medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Assessment of medication Dosage Adjustment in Hospitalized Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

Author 1: ***Zair Hassan***
Department of Cardiology, Lady reading Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

Author 2: ***Iftikhar Ali***
Paraplegic Centre, Hayatabad, Peshawar, Pakistan

Author 3: ***Arslan Rahat Ullah***
Department of Medicine, Northwest general hospital and Research Centre, Hayatabad, Peshawar, Pakistan

Author 4: ***Raheel Ahmed***
Department of Nephrology, Institute of Kidney Disease, Hayatabad, Peshawar Pakistan

Author 5: ***Shakeel Rehman***
Department of Pharmacy, Shaukat Khanum Memorial Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Hayatabad, Peshawar Pakistan

Author 6: ***Azizullah Khan***
Department of Pharmacy, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar Pakistan

Short running title: Medication dosage adjustment in hospitalized CKD patients

Correspondence to:

Iftikhar Ali
Paraplegic Center, Hayatabad, Peshawar
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan 25000
Tel: +923339027279
Email: iftikharalijan@gmail.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Background: Inappropriate medication dosing can develop adverse drug reactions (ADRs) or ineffective therapy due to declined renal function in patients with renal insufficiency. This necessitates proper renal dose adjustment. Using a retrospective analysis of medical records, this study was proposed to evaluate medication dosage adjustment in hospitalized chronic kidney disease (CKD) patients. **Methods**: This retrospective review of medical records was conducted at the Institute of Kidney Disease (IKD), Peshawar. It included all CKD patients hospitalized between May 01, 2019 and April 25, 2020. Glomerular filtration rate was calculated using Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula and dose appropriateness was established by evaluating practice with relevant reference books. **Results:** Of the total 1537 CKD patients, 231(15.03%) had evidence of dosing error, which were considered for final analysis. Overall, 1549 drugs were prescribed, 480(30.99%) drugs required dose adjustment of which 196(40.42%) were adjusted properly and the remaining 286(59.58%) were unadjusted. The most common unadjusted drugs were meropenem, cefepime, ciprofloxacin and rosuvastatin, whereas captopril, aspirin, bisoprolol, pregabalin and levofloxacin had the highest percentage of adjusted drugs. On multivariate logistic regression, the number of drugs requiring dosing adjustments and obstructive nephropathy were found to be statistically significant factors that increased the likelihood of the medication dosing errors: A unit increase in the number of drugs requiring dose adjustment increases 5.241 times the likelihood of dosing error. Similarly the presence of obstructive nephropathy (OR 0.383, 95% CI [0.153-0.960] P= 0.041) was found to be significantly associated with dosing error after adjustment for potential confounding factors. **Conclusion:** The dosing of more than half of the prescribed drugs that required adjustment in CKD patients were not adjusted which showed that medication dosing errors were high. This highlights the importance of medication prescription according to guidelines in these patients to improve the outcomes of pharmacotherapy and patients' quality of life.

***Keywords*:** chronic kidney disease, renal impairment, medication errors, dose adjustment

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is defined as "a decrease in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <60mL/minute /1.73m^2 for ≥3 months", irrespective of the etiology and is classified into five stages based on GFR**.** CKD affects 8%–16% of the people globally, and is one of the major public health challenge [1, 2]. An approximately 5 to 10 million deaths are attributed to kidney diseases every year [3]. The prevalence of CKD in Pakistan is high, affecting 12.5% (11.4%–13.8%) of the population [4].

Kidneys are key structures responsible for regulating homeostasis, acid-base equilibrium, as well as electrolytes [5]. Most of the drug's metabolism and removal depend on normal kidney function [6]. Maintaining healthy kidney function is indeed necessary for several drugs and potential active metabolites to be metabolized and eliminated. Unlike in patients with healthy kidney function, the recommended dose of medications in patients with renal impairment can cause adverse drug reactions owing to impaired kidney function of patients [5-8]. Furthermore, to minimize adverse drug reactions and therapeutic failure, dosage modifications according to renal function in patients with renal failure need to be individualized.

