 to 63 %).

Severe presentations that required ICU and or respiratory support accounted for 2,859 of

120,788 (2.4 %) cases from 16 countries; median 1.4 % (IQR 0 to 33 %). Among hospitalized

cases only, severe illness was reported in 3,567 of 38,960 (9.2 %) cases from 19 countries,

median 15 % (IQR 3.8 to 35 %). Death occurred in 1,005 of 9,368 (11 %) of hospitalized cases

from 21 countries (3.9 %, IQR 0 to 13 %). Age-specific risk increased among those 60 years

and older, thus consideration to age profile within a country is important. The latest report

on 23rd April reported increased rate of hospitalisation with a pooled estimate of 42 %

(160,485 of 381,410 cases); based on data from 19 countries, however the country median

was 28 % (IQR 16 to 39 %). Severe presentations that required ICU and or respiratory

support accounted for 5,456 of 220,412 (2 %) cases with data from 14 countries; median 2 %

(IQR 0 to 4 %). Again, hospitalisation increased with age, and for males from 30 years. The

changes over time in the crude mortality rate for all notified cases since day of outbreak,

defined as the day on which the tenth case was notified, for selected European countries is

presented in Figure 1.

<< Insert Figure 1 here >>

USA Studies

Admission rates to ICU in the USA varied between 4.9 to 11.5 % (CDC COVID-19 Response

Team, 2020) report. Myers et al. (2020) indicated 8.7 % ICU admission in a study conducted

in California in March. While Lewnard et al., (2020) observed a higher ICU admission rate

(25.6 %) also in California. A number of studies conducted in New York outlined ICU

admission rates of 14.2 % (Richards et al., 2020); 22 % (Cummings et al., 2020); 23.6 %

(Argenizano et al., 2020); and 36% (Paranjpe et al., 2020). Of significance, are the mortality

rates in hospitalised groups (and specifically not ICU only groups) reported in a number of US

studies: 15.4 % Lewnard et al., (2020); 15.6 % Myers et al., 2020; 21 %; Richards et al., 2020;

21 %; Argenziano et al., 2020; and 29 % Paranjpe et al 2020.

In Ireland, the proportion of COVID-19 test positives cases (confirmed cases) hospitalised is

reducing with time (Figure 2). Daily epidemiology reports compiled by the Health Protection

Surveillance Centre (HPSC, 2020a) with data to March 30th reports a hospitalisation rate of

27.9 % (834 of 2,990 cases hospitalised) and admission to ICU in 4.2 % of cases (126 of

2,990). The hospitalisation rate reduced over time to 17.5 % (1,968 of 11,261) by April 13th

(HPSC, 2020b); 13.5 % (2,669 of 9,723) by 29nd April (HPSC, 2020c); and 13.1 (2,878 of 21,908

cases by 4th May (HPSC, 2020d). The rate of admission to ICU reduced, concomitantly, from

4.2 % of cases (126 of 2,990; HPSC 2020a) to 1.7 % (373 of 21,908; HPSC 2020d) of cases by

May 4th. The reports collated by the HPSC (HPSC Epi Team, 2020) in Ireland indicate that

proportion of hospitalisations and transfer to ICU increased occurred with age.

<< Insert Figure 2 here >>

3.2 LENGTH OF STAY (LOS)

3.2.1 Length of stay in hospital (Non-ICU)

A summary of the literature pertaining to length of hospital stay in non-ICU settings due to

COVID-19, by country, is reported in Table 2. The overall length of hospital stay is reported in

eighteen studies or reports, with overall median lengths of stay between four days and

twenty-five days. Figure 3 illustrates the median (and IQR) ranges of hospital length of stay

for relevant articles.

<< Insert Table 2 here >>

<< Insert Figure 3 here >>

3.2.2 Length of stay in ICU

The literature pertaining to length of ICU stay due to COVID-19 is reported in Table 3,

including eighteen studies and reports. Figure 4 illustrates the median (and IQR) ranges of

length of stay in ICU for relevant articles. In this figure, fifteen studies are presented; Three

case studies were excluded due to small numbers of relevant cases (Chen et al., 2020;

Kujawski et al., 2020; Young et al., 2020). Median lengths of stay in ICU between 7 to 10 days

were observed in studies based in China (Cao et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020; Yang et al.,

20202; Zhou et al., 2020). European studies conducted by Graselli et al. (2020) in Italy, Ceruti

et al (2020) in Switzerland, and the ICNARC report (2020a) report similar LOS between 7 to

10 days. Earlier reports from the ICNARC (2020b) indicated shorter LOS for ICU patients,

indicating the bias towards shorter stay as fewer patients had progress through their

complete stay. However median LOS outlined by studies based in North America were more

variable and reported lengths of stay between 3.5 to 23 days for various groups (Figure 3).

<< Insert Figure 4 here >>

<< Insert Table 3 here >>

3.2.3 Length of hospital stay prior to transfer to ICU

Length of hospital stay prior to transfer to ICU due to COVID-19, by country, is presented in

Table 4. Four studies made reference to time in hospital prior to ICU transfer, including two

small case series (Young et al., 2020; Kujawski et al., 2020). A long median hospital stay prior

to transfer to ICU was described by Fan et al. (2020; median 8 days, IQR 4 to 11 days). The

UK ICNARC report (ICNARC 2020a) details a median length of stay of one day (IQR 0 to 3

days); indicating the short duration before stepped up care need is identified in COVID-19

patients.

<< Insert Table 4 here >>

3.2.4 Length of hospital post ICU

No eligible studies outlining the length of stay post discharge from ICU were discovered.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 HOSPITALISATION RATE

The relative variation in disease severity is very clear in the published literature from China;

81 % mild presentation, 14 % moderate presentation with 5 % severe or critical

presentations that require high-level on-going care in critical care beds or within the ICU

environment (Wu and Grogan, 2020). Nevertheless, the experience, thus far, in Europe and

the USA would suggest some countries are experiencing a higher degree of severity of

COVID-19 being reported. Early indications from Italy suggested hospitalisation rates of 30 %

and admission to ICU between 16 to 18 % (Cereda et al., 2020; Graselli et al., 2020). While

the eight update report from the ECDC (ECDC 2020a) restates this but suggests based on

data from 16 EU countries that respiratory and or ICU support is needed in 2.4 % of cases;

the latest ECDC report (ninth Update; ECDC 2020b) revises this slightly country specific

median 2 % (IQR 0 to 4 %). Studies conducted in the USA too report high rates of

hospitalisation (CDC COVID-19 Response Team, 2020), high proportion of patients admitted

to ICU care and very high mortality rates (Argenziano et al, 2020; Cummings et al., 2020;

Lewnard et al., 2020; Myers et al., 2020; Paranjpe et al., 2020). The cause of the differences

in disease presentation between countries is not clear. Perhaps differences in case

definition, the relative age of the population, the level of pre-existing conditions within the

population, and other health factors may be contributing to these differences. Given the

experience in Italy, thus far, suggests that must carefully manage mitigation measures to

ensure ICU capacity is not reached or breached. However, another major influencer on

hospitalisation rate will be the definition of a suspect case and the extent of COVID-19

testing within the community; the detection of a greater number of milder cases, diagnosed

within the community will ultimately influence the hospitalisation rate. The influence of

community testing is becoming evident in Ireland; as April progresses, it is clear in each

subsequent HPSC report (2020a; 2020b; 2020c; 2020d) that the rate of hospitalisation and

proportion of patients admitted to ICU is reducing. This is evidence perhaps that a broader

case suspect definition and a wider testing approach will impact the overall rate of

hospitalisation and admission to ICU.

4.2 LENGTH OF STAY (LOS)

4.2.1 Length of stay in hospital (Non-ICU)

The overall length of hospital stay varied between 4 and 25 days among studies; two of

these studies were case series based on the observations of 10 (Lo et al., 2020) or 12

(Kujawski et al., 2020) patients, a further article (Linton et al., 2020) was based on data

extracted from publically available datasets. The studies are very diverse in their reporting

and presentation of hospital length data. The largest study is an Italian report (Instituto

Superiore di Sanità, 2020) reporting the median hospital stay of 5 days for cases that did not

require ICU level of care. However, no range or confidence interval is described by this

report. Similar to the shorter duration of hospital stay in Italy, a more recent study

conducted in the USA, which examined hospital records of 5,700 sequential admissions to

New York hospitals, reported a short length of stay, median 4.1 days (IQR 2.3 to 6.8). The

hospital length of stay varies dramatically among different studies. Perhaps differences in

hospital capacity, overcrowding, government policy, funding source and other factors might

influence length of stay. Of interest Richardson et al. (2020) indicates 45 patients were re-

admitted to hospital for further care, indicating perhaps the pressure on bed availability.

Argenziano et al. (2020) outlines the critical situation faced in some Emergency Departments

in New York and describes the death of 14.7 % of ED only patients; patients who died in the

emergency room prior to being admitted to hospital. Given the large variation in length of

stay and the difference between continents; the studies relating to hospital LOS outside an

ICU setting were not appropriate to use to calculate a central tendency.

