

1 **Clinical Outcomes and Plasma Concentrations of Baloxavir Marboxil and**
2 **Favipiravir in COVID-19 Patients: An Exploratory Randomized,**
3 **Controlled Trial**

4 Yan Lou^{1*}, Lin Liu^{1*}, Hangping Yao¹, Xingjiang Hu¹, Junwei Su¹, Kaijin Xu¹, Rui Luo¹, Xi
5 Yang¹, Lingjuan He¹, Xiaoyang Lu¹, Qingwei Zhao¹, Tingbo Liang^{1#}, Yunqing Qiu^{1#}

6 1. State Key Laboratory for diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases, Key Laboratory
7 for Drug Evaluation and Clinical Research of Zhejiang Province, The First Affiliated Hospital,
8 Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310003, China

9 * Yan Lou and Lin Liu contributed equally to this work.

10 # Yunqing Qiu and Tingbo Liang contributed equally to this work.

11 Correspondence to:

12 Prof Yunqing Qiu, State Key Laboratory for diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases,
13 Key Laboratory for Drug Evaluation and Clinical Research of Zhejiang Province, The First
14 Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, 79 Qingchun Road, Hangzhou
15 310003, China

16 Tel: +86 57187236626. Fax: +86 87236626.

17 E-mail: qiuyq@zju.edu.cn

18 **Key words:** COVID-19, baloxavir marboxil, favipiravir, pharmacokinetics

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Effective antiviral drugs for COVID-19 are still lacking. This study aims to
3 evaluate the clinical outcomes and plasma concentrations of baloxavir marboxil and
4 favipiravir in COVID-19 patients.

5 **Methods:** Favipiravir and baloxavir acid were evaluated for their antiviral activity against
6 SARS-CoV-2 in vitro before the trial initiation. We conducted an exploratory trial with 3 arms
7 involving hospitalized adult patients with COVID-19. Patients were randomized assigned in a
8 1:1:1 ratio into baloxavir marboxil group, favipiravir group, and control group. The primary
9 outcome was the percentage of subjects with viral negative by Day 14 and the time from
10 randomization to clinical improvement. Virus load reduction, blood drug concentration and
11 clinical presentation were also observed. The trial was registered with Chinese Clinical Trial
12 Registry (ChiCTR 2000029544).

13 **Results:** Baloxavir showed antiviral activity in vitro with the half-maximal effective
14 concentration (EC_{50}) of 5.48 μ M comparable to arbidol and lopinavir, but favipiravir didn't
15 demonstrate significant antiviral activity up to 100 μ M. Thirty patients were enrolled. The
16 percentage of patients who turned viral negative after 14-day treatment was 70%, 77%, and
17 100% in the baloxavir, favipiravir, and control group respectively, with the medians of time
18 from randomization to clinical improvement was 14, 14 and 15 days, respectively. One reason
19 for the lack of virological effect and clinical benefits may be due to insufficient concentrations
20 of these drugs relative to their antiviral activities.

21 **Conclusions:** Our findings do not support that adding either baloxavir or favipiravir under the
22 trial dosages to the existing standard treatment.

1 **Introduction**

2 In December, 2019, several patients with pneumonia of unknown cause were confirmed
3 to be infected with a novel coronavirus, initially named as 2019-nCoV and now named as
4 SARS-CoV-2, in Wuhan, Hubei province, China. The pneumonia was later named as
5 Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), and soon drew global attention because of the rapidly
6 increasing patient numbers[1]. As of 17:00 on April 29, 2020, over 3,080,000 cases confirmed
7 in China and other 212 countries. Therefore, the situation is grim for the prevention and
8 control of COVID-19.

9 Up to now, there is still a lack of effective antiviral drugs for COVID-19. The treatment
10 experience can only draw on the characteristics of other coronaviruses, such as highly
11 pathogenic Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (SARS-CoV). The antiviral
12 drugs that have been recommended by Diagnosis and treatment program of the novel
13 coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) (Trial Sixth Edition,China) include broad-spectrum
14 antiviral drugs (interferon- α , ribavirin), hemagglutinin inhibitors (arbidol), protease inhibitors
15 (lopinavir/ritonavir), and chloroquine phosphate. The latest data shows that some antiviral
16 drugs, including favipiravir, remdesivir, chloroquine phosphate, have inhibitory effect against
17 SARS-CoV-2 in vitro[2]. However, due to the limited clinical experience of using these drugs
18 in COVID-19 patients, inadequate understanding of their mechanism of action against
19 SARS-CoV-2, the antiviral drugs currently in use need more in-depth research basis in clinical
20 application for COVID-19, and their potential efficacy against the SARS-CoV-2 virus has
21 been controversial.