CKD patients are taking number of medications not only for preventing the progression of the disease process, but also for comorbidities. With a decrease in renal function, the pharmacokinetic parameters of several drugs are so ominously changed that the normal doses transform either to augmented or diminished [9-11]**.** In admitted patients, lethal or ineffective doses may increase the hospital stay, treatment cost, and accordingly, adding extra burden on both patient and the healthcare systems**.**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In CKD patients, the kidney's ability to eliminate a drug is compromised resulting in accumulation of drugs, increasing the adverse effects and possibly leading to toxicities/ adverse drug events (ADEs) [7]. Renal injury is a known risk factor for ADEs, but remains ignored very often by Healthcare professionals [12,13]. A study by Hug BL et al, revealed that 10% of patients with CKD experienced an ADE, out of these, 91% were considered preventable and 51% were serious [14]. A number of published articles have described considerable dose adjustment related difficulties and medication errors in CKD patients [14-16]. Poor understanding of the importance and optimization of medication dosages is often a cause of prescribing errors in patients with compromised renal function [15,17].

The most common dosing error while managing CKD patients is those observed during antimicrobial use, requiring a lookout and adjustment in these patients depending on eGFR of patients [18]. Studies from China, showed antibiotics related dosage error in CKD patients were 38.85%–60.3% [19, 20].

Literature support that medications that required dose adjustment in CKD patients are not adjusted accurately in admitted patients and the practice is common in both developed and developing countries; during hospitalization about 25%- 77% drugs are adjusted inappropriately [13, 21]. In developed countries like Netherlands a study in CKD patients with advance stages (stage III and IV) reveals a high prevalence of unadjusted dose prescription [22].

Similarly, a study in Australia also reported a high level of inappropriate prescription in elderly CKD patients with diabetes, with a polypharmacy[23]. To address such an important problem, multiple research studies have been published globally to

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

evaluate the dosing errors pattern; although the subject is not thoroughly investigated particularly in the developing countries.

A literature search showed very limited published material on this subject in Pakistan. As of now, no published studies were to our knowledge carried out in this part of the country (Peshawar). Considering this, the study was proposed to evaluate medication dosage adjustment in admitted CKD patients in Peshawar, Pakistan.

METHODS

Study Settings

This retrospective study was conducted from May 01, 2019 and April 25, 2020 at Nephrology department of Institute of Kidney Disease (IKD), Peshawar, Pakistan. The Institute is a tertiary care health facility in Peshawar, the capital of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Study Design and Sampling Procedure

Data was extracted from medical record/patients files of CKD patients admitted to nephrology ward on structured format. All diagnosed cases of CKD receiving at least one drug requiring adjustment with length of hospital stay greater than 24 hours were included. The GFR was calculated using “Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD)” [24]. Cockcroft-Gault formula[25] was not applied to calculate GFR due to missing of patient’s body weight. The GFR stage was determined for individual patient depending on his current condition agreeing to “Kidney disease: improving global outcomes” (KDIGO 2012) guidelines. The results of identified patients belonged to the GFR stages G3a (45-59 ml/min), G3b (30-44 ml/min), G4 (15-29 ml/min), and G5 (<15 ml/min).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Assessment of Medication Dosing Errors

Unfortunately, due to non-availability of any national drug formulary and drug dosing guidelines for CKD patients in Pakistan, we had to rely on some of the reputed references and dose adjustment guidelines for the individual drug doses assessment for appropriateness by comparing the observed practices.

Dosage appropriateness was based on comparing the practice with the established recommendation: “Drug Dosing Adjustments in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease” published by the American Academy of Family Physicians, and “Drug Information Handbook, 25th edition” published by Lexicomp®[27]. The guidelines were selected in consultation with consultant Nephrologist.

The dose appropriateness was evaluated by a physician and hospital pharmacist. The prescribed doses which were in accordance with the recommended guidelines were regarded as adjusted. Nonetheless, inappropriately dosed or dose given recommended for patients with normal renal function was categorized as not adjusted.

Data Analysis

SPSS Version 20 was used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to present the results such as frequency and percentage for categorical data while mean (SD) or Median (IQR) where appropriate for numerical data. Logistic regression models were used to calculate the associated factors “taking all medications per patient” were not adjusted [(Yes/No)] as the main outcome measure that describes the medication error. Results were described as Odd ratios (OR) along with 95%CI. Those variables (p

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

<0.2) in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate statistics. P < 0.05 was measured statistically significant.

Ethical Considerations

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by institutional ethical committee of Hayatabad Medical Complex-Peshawar, Pakistan (Ref number: 1510-2019).

