medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

A systematic review to evaluate the clinical outcomes in COVID -19 patients on angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers

Authors:

Abhinav Grover[1], Mansi Oberoi[2]

Corresponding author: Abhinav Grover (agrover3@uci.edu; 949-433-9442)

1. University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, California, USA

2. University of South Dakota, Sanford School of Medicine, Sioux Falls, USA

Abstract

Introduction: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) share their target receptor site with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, that may cause ACE2 receptor upregulation which raised concerns regarding ACEI and ARB use in COVID-19 patients. However, many medical professional societies recommended their continued use given the paucity of clinical evidence but there is need for an updated systematic review of latest clinical studies.

Methods: A search was conducted on PubMed, Google Scholar, EMBASE and various preprint servers for studies comparing clinical outcomes and mortality in COVID-19 patients on ACEI and/or ARB.

Results: A total of eight studies were included in the review. There were conflicting findings reported in several studies as Meng J. et al, Liu Y. et al and Feng Y. reported that patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB had lower rates of severe outcomes whereas Richardson S. et al reported higher rates of invasive ventilation and intensive care unit (ICU) admissions in patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB as compared to non-users. However, Zhang P. et al found slightly higher rates of ICU admissions in patients on ACE inhibitors and ARB as compared to non-users. Similarly, there were conflicting results in the rate of mortality reported by the various clinical studies as well. Meng J. et al, Li J. et al and Zhang P. et al reported lower rates of mortality in ACE inhibitors/ARB users versus non-users whereas Guo J. et al reported higher rates of mortality in patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB as compared to non-users. Additionally, a large study conducted in New York by Richardson S. et al raised concerns with worse mortality outcomes in patients on ACEI/ ARB.

Conclusion: It is concluded that ACEI and ARB should be continued in COVID-19 patients, albeit while exercising caution until larger clinical studies and randomized controlled trials confirm their safety. Additionally, the individual patient factors like ACE2 polymorphisms which might confer higher risk of adverse outcomes need to be evaluated further.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-COV2) causes coronavirus disease (COVID-19), a potentially fatal disease that is of immense global public health concern. The initial cases were reported in December 2019 in Wuhan, China [1]. Since then, there have been 3,041,764 confirmed COVID-19 patients in the world as of April 27th ,2020 with a total of 211,167 deaths. The United States has the maximum number (988,189) of confirmed cases with a total of 56,259 deaths. Most cases were diagnosed in New York (291,996) with a total of 22,668 deaths [2].

Several studies, including a recent meta-analysis have reported that coexisting conditions, including hypertension, cardiac diseases, cerebrovascular diseases and diabetes were common among patients with Covid-19 who had severe illness, got admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), received mechanical ventilation, or died than among patients who had mild illness [3,4].

Notably, the most frequent comorbidities reported in these studies of patients with COVID-19, especially hypertension is often treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) [5]. This could theoretically result in an upregulation of ACE2 in the epithelial cells of the lung, intestine, kidney, and blood vessels, which is an active binding target for SARS-CoV-2 virus [6].

Although this raised concerns regarding the use of these drugs in COVID-19 patients, several animal studies presented conflicting findings regarding increased ACE2 expression due to ACEI and ARB in animal studies and the previous human studies suggested that administration of ACEI/ARBs does not increase ACE2 expression [7]. In light of these findings and a paucity of clinical outcome studies, many professional cardiovascular and hypertension societies including the Italian Society of Pharmacology, International Society of Hypertension (ISH), European Society of Hypertension, Joint American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/American Heart Failure Association and others recommended the continued use of ACEI/ARBs in COVID-19 patients [8–11].

