medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Quantitative SARS-CoV-2 antibody screening of healthcare workers in the southern part of Kyoto city during the COVID-19 peri-pandemic period

*Kohei Fujita[1,5], *Shinpei Kada[2,5], Osamu Kanai[1,5], Hiroaki Hata[3,5], Takao Odagaki[4,5], Noriko Satoh-Asahara[6,7], Tetsuya Tagami[6,7], Akihiro Yasoda[6,7]

[1]Division of Respiratory Medicine, Center for Respiratory Diseases, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

[2]Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

[3]Department of Surgery, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

[4]Department of General Medicine, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

[5]Department of Infectious Diseases, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

[6]Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[7]Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Hypertension Research, Clinical Research Institute, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

***These authors contributed equally to this work.**

Corresponding author

Kohei Fujita, MD, PhD.

Division of Respiratory Medicine, Center for Respiratory Diseases, National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center

1-1, Fukakusa-Mukaihata, Fushimi-Ku, Kyoto, Japan

Postal code: 612-8555

E-mail: kfujita-oka@umin.ac.jp

TEL: +81-75-641-9161

Key words: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, antibodies, seroprevalence, healthcare workers

Running title: SARS-CoV-2 antibody seroprevalence

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: The coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is associated with a heavy burden on the mental and physical health of patients, regional healthcare resources, and global economic activity. While our understanding of the incidence and case-fatality rates increases, data on seroprevalence of antibodies against the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) in healthcare workers during the peri-pandemic period is insufficient. This study quantitatively evaluated seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibody in healthcare workers in the southern part of Kyoto city, Japan.

Methods: We prospectively recruited healthcare workers from a single hospital between April 10 and April 20, 2020. We collected serum samples from these participants and quantitatively evaluated SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Results: Five (5.4%), 15 (16.3%), and 72 (78.3%) participants showed positive, borderline, and negative serum SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody status, respectively. We found the mean titer associated with each antibody status (overall, positive, borderline, and negative) was clearly differentiated. Participants working at the otolaryngology department and/or having a history of seasonal common cold symptoms had a significantly higher titer of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody (p=0.046, p=0.046, respectively).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Conclusions: Five (5.4%) and 15 (16.3%) participants tested positive and borderline, respectively, for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody during the COVID-19 peri-pandemic period. These rates were higher than expected based on government situation reports. The present findings suggest that COVID-19 was already spread in the southern part of Kyoto city at the early stage of pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). COVID-19 was first reported in Wuhan, China, in December 2019; the outbreak was subsequently declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on March 11, 2020 (1). The disease course varies from mild and self-limiting upper respiratory infection symptoms to severe respiratory failure, which might require respiratory support (2, 3). By mid-March 2020, the centers of pandemic had been located in China, the United States, and several European countries. In Japan, the government announced a state of emergency on April 4, 2020. As of the end of April 2020, over 200,000 people worldwide have died of COVID-19 (1, 4). COVID-19 is associated with a heavy burden on mental and physical health of patients, regional healthcare resources, and global economic activity. Effective policies to deal with the pandemic are required and they should be founded on reliable epidemiological data. The diagnosis of COVID-19 is based on viral nucleic acid detection using reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay for SARS-CoV-2. Whereas RT-PCR assay is accurate at detecting an active case of COVID-19, identifying subjects who had recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection has been challenging. In contrast to tracking active cases, antibody detection can provide information on individual and herd acquired immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Furthermore, an antibody assay can help estimate the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

number of people within a community who remain potential cases, assisting governments in effective decision-making. To-date, data on seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibody in healthcare workers worldwide is limited. During the peri-pandemic period, we quantitatively evaluated seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibody in healthcare workers in the southern part of Kyoto city, which is an area famous for its heritage status and a popular tourist destination.

Participants and methods

Participants

This study was conducted at the National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center (600 beds), located in southern Kyoto, Japan. In response to the pandemic, our hospital formed an infectious disease department dedicated to COVID-19, involving medical staff such as internal medicine physicians, chest physicians, general and thoracic surgeons, cardiologists, nephrologists, otolaryngologist, and emergency physicians. We prospectively recruited medical doctors, nurses, and ward clerks employed at our hospital between April 10 and April 20, 2020. All participants were asymptomatic and belonged to any of following sections: infectious disease, respiratory medicine, otolaryngology, or an emergency medicine department. We selected healthcare workers from these

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

departments as they were more likely to treat suspected COVID-19 cases, of which they might not have been aware. Additionally, we collected the following questionnaire-based data: history of seasonal common cold from winter 2019 to early spring 2020 and of regular contact with children aged under 12 years. These questionnaires were created based on previous studies on behavior patterns during the H10N8 avian influenza outbreak (5).