Results

During the study period from January to December 2017 a total of 1537 CKD patients' medical record/charts were reviewed. However, a total of 231 patients (15.03% of screened patients) were included in the final analysis. The demographics and clinical characteristics of patients are listed in ***table 01***. Of the total 231 patients, 184(79.7%) were males and 47(20.34%) were females. The mean (SD) age of the patients was 46.14±15.90 years (Range: 13-85 years). The mean (SD) length of the hospital stay was 3.97±1.96 days (Range: 02-16 days). Majority of the patients 209 (90.5%) were in G5 stage of CKD, followed by 14(6.1%) in G4. The mean (SD) of the drugs prescribed were 6.7±1.33. About 85.3% patients were prescribed >5 drugs. Similarly majority of the patients 220(95.23%) medications list were comprised of antibiotics. Comorbidities were present in most of the patients 180(77.92%). Among the comorbid conditions hypertension 148(64%), diabetes mellitus 57(24.67%) and obstructive nephropathy 36(15.58%) were on the top of the list.

A total of 1549 numbers of drugs were prescribed in the study patients. Of the total prescribed drugs 480 (30.99%) required dosage adjustment. Among them, 286 (59.58%) were unadjusted, and the remaining 194(40.42%) were properly adjusted as depicted in ***table 02***.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The descriptive statistics as depicted in ***table 3*** showed that the most frequently unadjusted drugs were Meropenem (100%), Cefepime (100%), Ciprofloxacin (100%), Rosuvastatin (100%), Cefoperazone/sulbactam (91.33%), Ranitidine (65.71%) and Piperacillin/Tazobactam (85.71%), while in comparison the most accurate properly adjusted drugs were aspirin (100%), captopril (100%), bisoprolol (100%), Pregabalin (100%), levofloxacin (100%), vancomycin (87.5%), domiperidone (80.7%), Cefotaxime (78.12%), furosemide (69%), sodium bicarbonate (53.65%) and spironolactone (50%).

Of the total variables, GFR category G5 (odds ratio OR 0.340, 95%CI [0.121-0.955] P= 0.041), total number of drug prescribed (OR 1.826, 95%CI [1.444-2.310] P≤0.01) and drug requiring dose adjustment (OR 4.818, 95%CI [3.054-7.600] P<0.001) were noted to be significantly associated with dosing error on univariate analysis as shown in ***table 4***. Multivariate analysis was run for the variables that were found significant (P≤0.2) on univariate analysis. A unit increase in number of drugs requiring dose adjustment increases 5.241 times the likelihood of medication dosing error. Similarly, the presence of obstructive nephropathy (OR 0.383, 95%CI [0.153-0.960] P= 0.041) was found to be significantly associated with medication error after adjustment for potential confounding factors.

DISCUSSION

CKD patients are the high-risk population for drug related problems, and among them, medication dosing errors are the most prevalent in these patients [7, 28, 29]. A large number of published studies [7, 15, 19, 22, 28-34] have determined the medication dosing error in these patients, but unfortunately, few to none published studies were, to our knowledge, conducted in this part of the region.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

The economic burden of CKD and its associated complications is incurring the overall health care expenditures. Moreover, the early presentation of this chronic condition extends the burden to an even young population and in effect leading to further financial burdens [3]. The Pakistani health care system is under resourced and overburdened, this along with organizational mismanagement [including lack of pharmacist in the multidisciplinary team and direct patient care] making it more worst. Keeping in view the existing health care system, there is likely the possibility of dosing error in these patients. The present study was proposed to assess medication dosing error in renal impaired hospitalized patients.

This study revealed that a total of 1549 drugs were prescribed to the patients with a mean [SD] 6.7±1.33. Of the total, 480(30.99%) medication orders needed dose adjustment. Whereof, about 40.42% were adjusted and the rest of (59.58%) were unadjusted almost similar to the finding of a study conducted in Bahawalpur, Pakistan by Ahsan Saleem and Imran Masood in CKD patients [29]. Our findings are slightly higher than those reported by Saad et al in which 37% of the prescription order were adjusted adequately at two university hospitals in, Lebanon[28].