However, since the conception of these recommendations, several clinical studies have been conducted which evaluated the association of ACEI and ARB with clinical severity and mortality outcomes in COVID-19 patients. Therefore, the medical literature was systematically reviewed for the current clinical evidence evaluating the safety and efficacy of ACE inhibitors and ARB in COVID-19 patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Methods

Literature Search

Literature search was conducted on the PubMed/MEDLINE database using key words, viz., "ACE inhibitors AND coronavirus." and "ARB AND coronavirus." We applied search filters to include humans and English language published till April 26th, 2020. Additional papers of possible interest were identified by examining references of pertinent review articles and searching Google Scholar and preprint servers like MedRxiv and Biorxiv. We included studies which evaluated clinical severity and mortality outcomes for patients with COVID-19 on ACE-inhibitors or ARB or both.

We excluded those studies which dealt with in-vitro or animal studies as well as those which only evaluated only ACE expression levels. (Figure 1)

Figure 1: Flowchart for study selection



Data Extraction

The information on the demographics, comorbidities, pharmacotherapy with ACE-I, ARBs and other drugs, clinical severity outcomes and mortality was extracted.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Results

A total of 79 articles were found in the search. Based on titles of the articles, 23 were excluded as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Further, abstracts of 56 articles were read and subsequently, the full text of 35 articles were reviewed. Of these, six articles were shortlisted which compared the clinical and/or mortality outcomes of COVID-19 patients on ACEI or ARB with non-users [12–17]. Additionally, a couple of studies from the United Kingdom and China published on a preprint server was also included[18,19]. Finally, eight studies were included for review. (Table 1)

All the included studies compared clinical severity related outcomes in COVID-19 patients on ACEI or ARB with non-users. However, there was non-uniformity in the definition of the severe outcomes amongst the studies. Meng J. et al, Li J. et al, Liu Y. et al and Feng Y. et al were all conducted in China and defined clinical severity of COVID 19 based on guidelines established by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China (7th edition) [20]. Of these, Meng J. et al, Liu Y. et al and Feng Y. et al reported that patients on ACEI/ARB had lower rates of severe outcomes as compared with non-users, whereas Li J. et al reported equivalent results. However, none of these studies performed adjustments for covariates or a matched analysis [12–14,18]. (Table 2)

Richardson S. et al and Zhang P. et al compared the rates of invasive ventilation and found that they were slightly higher or equivalent in patients on ACEI/ARB as compared to non-users, respectively. In addition, Richardson S. et al also reported slightly higher rates of ICU admissions in patients on ACEI (21.4%) and ARB (20.8%) as compared to non-users (14.8%). Zhang P. et al reported that the patients on ACEI/ARB had lower rates of septic shock (HR = 0.32, p value = 0.01) and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (HR = 0.65, p value = 0.07) as compared to non-users[15,16]. On the other hand, Guo J. et al found that patients on ACEI/ARB had higher rates of cardiovascular disease and elevated troponin T (TnT) levels as compared to non-users (21.1% vs 5.9%) [17]. (Table 2)

Mortality outcomes were assessed in four studies viz. Meng J. et al, Richardson S. et al, Li J. et al and Zhang P. et al. In addition, Bean D.M. et al looked at composite primary endpoints including death or transfer to critical care for organ support within 7 days of symptom onset. Meng J. et al and Li J. et al reported lower rates of mortality in ACEI/ARB users versus non-users in an unadjusted analysis. Zhang P. et al performed matching and an adjusted analysis of 348 patients in which he found that the rate of mortality was statistically significantly lower in patients on ACEI/ARB as compared to non-users [Hazard ratio (HR) = 0.37, p value = 0.03]. Similarly, Bean D.M. et al found lower rates of their primary endpoint of death or critical care transfer in patients on ACE inhibitors as compared to non-users (13.5% vs 27.7%) [12,13,15,19]. (Table 2)