4.2.2 Length of stay in hospital (ICU)

Studies from China (Cao et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020)

report median ICU stays of 7 to 10 days. Similar median lengths of stay have been reported

in Italy. The Italian report (COVID-19 Surveillance Group, 2020) dated 29th April 2020

describes median LOS of 8 days if admitted to an ICU setting (n = 25,452); however, actual

length of time in ICU and proportion or number of patients cared for in ICU were not

specified. Important too, to note, this report while including a large number of cases, only

includes those who have died, and hence will not reflect the possible longer stay of

survivors. Another Italian study conducted by Grasselli et al. (2020b) reports the median

length of ICU stay was 9 days (IQR 6 to 13 days) for 1,581 patients. A Swiss study, too,

outlined the median length of stay was 9 days for 41 patients followed to discharge (Ceruti

et al., 2020). It is noticeable that length of ICU stay is more variable in US studies. In

particular studies that breakdown length of stay into those completed stay compared to

patients continuing care. It is evident that care must be taken with interpretation, continuing

care groups are reported to have longer stays; median LOS of 11.4 (Petrilli et al., 2020); 14

(Bhatraju et al., 2020); and 23 days (Argenziano et al., 2020) are outlined. A smaller case

series conducted by Valente-Acosta in Mexico highlights this continuing care group; this

study describes a case series of 33 patients admitted to ICU in Mexico city, the median LOS

of 23 days is indicative that LOS in ICU can be significant; although their continuing care

group is only n = 4. The authors of the UK ICNARC (2020a) report clearly highlight the

influence of bias towards shorter stay; with both survivor and non-survivor groups reported

to have a median LOS of 8 days (ICNARC, 2020a). Indeed earlier reports from INCARC

(2020b) reported shorter LOS of ICU patients, as fewer persons had progressed through to

completion of treatment. It is evident that study cutoff, and short follow ups, have

influenced reported ICU LOS; it is clear that the influence of continuing care groups will

lengthen length of ICU stay somewhat in time.

4.2.4 Length of hospital stay prior to transfer to ICU

A long hospital stay (median 8 days, IQR 4 to 11 days) was reported by Fan et al., (2020) prior

to transfer to ICU, some of whom were diagnosed based on clinical findings. Given the

timing of the study so early in the outbreak and the lack of consistency regarding the

diagnosis, these data should be discounted when considering future resources. Only the UK

ICNARC report (2020a) details useful data pertaining to length of hospital in Europe. Median

length of hospital stay pre admission to ICU for laboratory confirmed COVID-19 patients (n =

8,233) was 1 day (IQR 0 to 3 days), indicating the short duration before stepped up care

need is identified in COVID-19 patients.

Length of stay post ICU is illustrated in a figure in some small case series; and as such, were

data were not extracted for reporting or discussion in this review.

5. STUDY LIMITATIONS

Limitations associated with any rapid review are pertinent to this study. A narrow aim was

set, namely to determine rate of hospitalisation and the length of stay in hospital due to

COVID-19 infection in countries across the world now at the centre of the pandemic. The

sources of the literature may be limited by the time-limited constraint of gathering relevant

literature (less than five weeks). However, this rapid approach was necessary, given the aim

was to provide evidence-based estimates of length of hospital stay to steer policy and

provide parameter estimates for utilisation within a hospital resource model. Inclusion of

articles in this review was assessed based on the subject being reported in the article and if

the numbers of cases were considered relevant. Another shortcoming was some of the

available literature is in pre-print form and only undergoing peer review.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Differences in the severity of case presentations have been described between continents;

and Ireland should base its expectation on those cases observed in Europe thus far in the

pandemic. Advice from the ECDC suggests hospitalisation rates of up to 42 % can be

expected. Additionally, we must expect increased level of care requirements, to critical care

and ICU levels, in up to 3 % of cases to allow for spare capacity, as mitigation measures are

relaxed in our jurisdiction. There is no doubt that the case definition in use and the

availability of community testing will determine the hospitalisation rate within a country. In

countries where community testing has been significant, we can expect the rate of

hospitalisation and the proportion requiring ICU level care to be lower. Preliminary data in

Ireland certainly supports this assertion.

The length of stay in hospital outside of the ICU setting, due to COVID-19 is highly variable in

the literature, with median estimates varying from 4 to 25 days. Studies diverged in their

inclusion criterion. It is likely that the aim of hospitalisation varied among countries.

Discharge criteria is divergent in different jurisdictions, whether it related to a patient

required to test negative prior to discharge or where there was pressure to free up

overcrowded hospitals. Indeed it is likely that some countries used hospitalisation to ensure

quarantine.

As the pandemic spreads rapidly throughout the world, more and more evidence regarding

the length of stay in ICU following COVID-19 is reported. Many of these reports indicate a

median ICU length of stay between 7 to 10 days; however a number of these studies report

length of stay based only on resolved cases, and as such duration of stay it is likely skewed

towards a short stay due to bias towards those who have completed their ICU stay and are

now discharged or have died. Thus the upper estimates of the range should be considered

for use in resource models. It is apparent too that the data generated by each individual

country during the initial months of the outbreak will be critical to estimate the parameters

used for any national resource model.

Word count 4400

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors do not have a financial or personal relationship with other people or

organisations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

8. FUNDING

All authors were employed through their home institutions. No additional funding was

used.

9. REFERENCES

Argenziano MG, Bruce SL, Slater CL, Tiao JR, Baldwin MR Barr RG, Chang BP, Chau KH, Choi

JJ, Gavin N, Goyal P, Mills AM, Patel AA, Romney M-LS, Safford MM, Kuiper JJ, Schluger

NW, Sengupta S, Sobieszczyk ME, Zucker JE, Asadourian PA, Bell FM, Boyd R, Cohen MF,

MacAlistair I, Colville LA, de Jonge JA, Dershowitz LB, Dey SA, Eiseman KA, Girvin ZP,

Goni DT, Harb AA, Herzik N, Householder S, Karaaslan LE, Lee H, Lieberman E, Ling A, Lu

R, Shou AY, Sisti AC, Snow ZE, Sperring CP, Xiong Y, Zhou HW, Natarajan K Hripcsak G,

Chen R. 2020. Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with COVID-19 in

New York: retrospective case series. MedRxiv preprint.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.20.20072116

Badawi O, Liu X, Berman I, Amelung PJ, Doerfler M, Chandra S. 2020. Impact of COVID-19

pandemic on severity of illness and resources required during intensive care in the greater New

York City area. **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.08.20058180. PrePrint

Barrasa H, Rello J, Tejda S, Martin A, Balzikueta G, Vinuesa C, Ferandez Miret B, Villagra A,

San Sebastian A, Cabenes S, Iribarren S, Fonseca F, Maynar J, and the Alana COVID19

Study Investigtors. 2020. SARS-Cov-2 in Spanish Intensive Care: Early Experience with

15-day Survival In Vitoria. Anaes Crit Care Pain Med. ePUB ahead of print. doi:

10.1016/j.accpm.2020.04.001

Bhatraju PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nickols M, Kim R, Jerome KR, Nalla AK, Greninger AL, Pipavath

S, Wurfel MM, Evans L, Kritek PA, West TE, Luk A, Gerbino A, Dale CR, Goldman JD,

O'Mahony S, Mikacenic C. 2020. Covid-19 in Critically Ill Patients in the Seattle Region —

Case Series. NEJM DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2004500

Bi et al., Epidemiology and Transmission of COVID-19 in Shenzhen China: Analysis of 391

cases and 1,286 of their close contacts. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.03.20028423

Borobia AM, Carcas AJ, Arnalich F, Alvarez-Sale R, Montserrat J, Quintana M, Figueira JC,

Santos Olmo RMT, Garcia-Rodriguez J, Martin-Vega A, Ramirez E, Buno A, Martinez-Ales

G, Garcia-Arenzana, Lopez CN, de Garcia MM, Moreno F, Reinisco-Barbero F, Martin-

Quiros A, River A, Mingorance J, Carpio CC, Arribas DP, Cuevas ER, Prados MC, Rios JJ,

Herman J, Arribas JR, COVID@HULP working team. 2020. A cohort of patients with

COVID-19 in a major teaching hospital in Europe.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20080853

Buerhaus PI, Auerbach DI, Staiger DO. Older Clinicians and the Surge in Novel Coronavirus

Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA.* Published online March 30, 2020.

doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4978.

Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, Ruan L, Song B, Cai Y, Wei M, Li X, Xia J, Chen

N, Xiang J, Yu T, Bai T, Xie X, Zhang L, Li C, Yuan Y, Chen H, Li H, Huang H, Tu S, Gong F,

Liu Y, Wei Y, Dong C, Zhou F, Gu X, Xu J, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Li H, Shang L, Wang K, Li K, Zhou

X, Dong X, Qu Z, Lu S, Hu X, Ruan S, Luo S, Wu J, Peng L, Cheng F, Pan L, Zou J, Jia C,

Wang J, Liu X, Wang S, Wu X, Ge Q, He J, Zhan H, Qiu F, Guo L, Huang C, Jaki T, Hayden

FG, Horby PW, Zhang D, Wang C. 2020. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults

Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. The New England Journal of Medicine.

doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2001282.

CDC COVID-19 Response Team 2020. Severe Outcomes among patients with Coronavirus

2019 (COVID-19)- United States, Feb 12 to March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly

Rep 69, 343-346.

Cecconi M, Pesenti A, of the COVID-19 Lombardy ICU Network 2020b. Baseline

Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to

ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy JAMA doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5394.

Chan JF-W, Yuan S Kok K-H, To K, Chu H, Yang J, Xing F, Liu J, Yip CC-Y, Pooon R, Tsoi H-W, Lo

SK-F Chan K-H, Poon VK-M, Chan W-M, Ip JD, Cai J-P, Cheng CC-C, Hui CK-M, Yuen K-Y. A

familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating

person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster Lancet 395: 514-523.

Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Ou J, Gong Y, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, Z J Yu T, Chang X,

Zhang L. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel

coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020;395:507–13.

Cereda D, Tirani M, Rovida F, Demicheli V, Ajelli M, Poletti P, Trentini F, Guzzetta G,

Marziano V, Barone A, Magoni M, Deandrea S, Diurno G, Lombardo M, Faccini M, Pan A,

Bruno R, Pariani E, Grasselli G, Piatti A, Gramegna M, Baldanti F, Melegaro A, Merler S.

2020. The early phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy, Italy PrePrint

COVID-19 Surveillance Group (2020). Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Sorveglianza Integrata.

Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 patients dying in Italy. Report based on available data on

April 13th, 2020. Accessed 15APR2020.

https://www.epicentro.iss.it/en/coronavirus/bollettino/Report-COVID-

2019_13_April_2020.pdf

Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, Jacobson SD, Claasen J, Rabbani LE, Hastie J, Hochman BR,

Salazar-Schicchi J, Yip NH, Brodie D, O'Donnell MR. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes

of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.20067157 PrePrint

D'Adamo H, Yoshilkawa T, Ouslander JG. Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Geriatrics and Long-

term Care: The ABCDs of COVID-19 J Am Geriatr Soc. 2020 Mar 25. doi:

10.1111/jgs.16445. [Epub ahead of print]

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control 2020a. Coronavirus disease 2019

(COVID-19) pandemic: increased transmission in the EU/EEA and the UK – eighth

update, 8th April 2020. Stockholm. Accessed at:

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19-rapid-risk-

assessment-coronavirus-disease-2019-eighth-update-8-april-2020.pdf

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control 2020b. Coronavirus disease 2019

(COVID-19) pandemic: increased transmission in the EU/EEA and the UK – ninth update,

23rd April 2020. Stockholm. Accessed at:

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19-rapid-risk-

assessment-coronavirus-disease-2019-ninth-update-23-april-2020.pdf

Fan H, Zhang L, Huang B,Zhu M, Zhou Y, Zhang H, Tao X, Cheng S, Yu W, Zhu L, Chen J. 2020

Retrospective Analysis of Clinical Features in 101 Death Cases with COVID-19, MedRxiv

PrePrint https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033068

Garg S, Kim L, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A,Cummings C, Holstein R, Prill M, Chai SJ, Kirley PD,

Alden NB, Kawasaki B, Yousey-Hindes K, Niccolai L, Anderson EJ, Openo KP, Weigel A,

Monroe ML, Ryan P, Henerson J, Kim S, Como-Sabetti K, Lynfield R, Sosin D, Rores S,

Muse A, Bennett NM, Billing L, Sutton M, West N, Schaffner W, Talbot HK, Aquino C,

George A, Budd A, Brammer L, Lagley G, Hall AJ, Fry A. 2020. Hospitalization Rates and

Characteristics of Patients Hospitalized with Laboratory-Confirmed Coronavirus Disease

2019 — COVID-NET, 14 States, March 1–30, 2020. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly

Report 69.

Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al; China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical

characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*.

doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032 – Original research

Grasselli G, Presenti A, Cecconi M. 2020a Critical care Utilization for the COVID 19 outbreak

in Lombardy Italy. JAMA Opinion. Accessed at: https://jamanetwork.com/ by a NUS

Libraries User on 03/16/2020

Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, Antonelli M, Cabrini Luca, Castelli A, Cereda D, Coluccello

A, Foti G, Fumagalli R, Iotti G, Latronico N, Lorini L, Merler S, Natalini G, Piatti A, Ranieri

MV, Scandroglio AM, Storti E, Cecconni M, Pesenti A, COVID-19 ICU Network. 2020.

Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2

Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy J*AMA*. Published online April 6, 2020b.

doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5394

HPSC Epi Team. 2020. Daily Epidemiology Reports compiled by the PSC are available at:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/epidemiologyofcovid-

19inireland/

HPSC. 2020a. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Ireland. 1st April 2020. Accessed at:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/COVID-

19%20Epidemiology%20report%20for%20NPHET%2001.04.2020%20_website.pdf on

05APR2020.

HPSC. 2020b. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Ireland. 15th April 2020. Accessed at:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/COVID-

19%20Epidemiology%20report%20for%20NPHET%2015.04.2020%20_website.pdf on

17APR2020.

HPSC. 2020c. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Ireland. 29th April 2020. Accessed at:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/COVID-

19%20Epidemiology%20report%20for%20NPHET%2029.04.2020%20_website.pdf on

01MAY2020.

HPSC. 2020d. Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Ireland. 6th May 2020. Accessed at:

https://www.hpsc.ie/a-

z/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/casesinireland/COVID-

19%20Epidemiology%20report%20for%20NPHET%2006.05.2020%20v1%20website.pdf

on 08MAY2020.

Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia Y,

Wu W, Xie X, Yin X, Li W, X Y, Gao H, Guo H, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Wang J, Cao B.

2020. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan,

China. Lancet. 395: 497–506.

Hu Z, Song C, Xu C, Jin G, Chen Y, Xu X, Ma H, Chen W, Lin Y, Zheng Y, Wang J, Hu Z, Yi Y,

Hongbing S. 2020. Clinical characteristics of 24 asymptomatic infections with COVID- 19

screened among close contacts in Nanjing, China, Sci China Life Sci 63.

Intensive Care National Audit & Research Centre (ICNARC), 2020a. Report on COVID-19 in

critical care 08 May 2020. Assessed at:

https://www.icnarc.org/DataServices/Attachments/Download/b8c18e7d-e791-ea11-

9125-00505601089b on 08MAY2020

Intensive Care National Audit & Research Centre (ICNARC), 2020b. Report on COVID-19 in

critical care 01 May 2020. Assessed at:

https://www.icnarc.org/DataServices/Attachments/Download/f48efee2-d38b-ea11-

9125-00505601089b on 02MAY2020

Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Sorveglianza Integrata COVID-19 in Italia: AGGIORNAMENTO 22

marzo 2020 2020. Accessed at:

https://www.epicentro.iss.it/en/coronavirus/bollettino/Infografica_13aprile%20ENG.pd

f on 14APR2020

Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Sorveglianza Integrata Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 patients

dying in Italy Report based on available data on April 16th, 2020. Accessed 15APR2020.

Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Sorveglianza Integrata Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 patients

dying in Italy Report based on available data on April 29th, 2020. Accessed

15APR2020https://www.epicentro.iss.it/en/coronavirus/bollettino/Report-COVID-

2019_29_april_2020.pdf

Ki et al., 2020 Epidemiologic characteristics of early cases with 2019 novel coronavirus

(2019-nCoV) disease in Korea Epi & Health 42, Article ID: e2020007, 18 pages

https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2020007

Korean Society of Infectious Diseases, et al., 2020 Report on the Epidemiological Features of

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in the Republic of Korea from January

19 to March 2, 2020 J Korean Med Sci. 2020 Mar 16;35(10):e112. doi:

10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e112

Kujawski SA, Wong KK, Collins JP, Epstein L, Killerby ME, Midgley CM, et al. First 12 patients

with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the United States. medRxiv.

2020:2020.03.09.20032896

Lewnard, JA, Liu VX, Jackson ML, Schmidt MA, Jewell BL, Flores JP, Jentz C, Northrup GR,

Mahmud A,. Reingold AL, Petersen M, Jewell NP, Young S, Bellows J. 2020. Incidence,

clinical outcomes, and transmission dynamics of hospitalized 2019 coronavirus disease

among 9,596,321 individuals residing in California and Washington, United States: a

prospective cohort study DOI: : https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.20062943

Linton et al., Incubation Period and Other Epidemiological Characteristics of 2019 Novel

Coronavirus Infections with Right Truncation: A Statistical Analysis of Publicly Available

Case Data. Journ Clin Med. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020538

Liu X, Zhou H, Zhou Y, Wu X, Zhao Y, Lu Y, Tan W, Yuan M, Ding X, Zou J, Li R, Liu H, Ewing

RM, Hu Y, Nie H, Wang Y. 2020. Risk Factors Associated with Disease Severity and

Length of Hospital Stay in COVID-19 Patients. J Infect

https://di.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.008

Lo et al., 2020 Evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding in clinical specimens and clinical

characteristics of 10 patients with COVID-19 in Macau. Int J Bio Sci 16, 1698 – 1707

McMichael T, Currie DW, Clark S, Pogosjans S, Kay M, Schwatz NG, Lewis J, Baer A Lukoff

MD, Ferro J, Brostrom-Smith C Rea TD, Sayre MR, Riedo FX, Russell D, Hiatt B,

Montgomery P, Rao AK Chow EJ, Tobolowsky F, Hughes MJ, Bardossy AC, Oakley LP,

Jacobs JR, Stone ND, Reddy SC, Jerigan JA, Honein MA, Clark TA, Duchin JS. and the CDC

Investigation Team. 2020. Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a Long-Term Care Facility in King

County, Washington. New Enlg J Med DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2005412

Myers LC, Parodi SM, Escobar GJ Liu V. 2020 Characteristics of Hospitalized Adults With

COVID-19 in an Integrated Health Care System in California JAMA Res Lett

doi:10.1001/jama.2020.7202

Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro A, Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in

Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. JAMA. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4683. Opinion.

