Single-cell Transcriptome Analysis Indicates New Potential Regulation Mechanism of ACE2 and NPs signaling among heart failure patients infected with SARS-CoV-2

Dachun Xu[1]*, Mengqiu Ma[1]*, Yanhua Xu[1]*, Yang Su[1]*, Sang-Bing Ong[2],

Xingdong Hu[3], Min Chai[4], Maojun Zhao[5], Hong Li[6], Xiaojiang Xu[7]#

[1]Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, 1239 Siping Road, Shanghai, 200072, China. [2]Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hongkong, China. [3]Department of Critical Care Medicine, The third people's Hospital of Guizhou Province, Guiyang, China. [4]Department of Critical Care Medicine, Ezhou Central Hospital, Ezhou, China. [5]Emergency department, The First People Hospital's of Guiyang, Guiyang, Guizhou, China. [6] IID, NIEHS, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA. [7]Integrative Bioinformatics, ESCBL, NIEHS, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA

* Dachun Xu, Mengqiu Ma, Yanhua Xu and Yang Su contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence: Xiaojiang Xu, Ph.D. Email: xiaojiang.xu@nih.gov.

Abstract

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has resulted in high morbidity and mortality worldwide since December 2019. Recent studies showed that patients with previous heart disease, especially heart failure (HF), whose plasma Natriuretic Peptides (NPs) concentrations are higher, were more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection. In this study, we retrospectively analyzed single-center case series of 91 patients with COVID-19 in China. 46 (50.5%) patients exhibited cardiac dysfunction as indicated by elevated Natriuretic Peptides B (BNP) levels. Moreover, the results indicate that patients with cardiac dysfunction had higher mortality than those without cardiac dysfunction. Nonetheless, it remains unclear as to how the virus infects the heart, especially in HF patients and why a higher level of BNP in the heart dampen inflammation. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), the critical host cellular receptor of SARS-CoV-2, expresses in different organs. Still, its cellular distribution in the human heart, especially in patients with HF remains unclear. Thus, we investigated ACE2 gene expression pattern in single-cell RNA sequence (scRNA-seq) data of hearts from normal adults versus patients with HF. Our results indicate that ACE2 is predominantly enriched in cardiomyocytes (CMs), endothelial cells, fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells in normal heart. Not only ACE2+ CMs, but also expression of ACE2 are significantly boosted in CMs of patients with HF. Also, genes related to virus entry, virus replication and suppression of IFN-γ signaling besides ACE2 were up-regulated in HF patient, mainly in CMs, indicating the higher susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Interestingly, NPs are significantly up-regulated in ACE2-postive (ACE2+) ventricular myocytes and share the upstream transcription factor. ACE2 and NPs can form a negative feedback loop with protective effects. But it maybe turns into a positive feedback loop by virus and ineffective NPs, which lead to severe prognosis. In summary, the increased

expression of ACE2, NPs during HF predisposes to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Modulating the

levels of ACE2, NPs therefore may potentially be a novel therapeutic target to prevent the

SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Running title: scRNA-seq and ACE2 and NPs signaling in COVID-19 with heart failure

Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Heart failure, Cardiac dysfunction, Angiotensin

converting enzyme 2, Single-cell sequence

Introduction

A novel disease termed Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute

respiratory syndrome, coronavirus 2(SARS-CoV-2) broke out in December 2019[1]. The virus

has spread worldwide and classified as a pandemic in 2020. As of April 2020, more than 30

million cases of COVID-19 and more than 200,000 deaths have been reported worldwide[2].

Besides respiratory illness, the viral infection causes a series of symptoms related to myocardial

injury, including cardiac dysfunction[1, 3, 4]. Recent clinical studies have found that the elderly

and individuals with underlying comorbidities, including cardiovascular diseases such as

hypertension (HTN) and coronary heart disease (CAD) are more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2

with worse prognosis[5, 6]. Cardiac injury has also been found to be a common condition among

hospitalized patients with COVID-19 and is associated with a higher risk of in-hospital

mortality[5].

Single-cell RNA sequencing has shown that the SARS-CoV-2 entry receptor, angiotensin-

converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is highly expressed in the nasal, kidney, endothelium, testis, and

heart[7-12]. Zou *et al.* reported that cardiomyocytes (CMs) contained ACE2 positive cells, thus

raising the possibility of the myocardium being infected with SARS-CoV-2[9]. ACE2 functions

to convert angiotensin II (Ang II) into angiotensin1-7 (Ang1-7) thus preserving ejection

fraction in patients with HF[13]. As such, patients with underlying cardiovascular disorders such

as HF are more susceptible to be infected by the virus and their mortality rates are higher than

compared to normal patients resulting from increased ACE2[14]. Nonetheless, at the single cell

level, nothing much is known about the underlying mechanism as to why the failing heart is

more vulnerable to the virus compared with a normal heart.

Our present study retrospectively analyzed a single-center case series in Ezhou, China. The

results show that cardiac dysfunction（BNP≥100 pg/mL）in patients infected with SARS-CoV-

2 is associated with higher mortality. We then applied single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-

seq) to hearts from the normal adults versus patients with failing heart to elucidate the potential

mechanisms of acute myocardial injury caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection. ACE2 transcript

was detected in all types of cells in heart, including cardiomyocytes (CMs), endothelial,

fibroblasts and smooth muscle cells and immune cells. A type of abnormal CMs (CM4) was

identified specifically in HF patients. Genes related to virus entry, virus replication and

suppression of IFN-γ signaling besides ACE2 were up-regulated in HF patient. Interestingly,

ACE2-postive (ACE2+) ventricular myocytes expressed high NPs. We demonstrated that the

increased expression of ACE2, BNP and ANP during heart failure predisposes to SARS-CoV-

2 infection. ACE2, BNP and ANP therefore could be a novel therapeutic target to prevent the

SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Materials and Methods

Study Participants

Patients admitted to Ezhou Central Hospital, Ezhou, China with laboratory-confirmed COVID-

19 were included in this retrospective cohort study, which was conducted from January 25,

2020, to March 15, 2020. The patients with COVID-19 enrolled in this study were diagnosed

according to World Health Organization interim guidance [15]. The cases without a BNP

measurement were excluded. This study was approved by the National Health Commission of

China and Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine (Shanghai,

China). Written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee of the designated

hospital for patients with emerging infectious diseases.