ELISA assay

We collected 6 ml of blood from each participant between April 10 and April 20, 2020. After extracting serum, we stocked them at -80℃ deep freezer. We evaluated the presence of serum IgG antibody against SARS-CoV-2 by enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) assay, using the COVID-19 IgG ELISA kits (DRG international, Inc. Springfield, NJ, USA), as instructed by the manufacturer. Briefly, 1:100 diluted human serum samples were placed onto the 96-well microplate (coated with SARS-CoV-2 recombinant full-length nucleocapsid protein) and then incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature (20-25 ℃). After washing, 100 μl HRP-labeled anti-IgG tracer antibody was added into the wells and then the samples were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature (20-25 ℃). Following the second wash cycle, 100 μl substrate was added into the wells and the samples were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature (20-25 ℃). At last, stop

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

solution was added into the wells to terminate the reaction. The optical density of each well was determined by a microplate reader set to 450 nm within 10 minutes. For detection of IgG, the cut off value was modified by using an inner negative control. We interpreted the results as "positive," "borderline," and "negative," following manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using JMP version 14.0.0 (SAS institute Inc. Cary, NC). The Fisher's exact test was used to compare proportions among occupations, wards, questionnaire and SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody status. Wilcoxon rank sum tests or Kruskal-Wallis tests, as appropriate, were used to compare the titer of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody between groups. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the relevant institutional review boards (approved number 20-009). We obtained written consent form all study participants.

Results

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

A total of 92 healthcare workers were recruited for this study. Medical doctors, nurses, and medical clerks constituted 42 (45.7%), 48 (52.2%), and 2 (2.2%) participants, respectively. Of 92 participants, 59 (64.1%) were women; the majority of participants were in their twenties and thirties. The otolaryngology department was the common place of work among the participants, followed by respiratory and emergency medicine departments. There were 47 (51.1%) participants with history of seasonal common cold symptoms from winter 2019 to early spring 2020. There were 19 (20.7%) participants with history of regular contact with children aged under 12 years (Table 1).

Seroprevalence of antibody against SARS-CoV-2

A total 92 serum samples collected between April 10 and April 20, 2020 were tested for antibody against SARS-CoV-2. Of 92 participants, 5 (5.4%), 15 (16.3%), and 72 (78.3%) showed positive, borderline, and negative results of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody test, respectively (Table 1). There were no significant differences in antibody status between professional groups (Table 2). There were two and three participants with positive antibody status in the respiratory disease and otolaryngology departments, respectively. The highest proportion of participants with positive and borderline SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody status was working at the otolaryngology department. In contrast, the lowest proportion of such participants was working at the emergency medicine department (Table 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Participants with a history of seasonal common cold from winter 2019 to early spring 2020 showed higher rate of positive SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody testing than did participants without such history (p=0.046). History of regular contact with children or of exposure to a viral infection did not affect the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody (Table 4).

Serum SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titer

Mean antibody titer of all participants was 0.120±0.0372 (Figure 1A). Mean titer of the antibody positive, borderline, and negative group was 0.219±0.051, 0.161±0.0101, and 0.105±0.018, respectively (Figure 1B). Mean antibody titers stratified by occupation and department are shown in Figure 2A and 2B. There were no significant differences in mean antibody titer between doctors, nurses, and medical clerks (Figure 2A, 0.119±0.0326 and 0.121±0.0058, p=0.994). The mean antibody titer among workers at the otolaryngology department was significantly higher than that among workers elsewhere (Figure 2B, 0.112±0.029, 0.121±0.043, 0.134±0.043 and 0.11±0.018, p=0.046). Participants with a history of seasonal common cold symptoms had a significantly higher titer of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody than those without such history (Figure 3A, 0.13±0.044 and 0.11±0.026, p=0.046). There were no significant differences in the mean antibody titer between participants with and without history of regular contact with children or with history of exposure to a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

viral infection (Figure 3B, p=0.304, Figure 3C, p=0.418).

Discussion

In this study, 5 (5.4%) and 15 (16.3%) healthcare workers, respectively, were "positive" and "borderline" for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody. Mean antibody titer among the borderline group was clearly distinct from that of the negative group. Participants with borderline antibody results might have been latently sensitized by patients with COVID-19. As our hospital accepted patients with confirmed COVID-19 after April 15, 2020, the antibody status of present study participants might reflect community-acquired immunity, resulting from unconscious exposure in daily medical practice.