Paranjpe I, RussakAJ, De Freitas JK, Lala A, Miotto R, Vaid A, Johnson KW, Danieletto M,

Golden E, MeyeR D, Singh M, Somani M, Manna M, Nangia U, Kapoor A, O'Hagan R,

O'Reilly PF, Huckins LM, Glowe P, Kia A, Timsina P, Freeman RM, Levin MA, Jhang J,

Firpo A, Kovatch P, Finkelstein J,Aberg JA, Bagiella E, Horowitz CR, Murphy B, Fayad ZA,

Narula J, Nestler EJ, Fuster V, CordonCardo C, Charney DS, Reich DL, Just AC, Bottinger

EP, Charney AW, Glicksberg BS, Nadkarni GN, and on behalf of Mount Sinai Covid

Infomatics Centre. Clinial charaterisitcs of hospitalized Covid-19 patients in New York

City. MedRxiv Preprint. **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.19.20062117

Qian Z, Alaa AM, van der Schaar M, Ercole A 2020 Between-centre differences for COVID-19

ICU mortality from early data in England. MedRxiv doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.19.20070722.

Qiu H, Wu J, Hong L, Luo Y, Song Q, Chen D. 200 Clinical and epidemiological features of 36

children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Zhejiang, China: an observational

cohort study Lancet Inf Dis DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30198-5.

Remuzzi and Remuzzi Covid_19 and Italy: What's next? The Lancet

DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30627-9.

Rhodes A, Ferdinande P, Flaatten H, Guidet B, Metnitz PG, Moreno RP. The variability of

critical care bed numbers in Europe. Intensive Care Med. 2012;38(10):1647-53.

https://doi. org/10.1007/s00134-012-2627 8 PMID: 22777516.

Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, and the

Northerll COVID-19 Research Group. 2020. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities,

and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City

Area. *JAMA.* Published online April 22, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.6775.

Russell, T.W., Hellewell, J., Jarvis, C.I., van Zandvoort, K., Abbott, S., Ratnayake, R., Flasche,

S., Eggo, R.M., Edmunds, W.J., Kucharski, A.J. and CMMID COVID-19 working group,

2020. Estimating the infection and case fatality ratio for coronavirus disease (COVID-19)

using age-adjusted data from the outbreak on the Diamond Princess cruise ship,

February 2020. Eurosurveillance, 25(12).

Spiteri Gianfranco, Fielding James, Diercke Michaela, Campese Christine, Enouf

Vincent, Gaymard Alexandre, Bella Antonino, Sognamiglio Paola, Sierra Moros

Maria José, Riutort Antonio Nicolau, Demina Yulia V., Mahieu Romain, Broas

Markku, Bengnér Malin, Buda Silke, Schilling Julia, Filleul Laurent, Lepoutre

Agnès, Saura Christine, Mailles Alexandra, Levy-Bruhl Daniel, Coignard Bruno, Bernard-

Stoecklin Sibylle, Behillil Sylvie, van der Werf Sylvie, Valette Martine, Lina

Bruno, Riccardo Flavia, Nicastri Emanuele, Casas Inmaculada, Larrauri Amparo, Salom

Castell Magdalena, Pozo Francisco, Maksyutov Rinat A., Martin Charlotte, Van Ranst

Marc, Bossuyt Nathalie, Siira Lotta, Sane Jussi, Tegmark-Wisell Karin, Palmérus

Maria, Broberg Eeva K., Beauté Julien, Jorgensen Pernille, Bundle Nick, Pereyaslov

Dmitriy, Adlhoch Cornelia, Pukkila Jukka, Pebody Richard, Olsen Sonja, Ciancio Bruno

Christian. First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the WHO European

Region, 24 January to 21 February 2020. Euro Surveill. 2020; 25 (9): pii=2000178.

https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.9.2000178

Stier A, Marc G, Berman LM, Bettencourt A. 2020 COVID-19 attack rate increases with city

size. MedRxiv preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.22.20041004

Stoecklin et al., 2020 First cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in France:

surveillance, investigations and control measures, January 2020. Euro

Surv 2020;25(6):pii=2000094

Tao Y, Cheng P, Chen W, Wan P, Chen Y, Yuan G, Chen J, Huo D, Guan G, Sun D, Tan J, Yang

G, Zeng W, Zhu C. 2020 High incidence of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection,

Chongqing, China BMJ Yale Available on MedRxiv

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.16.20037259.

Tian S, Hu N, Lou J, Chen K, Kang X, Xiang Z, Chen H, Wang D, Liu N, Liu D, Chen G, Zhang Y, Li

D, Li J, Lian H, Niu S, Zhang L, Zhang J. 2020 Characteristics of COVID-19 infection in

Beijing J Inf 80, 401-406 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.018

Tricco AC, Erin Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MDJ,

Horsley T, Weeks L, Hempel S, Akl EA, Chang C, McGowan J, Stewart L, Hartling L,

Aldcroft A, Wilson MG, Garritty G, Lewin S, Godfrey CM, Macdonald MT, Langlois EV,

Soares-Weiser K, Moriarty J, Clifford T, Tunçalp Ö, Straus SE, 2020. PRISMA extension

for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 169: 467 –

473.

Valente-Acosta B, Hoyo-Ulloa I, Espinosa-Aguilar L, Mendoza-Aguilar R, Garcia-Guerrero J,

Ontañon-Zurita D, Gomez-Gomez B, Fueyo-Rodríguez O, Vera-Zertuche JM, Anzola-Arias

RJ, Jiménez-Ceja JV, Horta-Carpinteyro D, OlveraGuzman C3,5, Aguirre-Sanchez J5,

Franco-Granillo J, Jauregui-Camargo L, SadaDíaz E, Saavedra-Perez-Salas R, Palomar-

Lever A, Moreno-Sánchez F 2020 COVID-19 severe pneumonia in Mexico City – First

experience in a Mexican hospital MedRxiv Preprint.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20080796

Verity et al.,2020 Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based

analysis Lancet Inf Dz 2020. Published Online March 30, 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7

Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019

novel coronavirus infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020. 1585.

Wen et al., 2020 Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in Shenzhen, the

largest migrant city of China https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.22.20035246

World Health Organization (WHO). 2020. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) 2020 [cited 2020 1 March]. Available from:

https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-

covid-19-final-report.pdf.

World Health Organization (WHO). Rapid reviews to strengthen health policy and systems: A

practical guide. 2017. Eds Andrea C. Tricco, Etienne V Langlois and Sharon E Straus.

Published by the World Health Organization (WHO).

Wu JT, Leung K, Bushman, M, Kishore N, Niehus R, de Salazar PM, Cowling BJ, Lipsitch M,

Leung GM. 2020. Estimating clinical severity of COVID-19 from the transmission

dynamics in Wuhan, China. Nature Medicine 26; 506 – 510.

Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus

Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From

the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA.* Published online

February 24, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648 5.

Xu XW, Wu XX, Jiang XG, et al. Clinical findings in a group of patients infected with the 2019

novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) outside of Wuhan, China: retrospective case series.

BMJ. 2020;368: m606.

Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J et al (2020) Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-

CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational

study. Lancet Respir Med. https://doi. org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5

Yuan et al, The correlation between viral clearance and biochemical outcomes of 94

COVID-19 infected discharged patients. Infammation Research.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-020-01342-0.

Young, EB et al., 2020. Epidemiologic Features and Clinical Course of Patients Infected With

SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. Original Investigation *JAMA.* Original Investigation

March 3, 2020Published online March 3, 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.3204.

Zhang C, Gu J, Chen Q, Deng N, Li J, Huang L, Zhou X. 2020a Clinical Characteristics of 34

Children with Coronavirus Disease-2019 in the West of China: a Multiple-center Case

Series https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.12.20034686 doi: medRxiv preprint.

Zhang G, Hu C, Luo L, Fang F, Chen Y, Li J, Peng Z, Pan H. 2020b. Clinical features and

outcomes of 221 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China MedRxiv Preprint.

Doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.02.20030452.

Zhao J, Yang Y, Huang H, Li D, Gui D, Lu X, Zhang Z, Liu L, Liu T, Liu Y, He Y, Sun B, Wei M, ang

G, Wang X, Zhang L, Zhou X, Xing M, Wang PG. 2020 Relationship between the ABO

Blood Group and the COVID-19 Susceptibility. MedRxiv preprint.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.11.20031096.

Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of

adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. The

Lancet. 2020.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. The crude mortality rate (CMR) expressed as the percentage of notified deaths to

cases over time, from number of days lapsed since the notification of the tenth case for

12 selected European countries. Data tabulating the notified cases and deaths from all

countries is available from the European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention;

https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-

distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide

Figure 2. The cumulative number of notified cases (grey bars) in Ireland between 30th March

and 23rd April; the percentage of COVID positive cases that were hospitalised (black

line); and those that were admitted to ICU (maroon line) for the same period. Data

extracted from publicly available data published by the Health Protection Surveillance

Centre (HPSC), Ireland.

Figure 3. The median (maroon square) and 25th (blue diamond) and 75th percentile (green

diamond) for eight relevant articles and reports describing the median (and IQR)

length of stay in hospital due to COVID-19 infection. Two studies (Linton et al., 2020;

Yuan et al., 2020) reported means (maroon circle) and confidence intervals

(diamonds); while one study (Liu et al., 2020) reported median (square) and range (X)

for length of stay following COVID-19 infection. The Italian report (COVID-19 Group,

2020) indicates a median of 5 days; no estimate of variance was reported. A subgroup

of the Valente-Acosta (2020) article is greyed, as only 4 remained in the group.