Data Collection

The demographic characteristics, clinical data (comorbidities, laboratory findings, and

outcomes), laboratory findings for participants during hospitalization were collected from

electronic medical records. Cardiac biomarkers measured on admission were collected,

including TNI, CK-MB, and BNP. All data were independently reviewed and entered the

computer database by three analysts. Patients were categorized according to the BNP. Acute

heart failure (HF) was defined as blood levels of BNP above 100 pg/ml, regardless of

cardiovascular disease history and new abnormalities in electrocardiography and

echocardiography. The clinical outcomes (i.e., discharges and mortality) were monitored up to

March 15, 2020.

PCR-Fluorescence probing based kit (Novel Coronavirus(2019-nCoV) Nucleic Acid

Diagnostic Kit, Sansure Biotech, China) was used to extract nucleic acids from clinical sample

and detect the ORF1ab gene (nCovORF1ab) and the N gene (nCoV-NP) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. An infection was considered laboratory-confirmed if the

nCovORF1ab and nCoV-NP tests both showed positive results.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were obtained for all study variables. Continuous data were expressed as

mean (SD) or median (interquartile range [IQR]) values. Categorical data were expressed as

proportions. All continuous variables were compared using the t-test or the Mann-Whitney U-

test if appropriate. In contrast, categorical variables were analyzed for the study outcome by

Fisher exact test or $\chi 2$ test. The Pearson correlation coefficient and Spearman rank correlation

coefficient were used for liner correlation analysis. Survival analysis between patients with

BNP<100 pg/mL and $\geq$100 pg/mL was conducted by the Kaplan-Meier estimate with p-value

generated by the log-rank test. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp) or

Graphpad Prism 8.0.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). For all the statistical analyses, 2-

sided p<0.05 was considered significant.

scRNA-seq analysis

Data Sources

Adult human heart scRNA-seq datasets were obtained from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)

under accession codes GSE109816 and GSE121893. Shortly, samples from fourteen healthy

donors, six HF patients who were undergoing heart transplantation and two patients with heart

failure before and after LV assist device (LVAD) treatment were obtained. The range of donor

ages was 21-52 year, with a median age of 45.5 year.

Sequencing data processing

The processed read count matrix was retrieved from existing sources based on previously published data as specified explicitly in the reference. Briefly, Raw reads were processed using the Perl pipeline script supplied by Takara.

Single-cell clustering and identify cell types

The processed read count matrix was imported into R (Version 3.6.2) and converted to a Seurat object using the Seurat R package (Version 3.1.2). Cells that had over 75% UMIs is derived from the mitochondrial genome were discarded. For the remaining cells, gene expression matrices were normalized to total cellular read count using negative binomial regression method implemented in Seurat *SCTransform* function. Cell-cycle scores were calculated using Seurat *CellCycleScoring* function. The Seurat *RunPCA* functions were performed to calculate principal components (PCs). We further corrected the batch effect using Harmony because batch effects among the human heart samples were observed. The *RunUMAP* function with default setting was applied to visualize the first 35 Harmony aligned coordinates. The *FindClusters* function with resolution=0.2 parameter was carried out to cluster cells into different groups. Canonical marker genes were applied to annotate cell clusters into known biological cell types. Monocle 3[16] as used to perform trajectory and pseudotime analysis.

Identification of differential expression genes (DEG)

To identify DEG between two groups, we applied the Seurat *FindMarkers* function with the default parameter of method "MAST" and cells ID from each defined group (e.g. ACE2+ cells vs ACE2- cells in CM1) as input.

Gene function analysis

GSEA (Version 4.03) was used to perform gene ontology (GO) term and pathway enrichment

analysis with the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB, C2 and C5, Version 7.01).

Results

Clinical Characteristics

The median age of these 91 SARS-CoV-2-infected patients was 66 years (range, 27-89 years),

and 54 (59.3%) were male. 46 patients (50.5%) were divided into elevated BNP group ($\geq$100

pg/mL) with median (IQR) level of 299.5 [180.0, 548.0] pg/mL. HF patients have increased

ANP and BNP plasma concentrations, which correlates with cardiovascular disease severity.

BNP is widely recognized as a diagnostic marker and therapeutic hormone in clinical practice[17].

Patients with higher BNP were older (median age, 79 [44-89] years vs. 62 [27-79] years;

p<0.0001). In the higher BNP group, levels of white blood cell (13.05 [6.76, 18.13] 10^9/L)

and neutrophil (11.88 [4.83, 16.93] 10^9/L) were significantly higher, while the level of

lymphocyte (0.50 [0.27, 0.78] 10^9/L) was significantly lower. Generally, organ impairment

was significantly more severe in higher BNP group, including worse liver function indicated

by aspartate transaminase (AST, 41.5 [29.0, 64.0], U/L), direct bilirubin (6.6 [4.0, 13.2],

μmol/L), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, 407.0 [288.0, 599.0], U/L) and worse renal function

indicated by eGFR (86.5±44.5, mL/(min*1.73m2) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN, 9.0 [5.2,

15.9], mmol/L). Cardiac injury indicated by troponin I (0.05 [0.03, 0.25], ng/mL) was

significant in higher BNP group. Electrolytes disturbance with elevated potassium (4.19 [3.64,

4.70], mmol/L) and decreased calcium (1.97±0.18, mmol/L) level were observed in higher

BNP group. Among the coagulation profiles, prothrombin time (PT, 13.9 [12.8, 16.7], s) was

prolonged and D-dimer (6.96 [3.25, 24.2], μg/mL) level was significantly raised. Inflammatory

biomarkers, as procalcitonin (1.01 [0.39, 3.51], ng/mL) and hsCRP (18.00 [13.45, 21.50],

mg/L), showed a more intense infection in higher BNP group. Blood gas analysis was

comparable between groups. Noteworthy, mortality (58.70%) was significantly higher in

patients with higher BNP. (Shown in Table 1)

Relationships between BNP level and clinical assessments

Although blood gas analysis was comparable between groups, patients with higher BNP level

had a higher incidence of respiratory failure (RF, 31.43%, p=0.0064) (Fig. 1A). BNP level was

positively correlated with procalcitonin (r=0.6365, p<0.0001, R^2=0.38), neutrophil (r=0.5263,

p<0.0001, R^2=0.25), and D-dimer (r=0.5824, p<0.0001, R^2=0.33), but negatively correlated

with lymphocyte (r=-0.5585, p<0.0001, R^2=0.29). (Fig. 1B-E). Of note, mortality was

significantly increased through a 30-day follow-up in the higher BNP group. (Log-rank

p<0.0001) (Fig. 1F).