On the contrary, Guo J. et al and Richardson S. et al reported higher rates of mortality in patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB as compared to non-users. Richardson S. et al included 168 hypertensive patients on ACE inhibitors, 245 on ARB and 953 patients neither on ACE inhibitors or ARB and reported 32.7%, 30.6% and 26.7% mortality rates, respectively [15,17]. (Tables 2)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Despite the worldwide implementation of public health measures like social distancing, contact tracing and mass testing to aid in the control of COVID-19, the global cases have risen to more than 3 million and over 200.000 patients lost their lives by April 27th, 2020 [2,21], which further requires attention. Several studies have reported increased rates of COVID-19 associated mortality in patients with significant comorbidities viz hypertension, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, heart failure etc. [3,4] Although ACEI and ARB are commonly prescribed to treat some of these comorbidities, the fact that ACE2 receptor is the main binding site for entry of SARS-CoV-2, caused concerns regarding the use of ACEI and ARB in such patients [5,22].

Several evidence-based consensus and position statements were formulated by various cardiovascular and hypertension societies which recommended continued use of ACE inhibitors and ARB in COVID-19 patients citing the lack of any contrary clinical evidence [8–11]. Since then, however, several clinical studies have evaluated the association of ACE inhibitors and ARB in COVID-19 patients and comorbidities.

It is imperative to accurately predict clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients especially those with comorbidities and taking ACEI or ARB to decide whether to continue or switch to another medication. However, there were conflicting findings reported in several studies as Meng J. et al, Liu Y. et al and Feng Y. reported that patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB had lower rates of severe outcomes whereas Richardson S. et al reported higher rates of invasive ventilation and ICU admissions in patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB as compared to non-users and Guo J. et al found that patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB had higher rates of cardiovascular disease and elevated troponin T (TnT) levels. It is pertinent to note that all above studies did not perform adjustment for covariates or matching for analysis, undermining the statistical strength of their results to a certain extent [12,14,15,17,18]. However, Zhang P. et al did perform matching and an adjusted analysis of 348 patients in which he found slightly higher rates of ICU admissions in patients on ACE inhibitors (21.4%) and ARB (20.8%) as compared to non-users (14.8%) [16].

Similarly, there were conflicting results in the rate of mortality reported by the various clinical studies as well. Meng J. et al, Li J. et al and Zhang P. et al reported lower rates of mortality in ACEI/ARB users versus non-users whereas Guo J. et al and Richardson S. et al reported higher rates of mortality in patients on ACE inhibitors/ARB as compared to non-users [12,13,15–17]. Zhang P. et al again performed matching and adjustment in assessing the mortality outcomes strengthening their conclusions regarding safety of ACEI/ARB, however a large sample size study conducted in New York in over 1000 COVID-19 patients by Richardson S. et al raised concerns of worse mortality outcomes with ACEI/ ARB and cannot be overlooked [15,16].

Several hypotheses have been put forward explaining the positive and negative aspects of ACEI/ARB administration in COVID-19 patients. Positive effects include ACE2 receptor blockade, disabling viral entry into the heart and lungs, and an overall decrease in inflammation secondary to ACEI/ARB, suggesting the use of ACEI might be protective against respiratory complications. Negative effects include a possible retrograde feedback mechanism, by which

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

ACE2 receptors are upregulated, which may lead to severe pneumonia increasing risk of severe outcomes and mortality [23]. Individuals with ACE2 polymorphisms have an increased genetic predisposition for an increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and may have harmful effects of ACEI/ARB [24]. This aspect is worth considering and needs to be evaluated in future studies .

To best of our knowledge, this systematic review is a comprehensive exploration and analysis of existing literature in this topic till date. Our review has limitations in its rigor due to the scarce existing data and diverse study types available. The rapidly emerging knowledge base of COVID-19 poses the possibility that few studies (particularly unpublished/under peer review) remain un-captured. However, we have tried our best to mitigate this by allowing broadest search terms and by including many databases and repositories. We have also tried to comprehensively review and analyze the existing data.