In this study, the prevalence of medication dosing error was considerably lower as compared with previous reports from India (81%), Palestine (73.6%), South Africa (68%) and Lebanon (63%).[29, 34, 35]. The low percentage of medication error in these CKD patients might be due to the reason that they received treatment from trained nephrologist. In contrast to our study the result of medication dosing error was higher than even less developed countries such as Indonesia and Nepal where the unadjusted drugs percentage were 20.0% and 13.5% respectively [30, 33]. The proportion of dosing

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

error in our study was also greater than the studies conducted in Saudi Arabia, Australia and France which is 53.1%, 44.8% and 34.0%, respectively [17, 31, 32]. This suggests that either the physician's knowledge is inadequate or the clinical pharmacy services in our setting are lacking, compared to developed states. In the less developed nations such as Indonesia and Nepal, the lower prevalence of medication dosing errors could be attributed to active involvement of clinical pharmacist in direct patient care. Whereas, Altunbas et al. reported considerably lower prevalence of medication dosing errors (12.6%), however the authors explained that majority of the study participants had renal impairment thereby necessitating nephrology consultation and optimized drug regimen, which could limit the generalizability of study findings[36].

In developed nations, the active involvements of clinical pharmacists coupled with computerized dosage optimization systems are primarily responsible for the appropriate medication therapy. Most automated dosage adjustment systems automatically alert the healthcare professionals including physicians and clinical pharmacist regarding renal function status and the need for dose optimization [17, 34]. Therefore, lack of pharmacist in clinical setting, lack of national dosing formulary and computerized dose adjustment programs in Pakistan lead to higher medication dosing error.

The unadjusted drug proportion was higher in patients with G5, where 97/209 drug entries were unadjusted despite the fact that G5 is more advanced disease stage. A study conducted in Ethiopia reported that in patients with stage 5, 80% of the drugs were inappropriately adjusted [37]. A study results by Saad et al described that drugs prescribed in patients with stage 5 nearly 37 % drug required dose adjustment [28].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Another important aspect in this study is the unusual age distribution where the patient mean age is 46.14±15.90 years which is much different from the developed and even under developing countries [17, 30, 31]. This difference might be due to low expectancy of life in a normal healthy Pakistani which is almost 65 years, dying at an early age, before reaching the average life expectancy The high prevalence of CKD in young adult in Pakistan is due to high burden of diabetes mellitus, hypertension and renal stone, evident in our study result as well [38, 39].

While assessing the pattern of medication dosing error, the majority of prescribed drugs were ordered without consulting dose adjustment guideline. These include cephalosporin antibiotics (Cefoperazone/sulbactam, Cefotaxime, and Cefepime), Meropenem, Sodium bicarbonate, Ranitidine, Metoclopramide, Furosemide, Spironolactone, and Rosuvastatin. These findings are in agreement with earlier studies with the exception of Vancomycin and the cardiac medication that were prescribed more appropriately in our study sample [34, 37]. These observation shows that Pakistani Physicians in public healthcare facilities are underestimating the adverse events associated to several drugs. For instance, the antimicrobial like Aminoglycosides, Vancomycin and cephalosporins have the potential to induce nephrotoxicity [37].

Considering, “unadjusted of all medication per patient” [yes or No] as dependent variable the results of logistic regression revealed that age, sex, length of hospital stay, antibiotics prescribed, Serum Creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, Potassium, diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Ischemic heart disease, Urinary tract infection, Hepatitis B and C and obstructive nephropathy etc. did not show statistical significance. Similar findings have been reported by previous studies [28,29,37]. However, GFR categories

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

G5(cOR=0.340) had more unadjusted drugs compared to G3b and G4, whereas a unit increase in a drug prescription result an increase in unadjusted dose by a factor of 1.826. Similarly, total number of drugs per patient that required dose adjustment was significantly associated with medication error on univariate analysis. The findings of the present study are consistent with previous report by Ahsan Saleem and Imran[29]), in which, end stage CKD, and the number of prescribed drugs were significant determinants of medication dosing errors. In contrast, Getachew H et al. reported that severity of renal impairment, prescribed medications requiring dose optimization, and number of prescribed medications per patient differs with the percentage of properly adjusted drugs per patient[37].

Medication dosing errors was not related to diabetes in this study, which is not in line with a study by Khanal A et al. [23]. Furthermore the presence of antimicrobial in patient prescription was not a significant predictor, similarly reported by Ahsan Saleem[29].

Multivariate analysis showed that a unit increase in number of drugs requiring dose adjustment increases the chances of medication error by 5.241 times. Similarly the presence of obstructive nephropathy aOR 0.383 was found to be significantly associated with medication error after adjustment for potential confounding factors. Similar results have been described by a similar study [29] where the numbers of prescribed medicines and presence of comorbidities were significant determinants of medication dosing errors.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In CKD patients the medications should be selected and prescribed with extreme precautions and appropriateness to avoid possible drug related problems and adverse outcomes. The predictors identified should be paid attention to.