Integrated analysis of normal and HF conditions at single-cell resolution

To detect the discrepancy between normal and heart failure patients, the schematic of the

study was performed according to the description of Wang *et al*[18] . Shortly, the samples of

fourteen healthy donors (hereinafter called normal) were obtained. Six HF patients were

undergoing heart transplantation and two patients with HF before and after left ventricle

assist device (LVAD) treatment (hereinafter called patient). 9767 out of 9994 cells from

normal and 4931 out of 4933 cells from patient passed standard quality control and retained

Figure 1. Relationships between BNP level and clinical assessments.

A, the bars were constituted by percentages of non-respiratory failure (RF), type 1 RF, and

type 2 RF patients. There were 79.17% patients with non-RF, 20.83% RF1, and no RF2 in

BNP<100 pg/mL group, while 68.57% non-RF, 8.57% RF1, and 22.86% RF2 in BNP≥100

pg/mL group. The proportion of groups was significantly different (p=0.0064). **B, C, D and**

E , the procalcitonin, neutrophil, and D-dimer level presented a significantly positive

correlation with BNP level after log transformation, and lymphocyte presented a negative

correlation. **F,** K-M estimate showed the mortality in BNP≥100 pg/mL (dash line) group

(58.7%) was significantly higher compared with BNP<100 pg/mL (solid line) group (11.11%)

after a 30-day follow-up.

for subsequent analyses. On average, we detected 1649 and 1904 genes in individual cell from

normal and patient, respectively. Then, we performed uniform manifold approximation and

projection (UMAP) and clustering analysis and grouped the entire population into nine clusters

(Fig. 2A). Dot plot showed the gene expression of known markers for nine clusters, which

include: 1) endothelial cells (Cluster 1, PECAM1 and VWF); 2) fibroblasts (Cluster 5, LUM

and DCN); 3) smooth muscle cells (Cluster 3, MYH11); 4) NK-T/ monocytes (Cluster 6, CD3G

and CD163); 5) granulocytes (Cluster 9, HP, ITLN1); 6) CM2 and 3 subsets (Clusters 2/4/8,

MYH6 and NPPA); 7) CM1 and 4 subsets (Clusters 0 and 7, MYH7 and MYL2) (Fig. 2B).

Then, UMAP for an individual sample was separately plotted side by side and exhibited the

differential distribution of subsets between normal and HF patients. As shown in Fig. 2C, all

nine subsets were detected in both normal and patient group. However, different cell type

displayed various cell number percentage change between normal and patients. CM1

percentage dramatically decreased from 39.65% to 7.12% (p<0.0001), while the percentage of

CM4 significantly increased from 0.03% to 7.81% (p<0.0001). CM2 and CM3 also increased

from 17.70% to 20.08%(p<0.0001) and 8.27% to 11.56%（p=0.0005）respectively.

Cell numbers of endothelial and fibroblasts were also increased from 16.79% to

24.07%(p<0.0001) and 4.53% to 10.97% (p=0.0018) after HF, respectively (Fig. 2D). Their

cell percentage changes during HF suggest different cell type has a specific response, especially

in cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells and fibroblasts. For each cluster, we calculated the cluster-

specific expression genes (marker genes). Left ventricle (LV) marker genes MYL2 and MYL3

were highly expressed in CM1 and 4; so, we termed the subsets CM1 and 4 as LV

cardiomyocytes. Left atrium (LA) marker genes MYH6 and MYH7 are highly expressed in

CM2 and 3, they were termed as LA cardiomyocytes[19].

Figure 2. Integrated analysis of normal and HF conditions at single-cell resolution

A, UMAP clustering of 14698 cells isolated from normal and heart failure patients. Each dot

represents a single cell. Cell type was annotated by the expression of known marker genes. B,

Dot plotting showing gene signature among different clusters, the shadings denotes average

expression levels and the sizes of dots denote fractional expression. C, Split views show the 9

subsets in normal and patient group. D, The percentage of cell number for different cell types

in normal and patient group.

CMS and Non-CMs (NCMs) shows different characteristics between normal and HF

We compared genes expression of atrial monocytes (CM2&3) and NCMs between normal and

patients. We observed that GO term viral genes expression was up-regulated at all atrial

monocytes and NCMs in HF (Supplementary Fig. S1A-F). We previously reported that all

atrial monocytes and NCMs have high percentage of ACE2+ cells. These results suggested that

all kind of cell type in heart are liable to SARS-CoV-2. In addition, for atrial monocytes of

failing heart, GO results showed us that genes related to mitochondrial protein complex and

other related to ATP synthesis are up-regulated while genes related to inflammatory response,

leukocyte migration, response to interferon-gamma and others related to defend against

pathogens are downregulated, indicating failing atrial monocytes is characterized with lower

resistance to virus (Supplementary Fig. S1A). From these results, CMs show different

characteristics from normal and failing heart, indicating different role in virus infection.

To further determine the relationship between CM1 and CM4, we performed trajectories

analysis of the integrated clusters to show the pseudotime of CMs and NCMs. Trajectory and

pseudotime results indicated CM4 originated from CM1 (Fig. 3A), which is consistent with

our speculation that CM4 is a type of abnormal CM after HF. Then we conducted GSEA

analysis (GO and Pathway) on DEG between CM4 and CM1. GO term viral gene expression

and pathway influenza infection, infectious diseases and HIV infection were upregulated in

CM4 (Fig. 3B and C); but GO term response to virus, defense response to virus, response to

interferon gamma and innate immune response, and pathway the adaptive immune response,

interferon signaling and interferon-alpha-beta-gamma signaling was down-regulated

significantly in CM4 (Fig. 3D and 3E). In summary, these results indicate CM4 is more

vulnerable to virus than CM1.