22 Baloxavir marboxil and favipiravir are novel inhibitors of the influenza RNA replication
23 process by targeting different protein subunits of the influenza polymerase complex. Briefly,

1 baloxavir marboxil inhibits cap-dependent endonuclease[3], and favipiravir inhibits
2 polymerase basic protein 1[4]. Since the SARS-CoV-2 and the influenza virus are both RNA
3 viruses, baloxavir marboxil and favipiravir are considered to be potentially effective against
4 SARS-CoV-2 by blocking its RNA synthesis. Meanwhile, we found that baloxavir marboxil
5 and favipiravir have antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. Moreover, favipiravir is a
6 small purine analogue and converted into its active ribofuranosyl 5'-triphosphate metabolite
7 in the cell, which can be incorporated in the growing RNA strand[5]. The antiviral activity of
8 favipiravir in vivo may be stronger than that in vitro. Based on the existing treatment experience
9 and related theoretical basis, we decided to study their clinical efficacy in the treatment of
10 COVID-19.

11 In this study, in vitro activities of antiviral drugs against SARS-CoV-2 were screened
12 firstly. Then, an exploratory single center, open-label, randomized, controlled trial was
13 conducted to evaluate the efficacy and safety of adding baloxavir marboxil or favipiravir to
14 the current standard antiviral treatment in patients confirmed as COVID-19 who are still
15 positive for the SARS-CoV-2 (ChiCTR2000029544). We also measured the plasma
16 concentrations of these antiviral drugs, compared them to the half-maximal effective
17 concentration (EC₅₀) values.

18 **Methods**

19 **In Vitro Antiviral Assay Against SARS-CoV-2**

20 The antiviral activity was evaluated by quantifying the virus yield in the supernatants of
21 infected cell after treatment by qRT-PCR. For additional detailed operation steps, please refer
22 to Supplementary Material (appendix p1).

1 **Study Design**

2 This trial was an exploratory single center, open-label, randomized, controlled trial to
3 evaluate the efficacy and safety of adding baloxavir marboxil or favipiravir to the current
4 standard antiviral treatment in patients confirmed as COVID-19 who are still positive for the
5 SARS-CoV-2 (ChiCTR2000029544). Participants confirmed as COVID-19 infection were
6 randomly allocated (1:1:1) to the study after approval by the ethics committees. SAS software
7 was used to generate the random number and the treatment group corresponding to the
8 random number. After the subjects passed screening, the researchers assigned the random
9 number according to the order of enrollment, removed the random envelope according to the
10 random number, and treated the subjects according to the random envelope group and
11 treatment plan. The blind method is not suitable for this trial. Figure 1 shows an overview of
12 this trial. The trial was initiated on February 3, 2020, and the data were collected in The First
13 Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. This study was approved by the
14 Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine
15 (2020 IIT-7). Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria are listed in Supplementary Material
16 (appendix p2).

17 **Dose Administration**

18 All patients start the recommended antiviral treatment (lopinavir/ritonavir,
19 darunavir/cobicistat or arbidol, described below) immediately after the admission diagnosis
20 and received standard care. The trial treatment scheme started as soon as consent was
21 obtained (Day 1). The specific administration is as follows: (1) Baloxavir marboxil group:
22 baloxavir marboxil is used in combination with the existing antiviral treatment (described

1 below). The dose was 80 mg once a day orally on Day 1 and Day 4; for patients who are still
2 positive in virological test, they can be given again on Day 7, no more than three additional
3 doses; (2) Favipiravir group: favipiravir was used in combination with the existing antiviral
4 treatment. The first dose was 1600 mg or 2200mg orally, followed by 600 mg each time, three
5 times a day, and the duration of administration was not more than 14 days; (3) Control group:
6 Continue the existing antiviral treatment.

7 The existing antiviral treatment included lopinavir/ritonavir (400mg/100mg, bid, po.) or
8 darunavir/cobicistat (800mg/150mg, qd, po.) and arbidol (200mg, tid, po.). All of them were
9 used in combination with interferon- α inhalation (100,000 iu, tid or qid).