Strength and Limitations

This study envisages several strengths. The first strength among them is that it is the first study performed in tertiary care hospital of Peshawar Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and second in Pakistan. Secondly, in comparison to the previous study it is more detailed and to look into pattern and determinants of medication dosing errors identified in admitted CKD patients. Despite the strength the present study has several limitations. Firstly, the small sample size as compared to the whole CKD population. Secondly, being a retrospective study we could not intervene which restricted us from possibly suggesting intervention and observing actual adverse drug reaction. Thirdly, the MDRD formula was applied due to insufficient data on patient medical charts such as weight which is not suitable for higher muscle mass patients and those with malignant condition such as cancer. Fourthly, the nephrologist may have consulted dose adjustment guidelines other than we used. It is plausible to conclude that apart from renal function status, physicians may have based dosage optimization on blood pressure, serum electrolytes and heart rate. Finally, due to limited resources the present study was a single center data and finding cannot be generalized.

Clinical Implications and Future Recommendations

An appraisal of the literature in correlation with the findings of the present study revealed that errors in medication dosing are a common clinical issue, particularly in patients with CKD. Dosing errors necessitate adequate attention by healthcare

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

professionals (HCP) and CKD patients need to be specifically evaluated for dosage optimization before prescribing medication. Moreover, there exists considerable confusion regarding the need and extent of dosage adjustment in patients with varying degree of renal impairment, hence harmonized and universally acceptable guidelines should be formulated and adopted regarding the dosage optimization of renally excreted drugs so as to safeguard the patient's health. In developing countries including Pakistan, the active involvement of trained clinical pharmacists in direct patient care should be ensured in order to promote appropriate and optimized medication therapy. Furthermore, continuous medical educational programs, seminars, and workshops need to be organized on a regular basis regarding the optimization of medication therapy, particularly in patients with renal impairment. Therefore, intensified collaboration between HCPs (general practitioners (GPs), nephrologists and clinical pharmacists) is recommended with relevant exchange of patient information in a bid to reducing the rate of inappropriate prescription and medication dosing errors.

CONCLUSION

The medication related dosing errors was quite high, more than half of the drugs need dose adjustment. The result also showed that appropriate dose adjustment for impaired kidney function was not accomplished in a large number of patients in the province's largest teaching hospital with specialist nephrologists and qualified residents who are expected to have a greater knowledge of dose adjustment in CKD patients compared to doctors in general hospitals. The determinants of medication associated dosing error in our study were the number of drugs requiring dose adjustment and obstructive nephropathy. This result suggests the need to provide

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

physicians with dose adjustment information and recommendations in CKD patients in order to avoid dosing errors in such patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our great appreciation to Beenish Mehmood, (Physical Therapist, Paraplegic Center, Peshawar) and Mohsin Zafar (Cardiology Resident, Lady reading hospital Peshawar) for their contribution, feedback and input.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: ZH, IA and AR, U. Data collection: ZH, RA. Data analysis and interpretation, Results: IA, ZH. Manuscript drafting and writing: IA, ZH, SR. Language editing, appropriateness, critical revision: AR U, AZ, And IA. All authors read and approved the final version of the paper.

Declaration of Conflicting of interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and /or publication of this article.