CMs and NCMs have different ACE2 expression pattern

We further investigated ACE2+ cells frequency change of CMs and NCMs in the failing heart

by comparing them with normal hearts. Fig. 4A showed that the distribution of ACE2+ cells

during HF shifted. Additionally, we calculated the ACE2+ cells frequency across distinct cell

subsets of CMs and NCMs. The frequency of ACE2+ cells increased significantly in three of

four CMs in HF patients, especially in CM1 and CM4. The proportions rose from 5.55% to

31.05% (p<0.0001) and from 0% to 7.01% (p<0.0001) respectively. The frequency of ACE2+

cells in CM3 significantly increased from 6.19% to 13.16% (p<0.0001）, but CM2 didn't

change (from 5.55% to 5.66%, p>0.05) (Fig. 4B).

In summary, our scRNA-seq results demonstrated that ACE2+ CMs dramatically increased

during HF, which suggests that HF patients are more susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 than regular

patients. Also, ventricular myocytes had higher percentage of ACE2+ cells than that at atrial

myocytes, which indicate that they have different response to SARS-CoV-2. ACE2+ cells

percentage in NCMs showed a various pattern, for example, the percentage of ACE2+ cells in

fibroblasts（p<0.0001）and smooth muscle cells (p=0.0104) were decreased. The frequency

of ACE2+ cells in immune subsets NK-T Cell/Monocytes and granulocytes increased from

3.77% to 4.85%（p>0.05）, 2.04% to 5.83%（p> 0.05）, respectively.

Virus infection-related genes are upregulated in HF patients compared with normal

First, we focused on gene expression dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptor, ACE2. To

further examine the potential role of ACE2+ cells in myocardium infected by SARS-CoV-2,

we separated each cell types into two sub-groups (ACE2 positive and ACE2 negative) and

called DEGs between these two groups. In ventricular myocytes, *NPPB*, the gene coding

Figure 3. CM4 shows different characteristics with CM1

A, Pseudotime analysis of the nine clusters, the color from purple to yellow denote the different

developing stage, and the simultaneous principal curve indicates the pseudo-time stage. **B, C**,

GSEA analysis revealed that significant enrichment of GO and pathways for DEGs of CM4

and CM1. **D**, GO enrichment showing GO terms of increased viral gene expression, decreased

adaptive immune response and defense response to virus. **E**, Influenza infection signaling

pathway is up-regulated, both interferon-alpha-beta signaling and interferon-gamma signaling

are down-regulated

BNP and *NPPA* (the gene coding ANP) is the top two upregulated genes with fold change >1.8

in ACE2+ cells. Previous studies reported that ACE2, ANP, BNP, TnT and TnI could make a

feedback loop to reserve ejection fraction in HF[20-23] patients. We further studied these ejection

fraction preservation genes. Interestingly, we found out that most of them not only are

significantly upregulated during HF, but also upregulated in ACE2+ CMs cells (Fig. 4C). To

denote their relationship, we built gene regulatory network (GRN) using string (string-db.org).

GRN showed that *ACE2, NPPA, NPPB, TNNT1, TNNT 2* and *TNNT3* were well connected (Fig.

4D). Based on these co-incidence results, we speculate that these ejection fraction preservation

genes may affect virus infection.

Next, we studied the gene expression dynamics of ACE2, *NPPA* and *NPPB* in CMs and

NCMs during HF. Both *NPPB* and *NPPA* were co-expressed with ACE2 and significantly up-

regulated in CMs during HF (Fig. 5A, 5B). *NPPB* and *NPPA* have different expression pattern.

NPPA has high expression at atrial myocytes (CM2, 3) and NCMs in normal heart and was

significantly upregulated at all CMs (Fig. 5B).   *NPPB* has relatively low, but specific

expression at atrial myocytes (CM2, 3) in normal heart and significantly upregulated

Figure 4. CMs and NCMs have different ACE2 expression pattern

A, UMAP of the CMs and NCMs subsets in normal and HF patients. **B,** Frequency of ACE2+

cells in different cell types. **C,** Gene expression pattern of virus infection-related genes in

different subsets of CMs during HF. **D**, Gene regulatory network of ACE2, NPPA, NPPB and

TNNT1,2,3.

at all CMs except CM4(Fig. 5A). To our surprise, *NPPB* is barely expressed at CM4. Then, we

extended our research to other virus infection-related genes, which involved in virus entry

(BSG, CAV2, CHMP3, CHMP5, STOML2), cysteine proteases cathepsins (CSTB, CSTD,

CSTL), virus replication (AKAP9, RDX, MTCH1) and suppression of IFN-γ signaling

(LARP1, RBX1 and TIMM8B) (Fig. 5C-F). Genes contributed to virus entry (Fig. 5C,5D,

Supplementary Fig. S2A, S2B), virus replication (Fig. 5F) and suppression of IFN-γ signaling

(Fig. 5E) were up-regulated at CMs during HF. SARS-CoV-2 entry host CMs requires ACE2

spike protein and protease. It was reported that SARS-CoV-2 can use ACE2 and cellular

protease TMPRSS2 for entry into host cells[24].

Figure 5. Virus related genes are upregulated in HF patients compared with normal

A, Expression level of ACE2 (red dots), NPPB (green dots) in different clusters, overlapping is shown in the right panel, and the co-expression is shown in yellow dots. Violin plots of the distribution of NPPB between normal and HF patients in different subsets. **B**, Expression level of ACE2 (red dot), NPPA (green dot) in different subsets, overlapping is shown in the right panel, and the co-expression is shown in yellow dots. Violin plots of the distribution of NPPA between normal and HF patients in different subsets. **C**, Violin plots of the distribution of genes (from top to bottom BSG, CAV2, CHMP3) related to viral infection. **D**, Violin plots of the gene expression pattern of CST B/L. **E**, Violin plots of the distribution of genes (from top to bottom AKAP9, RDX, MTCH1) related to IFN-γ signaling pathway. **F**, Violin plots of the distribution of genes (from top to bottom LARP1, RBX1, TIMM8B) on viral replication.

Surprisingly, we barely detected expression of TMPRSS2 in both normal and HF samples (Supplementary Fig. S2C). It was reported that inhibiting both proteases plays an indispensable role in blockade of SARS-CoV viral entry[25] and SARS-CoV-2 can use them to prime in cell lines[24]. So, we investigated gene expression dynamics of the endosomal cysteine proteases cathepsins and found out that CTSB, CTSD and CTSL were up-regulated significantly in CMs during HF (Fig. 5D). We speculate that virus mainly uses ACE2-CTSB/L axis entry into host cells. The above results imply that Heart dysfunction or HF patients are more vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2.