10 **Outcomes**

11 **Primary and Secondary Outcomes**

12 The primary efficacy end point was the percentage of subjects with viral negative by Day
13 14 and the time from randomization to clinical improvement, defined as the time from
14 randomization to an improvement of two points (from the status at randomization) on a
15 seven-category ordinal scale or live discharge from the hospital, whichever came first. The
16 ordinal scale, which is refer to National Early Warning Score 2 (NEWS2), have been used as
17 end points in clinical trials in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Secondary clinical end
18 points included the percentage of subjects with viral negative by Day 7, the incidence of
19 mechanical ventilation by Day 14, ICU admission by Day 14, and all-cause mortality by
20 Day14.

21 **Viral Negativity**

1 SARS-CoV-2 (molecular viral load) was immediately assessed at the hospital laboratory
2 using a semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay (LightCycler 480real-time fluorescent quantitative
3 PCR, Roche). The results are expressed in terms of Ct, whose value is inversely proportional
4 to viral load.

5 **Drug Concentration Measurement**

6 Blood samples were collected less than one hour after the first dose of favipiravir or
7 baloxavir marboxil on Day 1, then just before dosing on the Day 4 and the Day 7. All samples
8 were immediately shipped to the biosafety level 3 laboratory in our hospital. In this laboratory,
9 the blood samples were heated at 60°C for one hour to inactivate SARS-CoV-2, which did not
10 significantly affect the quantification of these six compounds in plasma (appendix p3). Plasma
11 samples were prepared, frozen at -20°C and transferred to another laboratory in our hospital
12 for drug concentration measurement. Plasma concentrations of baloxavir acid, arbidol,
13 lopinavir, ritonavir and darunavir were determined simultaneously using a validated liquid
14 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method which was firstly established in this study
15 (appendix p4). Favipiravir plasma concentrations were analyzed using the liquid
16 chromatography (appendix p4).

17 **Statistical Analysis**

18 Due to the exploratory nature of this study, a formal sample size calculation would have
19 been of little value and therefore it was not performed. Similarly, more statistical analysis will
20 not be performed.

21 **Results**

1 **In Vitro Antiviral Activity Against SARS-CoV-2**

2 The activity against SARS-CoV-2 was tested in vitro for the antiviral drugs used in this
3 trial, including arbidol, ritonavir, lopinavir, darunavir, baloxavir acid, and favipiravir.
4 Among the six tested drugs, three drugs showed measurable activity against SARS-CoV-2.
5 Based on the results of non-linear regression fitting, EC_{50} against SARS-CoV-2 was estimated
6 to be 3.32, 5.48, and 10.4 μM , for arbidol, baloxavir acid, and lopinavir, respectively (Figure
7 2). The antiviral activity of favipiravir was not as effective as observed in a previous study in
8 which an EC_{50} value of 61.88 μM was reported[2], and SARS-CoV-2 was inhibited by less
9 than 50% at concentrations up to 100 μM , the highest concentration tested in antiviral assay.
10 Similarly, ritonavir and darunavir did not show antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2.
11 Furthermore, all the tested drugs had a toxic effect on cells at high concentration but did not
12 show cytotoxicity at the effective concentrations. Together, these results indicate that arbidol,
13 baloxavir acid, and lopinavir could be potential candidates for clinical treatment against
14 COVID-19.

15 **Baseline Characteristics of the Patients**

16 Thirty patients were enrolled in the trial and were randomized into baloxavir marboxil
17 group, favipiravir group, and a control group (Figure 1). One patient in the favipiravir group
18 was subsequently excluded from the final analysis because of discontinuation of favipiravir
19 after Day 1 treatment. The remaining 29 patients were included in the analysis. The baseline
20 characteristics are presented in Table 1. All these patients had no previous history of
21 malignant, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), renal insufficiency, and hepatic
22 insufficiency, and there were no deaths during the trial.

1 The demographic characteristics, Ct value, and initial serum biochemistry were balanced
2 in the three groups. Figure 1 shows that although the COVID-19 patients were treated with
3 various existing standard antiviral treatments following the recommendation by the National
4 Health Commission of People's Republic of China (Trial Sixth Edition), in this trial, the three
5 groups of patients received similar antiviral treatment.