Funding & Financial Disclosures

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and /or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Abegunde DO, Mathers CD, Adam T, Ortegon M, Strong K. The burden and costs of chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. The Lancet. 2007;370(9603):1929-1938.doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61696-1
2. Arora P, Vasa P, Brenner D, Iglar K, McFarlane P, Morrison H, et al. Prevalence estimates of chronic kidney disease in Canada: results of a nationally representative survey. Can Med Assoc J. 2013;185(9):E417-E423.doi: 10.1503/cmaj.120833.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3. Luyckx VA, Tonelli M, Stanifer JW. The global burden of kidney disease and the sustainable development goals. Bull World Health Organ. 2018;96(6):414-422D.doi:10.2471/BLT.17.206441
4. Jessani S, Bux R, Jafar TH. Prevalence, determinants, and management of chronic kidney disease in Karachi, Pakistan-a community based cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol. 2014;15(1):90.doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-15-90
5. Hamm LL, Nakhoul N, Hering-Smith KS. Acid-Base Homeostasis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2015;10(12):2232-2242.doi:10.2215/cjn.07400715
6. Gibson TP. Renal disease and drug metabolism: an overview. Am J Kidney Dis. 1986;8(1):7-17.doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(86)80148-2
7. Won H-J, Chung G, Lee KJ, Lee E, Son S, Choi S, et al. Evaluation of medication dosing errors in elderly patients with renal impairment. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018;56(8):358-365.doi: 10.5414/CP203258.
8. Lea-Henry TN, Carland JE, Stocker SL, Sevastos J, Roberts DM. Clinical pharmacokinetics in kidney disease: Fundamental principles. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;13(7):1085-1095.doi: https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.00340118
9. Battistella M, Matzke GR, DiPiro J, Talbert R, Yee G, Wells B, et al. Drug therapy individualization for patients with chronic kidney disease. Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic Approach, 10ed DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, Eds New York, NY: McGraw-Hill. 2017
10. Ponticelli C, Sala G, Glassock RJ, editors. Drug management in the elderly adult with chronic kidney disease: a review for the primary care physician. Mayo Clin Proc; 2015: Elsevier.
11. Doogue MP, Polasek TM. Drug dosing in renal disease. Clin Biochem Rev. 2011;32(2):69-73
12. Munar MY, Singh H. Drug dosing adjustments in patients with chronic kidney disease. American family physician. 2007;75(10)
13. Fink JC, Chertow GM. Medication errors in chronic kidney disease: one piece in the patient safety puzzle. Kidney Int. 2009;76(11):1123-1125.doi: 10.1038/ki.2009.315
14. Hug BL, Witkowski DJ, Sox CM, Keohane CA, Seger DL, Yoon C, et al. Occurrence of adverse, often preventable, events in community hospitals involving nephrotoxic drugs or those excreted by the kidney. Kidney Int. 2009;76(11):1192-1198.doi: 10.1038/ki.2009.353
15. Yap C, Dunham D, Thompson J, Baker D. Medication dosing errors for patients with renal insufficiency in ambulatory care. The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety. 2005;31(9):514-521.doi: 10.1016/s1553-7250(05)31066-x
16. Patel HR, Pruchnicki MC, Hall LE. Assessment for chronic kidney disease service in high-risk patients at community health clinics. Ann Pharmacother. 2005;39(1):22-27.doi; 10.1345/aph.1E269
17. Salomon L, Deray G, Jaudon M, Chebassier C, Bossi P, Launay-Vacher V, et al. Medication misuse in hospitalized patients with renal impairment. Int J Qual Health Care. 2003;15(4):331-335.doi: 10.1093/intqhc/mzg046
18. Farag A, Garg AX, Li L, Jain AK. Dosing errors in prescribed antibiotics for older persons with CKD: a retrospective time series analysis. Am J Kid Dis. 2014;63(3):422-428.doi; 10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.09.009
19. Yang P, Chen N, Wang R-R, Li L, Jiang S-P. Inappropriateness of medication prescriptions about chronic kidney disease patients without dialysis therapy in a Chinese tertiary teaching hospital. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2016;12:1517.doi; 10.2147/TCRM.S116789
20. Li P, LI F-j, LIU Y-g. Rationality in antibiotic use in patients with chronic renal insufficiency. Eval Anal Drug-Use Hosp China. 2012;12(5):425-427