Thrombosis is the main cause accentuate illness in severe patients[26]. Tissue factor

(TF/CD142) activation cause thrombus formation on atherosclerotic plaques coded by F3[27].

We investigated the expression dynamics of genes related to signal blood clotting. F3 was co-

expressed with ACE2 and significantly up-regulated in CM3 and CM1 during HF

(Supplementary Fig. S2D) which indicated that HF patient may possess increased risk of blood

clot.

Figure 6. Characteristics of ACE2-positive ventricular and atrial myocytes.

A, GO analysis revealed that significant enrichment of biological pathways from comparison of ACE2+ ventricular myocytes and ACE2- ventricular myocytes. **B,** GO analysis revealed that significant enrichment of biological pathways from comparison of ACE2+ atrial myocytes and ACE2- atrial myocytes. **C,** GO plots showing GO terms of increased energy derivation by oxidation of organic compounds (left), decreased interferon gamma mediated signaling pathway (median) and down-regulated defense response to virus (right). **D,** GO enrichment plots showing GO terms of increased mitochondrial envelope (left), decreased innate immune response (median) and down-regulated innate immune response (right). The NES and false discovery rate (FDR) were showed in panel.

Characteristics of ACE2 positive ventricular and atrial myocytes

We conducted GSEA analysis on DEGs of cells between ACE2+ and ACE2- in ventricular myocytes (CM1 and CM4) (Fig.6A, Supplementary Fig. S3A). GO term associated with energy consumption (Fig. 6A), energy derivation by oxidation (Fig. 6C), and pathway influenza infection (Supplementary Fig. S3C) and infectious disease (Fig. S3A) were positively enriched in ACE2+ cells. In contrast, GO terms interferon gamma mediated signaling pathway, defense response to virus and pathway interferon-alpha_beta signaling and interferon signaling were negatively enriched in ACE2+ cells (Fig. 6C, Supplementary Fig. S3C).

Furthermore, we performed GSEA analysis on DEGs of cells between ACE2+ and ACE2- in atrial myocytes (CM2 and CM3) (Fig. 6B, Supplementary Fig. S3B). GO terms associated with energy consumption, mitochondrial envelope, ATP synthesis coupled electron transport, oxidative phosphorylation and pathway cardiac muscle contraction, respiratory electron transport were positively enriched (Fig. 6B, 6C, Supplementary Fig. S3B, S3C). On contrary,

GO terms and pathways associated with innate immune response, response to interferon

gamma, interferon gamma signaling and interferon-alpha_beta signaling were negatively

enriched which shared the same trend as ventricular myocytes (Fig. 6D, Supplementary Fig.

S3D). ACE2+ CMs are characterized with capacity of reduced immuno-regulatory effect and

have more energy consumption compared with ACE2- CMs.   Moreover, we also identified

DEGs between ACE2+ NCMs and ACE2- NCMs and GSEA analysis (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Interestingly, pathways associated with infectious disease was positively enriched in NCMs,

except for NK-T Cells/Monocytes. GO terms associated with mitochondrial matrix and ATP

synthesis were positively enriched in smooth muscle cells, NK-T Cells/Monocytes and

fibroblasts, which is consistent with observation at CMs. GO term associated with muscle

structure and function (Supplementary Fig. S4A, S4E) and leukocyte mediated immunity were

negatively enriched in ACE2+ cells of smooth muscle

Figure 7. Conceptual Schematic diagram highlighting the central role of SARS-CoV-2

and the natriuretic peptide, Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone system in the potentially

deleterious (red) and protective (purple) effects.

A, scRNA-seq analysis detected the down-regulated IFN related genes and up-regulated viral

infection related genes during HF, which imply reduced anti-viral signaling. **B,** Schematic

diagram showed the process during heart failure in the early stage and later stage noted in

purple and orange, respectively. **C,** The process under virus infection was noted in red to

speculate the underlying relationship for the higher susceptibility and worse prognosis in HF.

Oval circles and bars indicated the potential drug and targets.

cells, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells (Supplementary Fig. S4B). GO term associated with

viral expression is positively enriched in ACE2+ granulocytes, while GO term associated with

immunocyte mediated immunity is negatively enriched in ACE2+ granulocytes and ACE2+

NK-T Cells/Monocytes which indicates their dysfunctions to fight against pantheons

(Supplementary Fig. S4C, S4D).

Discussion

Our clinical data indicates that patients with high BNP (≥100 pg/mL) were more likely to have

complications such as liver and kidney injury resulting in a poor prognosis. This is the first

study, at single-cell level, to systematically investigate ACE2 and other virus entry related

genes expression dynamics of CMs and NCMs in both normal heart and HF patients. In normal

heart, most of cell types of CMs and NCMs were detected high percentage of ACE2+ cells

comparing with it in the lung, which indicates heart may be infected if exposed to SARS-CoV-

2. Chen *et.al.* reported that the pericytes (with marker genes ABCC9 and KCNJ8), but not the

cardiomyocyte were ACE2+ cells in normal hearts[28]. According to our scRNA-seq analysis,

most cell types in the normal human heart, including CMs, smooth muscle cells, endothelial,

fibroblasts and immune cells have relatively high levels of ACE2 expression. They applied

single nucleus RNA-seq to their normal heart samples, which capture much fewer transcripts

than the SMART-seq using whole-cell as input. Considering the limitation of their technology,

our scRNA-Seq data is more comprehensive and recapitulates the real state of cells.

In the presence of cardiac dysfunction, not only the proportion of ACE2+ cardiomyocytes,

especially ventricular myocytes, was dramatically increased in HF patients, but also the

expression level of ACE2+ cells are significantly enhanced in cardiomyocytes, especially the

ventricular myocytes as well (Fig. 4B). In our study, we have done two kinds of comparison

for each type of CMs: 1) DEGs between failing CMs and normal cells, 2) DEG between ACE2+

CMs with ACE- CMs. Interestingly, following GSEA analysis (GO and pathway) of DEGs

for these two kinds of comparisons achieved consistent results, in which GO term/Pathway

associated with virus infection and virus genes expression were positively enriched, but defense

to virus, secretion of IFN and activation of immune system were negatively enriched in CMs

(Fig. 3B,3C, 6A, 6B). Our results suggest that patients with heart dysfunction or HF may have

a higher susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 7A).