Considering the inconsistent clinical studies and conflicting hypothesis, it is essential to evaluate the clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients on ACEI/ARB in future large studies, particularly randomized controlled trials and additionally evaluate the association of clinical outcomes with ACE2 polymorphisms. Based on this, there are ongoing trials studying the effect of Losartan (an ARB) in patients with COVID-19 in outpatient and inpatient settings (NCT04311177, NCT04312009) [25,26].

Conclusion

It is concluded that ACEI and ARB should be continued in COVID-19 patients, albeit while exercising caution until larger clinical studies and RCT confirm their safety. Additionally, the individual patient factors like ACE2 polymorphisms which might confer higher risk of adverse outcomes need to be evaluated further.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

References

1 Du Toit A. Outbreak of a novel coronavirus. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2020 Mar;18(3):123.

2 COVID-19 Map - Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html

3 Li B, Yang J, Zhao F, Zhi L, Wang X, Liu L, et al. Prevalence and impact of cardiovascular metabolic diseases on COVID-19 in China. Clin Res Cardiol. 2020 Mar;8.

4 Vaduganathan M, Vardeny O, Michel T, McMurray JJV, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD. Renin–Angiotensin–Aldosterone System Inhibitors in Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Apr;382(17):1653–9.

5 Rehan HS, Grover A, Hungin APS. Ambiguities in the Guidelines for the Management of Arterial Hypertension: Indian Perspective with a Call for Global Harmonization. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2017 Feb;19(2). DOI: 10.1007/s11906-017-0715-4

6 Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor Recognition by the Novel Coronavirus from Wuhan: an Analysis Based on Decade-Long Structural Studies of SARS Coronavirus. J Virol. 2020 Mar;94(7). DOI: 10.1128/jvi.00127-20

7 Sriram K, Insel PA. Risks of ACE inhibitor and ARB usage in COVID□19: evaluating the evidence. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2020 Apr DOI: 10.1002/cpt.1863

8 Trifirò G, Crisafulli S, Andò G, Racagni G, Drago F. Should Patients Receiving ACE Inhibitors or Angiotensin Receptor Blockers be Switched to Other Antihypertensive Drugs to Prevent or Improve Prognosis of Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)? Drug Saf. 2020 Apr;1–3.

9 International Society of Hypertension. A statement from the International Society of Hypertension on COVID-19 | The International Society of Hypertension [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://ish-world.com/news/a/A-statement-from-the-International-Society-of-Hypertension-on-COVID-19/

10 European Society of Hypertension. ESH STATEMENT ON COVID-19 | European Society of Hypertension [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://www.eshonline.org/spotlights/esh-statement-covid-19/

11 Heart Failure Society of America; American College of Cardiology; American Heart Association. HFSA/ACC/AHA Statement Addresses Concerns Re: Using RAAS Antagonists in COVID-19 - American College of Cardiology [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/17/08/59/hfsa-acc-aha-statement-addresses-concerns-re-using-raas-antagonists-in-COVID-19

12 Meng J, Xiao G, Zhang J, He X, Ou M, Bi J, et al. Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors improve the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients with hypertension. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020 Dec;9(1):757–60.

13 Li J, Wang X, Chen J, Zhang H, Deng A. Association of Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibitors With Severity or Risk of Death in Patients With Hypertension Hospitalized for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection in Wuhan, China. JAMA Cardiol. 2020 Apr DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1624

14 Feng Y, Ling Y, Bai T, Xie Y, Huang J, Li J, et al. COVID-19 with Different Severity: A Multi-center Study of Clinical Features. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020 Apr DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202002-0445oc

15 Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities, and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA. 2020 Apr DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775

16 Zhang P, Zhu L, Cai J, Lei F, Qin J-J, Xie J, et al. Association of Inpatient Use of Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors and Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers with Mortality Among Patients With Hypertension Hospitalized With COVID-19. Circ Res. 2020 Apr DOI: 10.1161/circresaha.120.317134

17 Guo J, Huang Z, Lin L, Lv J. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Cardiovascular Disease: A Viewpoint on the Potential Influence of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors/Angiotensin Receptor Blockers on Onset and Severity of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020 Apr;9(7):e016219.