Figure 4. The median (blue diamond) and 25th (maroon square) and 75th percentile (green

diamond) for eight relevant articles and reports describing the median (and IQR)

length of stay in an ICU due to COVID-19 infection. The Italian report (COVID-19

Group, 2020) indicates a median of 8 days (including ICU stay for deceased patients);

no estimate of variance was reported. The UK report separates survivors from those

who have died in care, the authors also indicate bias towards shorter lengths of stay

as this cohort has completed their ICU stay.

Figure 1.

814   Figure 2.

817   Figure 3

829   Figure 4.

Table 1. A summary of published articles and reports relating to hospitalisation following COVID-19 infection, by country.

Paper	Location	Timing	Demographics	Proportion higher level care	Time from (days, IQR)	Outcomes	Comments
China							
Huang et al., 2020 PR	Wuhan, China	16DEC2019 to 02JAN2020	41 patients median Age 49 (IQR 41-58) Underlying dx 13 (32 %) Contact to Hunan mkt 27 (66 %)	13 of 41 to ICU 4 of 41 mech vent 2 of 41 ECMO	Time from symptoms: To Hospital 7 ,4 – 8 To dysnoea 8, 5 - 13 To ARDS 9, 8 – 14 Mech vent 10.5, 7 - 14 ICU 10.5, 8 - 17	6 (15%) patients died 28 (68 %) discharged	
Chen et al., 2020	Wuhan, China	01JAN2020 to 20JAN2020	99 lab confirmed patients, 49 (49 %) exposure to Hunan market. Mean age 55.5 (SD 13.1), 67 male, 32 female. 50 (51 %) chronic conditions.	31 (31 %) dypsnoa 17 (17 %) ARDS 75 (76 %) Oxygen thx Mechanical ventilation Non invasive 13 (13%); median 9, IQR 7 to 19, range 40 to 22 days Invasive 4 (4 %) 17, IQR 12 - 19, range 3 to 20 days CRRT 9 (9 %) ECMO 3 (3 %)		11 (11%) died 31 (31 %) discharged 57 (58 %) still hospitalized by study cut off	
Guan et al., 2020 PR	China – 30 provinces	To 31JAN2020	1099 patients. Median age 47 (range 35-58) 41.9 % female	453 (41.3 %) oxygen 67 (6.1 %) mech vent 55 (5 %) to ICU		15 (1.4 %) died 93 % continued hospitalisation	
Zhou et al.,2020 PR	Wuhan, China	To 31JAN2020	191 patients. Median age 56 (IQR 46 – 67, Range 18-87 Non surv (median 69 yrs) Surv (median 52 yrs) Pre-exisitng 48 %		Time to development: dysnoea 7, 4 to 9, to ARDS 12, 8 to 15 to ICU 12, 8 to 15	54 (28 %) of 191 died 137 (72 %) discharged	Median duration of shedding 20 days (longest 37 days)
Linton et al., 2020 PR	China	To 31JAN2020	Onset hospital to admission: Living n = 155 Dead n= 34 Hospital admission to death n= 29 Male 58 %, age rage 30 – 59 (9 missing)	NR	Onset to hospital admission 3.3, CI 2.9 – 5.3 (living) 6.5, CI 5.0 – 7.8 (dead)		Article that collated data from open source and news reports
Wang et al., 2020 JAMA. PR	Wuhan, China	01JAN2020 to 03FEB2020	138 patients, median age 56, IQR 42 - 68, range 22-92 yrs. 75 (54.3 % male. ICU patients n = 36 older median age 66 vs 51, underying conditions 26 (72 %) vs 38 (37.3%).	ICU 36 of 138 (26.6 %) ARDS 22 of 36 (61.1 %) High flow O2: 4 (11 %) Non invasive ventilation 15 (41.7 %) 17 (47.2 %) invasive ventilation 4 of 36 ECMO	Time to dyspnoea 5 days To admission 7 days, ARDS 8 days	6 patients (4.3 %) died 47 (34.1%) discharged remainder hospitalised at study cut off.	Hospital assoc. transmission suspected 40 (29 %) HCW and 17 (12.3 %) hospitalized patients.
Yang et al., 2020	Wuhan, China	DEC 2019 to 26JAN2020	Some 52 (of 710 in cohort) critically ill adult patients confirmed cases admitted to ICU. Mean age 59·7 (SD 13·3) years, 35 (67 %) male, 21 (40 %) had pre-existing conditions	35 (67 %) ARDS 37 (71 %) mechanical ventilation Compared to survivors, non-survivors were older (64·6 years vs. 51·9 years) more likely to develop ARDS; 26 (81 %) vs. 9 (45 %) patients; and more likely to receive mechanical ventilation; 30 (94 %) vs. 7 (35 %) patients	Interval onset to ICU admission: Surv: 9, 6 – 12. Non-surv 11,7 – 14.	32 % died by 28 days	Hospital-acquired infection occurred in seven (13·5 %) patients. APACHE II and SOFA score lower in survivors vs. non survivors.
Fan et al., 2020 Pre-print	Wuhan China	30DEC2019 to 16FEB2020	101 patients, mean age 65.5 years (range 24 to 83). 64 males, 37 females. All died. Majority underlying, 25.7 % two conditions.	All (101, 100 %) oxygen therapy, 84 (83.17 %) non-invasive ventilator or high flow oxygen, 79 (78.2%) invasive ventilation. 7 patients ECMO, 8 treated with CRRT	Onset to hospital 11, 8 to 13.5 To death 21, 17 to 27.5 To ARDS 12, 9 to 14 ARDS to invasive mechanical ventilation 3, 0 to 6. Duration invasive mechanical ventilation 5, 2 to 8; range 1 to 31 days).	All died – criteria for inclusion was death	Early paper - 57 patients lab confirmed, 44 clinically consistent
Xu et al., 2020	Zhejiang province China	10JAN2020 to 26JAN2020	62 patients median age 41 yrs (IQR32 – 52 yrs). 35 (56 %) male. 20 of 62 (32 %) had underlying condition.	ICU 1 of 62 ARDS 1 of 62 Mechanical ventilation 1 of 62	Two patients (3%) shortness of breath on admission. Median time from exposure to onset of	61 of 62 (98 %) hospitalised 0 of 62 (0 %) died	Less severe presentations observed in Zhejiang Province compared with

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
					was 4, 3-5), Onset to admission was 2, 1-4.		Wuhan
Wen et al., 2020 Pre-print	Shenzhen, China	01JAN2020 to 28FEB2020	417 confirmed cases 37 (8.9 %) mild 344 (82.5 %) moderate. 36 (8.6 %) severe or critical. Mean age 45.4 years. 220 (52.8 %) female.		Time to: Onset to medical visit 1, 0 – 3. Medical visit to admission 0, 0 to 2. admission to PCR result 1, 1 – 3	3 (0.7 %) died 299 (71.7%) discharged. 115 (27.6 %) hospitalized at study cutoff	Notes longer time from first medial visit to admission greater severity. Surv cases more likely to severe illness – warning to treat earlier .
Wu and McGoogan 2020 Opinion	China	To 11FEB2020	72,314 Cases (as of February 11, 2020) Confirmed cases: 44,672 (62%) Suspected cases: 16,186 (22%) Diagnosed cases: 10,567 (15%) Asymptomatic cases: 889 (1%)	Spectrum of disease (N = 44,415) Mild: 81 % (36,160 cases) Severe: 14 % (6,168 cases) Critical: 5 % (2,087 cases)		1023 of 44,672 (2.3 %) died 208 of 1,408 (14.8%) aged 80+ years 312 of 3,918 (8.0 %) aged 70-79 years 1,023 of 2,087 (49.0 %) critical cases died	HCW infected 1,716 of 44,672 (3.8 %) overall 1,080 of 1,716 (63 %) in Wuhan 247 of 1,668 (14..8%) classified severe or critical 5 died

Singapore

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
Young et al., 2020 Case Series	Singaore	To 25FEB2020	18 patients. Median age was 47 yrs, 50 % male, 50 % female	6 of 18 (33.3 %) Oxygen2 of 18 (11.1 %) to ICU 1 of 18 (5.6 %) mech vent			

Korea

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
Ki et al., 2020 Epi Health Special Article	Korea	20JAN2020 to 10FEB2020	28 patients; 15 (53.6 % male. Med age 42 yrs, range 21-73.		Onset to diagnosis 4, range 0 – 16. Onset to quarantine / isolation 3, range 0 to 15 days.		3 asymptomatic 4 discharged other continue hospitalisation – none listed as serious at study cut off.

Macau

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
Lo et al., 2020 Int J Biol Sci PR	Macau	21JAN2020 to 16FEB2020	10 cases. Median age 54 years (IQR 27 to 64). Two patients were assigned a mild classification, four moderate and four severe. 5 of 10 pre-existing condition.	10 of 10 hospitalised, 0 of 10 to ICU. 0 of 10 ARDS		5 discharged and 5 remained hospitalized at study cutoff.	