The mean antibody titer was significantly higher among workers at the otolaryngology department than among those working elsewhere. This suggests that healthcare workers within the otolaryngology department were more likely to be exposed to SARS-CoV-2 than were their counterparts at other departments.

According to the official statements of Kyoto city, confirmed incidence and fatality associated with COVID-19 in Kyoto city at the end of April 2020 were 215 cases and 11 cases, respectively (6). However, antibody seroprevalence observed in the present study was much higher than that expected

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

based on the data from government reports. Furthermore, the number of participants with borderline antibody status in our study was nearly three times that of those with positive antibody status.

Recently, several studies have revealed that population-wide seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies was higher than expected based on the number of confirmed cases (7, 8). For example, in Kobe city, Japan, 3.3% of outpatients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody (8). By using quantitative methods, our results revealed there were more than tripled people sensitized with SARS-CoV-2 on the flip side of people with positive SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody. Given that pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 seems to be similar to SARS-CoV, most patients infected SARS-CoV-2 will express specific IgG antibody within a week to three months after infection.(9) In light of this timeline of seroconversion, the participants with positive and borderline antibody status in the present study were likely exposed to SARS-CoV-2 between December 2019 and March 2020. These findings suggest that COVID-19 was already present in Kyoto at the early stages of pandemic. The period between December and March is a time of heightened tourist activity in Kyoto, in particular, involving tourists from China and Taiwan who celebrate the Chinese New Year spring festival. After the spring festival, on March 5, 2020, the Japanese government implemented a strict ban on travelers arriving from China.

According to epidemiological data provided by the WHO (1) and Johns Hopkins University (4),

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

incidence and case-fatality rates in major European countries (Germany, United Kingdom, France, Italy, and Spain) and the United States are much higher than those in major Asian countries (China, Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan). There are several possible explanations of this phenomenon. Differences in lifestyle and behavioral habits between Western and Asian populations might explain some of the variability in rates. Some studies have shown a correlation between universal BCG vaccination policy, and morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19 (10, 11). Although this hypothesis has resulted in clinical trials to evaluate the efficacy of BCG vaccination against COVID-19 (NCT04327206 and NCT04362124), restricted basic and clinical evidence makes this association difficult to evaluate. Meanwhile, other authors have suggested that differences in viral genotypes and virulence may affect morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19; however, this explanation is insufficient. The National Institute of Infectious Diseases of Japan has revealed that the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic emerged from Wuhan, China, and it flattened toward the end of March; however, the second wave emerged from European countries, spreading across the country after the end of March (12). Nevertheless, even during the second wave, Japan retained its much lower morbidity and mortality rates than those of Western countries, as reported at the end of April 2020 (13). Kamikubo and colleague have hypothesized that the pre-pandemic spread of low-virulence type of SARS-CoV-2 and subsequent exposure to mild-virulence type of SARS-CoV-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

induced herd immunity that reduced the severity of high virulence type of SARS-CoV-2 in Japan (14). The findings concerning borderline antibody titer in the present study may support this hypothesis. In the present study, participants with a history of seasonal common cold from winter 2019 to early spring 2020 had a significantly higher SARS-CoV-2 antibody titer than did participants without such history, which might have resulted from pre-pandemic exposure to low- and middle-virulence type of SARS-CoV-2. Further research is required to verify this hypothesis.

There are several limitations to this study. First, as this was a single-center study, selection bias might have affected our findings. Second, the small sample size restricted the statistical power of our analyses. Third, as our participants were recruited from departments where exposure to COVID-19 was more likely, the reported seroprevalence might be an overestimate relative to that of workers at other departments or the general population. Fourth, because the COVID-19 pandemic is an ongoing emerging situation, a significant proportion of available research might be premature; in our discussion, we have referred to such studies.

In conclusion, we have shown relatively high frequency of positive and borderline SARS-CoV-2 antibody status in healthcare workers in the southern part of Kyoto city, an area frequented by tourists. Our results suggest that COVID-19 might have already been present in Kyoto at the early stage of pandemic. Several previous studies have evaluated SARS-CoV-2 antibody profiles in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

patients with COVID-19 (15-17); however, our study is the first to quantitatively evaluate antibody levels in healthcare workers involved with patients during the COVID-19 peri-pandemic period. Serial evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody will reveal risk factors associated with COID-19 susceptibility and mechanisms of disease spread. Finally, these results should be approached with caution, as there remains a lack of evidence regarding the role of antibodies present after recovery from COVID-19 in developing immunity against subsequent infections.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Author contributions: KF and SK designed of the study and collected blood samples. KF, SK, OK, HH and TO recruited participants and collected data. TT, NSA and AY managed ELISA tests and interpretation of results. KF, SK and OK participated in statistical analysis. KF and SK drafted and revised manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised manuscript.