The natriuretic peptide system (NPS), including ANP and BNP play an important role in

chronic heart failure by synergizing with the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS)

and sympathetic nervous system (SNS)[29]. In the early stage of heart failure, NPs are released

to promote diuresis, natriuresis and vasodilation, which is critical for the maintenance of

intravascular volume homeostasis (Fig, 7B) [21]. Meanwhile, ACE2 opposes the molecular and

cellular effects of Ang II by converting Ang II into Ang 1-7 which acts as a vasodilator and

exerts protective effects in the cardiovascular system as well (Fig, 7B) [20]. However, along with

heart failure progresses, the release of functional and effective NPs is blocked, exhibited

increasing NPs but ineffective levels in plasma, further result in the heart dysfunction[30].

Previous studies have established that failed CMs could secrete poorly active prohormones like

pro-ANP and pro-BNP[31]. COVID-19 patients SARS-CoV-2 infection could cause a series of

symptoms related to myocardial injury, including cardiac dysfunction. So, we speculate NPs

may play an important role in virus infection and following heart injury.

Patients suffered heart dysfunction and secreting ineffective NPs including pro-ANP and

pro-BNP may have different scenario. Our scRNA-seq analysis results indicate that patients

with heart dysfunction or HF have more ACE2+ CMs and higher expression ACE2 and have

a higher susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection. It was reported that SARS-CoV led to down-

regulation of ACE2 and then up-regulate its substrates Ang II, which caused more severe lung

injury in mice[32, 33.] Similar reaction axis was found in SARS-CoV-2 between ACE2 and Ang

II, and the accumulation of Ang II may further lead to vascular effectiveness in other organs

such as lung and kidney [34]. Moreover, BNP as an early responsive gene to stress in the

myocardium than ANP[35], whose expression level was increased significantly when stimulated

by Ang II in human[36, 37]. In our study, DEG between ACE2+ and ACE2- ventricular myocytes

showed both BNP and ANP were top two genes up-regulated. We searched ENCODE database

for transcription factor (TF) binding sites in promoter of ACE2, ANP and BNP. Among the top

five TFs, they share top two TFs (AP1 and c-Jun), which imply that they are probably be co-

regulated during HF. For Patients suffered heart dysfunction and secreting ineffective NPs, if

their hearts are infected by SARS-CoV-2, they don't have enough effective NPs and Ang1-7

to preserve ejection fraction; so more heart cell damage and more ACE2+ cells will be expected,

which in versa cause more heart cells being susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig7C).

Finally, ACE2, ineffective NP, virus and Ang II formed a positive feedback loop, which lead

to severe outcome. In summary, there have two scenarios for COVID-19 patients with heart

dysfunction: 1) For patients have normal level of effective NPs, they may benefit from

protective effects of NPs and ACE2 negative feedback loop and have better prognosis (Fig.

7B). 2) For patients have deficient level of effective NPs, a positive feedback loop may be

formed with ACE2, ineffective NP, virus, which cause worse prognosis (Fig. 7C). Drug ACEI

or ARBs can break this positive feedback loop by reducing Ang II. They maybe benefit from

these kinds of drugs, including ACEI, ARBs and LCZ696/Entresto. Ratio of effective versus

ineffective NPs may be the very important factor to make decision whether patients can take

these drugs or not.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Heart Journal online.

Acknowledgements

We thank Xinli Hu for helpful discussion and review of the manuscript. Also, we also want to

thank Yuxi Sun, Teng Ma and Yawei Xu for helpful discussion.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.

81770391 to Dachun Xu)

Conflict of interest: None of the authors have any relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

Reference

1.   Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J, Cao B. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 2020;**395**(10223):497–506.

2.   COVID-19 Dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering(CSSE) at Johns Hopkins.

3.   Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, Qiu Y, Wang J, Liu Y, Wei Y, Xia Ja, Yu T, Zhang X, Zhang L. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. The Lancet 2020;**395**(10223):507–513.

4.   Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng Z. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020.

5.   Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, Cai Y, Liu T, Yang F, Gong W, Liu X, Liang J, Zhao Q, Huang H, Yang B, Huang C. Association of Cardiac Injury With Mortality in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. JAMA cardiology 2020.

6.   Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M, Wu X, Zhang L, He T, Wang H, Wan J, Wang X, Lu Z. Cardiovascular Implications of Fatal Outcomes of Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA cardiology 2020.

7.   Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, Wang W, Song H, Huang B, Zhu N, Bi Y, Ma X, Zhan F, Wang L, Hu T, Zhou H, Hu Z, Zhou W, Zhao L, Chen J, Meng Y, Wang J, Lin Y, Yuan J, Xie Z, Ma J, Liu WJ, Wang D, Xu W, Holmes EC, Gao GF, Wu G, Chen W, Shi W, Tan W. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. The Lancet 2020;**395**(10224):565–574.

8.   Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si H-R, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang C-L, Chen H-D, Chen J, Luo Y, Guo H, Jiang R-D, Liu M-Q, Chen Y, Shen X-R, Wang X, Zheng X-S, Zhao K, Chen Q-J, Deng F, Liu L-L, Yan B, Zhan F-X, Wang Y-Y, Xiao G-F, Shi Z-L. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 2020;**579**(7798):270–273.

9.   Zou X, Chen K, Zou J, Han P, Hao J, Han Z. Single-cell RNA-seq data analysis on the receptor ACE2 expression reveals the potential risk of different human organs vulnerable to 2019-nCoV infection. Frontiers of medicine 2020.

10.   Qi F, Qian S, Zhang S, Zhang Z. Single cell RNA sequencing of 13 human tissues identify cell types and receptors of human coronaviruses. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 2020.

11.   Xu X, Chen P, Wang J, Feng J, Zhou H, Li X, Zhong W, Hao P. Evolution of the novel coronavirus from the ongoing Wuhan outbreak and modeling of its spike protein for risk of human transmission. Science China. Life sciences 2020;**63**(3):457–460.

12.   He S, Wang L, Liu Y, Li Y, Chen H, Xu J, Peng W, Lin G, Wei P, Li B, Xia X, Wang D, BEI J-X, He X, Guo Z. *Single-cell transcriptome profiling an adult human cell atlas of 15 major organs*; 2020.

13.   Patel VB, Zhong J-C, Grant MB, Oudit GY. Role of the ACE2/Angiotensin 1-7 Axis of the Renin-Angiotensin System in Heart Failure. Circulation research 2016;**118**(8):1313–1326.