Italy

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
Graselli et al. 2020 Opinion	Lombardy Italy	20FEB2020 to 07MAR2020	3,420 cases	359 of 3420 (16 %) ICU			
Cereda et al.,2020 Pre-print	Lombardy Italy	20FEB2020 to 08MAR2020	5,830 cases. 204 asymptomatic Median age 69 yrs (ranged 1 month to 101 yrs). 62% male	204 asymptomatic 5,626 reported symptoms 47 % hospitalized 18 % ICU		346 died highest in older groups 14 % in 75 + yrs	
Graselli et al. 2020b PR	Lombardy Italy	20FEB2020 to 18MAR2020	1,591 patients admitted to ICU	1287 of 1300 (99 %) required respiratory support; 1150 (88 %), mechanical ventilation 137 (11 %,) received non-invasive ventilation.		ICU mortality 26 %. Older patients (n = 786; age 64 years and older) had higher mortality (36 %) than younger patients (n = 795; age aged 63 years or less (15 %)	ICU patients only; incluson criterion
COVID-19 Surveillance Group, 2020 Health report	Italy	Up to 29APR2020	25,452 deceased patients. Median age was 81 years (IQR 73 to 87). Females 9,684 (38 %). Overall, 3.8 % presented with a no pre-existing condition, 14.5 % with 1, 21.4 % with 2, and 60.3 % with 3 or more. Number of patients transferred to ICU not reported.	ARDS occurred in 96.7 % of cases	Median onset symptoms to death 10 days, onset to hospitalization was 5 days (IQR or ranges not presented)	All patients died (n = 25,452). ARDS in 97.1 % of cases,acutre renal failure in 23,3 %. Superinfection 12.6 % and acute caridac injury in 10.9 % of cases.	All patients died; inclusion criterion It is not clear what number of patients were transferred to ICU care.

Spain

Study	Location	Dates	Cases	Severity/Spectrum	Time to	Outcomes	Notes
Borobia et	Madrid,	25FEB2020	2,226 of 3,127 patients	2,226 of 3,127 patients	Median onset symptoms	460 of 2,226 died	Most frequent

al., 2020	Spain	to 19APR2020	were hospitalized. Median age 61, 46 – 78, 52 % female. 78 % at least one pre-existing condition. 41 % hypotensive, 19 % Chronic heart disease, 17 % DM.	were hospitalized. 460 of 2,226 died (20.7 %); or 1,766 of 2,226 (79.3 %) discharged. 237 (10.6 %) admitted to ICU, 116 continued care ICU, 55 died, 20 discharged, 46 discharged to acute bed	to hospital admission 6, 3 – 9 d	(20.7 %); 1,766 of 2,226 (79.3 %) discharged	complication acute confusional syndrome 197 (9 %), acute kidney failure 173 (8 %) and ARDS 109 (5 %).

Switzerland

Ceruti et al., 2020	Switzer-land	14MAR2020 to 12APR2020	41 ICU patients. mean age 64 (/- 16.5) years, 35 (85 %) male.	41 of 310 (13.2 %) admitted to clinic admitted to ICU. 54 with DNR not considered for ICU admittance.		10 died (24 %); 2 transferred out; 24 (61 %) discharge	41 patients addmitted to ICU from 310 at clinic. 34 (83 %) required mechanical ventilaton. 25 (61 %) to discharge.

USA

Kujawski et al., 2020 Case series	USA 6 States 6 of 12 CA	20JAN2020 to 05FEB2020	12 patients. Median age 53 yrs (range 21 to 68). 8 male. 4 of 5 with underlying conditions hospitalised	Hospitalisation 7 of 12. 5 of 12 Dyspnoea 4 of 12 supplemental oxygen ICU 1 of 12 patient	Onset to resolution 14 range 6 to 20	11 of 12 discharged or home isolation 1 hospitalized at study cut off (in ICU)	Case series n = 12.
CDC COVID-19 Response Team Report	USA	12FEB2020 to 16MAR2020	4,226 cases. Hospitalization status missing 1,514 cases. ICU status missing or 2,253 cases. Illness outcome or death missing or unknown for 2,001 cases	Hospitalisation in 20.7 to 31.4 % of cases, with ICU admission required for 4.9 to 11.5 %.		Case fatality estimates: ≥85 years, ranging from 10% to 27%, 65 – 84 yrs: 3% to 11% persons, 55 – 64 years: 1% to 3%	Estimate range presented to account for missing data
Badawi et al., 2020	USA New York City	23MAR2020 to 06APR2020	Hourly results from 186 ICU beds from 14 ICUs and 9 hospitals. 465 patients.	Mean age 63.7 (SD +/- 14.3). 312 (67 %) male.		During pandemic - DRS highest reached 37.5 (1.5 – 71.5) versus (0.9 (0.2 to 7.1; pre pandemic. Last DRS before ICU discharge: 17.5 (0.8 – 71) vs 0.3 (0.1 – 1.2 pre-pandemic.	
Myers et al., 2020	USA, California	01MAR2020 to 30MAR2020	377 of 1,299 est positive hospitalised. Median age 61, 50 – 73 years. 212 (56 %) male.	Hospitalisaton 29 % (377 of 1,299 test positives. 113 (8.7 %) to ICU		Of 321 with resolved status; 50 (15.6 % died). 34 of 68 (50 %) ICU patients died.	Invasive ventilation in 110 of 377 (29 %) patients, 103 of 113 (91 %) ICU patients.
Cummings et al., 2020	US, New Yord	02MAR2020 to 01APR2020	257 of 1,150 hospitalised patients categorised critical. Meidan age 62, 51 – 72. 170 of 257 ((66 %) male. 82 % at least one pre-existing condition.	Of those enrolled 257 of 1,150 (22 %) transferred to ICU,	Onset to presentation 6 (SD +/- 5) d.	86 of 257 (33 %) died in hospital. 122 of 257 continuing care. 4 transferred. 45 of 257 discharged alive.	201 of 257 received invasive mechanical ventilation. 13, 9 – 17 d.
Paranjpe et al, 2020	USA New York City	27FEB2020 to 02APR2020	2,199 COVID-19 positive hospitalised patients. Median age 65, 75 if died, 59 if discharged. Pre-existing conditions in 46 % of discharged, 64 % of died patients.	All hospitalised. 1,078 completed stay. 36 % admitted to ICU care during stay.		Of 1,078 with resolved status; 768 (71 %) discharged, 310 (29 %) died.	All hospitalised. 1,078 completed stay
Richardson et al., 2020	USA, New York	01MAR2020 to 04APR2020	5700 patients. Median age 63, 52 – 75 yrs. 2263 (39.7 %) female. 350 (6.1 %) no pre-existing condition, 359 (6.3 %) had 1 pre-existing condition, 4,991 (88 %) > 1 pre-existing condition.	All admitted to 1 of 12 hospitals in New York. 373 of 2634 (14.2 %) of patients who completed stay trasnferred to IC. 320 (12.2 %) required mechanical ventilation.			553 of 2,634 (21 %) died. Mortality for mechanically ventilated patients 88.1 %. 45 (2.2 %) patients were re-admitted.
Argenzian o et al., 2020	USA, New York	01MAR2020 to 05APR2020	1000 patients. 150 ED only treatment, 614 admitted to general wards, 236 admitted to ICU. Median age 63, 50 75. 596 (60 %) male. Only 82 (8.2 %) no pre-existing	Of 2,423 tested, 1,403 positive, 1,132 received ED or hospital care. 1000 followed up. 23.6 % admitted to ICU care.	Time to initial intubation (136 patients) was bimodal, 3 4 d, or 9 days post onset.	At cutoff 90 (9 %) continuing care 699 (70 %) discharged; 211 (21.1 %) died. 103 of 236 (43.6 %)	Of the 150 ED only patients; 85 % discharged, 14.7 % died before admission. 233 (23.3 %)

			conditions. 75 % BMI > 25.			ICU cases died.	intubated at least once. Duration of mechanical ventilation 6, 2 – 13 days if died; 9, 6.5 – 12 d if discharged.; and 28, 22.5 – 32 d if continuing care.
Lewnard et al., 2020	USA California and Washington state	To 09APR2020	1,277 patients. Median age 60, 47 – 72. 56.8 % male. 817 of 1,299 (64 %) completed stay.	158 of 617 (25.6 %) admitted to ICU (data not available from Washington State) Estimates of admissons to ICU 41.9 % (34.1 – 51.4 %)		Mortality 119 of 772 (15.4 %). Esimtates for ICU fatalities 17.8 % (14.3 – 22.2 %)	Adjusted for censored data and status of new hospitalizations - hence estimates calculated.
Ireland							
HPSC Ep Team 2020a	Ireland	To 30MAR2020	2,990 confirmed cases. Median age 48, range 0 to 99 years.	Hospitalisaton in 834 of 2,990 (27.9 %) of cases. ICU in 126 of 2,990 cases (4.2 %)			84 (2.8 % died)
HPSC Ep Team 2020b	Ireland	To 13APR2020	11,261 confirmed cases. Median age 48, range 0 to 106 years.	Hospitalisaton in 1,968 of 11,261 (17.5 %) of cases. ICU in 280 of 11,261 cases (2.5 %)			435 (3.9 %) died *Data inlcudes HPSC Ep Team 2020b*
HPSC Ep Team 2020c	Ireland	To 27APR2020	19,723 confirmed cases. Median age 49 range 0 to 106 years.	Hospitalisaton in 2,669 of 19,723 (13.5%) of cases. ICU in 355 of 19,723 cases (1.7 %)			962 (4.9 %) died *Data inlcudes HPSC Ep Team 2020a 2020b and 2020c and 2020d above*
HPSC Ep Team 2020d	Ireland	To 04MAY2020	21,908 confirmed cases. Median age 49 range 0 to 106 years.	Hospitalisaton in 2,878 of 21,908 (13.1%) of cases. ICU in 373 of 21,908 cases (1.7 %)			1,128 (5.1 %) died *Data inlcudes HPSC Ep Team 2020a 2020b and 2020c and 2020d and 2020e above*

849 Table 2. A summary of published articles and reports relating to length of stay in an non ICU settings following COVID-19 infection, by country.