Acknowledgement: The authors appreciated the contributions of Takayuki Inoue and Chinami Shiraiwa who conducted the ELISA tests. The authors thank Drs. Koji Hasegawa and Hiroshi Okuno for their advice and support in obtaining an IRB approval. The authors also thank the members of the department of infectious diseases (Drs. Eriko Kashihara, Kosuke Doi, Syuhei Ikeda, Daisuke Hirai, Masayuki Hashimoto and Koichi Seta) and emergency medicine (Drs. Hiroyuki Tanaka, Satoru Beppu and Kei Nishiyama) for their assistance with this study and clinical management of COVID-19.

Funding: This study was funded by the National Hospital Organization annual fiduciary funds.

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure legends

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers of the participants. (A) All participants (black), (B) stratified by positive (red), borderline (blue), and negative (green) status. Boxes correspond to the interquartile range of values for each group; error bars show the 90th percentile range.

Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers stratified by occupation (A) and department (B). Wilcoxon rank sum test (A) or Kruskal-Wallis test (B) was used to compare the titer of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody level between the groups. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Figure 3. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody titers stratified by exposure status determined by questionnaires concerning behavior patterns (A-C). Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare the titer of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody levels between the groups. P-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

(1) World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) situation reports. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports/ 2020;2020.

(2) Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY, Zhong NS, China Medical Treatment Expert Group for Covid-19. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1708-1720.

(3) Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, Xia J, Yu T, Zhang X, Zhang L. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020;395:507-513.

(4) Johns Hopkins University and Medicine. Coronavirus Resource Center https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html. 2020;2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(5) Qi W, Su S, Xiao C, Zhou P, Li H, Ke C, Gray GC, Zhang G, Liao M. Antibodies against H10N8 avian influenza virus among animal workers in Guangdong Province before November 30, 2013, when the first human H10N8 case was recognized. *BMC Med* 2014;12:205-014.

(6) Kyoto City Official Website. Novel coronavirus infection. The trend of the latest. https://www-city-kyoto-lg-jp.j-server.com/LUCKYOTOC/ns/tl.cgi/https://www.city.kyoto.lg.jp/hokenfukushi/page/0000268303.html?SLANG=ja&TLANG=en&XMODE=0&XCHARSET=utf-8&XJSID=0. 2020;2020.

(7) Bendavid E, Mulaney B, Sood N, Shah S, Ling E, Bromley-Dulfano R, Lai C, Weissberg Z, Saavedra-Walker R, Tedrow J, Tversky D, Bogan A, Kupiec T, Eichner D, Gupta R, Ioannidis J, Bhattacharya J. COVID-19 Antibody Seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.04.14.20062463.

(8) Doi A, Iwata K, Kuroda H, Hasuike T, Nasu S, Kanda A, Nagao T, Nishioka H, Tomii K, Morimoto T, Kihara Y. Estimation of seroprevalence of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using preserved serum at an outpatient setting in Kobe, Japan: A cross-sectional study. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.04.26.20079822.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(9) Lin Q, Zhu L, Ni Z, Meng H, You L. Duration of serum neutralizing antibodies for SARS-CoV-2: Lessons from SARS-CoV infection. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2020.

(10) Miller A, Reandelar MJ, Fasciglione K, Roumenova V, Li Y, Otazu GH. Correlation between universal BCG vaccination policy and reduced morbidity and mortality for COVID-19: an epidemiological study. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.03.24.20042937.

(11) Akiyama Y, Ishida T. Relationship between COVID-19 death toll doubling time and national BCG vaccination policy. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.04.06.20055251.

(12) National Institute of Infectious Diseases of Japan. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) https://www.niid.go.jp/niid/en/. 2020;2020.

(13) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) situation. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/newpage_00032.html. 2020;2020.

(14) Kamikubo Y, Takahashi A. Paradoxical dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 by herd immunity and antibody-dependent enhancement . *Cambridge Open Engage* 2020:doi:10.33774/coe-2020-fsnb3.