14.   Zheng Y-Y, Ma Y-T, Zhang J-Y, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. Nature reviews. Cardiology 2020.

15.   World Health Organization interim guidance.

16.   Qiu X, Mao Q, Tang Y, Wang L, Chawla R, Pliner HA, Trapnell C. Reversed graph embedding resolves

complex single-cell trajectories. Nat Methods 2017;**14**(10):979-982.

17. Boerrigter G, Costello-Boerrigter LC, Burnett JC. Natriuretic peptides in the diagnosis and management of chronic heart failure. Heart failure clinics 2009;**5**(4):501-514.

18. Wang L, Yu P, Zhou B, Song J, Li Z, Zhang M, Guo G, Wang Y, Chen X, Han L, Hu S. Single-cell reconstruction of the adult human heart during heart failure and recovery reveals the cellular landscape underlying cardiac function. Nature cell biology 2020;**22**(1):108–119.

19. Lu ZQ, Sinha A, Sharma P, Kislinger T, Gramolini AO. Proteomic analysis of human fetal atria and ventricle. Journal of proteome research 2014;**13**(12):5869–5878.

20. Patel VB, Zhong JC, Grant MB, Oudit GY. Role of the ACE2/Angiotensin 1-7 Axis of the Renin-Angiotensin System in Heart Failure. Circ Res 2016;**118**(8):1313-26.

21. Kuhn M. Cardiac actions of atrial natriuretic peptide: new visions of an old friend. Circ Res 2015;**116**(8):1278-80.

22. Linke WA, Hamdani N. Gigantic business: titin properties and function through thick and thin. Circulation research 2014;**114**(6):1052-1068.

23. Takimoto E. Cyclic GMP-dependent signaling in cardiac myocytes. Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society 2012;**76**(8):1819-1825.

24. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu N-H, Nitsche A, Müller MA, Drosten C, Pöhlmann S. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell 2020.

25. Kawase M, Shirato K, van der Hoek L, Taguchi F, Matsuyama S. Simultaneous treatment of human bronchial epithelial cells with serine and cysteine protease inhibitors prevents severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. Journal of virology 2012;**86**(12):6537-6545.

26. Moore BJB, June CH. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19. Science (New York, N.Y.) 2020.

27. Toschi V, Gallo R, Lettino M, Fallon JT, Gertz SD, Fernández-Ortiz A, Chesebro JH, Badimon L, Nemerson Y, Fuster V, Badimon JJ. Tissue factor modulates the thrombogenicity of human atherosclerotic plaques. Circulation 1997;**95**(3):594-599.

28. Chen L, Li X, Chen M, Feng Y, Xiong C. The ACE2 expression in human heart indicates new potential mechanism of heart injury among patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. Cardiovascular research 2020.

29. Diez J. Chronic heart failure as a state of reduced effectiveness of the natriuretic peptide system: implications for therapy. Eur J Heart Fail 2017;**19**(2):167-176.

30. Volpe M, Carnovali M, Mastromarino V. The natriuretic peptides system in the pathophysiology of heart failure: from molecular basis to treatment. Clinical Science 2015;**130**(2):57-77.

31. Niederkofler EE, Kiernan UA, O'Rear J, Menon S, Saghir S, Protter AA, Nelson RW, Schellenberger U. Detection of endogenous B-type natriuretic peptide at very low concentrations in patients with heart failure. Circulation. Heart failure 2008;**1**(4):258-264.

32. Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, Gao H, Guo F, Guan B, Huan Y, Yang P, Zhang Y, Deng W, Bao L, Zhang B, Liu G, Wang Z, Chappell M, Liu Y, Zheng D, Leibbrandt A, Wada T, Slutsky AS, Liu D, Qin C, Jiang C, Penninger JM. A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat Med 2005;**11**(8):875-9.

33. Acanfora D, Ciccone MM, Scicchitano P, Acanfora C, Casucci G. Neprilysin inhibitor-angiotensin II receptor blocker combination (sacubitril/valsartan): rationale for adoption in SARS-CoV-2 patients. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother 2020.

34. Muthiah Vaduganathan MD, M.P.H., Orly Vardeny, Pharm.D., Thomas Michel, M.D., Ph.D., , John J.V. McMurray MD, Marc A. Pfeffer, M.D., Ph.D., and Scott D. Solomon, M.D. Renin–Angiotensin–Aldosterone

System Inhibitors in Patients with Covid-19. The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE 2020;**382**:1653-
1659.

35. Nakagawa O, Ogawa Y, Itoh H, Suga S, Komatsu Y, Kishimoto I, Nishino K, Yoshimasa T, Nakao K.
Rapid transcriptional activation and early mRNA turnover of brain natriuretic peptide in cardiocyte
hypertrophy. Evidence for brain natriuretic peptide as an "emergency" cardiac hormone against ventricular
overload. J Clin Invest 1995;**96**(3):1280-7.

36. de Lemos JA, McGuire DK, Drazner MH. B-type natriuretic peptide in cardiovascular disease. The
Lancet 2003;**362**(9380):316-322.

37. Holubarsch CJF. Gene Expression of Brain Natriuretic Peptide in Isolated Atrial and Ventricular Human
Myocardium : Influence of Angiotensin II and Diastolic Fiber Length. Circulation 2000;**102**(25):3074-3079.

Table 1. Comparison of COVID-19 patient characteristics between BNP groups.