Paper	Location	Timing	Demographics	LOS, median (days), IQR	Outcomes	Comments
China						
Guan et al., 2020 PR	China 30 provinces	To 31JAN2020	1099 patients. Median age 47 (range 35-58) 41.9 % female	11, 10 – 13 non severe 13, 11.5 to 17 severe	15 (1.4 %) died 93 % continued hospitalisation	
Zhou et al.,2020 PR	Wuhan, China	To 31JAN2020	191 patients. Median age 56 (IQR 46 – 67) Non surv (median 69 yrs) Surv (median 52 yrs) Pre-exisitng 48 %	11, 7 – 14 All 7.5, 5 – 11 Non surv 12, 9 – 15 Surv	54 (28 %) of 191 died 132 (72 %) discharged	Median duration of shedding 20 days (longest 37 days)
Linton et al., 2020 PR	China	To 31JAN2020	Onset to hospital admission: Living n = 155 Dead n= 34 Hospital admission to death n= 29 Male 58 %, age rage 30 – 59 (9 missing)	8.8, CI 7.2 – 10.8 died only, n = 34		Article that collated data from open source and news reports
Wang et al., 2020 JAMA. PR	Wuhan, China	01JAN2020 to 03FEB2020	138 patients, median age 56, IQR 42 - 68, range 22- 92 yrs. 75 (54.3 % male. Hospital assoc. transmission suspected 40 (29 %) HCW and 17 (12.3 %) hospitalized patients. ICU patients n = 36 older median age 66 vs 51, underlying conditions 26 (72 %) vs 38 (37.3%).	10, 7 to 14 discharged n = 47	6 patients (4.3 %) died 47 (34.1%) discharged remainder hospitalised at study cut off.	
Cao et al., 2020	Wuhan China	18JAN2020 to 03FEB2020	199 patients; age 58, 49 – 68. 120 (60 %) male.	15, 12 - 17	44 (22.1 %) died	open-label, individually randomized, controlled trial
Fan et al., 2020 Pre-print	Wuhan China	30DEC2019 to 16FEB2020	101 patients, mean age 65.5 years (range 24 to 83). 64 males, 37 females. All died. Majority underlying, 25.7 % two conditions.	Days in general ward 0 (0 to 4 days). 54 of 101 transferred to ICU	All died – criteria for inclusion was death	Early paper - 57 patients lab confirmed, 44 clinically consistent
Yuan et al., 2020 PR	Zhenzhen China	11JAN2020 to 13FEB2020	94 discharged patients with COVID-19 infection Median age 40 (range 1 to 78 years). Males acocunted for 42 of 94 cases.	mean 14.3 (CI 13.6 - 15.0) All 12.9 (CI 11.4 - 14.4) moderate n = 8 16.4 (CI 14.8 - 17.9) Severe cases n = 11		All 94 patients discharged. Inclusion criterion.
Wang et al., 2020-04-21 rePrint	Sichuan China	16JAN2020 to 04MAR2020	538 lab confirmed COVID. Median age 45 (271 (50%) were over 45). 285 (53 %) were male. 450 (84 %) classified mild illness.	19, 14 – 23 (all) 21, 14 – 24 Over 45 yrs 18, 13 – 22 Under 45 yrs 19, 14 – 24 Male 19, 14 – 23 Female 19, 14 – 23 Mild cases 23, 15 – 26 Severe cases		364 of 538 discharged 174 unresolved or died
Zeng et al., 2020	Beijing and Wuhan, China	05FEB2020 to 20MAR2020	137 mild patients. Median age 52 (40 – 64) years. 74 (54 %) male.	25, 14 – 33 Mild LOS severe cases not reported		
Korea						
Ki et al., 2020 Epi Health Special Article	Korea	20JAN2020 to 10FEB2020	28 patients; 15 (53.6 % male. Med age 42 yrs, range 21-73.	Dx to discharge: 12.5, range 7 to 17.		3 asymptomatic 4 discharged other continue hospitalisation – none listed as serious at study cut off.
Macau						
Lo et al., 2020 Int J Biol Sci PR	Macau	21JAN2020 to 16FEB2020	10 cases. Median age 54 years (IQR 27 to 64). Two patients were assigned a mild classification, four moderate and four severe. 5 of 10 pre-existing condition.	20, 19 – 21		5 remained hospitalised at study cutoff
Italy						
COVID-19 Surveillance Group, 2020 Health report	Italy	Up to 29APR2020	25,452 deceased patients. Median age was 81 years (IQR 73 to 87). Females 9,684 (38 %). Overall, 3.8 % presented with a no pre-existing condition, 14.5 % with 1, 21.4 % with 2, and 60.3 % with 3 or more.	5 , variation not presented	All patients died (n = 25,452). ARDS in 97.1 % of cases, acute renal failure in 23,3 %. Superinfection 12.6 % and acute caridac injury	All patients died; inclusion criterion It is not clear what number of patients were transferred to ICU care.

			Number of patients transferred to ICU not reported.		in 10.9 % of cases.	
USA						
Paranjpe et al, 2020	USA New York City	27FEB2020 to 02APR2020	2,199 COVID-19 positive hospitalised patients. Median age 65, 75 if died, 59 if discharged. Pre-existing conditions in 46 % of discharged, 64 % of died patients.	7.7, variation not presented	Of 1,078 with resolved status; 768 (71 %) dischaged, 310 (29 %) died.	All patients hospitalised, 36 % admitted to ICU, no LOS specific to ICU presented. Suggests LOS acocuntsfor censored data (50% completed stay).
Petrilli et al., 2020	New York USA	01MAR2020 to 02APR2020	4,103 positive cases, of which 1,999 hospitalised. mean 52 yers (36 – 65). 2072 (50.5 %) male. 1,999 hospitalised, 650 critical, 116 died or hospsice, 534 to ICU.	4.8, 3.3 – 7.6 (if resolved)	292 of 1,999 (14.6 %) died or transferred to hospice. 981 followed to discharge	1,999 of 4,103 positive were hospitalised.
Richardson et al., 2020	USA, New York	01MR2020 to 04APR2020	5,700 patients. Sequentially hosptialised. Median age 63, 52 – 75 yrs. 2263 (39.7 %) female.	4.1, 2.3 – 6.8 3.9, 2.4 – 6.7 discharged 4.8, 2.3 – 7.4 died	553 of 2,634 (21 %) died	2,634 of 5,700 admitted followed to death or discharge
Argenziano et al., 2020	USA, New York	01MAR2020 to 05APR2020	1000 patients. 150 ED only treatment, 614 admitted to general wards, 236 to ICU. Median age (all) 63, 50 75. 596 (60 %) male. Only 82 (8.2 %) no pre-existing conditions. 75 % BMI > 25. Median age (n = 614 hospitalised non icu) 64, 51 – 77. 353 of 614 (57.5 %) male. 57 of 614 (9.3 %) no pre-existing conditions. 75 % BMI > 25	6, 3 – 14 All n=1,000 4, 2 – 8 Hospitalised non icu	At cutoff 90 (9 %) continuing care 699 (70 %) discharged; 211 (21.1 %) died. 86 of 614 (14 %) hospitalised cases died.	Of the 150 ED only patients; 85 % discharged, 14.7 % died before admission.
Badawi et al., 2020	USA New York City	23MAR2020 to 06APR2020	Mean age 63.7 (SD +/- 14.3). 312 (67 %) male.	6, 3 – 10 (including ICU)		Study examined ICU occupancy. During pandemic - DRS highest reached 37.5 (1.5 – 71.5) versus (0.9 (0.2 to 7.1; pre pandemic. Last DRS before ICU discharge: 17.5 (0.8 – 71) vs 0.3 (0.1 – 1.2 pre-pandemic.
Lewnard et al., 2020	USA Californi a and Washing ton state	To 09APR2020	1,277 patients. Median age 60, 47 – 72. 56.8 % male. 817 of 1,299 (64 %) completed stay.	Mean reported 11.3, IQR 5.1 – 15.6, normal range (NR) 0.9 – 31.3 All 10.7 (surv) NR 0.8 – 30.1 13.7 (non surv) NR 1.7 – 34.6	Mortality at cutoff 09APR 119 of 772 (15.4 %).	Adjusted for censored data

Table 3. A summary of published articles and reports relating to length of stay in an ICU setting following COVID-19 infection, by country.