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

21. Drenth-van Maanen AC, Van Marum RJ, Jansen PA, Zwart JE, Van Solinge WW, Egberts TC. Adherence with dosing guideline in patients with impaired renal function at hospital discharge. PLoS One. 2015;10(6):e0128237
22. van Dijk EA, Drabbe NR, Kruijtbosch M, De Smet PA. Drug dosage adjustments according to renal function at hospital discharge. Ann Pharmacother. 2006;40(7-8):1254-1260.doi: 10.1345/aph.1G742
23. Khanal A, Peterson GM, Castelino RL, Jose MD. Potentially inappropriate prescribing of renally cleared drugs in elderly patients in community and aged care settings. Drugs Aging. 2015;32(5):391-400.doi: 10.1007/s40266-015-0261-1.
24. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Ann Intern Med. 1999;130(6):461-470.doi:10.7326/0003-4819-130-6-199903160-00002
25. Cockcroft DW, Gault H. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron. 1976;16(1):31-41
26. Andrassy KM. Comments on 'KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease'. Kidney Int. 2013;84(3):622-623.doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.243.
27. Munar MY, Munar MY, Signh H. Drug dosing adjustments in patients with chronic kidney disease. Am Fam Physician. 2007;75(10):1487-1496
28. Saad R, Hallit S, Chahine B. Evaluation of renal drug dosing adjustment in chronic kidney disease patients at two university hospitals in Lebanon. Pharm Pract (Granada). 2019;17(1):1304.doi: 10.18549/PharmPract.2019.1.1304.
29. Saleem A, Masood I. Pattern and predictors of medication dosing errors in chronic kidney disease patients in Pakistan: a single center retrospective analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11(7):e0158677.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.
30. Markota NP, Markota I, Tomic M, Zelenika A. Inappropriate drug dosage adjustments in patients with renal impairment. J Nephrol. 2009;22(4):497-501
31. Pillans P, Landsberg P, Fleming AM, Fanning M, Sturtevant J. Evaluation of dosage adjustment in patients with renal impairment. Intern Med J. 2003;33(1-2):10-13.doi: 10.1046/j.1445-5994.2003.00330.x
32. Sah S, Wanakamanee U, Lerkiatbundit S, Regmi B. Drug dosage adjustment of patients with impaired renal function at hospital discharge in a teaching hospital. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2014;12(26):54-58
33. Soetikno V, Effendi I, Nafrialdi N, Setiabudy R. A survey on the appropriateness of drug therapy in patients with renal dysfunction at the Internal Medicine Ward FMUI/Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital. Med J Indones. 2009;18(2):108-113.doi: 10.13181/mji.v18i2.348
34. Sweileh WM, Janem SA, Sawalha AF, Abu-Taha AS, Zyoud SeH, Sabri IA, et al. Medication dosing errors in hospitalized patients with renal impairment: a study in Palestine. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2007;16(8):908-912.doi: 10.1002/pds.1412
35. Decloedt E, Leisegang R, Blockman M, Cohen K. Dosage adjustment in medical patients with renal impairment at Groote Schuur Hospital. S Afr Med J. 2010;100(5):304-306.doi: 10.7196/samj.3955
36. Altunbas G, Solak Y, Gul EE, Kayrak M, Kaya Z, Akilli H, et al. Renal drug dosage adjustment according to estimated creatinine clearance in hospitalized patients with heart failure. Am J Ther. 2016;23(4):e1004-e1008.doi: 10.1097/01.mjt.0000434042.62372.49.
37. Getachew H, Tadesse Y, Shibeshi W. Drug dosage adjustment in hospitalized patients with renal impairment at Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Nephrol. 2015;16(1):158.doi: 10.1186/s12882-015-0155-9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

38. Alam A, Amanullah F, Baig-Ansari N, Lotia-Farrukh I, Khan FS. Prevalence and risk factors of kidney disease in urban Karachi: baseline findings from a community cohort study. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7(1):179.doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-179
39. Ullah K, Butt G, Masroor I, Kanwal K, Kifayat F. Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in a Pakistani population. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2015;26(6):1307.doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.168694

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table. 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients (N=231)	
Variable	***N (%)***
Age (years)[mean (SD)]	46.14±15.90
Gender	
Male	184(79.65)
Female	47(20.35)
Length of hospital stay (days) [mean (SD)]	3.97±1.96
GFR category	
G3b	08(3.46)
G4	14(6.06)
G5	209(90.48)
eGFR ml/min./1.73m^2 [mean (SD)]	8.07±7.8
BUN mg/dl[mean ±SD]	205.29±93.02
Serum Creatinine mg/dl[mean ±SD]	10.33±5.43
K mmol/l[mean ±SD]	4.89±1.01
Number of drug prescribed[mean ±SD]	6.7±1.33
≤5	34(14.72)
>5	197(85.28)
Antibiotics prescribed	
Yes	220(95.24)
No	11(4.76)
Comorbidities present	
Yes	180(77.92)
No	51(22.07)
Diabetes mellitus	
Yes	57(24.68)
No	174(75.32)
Hypertension	
Yes	148(64.07)
No	43(18.61)
Ischemic heart disease	
Yes	21(9.09)
No	210(90.91)
Urinary tract infection	
Yes	19(8.23)
No	212(91.77)
Hepatitis B	
Yes	09(3.90)
No	222(96.10)
Hepatitis C	
Yes	21(9.09)
No	210(90.91)
Obstructive nephropathy	
Yes	36(15.58)
No	195(84.42)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table. 2 Number and mean or median of properly adjusted and unadjusted drugs prescribed