Parameters	Total (N=91)	BNP<100 (N=45)	BNP≥100 (N=46)	p value
Age, yrs	66 (55.5, 73.0)	62.0 (46.0, 69.0)	79.0 (63.5, 79.0)	<0.0001*
Male, n (%)	54 (59.3)	23 (51.1)	31 (67.4)	0.11
Complete blood cell count, 10^9/L				
White blood cell	7.99 (4.59, 13.31)	6.28 (4.04, 8.38)	13.05 (6.76, 18.13)	<0.0001*
Neutrophil	6.6 (3.43, 12.32)	4.29 (2.74, 6.67)	11.88 (4.83, 16.93)	<0.0001*
Lymphocyte	0.71 (0.38, 1.09)	0.98 (0.62, 1.47)	0.50 (0.27, 0.78)	<0.0001*
Liver and renal function				
Alanine transaminase, U/L	30.0 (18.5, 52.5)	27.0 (19.0, 49.0)	32.0 (18.0, 64.0)	0.5783
Aspartate transaminase, U/L	37.0 (23.0, 55.0)	30.0 (20.0, 51.0)	41.5 (29.0, 64.0)	0.0299*
TBIL, μmol/L	14.1 (9.5, 21.7)	11.8 (9.1, 17.8)	15.2 (10.1, 24.4)	0.1216
Direct bilirubin, μmol/L	5.3 (3.4, 9.8)	4.1 (3.0, 6.5)	6.6 (4.0, 13.2)	0.0047*
Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L	315.0 (179.5, 470.5)	185.0 (154.0, 352.0)	407.0 (288.0, 599.0)	<0.0001*
eGFR, mL/(min*1.73m^2)	105.6±47.0	121.1±41.6	86.5±44.5	0.0003*
Blood urea nitrogen, mmol/L	5.7 (3.9, 11.1)	4.5 (3.2, 5.8)	9.0 (5.2, 15.9)	<0.0001*
Uric acid, μmol/L	234.0 (183.5, 305.5)	235.0 (184.0, 305.0)	230.5 (182.0, 310.0)	0.9494
Cardiac biomarker				
Troponin-I, ng/mL	0.01 (0.01, 0.06)	0.01 (0.01, 0.01)	0.05 (0.03, 0.25)	<0.0001*
Electrolytes				
Potassium, mmol/L	4.04 (3.64, 4.40)	3.87 (3.56, 4.27)	4.19 (3.64, 4.70)	0.0354*
Sodium, mmol/L	139.0 (136.0, 142.0)	139.0 (135.0, 141.0)	139.0 (136.0, 145.0)	0.2992
Chloride, mmol/L	102.0 (98.5, 106.0)	103.0 (100.0, 106.0)	101.5 (98.0, 106.0)	0.6473
Calcium, mmol/L	2.03±0.18	2.09±0.16	1.97±0.18	0.0018*
Coagulation profiles				
Prothrombin time, s	13.4 (12.4, 14.9)	13.0 (12.0, 13.8)	13.9 (12.8, 16.7)	0.0030*
APTT, s	35.5 (31.8, 39.8)	35.1 (32.4, 38.9)	35.5 (30.7, 42.5)	0.6165
Fibrinogen, g/L	3.39 (2.31, 4.77)	3.39 (2.31, 5.09)	3.40 (2.35, 5.87)	0.8567
D-dimer, μg/mL	2.03 (1.22, 1.00)	1.37 (0.83, 1.99)	6.96 (3.25, 24.20)	<0.0001*
Inflammatory biomarkers				
Procalcitonin, ng/mL	0.45 (0.12, 1.12)	0.23 (0.04, 0.49)	1.01 (0.39, 3.51)	<0.0001*

	13.80 (5.74, 20.50)	6.09 (1.52, 15.86)	18.00 (13.45, 21.50)	<0.0001*
hsCRP, mg/L				
Blood gas analysis				
PaO₂, mmHg	71.0 (57.8, 92.0)	78.5 (57.5, 104.5)	68,5 (56.5, 86.0)	0.4867
PaCO₂, mmHg	41.0 (34,.0 48.8)	39.5 (33.5, 43.5)	42.5 (34.0, 57.9)	0.1589
Lactic acid, mmol/L	1.95 (1.40, 2.40)	1.80(1.30, 2.15)	2.00 (1.60, 2.75)	0.1634
BNP, pg/mL	92.0 (32.5, 299.5)	34.0 (15.0, 48.0)	299.5 (180.0, 548.0)	<0.0001*
Death, n (%)	32 (35.16)	5 (11.11)	27 (58.70)	<0.0001*

Continuous variables are presented as means±SD if conform normal distribution or

median with interquartile range if not. Categorical variables are presented as percentage

(%).

* Significant p value (<0.05).

TBIL denotes total bilirubin, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated by

MDRD formula), APTT activated partial thromboplastin time, hsCRP high-sensitive C-

572 reactive protein, BNP B-type natriuretic peptide.

Supplemental Figure. 1. Enrichment of biological pathway in different subsets from

comparison of HF patients and normal (related to Fig. 3)

A-F, GO analysis revealed that significant enrichment of biological pathway from comparison

of HF patients and normal in different subsets. **A**, CM2 and CM3 subsets. **B**, Smooth muscle

cells. **C**, endothelial cells. **D**, Granulocytes. **E**, NK-T cells/Monocytes. **F**, Fibroblasts.

Supplemental Figure 2. Distribution of virus-related genes in HF patients compared with

normal (related to Figure 5)

A, Violin plots of the distribution of STOML2 related to the biogenesis and activity of

mitochondria. **B**, Violin plots of the distribution of CHMP5 related to virus infection. **C**, Violin

plots of the distribution of TMPRSS2 related to viral entry. **D**, UMAP of F3 in normal and HF

patients (left) and violin plots of the distribution of F3 (right). **E**, Expression level of ACE2

(red dots), F3 (green dots) in different subsets, overlapping is shown in the right panel, and the

co-expression is shown in yellow dots.

Supplemental Figure 3. Characteristics of ACE2 positive ventricular and atrial myocytes

(related to Figure 6)

A, Pathway analysis revealed the significant enrichment of biological pathways from comparison of ACE2+ and ACE2- ventricular myocytes. **B**, Pathway analysis revealed the significant enrichment of biological pathways from comparison of ACE2+ and ACE2- atrial myocytes. **C**, Reactome analysis showing the up-regulated influenza infection and down-regulated interferon-alpha_beta signaling and interferon signaling in ventricular myocytes comparison of ACE2+ and ACE2- cells. **D**, Reactome analysis showing the up-regulated respiratory electron transport and down-regulated interferon gamma, interferon-alpha_beta

signaling in atrial myocytes comparison of ACE2+ and ACE2- cells. The NES and false

discovery rate (FDR) were showed in panel.

Supplemental Figure 4. Enrichment of biological pathway in different subsets from

comparison of ACE2 positive and negative cells (related to Figure 6)

A-E, GO and pathway analysis revealed the significant enrichment of biological pathway from

comparison of ACE2+ and ACE2- cells in different subsets. **A**, Smooth muscle cells. **B**,

endothelial cells. **C**, Granulocytes. **D**, NK-T cells/Monocytes. **E**, Fibroblasts.