Paper	Location	Timing	Demographics	ICU; LOS median (days), IQR	Outcomes	Comments
China						
Chen et al., 2020	Wuhan, China	01JAN2020 to 20JAN2020	99 patients. Mean age 55.5 (SD 13.1), 67 male, 32 female. 50 (51 %) chronic conditions.	9 and 11 days ICU n= 2 both died	11 (11%) died 31 (31 %) discharged 57 (58 %) still hospitalized by study cut off	Lab confirmed
Zhou et al.,2020 PR	Wuhan, China	To 31JAN2020	191 patients. Median age 56 (IQR 46 – 67) Non surv (median 69 yrs) Surv (median 52 yrs) Pre-exisitng 48 %	8, 4 – 12 ICU 7, 2 -9 Surv 8, 4 -12 Non surv	54 (28 %) of 191 died 132 (72 %) discharged	
Yang et al., 2020	Wuhan, China	DEC 2019 to 26JAN2020	52 critically ill patients of 710 in cohort admitted to ICU. Mean age 59·7 (SD 13·3) years, 35 (67 %) male, 21 (40 %) had pre-existing conditions	7, 3–11 ICU to death		ICU care only included
Cao et al., 2020	Wuhan China	18JAN2020 to 03FEB2020	199 patients; age 58, 49 – 68. 120 (60 % male.	10, 5 – 14 All 10, 8 – 17 Surv 10, 4 – 14 non surv	44 (22.1 %) died	open-label, individually randomized, controlled trial
Fan et al., 2020 Pre-print	Wuhan China	30DEC2019 to 16FEB2020	101 patients, mean age 65.5 years (range 24 to 83). 64 males, 37 females. All died. Majority underlying, 25.7 % two conditions.	47 of 101 direct to ICU: 8, 4 to 11.	All died	57 patients lab confirmed, 44 clinically consistent, all died
Singapore						
Young et al., 2020 Case series	Singaore	To 25FEB2020	2 of 18 admitted to ICU. Median age was 47 yrs, 50 % male, 50 % female	Mean 6 (range 5 – 7)		
Italy						
Graselli et al. 2020b PR	Lombardy Italy	20FEB2020 to 18MAR2020	1,591 patients admitted to ICU, with 1,581 ICU info available	9, 6 – 13; All 10, 8 – 14; continuing care n = 920 8 , 5 – 12; discharged n = 256 7, 5 – 11; died n = 405	ICU mortality 26 %. 64 + yrs (n = 786) 36 % died 63 or younger (n = 795) 15 % died. At study cut off: 405 of 1,581 (26 %) died in ICU 256 of 1,591 (16 %) discharged 920 of 1,591 (58 %) continued in ICU.	ICU patients only; incluson criterion
COVID-19 Surveillance Group, 2020 Health report	Italy	Up to 29APR2020	25,452 deceased patients. Median age was 81 years (IQR 73 to 87). Females 9,684 (38 %). Overall, 3.8 % presented with a no pre-existing condition, 14.5 % with 1, 21.4 % with 2, and 60.3 % with 3 or more. Number of patients transferred to ICU not reported.	8 (if included ICU care; IQR or range not presented).	All patients died. ARDS in 97.1 % of cases,acutre renal failure in 23,3 %. Superinfection 12.6 % and acute caridac injury in 10.9 % of cases.	All patients died; inclusion criterion It is not clear what number of patients were transferred to ICU care.
Switzerland						
Ceruti et al., 2020	Switzerland	14MAR2020 to 12APR2020	41 ICU patients. mean age 64 (/-16.5) years, 35 (85 %) male.	9, 4 – 12.5; discharged	10 died (24 %); 2 transferred out; 24 (61 %) discharge	41 patients addmitted to ICU from 310 at clinic. 34 (83 %) required mechanical ventilaton. 25 (61 %) to discharge. Median duration of MV 7, 3 – 10 d.
UK						
ICNARC, 2020a 08MAY2020	UK	Up to 08MAY2020	8,250 Patients. Age 60, 51 – 67. 5,879 (72%) Male. 5,580 of 7,594 (73 %) patients had BMI ≥ 25.	ICU: 8, 3 – 17; Surv n = 3,271 8, 4 – 14; Non surv, n = 2,872	6,143 of 8,250 completed ICU care: 3,271 of 6,143 (55 %) alive	4,861 of 8,250 (64% mechanical vent within 24 hrs APACHE II score 14,

				1, 0 to 3 Pre ICU LOS, n = 7,524	2,872 of 6,143 (47 %) died	11 – 18; n = 7,835
USA						
Kujawski et al., 2020	USA 6 States 6 of 12 CA	20JAN2020 to 05FEB2020	12 patients. Median age 53 yrs (range 21 to 68). 8 male. 4 of 5 with underlying conditions hospitalised	5	11 of 12 discharged or remained home isolation 1 remianed hospitalized at study cut off (patient who was admitted to ICU)	Case series n = 12.
Bhatraju et la., 2020 Case Series	Seattle, USA	24FEB2020 to 09MAR2020	24 Patients. Mean age 64 ± 18 yrs, 63 % male	9, 4 to 14 All ICU 14, 4 – 17 Surv only		All ICU, inclusion criterion 12 of 24 died Surv only: 5 dischaged home 4 discharged to general wards 3 remained on mechanical ventilation
Cummings et al., 2020	US, New York	02MAR2020 to 01APR2020	257 of 1,150 hospitalised patients categorised critical. Meidan age 62, 51 – 72. 170 of 257 ((66 %) male. 82 % at least one pre-existing condition.	8, 5 – 12 (died only)	86 of 257 (33 %) died in hospital. 122 of 257 continuing care. 4 transferred. 45 of 257 discharged alive.	201 of 257 received invasive mechanical ventilation. 13, 9 – 17 d.
Petrilli et al., 2020	New York USA	01MAR2020 to 02APR2020	4,102 hospitalised patients . mean 52 yers (36 – 65). 2072 (50.5 %) male. 1,999 hospitalised, 650 critical, 116 died or hopsice, 534 to ICU.	6, 3 – 9 (discharge or died) 11.4, 8.4 – 15.4 (continuing care)	Of 534 to ICU, 176 died or to hospice, 49 discharged, 309 continuing care	1,999 of 4,103 positive were hospitalised.
Argentzia no et al., 2020	New York USA	01MAR2020 to 05APR2020	1000 patients. 150 ED only treatment, 614 admitted to general wards, 236 to ICU. Median age (all) 63, 50 75. 596 (60 %) male. Only 82 (8.2 %) no pre-existing conditions. 75 % BMI > 25.2. Median age (ICU n = 236) 62, 52 – 72. 158 of 236 (67 %) male. 75 % BMI > 25.7.	23, 12 – 32 includes ICU	At cutoff 87 (37 %) continuing care 46 (20 %) discharged; 103 (44 %) ICU cases died	Of the 150 ED only patients; 85 % discharged, 14.7 % died before admission.
Badawi et al., 2020	USA New York City	23MAR2020 to 06APR2020	Data relates to 465 patients. Mean age 63.7 (SD +/- 14.3). 312 (67 %) male.	3.8, 1.4 – 7.3 4.2, 1.8 – 7.7 (Mechanically ventilated		Study examined ICU occupancy. During pandemic - DRS highest reached 37.5 (1.5 – 71.5) versus (0.9 (0.2 to 7.1; pre pandemic. Last DRS before ICU discharge: 17.5 (0.8 – 71) vs 0.3 (0.1 – 1.2 pre-pandemic.
Lewnard et al., 2020	USA Californi a and Washing ton state	To 09APR2020	1,277 patients. Median age 60, 47 – 72. 56.8 % male. 817 of 1,299 (64 %) completed stay. 158 of 617 (25.6 %) admitted to ICU (data not available from Washington State)	Mean, IQR 8.1, 3.9 – 11.2	Estimates of admissons to ICU 41.9 % (34.1 – 51.4 %) Esimtates for ICU fatalities 17.8 % (14.3 – 22.2 %)	Adjusted for censored data hence estimates calculated.
Mexico						
Valente Acosta et al., 2020	Mexico City	13MAR2020 to 13APR2020	33 patients. Mean age 60.6 ± 12.7 years, 23 (70 %) male. 23 (70 %) overwieght or obese.	7.5, 4.25–8.75 Severe n= 20 23, 16–24.75 Critical n = 4	All survived to study cutoff. 20 of 28 severe discharged; 4 of 8 critical discharged	91 % swab positive. All admitted to ICU

Table 4. A summary of published articles and reports relating to length of hospital stay prior to transfer to ICU following COVID-19 infection, by country.

Paper	Location	Timing	Demographics	Pre-ICU; LOS median (days), IQR	Outcomes	Comments
China						
Fan et al., 2020 Pre-print	Wuhan China	30DEC2019 to 16FEB2020	101 patients, mean age 65.5 years (range 24 to 83). 64 males, 37 females. All died. Majority with underlying conditions, 25.7 % with two underlying conditions.	47 of 101 direct to ICU:54 transferred to ICU with aggravating conditions 8, 4 to 11.	All died – criteria for inclusion was death	Early paper - 57 patients lab confirmed, 44 clinically consistent
Singapore						
Young et al., 2020 Case series	Singaore	To 25FEB2020	18 patients. Median age was 47 yrs, 50 % male, 50 % female	Mean 6 (range 5 – 7)	No deaths	2 pateints ICU Main presentation mild respiratory infection
UK						
ICNARC, 2020a 08MAY2020	UK	Up to 08MAY2020	8,250 Patients. Age 60, 51 – 67. 5,879 (72%) Male. 5,580 of 7,594 (73 %) patients had BMI ≥ 25.	1, 0 to 3 Pre ICU LOS, n = 8,233	6,143 of 8,250 completed ICU care: 3,271 of 6,143 (55 %) alive 2,872 of 6,143 (47 %) died	4,861 of 8,250 (64% mech vent within 24 hrs APACHE II score 14, 11 – 18; n = 7,835
USA						
Kujawski et al., 2020	USA 6 States	20JAN2020 to 05FEB2020	12 patients. Median age 53 yrs (range 21 to 68). 8 male. 4 of 5 with one or more underlying conditions hospitalised	5 days	11 of 12 discharged or remained home isolation 1 remianed hospitalized at study cut off (ICU)	1 patient ICU Hospitalised patients worsened clinically in second week.