Variable	***N***	***%***	***Mean(SD)***	***Median (IQR)***
Total number of drugs prescribed	1549	100	6.7±1.33	
Total number of drug prescribed requiring adjustment	480/1549	30.99	2.08±0.86	
Total number of drugs properly adjusted	194/480	40.42		1(0-3)
Number of drugs unadjusted	286/480	59.58		1(0-3)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table. 3 List of drugs needing adjustment, properly adjusted, or unadjusted

Drug name	***Drugs needing adjustment (N)***	***Drugs adjusted N (%)***	***Drugs unadjusted N (%)***
Meropenem	17	-	17(100)
Sodium Bicarbonate	41	22(53.66)	19(46.34)
Domiperidone	52	42(80.77)	10(19.23)
Cefoperazone/sulbactam	127	11(8.66)	116(91.34)
Ranitidine	70	24(34.29)	46(65.71)
Furosemide	55	38(69.09)	17(30.91)
Cefotaxime	32	25(78.12)	7(21.87)
Metoclopramide	6	1(16.66)	5(83.33)
Piperacillin/tazobactam	7	1(14.28)	6(85.71)
Tranxemic acid	3	1(33.33)	2(66.66)
Vancomycin	8	7(87.5)	1(12.5)
Captopril	3	3(100)	-
Aspirin	6	6(100)	-
Ciprofloxacin	3	-	3(100)
Cefepime	27	-	27(100)
Spironolactone	6	3(50)	3(50)
Rosuvastatin	3	-	3(100)
Ramipril	2	1(50)	1(50)
Bisoprolol	2	2(100)	-
Fluconazole	5	2(40)	3(60)
Pregabilin	2	2(100)	-
Levofloxacin	2	2(100)	-
Linezolid	1	1(100)	-
	480	**194(40.42)**	**286(59.58)**

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.20090787; this version posted May 12, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table .4 :Regression analysis of demographic and clinical variables with medication dosing errors

Variable	***All medication per patient were un adjusted***		***Odds Ratio (cOR) 95%CI***	***p-value***
	No	***Yes***		
Age(years) [mean]	46.43	45.53	1.004[0.988-1.021]	0.601
Gender				
Male	100(77.5)	84(82.4)	0.739[0.384-1.424]	0.366
Female	29(22.5)	18(17.6)	Reference	
Length of hospital stay(days)[mean]	3.94	3.99	0.989[0.867-1.128]	0.865
Serum Creatinine(mg/dl)	10.01	10. 75	0.975[0.929-1.023]	0.303
BUN(mg/dl)	204.68	206.06	1.000[0.997-1.003]	0.911
K^+ (mmol/l)	4.91	4.87	1.038[0.802-1.344]	0.777
GFR category				
G3b and G4	17(13.2)	5(4.9)	Reference	
G5	112(86.8)	97(95.1)	0.340[0.121-0.955]	0.041
Total of drugs prescribed[mean]	7.12	6.18	1.826[1.444-2.310]	<0.001
Antibiotics prescribed				
No	4(3.1)	7(6.9)	Reference	0.193
Yes	125(96.9)	95(93.1)	0.434[0.124-1.527]	
Drugs requiring dose adjustment[mean]	2.46	1.60	4.818[3.054-7.600]	<0.001
Comorbidity present				
Yes	98(74.8)	84(82.4)	Reference	
No	33(25.2)	18(17.6)	0.623[0.327-1.188]	0.151
Diabetes mellitus				
Yes	38(29.5)	19(18.6)	1.824[0.976-3.411]	0.060
No	91(70.5)	83(81.4)	Reference	
Hypertension				
Yes	79(61.2)	69(67.6)	0.756[0.438-1.304]	0.314
No	50(38.8)	33(32.4)	Reference	
Ischemic heart disease				
Yes	15(11.6)	6(5.9)	2.105[0.786-5.637]	0.138
No	114(88.4)	96(94.1)	Reference	
Urinary tract infection				
Yes	10(7.8)	9(8.8)	0.868[0.339-2.224]	0.769
No	119(92.2)	93(91.2)	Reference	
Hepatitis C				
Yes	11(8.5)	10(9.8)	0.858[0.349-2.107]	0.738
No	118(91.5)	92(90.2)	Reference	
Hepatitis B				
Yes	4(3.1)	5(4.9)	0.621[0.162-2.374]	0.486
No	125(96.9)	97(95.1)	Reference	
Obstructive nephropathy				
Yes	15(11.6)	21(20.6)	0.508[0.247-1.044]	0.065
No	114(88.4)	81(79.4)	Reference	