18 Liu Y, Huang F, Xu J, Yang P, Qin Y, Cao M, et al. Anti-hypertensive Angiotensin II receptor blockers associated to mitigation of disease severity in elderly COVID-19 patients. medRxiv. 2020 Mar;2020.03.20.20039586.

19 Bean D, Kraljevic Z, Searle T, Bendayan R, Pickles A, Folarin A, et al. Treatment with ACE-inhibitors is associated with less severe disease with SARS-Covid-19 infection in a multi-site UK acute Hospital Trust. medRxiv. 2020 Apr;2020.04.07.20056788.

20 China National Health Commission. Chinese Clinical Guidance for COVID-19 Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment (7th edition) [Internet]. 2020 Mar [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: http://kjfy.meetingchina.org/msite/news/show/cn/3337.html

21 Matrajt L, Leung T. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Social Distancing Interventions to Delay or Flatten the Epidemic Curve of Coronavirus Disease. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Apr;26(8). DOI: 10.3201/eid2608.201093

22 Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Krüger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020 Apr;181(2):271-280.e8.

23 Rico-Mesa JS, White A, Anderson AS. Outcomes in Patients with COVID-19 Infection Taking ACEI/ARB. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2020 Apr;22(5). DOI: 10.1007/s11886-020-01291-4

24 Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? Lancet Respir Med. 2020 Apr;8(4). DOI: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30116-8

25 Losartan for Patients With COVID-19 Not Requiring Hospitalization - Full Text View -

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04311177

26 Losartan for Patients With COVID-19 Requiring Hospitalization - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. [cited 2020 Apr 29]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04312009

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients included in the various studies

Study (year)	Country	No. of patients	Age (median, years)	Sex Males	HTN	DM	Other comorbidities	ACEI/ ARB usage
Meng J. et al (2020)	China	417	64.5^	24^	42*	6	CHD- 8 Hypothyroidism-1 AV block- 1	17
Richardson S. et al (April 2020)	USA	5700	63	3437	3026 (56.6%)	1808 (33.8%)	CAD - 595(11.1%) CHF - 371(6.9%) Asthma - 479(9%) COPD - 287(5.4%) CKD - 268(5%) ESRD - 186(3.5%)	456** 410
Li J. et al (April 2020)	China	1178	55.5	545	362 (30.7%)	203 (17.2%)	CVD - 95 (8.1%) CHD - 103 (8.7%) HF - 21 (1.8%) CKD - 44 (3.7%)	115 (HTN)
Liu Y. et al (March 2020)	China	511 (78 HTN analyzed)	65·2	43	78	NA	N/A	22 (HTN)
Zhang P. et al (April 2020)	China	3430	57(45-65)	1675	1128	388(11.3 %)	CHD - 178(5.2%) CVD - 50(1.5%) CKD - 52(1.5%)	188
Feng Y. et al (April 2020)	China	476	53(40-64)	271 (56.9%)	113(23.7%)	49 (10.3%)	CAD - 38(8%) CVD - 17(3.6%)	33 (29.2%)
Guo T. et al (March 2020)	China	187	58.50(S.D. =14.66)	91(48. 7%)	61(32.6%)	28(15.0 %)	CHD 21(11.2%) CKD 6(3.2%)	19(10. 1%)
Bean D.M. et al (April, 2020)	UK	205	62.95 (19.94)	106 (51.7%)	105 (51.2%)	62 (30.2%)	CAD/HF - 30 (14.6%)	47

HTN=Hypertension; DM=Diabetes Mellitus; ACEI=Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB=Angiotensin II receptor blocker; CHD=Coronary heart disease; AV block=Atrioventricular block; CAD=Coronary artery disease; CHF=Chronic heart failure; COPD=Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD=Chronic kidney disease; ESRD=End stage renal disease; CVD=Cerebrovascular disease; S.D. = Standard deviation

* (9 patients excluded in subsequent analysis because they were not on any hypertensives during hospitalization)

^ age and gender have been reported for hypertensive patients.