(15) Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y, Wang X, Yuan J, Li T, Li J, Qian S, Hong C, Wang F, Liu Y, Wang Z, He Q, Li Z, He B, Zhang T, Fu Y, Ge S, Liu L, Zhang J, Xia N, Zhang Z.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020.

(16) Xiang F, Wang X, He X, Peng Z, Yang B, Zhang J, Zhou Q, Ye H, Ma Y, Li H, Wei X, Cai P, Ma WL. Antibody Detection and Dynamic Characteristics in Patients with COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020.

(17) Qu J, Wu C, Li X, Zhang G, Jiang Z, Li X, Zhu Q, Liu L. Profile of IgG and IgM antibodies against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin Infect Dis* 2020.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of participating healthcare workers

	n=92
Gender (female)	59 (64.1)
Age group (years)	
20s	30 (32.6)
30s	29 (31.5)
40s	21 (22.8)
≥50s	12 (13.0)
Occupation	
Medical doctor	42 (45.7)
Nurses	48 (52.2)
Medical clerk	2 (2.2)
Department	
Department of Infectious Diseases	18 (19.6)
Respiratory Medicine Ward	22 (23.9)
Otolaryngology Ward	30 (32.6)
Emergency Medicine Ward	22 (23.9)
Questionnaire	
*History of seasonal common cold symptoms	47 (51.1)
*History of regular contact with children	19 (20.7)
**History of exposure to a viral infection	84 (91.3)
SARS-CoV-2 antibody status	
Positive	5 (5.4)
Borderline	15 (16.3)
Negative	72 (78.3)

Data are shown as counts (%). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2

*Covered period from winter 2019 to early spring 2020, including history of regular contact with children aged under 12 years.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

**Participants considered exposed to viral infection were defined as those with own history of seasonal common cold symptoms and/or examining outpatients with common cold symptoms.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody seroprevalence among healthcare workers by occupation

Occupation	n	IgG against SARS-CoV-2						P-value
		Positive		Borderline		Negative		
Medical doctor	42	2	(4.7%)	6	(14.0%)	34	(76.2%)	0.9236
Nurse and Medical clerk	50	3	(6.0%)	9	(18.0%)	38	(76.0%)	

Data are shown as counts (%). P-value was estimated using the Fisher's exact test. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2

Table 3. SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody seroprevalence among healthcare workers by department

		IgG against SARS-CoV-2						P-value
	n	Positive		Borderline		Negative		
Department of Infectious Diseases	18	0	(0.0%)	3	(16.7%)	15	(83.3%)	0.2102
Respiratory Diseases Ward	22	2	(9.1%)	4	(18.2%)	16	(72.7%)	
Otolaryngology Ward	30	3	(10.0%)	7	(23.3%)	20	(66.7%)	
Emergency Medicine Ward	22	0	(0.0%)	1	(4.6%)	21	(95.5%)	

Data are shown as counts (%). P-value was estimated using the Fisher's exact test. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.12.20098962; this version posted May 20, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibody by exposure status determined by a questionnaire

	n	IgG against SARS-CoV-2						P-value
		Positive		Borderline		Negative		
*History of seasonal common cold symptoms	47	5	(10.6%)	9	(19.2%)	33	(70.2%)	0.0458
*History of regular contact with children	19	1	(5.3%)	1	(5.3%)	17	(89.5%)	0.3294
**History of exposure to a viral infection	84	5	(6.0%)	14	(16.7%)	65	(77.4%)	>0.99

Data are shown as counts (%). P-value was estimated using the Fisher's exact test. P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2

*Covered period from winter 2019 to early spring 2020, including history of regular contact with children aged under 12 years.

**Participants considered exposed to viral infection were defined as those with own history of seasonal common cold symptoms and/or examining outpatients with common cold symptoms.


0.30
0.25
0.20
Titer
0.15
0.10
0.05


Positive
Borderline
Negative
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Positive
Borderline
Negative
Antibody status


Comedical
Doctor
P=0.9937
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Comedical
Doctor
Occupation


Infectious Diseases
Respiratory Diseases
Otolaryngology
Emergency
P=0.0457
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Infectious Diseases
Respiratory Diseases
Otolaryngology
Emergency
District of Work


Yes
No
P=0.0457
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Yes
No
History of seasonal common cold symptoms


Yes
No
P=0.3043
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Yes
No
Regular contact with children


Yes
No
P=0.4175
0.30
0.25
0.20
0.15
0.10
0.05
Titer
Yes
No
Opportunity of viral infection