**Home medication reconciliation information was available for 2411(92%) of the 2634 patients who were discharged or who died by the study end

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Comparison of clinical severity and mortality outcomes in COVID-19 patients on ACEI and/or ARB versus non-users

Study (year)	No. of patients on ACEI	No. of patients on ARB	No. of patients on ACEI/ARB	No. of patients not on ACEI/ARB	Severe outcomes on ACEI/ARB vs no ACEI/ARB	Mortality On ACE/ARB vs no ACEI/ARB
Meng J. et al (2020)	2	15	17	25 (HTN)	23.5% vs 48%*	0% vs 4%
Richardson S. et al (April, 2020)	168	245	410	953	Invasive ventilation rates 19.6%(ACEI) vs 18.8%(ARB) vs 13.8%(no ACEI/ARB) ICU admission rates 21.4%(ACEI) vs 20.8%(ARB) vs 14.8%(no ACEI/ARB)	32.7%(ACEI) vs 30.6%(ARB) vs 26.7%(no ACEI/ARB)**
Li J. et al (April, 2020)	NA	NA	115	247	49.6% vs 47% (p 0.65)***	18.3% vs 22.7% (p 0.34)
Liu Y. et al (March, 2020) (total n=78)	3	19	22	56 No use 17	2.6%(ACEI) vs 15.8%(ARB) vs 26.3%(No use)	NA
>65 yrs, n=46	2	10	12	34 No use 8	3.6%(ACEI) vs 10.7%(ARB) vs 25%(No use) vs	NA
Zhang P. et al (April,2020)	31[@]	157[@]	174[!]	348[!]	Invasive ventilation 5% vs 5.4% p value = 0.86 Septic shock HR=0.32, (95%CI=0.13-0.80), p value= 0.01 ARDS HR=0.65(95%CI=0.41-1.04), p value= 0.07	HR=0.37(95%CI=0.15-0.89), p value=0.03
Feng Y. et al (April, 2020)	8	27	33	62[+]	Severe 12.5%(ACEI) vs 7.4%(ARB) vs 6.1%(ACE/ARB) vs 19.4%(other regimens) Critical 0%(ACEI) vs 7.4%(ARB) vs	N/A

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.29.20085787; this version posted May 5, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

					6.1%(ACE/ARB) vs 24.3%(other regimens)	
Guo T. et al (March, 2020)	NA	NA	19	168		36.8% vs 25.6%
Bean D.M. et al (April, 2020)	37	9	42	159	13.5%(ACEI) vs 44.4%(ARB) vs 27.7%(no ACEI/ARB)[$]	

ACEI=Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB=Angiotensin II receptor blocker; HTN=Hypertension; ICU = Intensive care unit; HR=Hazard ratio; CI=Confidence interval; ARDS = Acute respiratory distress syndrome

* The severity of COVID-19 was identified during the hospitalization according to the guidelines established by the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China

***The severity of COVID-19 pneumonia was classified according to the diagnosis and treatment scheme for COVID-19 of Chinese(5thedition). 1 Severe illness was defined as blood oxygen saturation levels of 93% or less, respiratory frequency of 30/min or greater, a partial pressure of arterial oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio of less than 300, lung infiltrates more than 50% within 24 to 48 hours, septic shock, respiratory failure, and/or multiple organ dysfunction or failure. Non severe illness was defined as the absence of the previously described characteristics.

** Mortality rates for patients with hypertension

Among the 78 patients, 40 were classified as having mild disease (COVID-19-M), and 38 were classified as severe condition (COVID-19-S) according to New Coronavirus Pneumonia Prevention and Control Program published by the National Health Commission of China

[+]Other regimens

[$] Primary endpoint primary endpoint being death or transfer to a critical care unit for organ support within 7-days of symptom onset.

[@] Before matching; [1]After matching