6 **Clinical Outcomes**

7 **Primary Outcome**

8 A total of 24 (82.8%) patients turned viral negative (defined as two consecutive tests
9 with viral RNA undetectable results) within 14 days after the initiation of the trial. The
10 percentage of patients who turned viral negative after 14-day treatment was 70%, 77%, and
11 100% in the baloxavir, favipiravir, and control group respectively, of which the control group
12 was higher than that of the other two treatment groups (Figure 3). The medians of time from
13 randomization to clinical improvement were 14, 14 and 15 days in the baloxavir, favipiravir,
14 and control group, respectively (Table 2).

15 **Secondary Outcomes**

16 A total of 15 (51.7%) patients turned viral negative within 7 days after the initiation of
17 the trial (60%, 44% and 50% in the baloxavir, favipiravir, and control group, respectively).
18 One patient in the baloxavir marboxil group, and two patients in the favipiravir group were
19 transferred to ICU within seven days after trial initiation. The patient in baloxavir marboxil
20 group was treated with ECMO ten days after trial initiation. There was no death. Clinical
21 outcomes were showed in Table 2. Together, these results suggest that the addition of either
22 baloxavir or favipiravir under the current dosage to the exiting standard treatment did not

1 provide additional benefits to the clinical outcome in this study.

2 **Viral Negativity**

3 Throughout the trial, viral load was monitored everyday for each patient. Figure S4
4 (appendix p7) shows the kinetics of the viral load in the three groups of patients. These results
5 indicate that the addition of either baloxavir or favipiravir didn't appear to improve the
6 medium T1/2 time for patients to achieve undetectable viral RNA compared to the control
7 group.

8 **Drug Concentrations in COVID-19 Patients**

9 To determine whether the apparent lack of benefits by the addition of either baloxavir or
10 favipiravir is related to their pharmacological exposure in the COVID-19 patients, drug
11 concentrations were measured in the patients. Overall, 70 plasma samples were collected, 19
12 samples on Day-1, 28 samples on Day-4, and 23 samples on Day-7. Among these samples, 28
13 contained baloxavir acid, 20 contained favipiravir, and other samples contained arbidol,
14 lopinavir, ritonavir, and darunavir were detected by UPLC-MS/MS. Administered orally,
15 favipiravir is rapidly absorbed with a t_{\max} ranging from 0.5 to 1 hour [6]. Favipiravir total
16 concentration was measured at Day-2 and Day-4 from plasma samples collected before the
17 first favipiravir intake of the day in Ebola-infected patients [6]. As a result, the sampling time
18 was at 0.5-1 hour post dose for the Day-1 samples, and 1-2 hour before dose administration
19 for the Day-4 and Day-7 samples. The plasma concentrations in Day-1, Day-4, and Day-7
20 samples are listed in Table 3.

21 The total plasma concentration of favipiravir was $11.8 \pm 11.6 \mu\text{g/mL}$ in Day-4 samples,

1 and 21.8 ± 28.7 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in Day-7 samples (Table 3). With a plasma protein binding of 54%, the
2 free favipiravir trough concentrations on Day-7 was close to the in vitro EC_{50} value of 9.7
3 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ reported in G.X et al.[2], but lower than the EC_{50} value (>15.7 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) determined in
4 our study.

5 Following absorption, baloxavir marboxil is almost entirely converted to its active
6 metabolite, baloxavir acid. The observed concentrations of baloshavir at Day-4 and Day-7
7 were 0.024 ± 0.019 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 0.020 ± 0.013 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. The concentrations of
8 baloxavir were much lower than its EC_{50} value of 2.65 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (5.48 μM), which is consistent
9 with the lack of viral inhibition by this compound.

10 Arbidol was included in the existing standard treatment of 27 patients in this study, and
11 57 plasma samples were collected. The plasma concentrations of arbidol were shown in
12 appendix P6 Table S2. With the exception of samples from Patient 15, the plasma
13 concentration in all other samples were lower than the EC_{50} value (1.77 $\mu\text{g/mL}$).

14 Lopinavir was included in the existing standard treatment of 21 patients, and the
15 concentration of lopinavir was measured in 36 plasma samples. Appendix P6 Table S2
16 showed the concentration values of lopinavir. The mean concentrations of lopinavir at Day 1,
17 Day 4, and Day 7 were higher than its EC_{50} (6.54 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). However, lopinavir is
18 approximately 98 to 99% bound to plasma proteins, so the free drug concentration may not
19 reach the target value. The plasma concentrations of ritonavir and darunavir were shown in
20 Table S2 (appendix P6).

21 **Adverse Events**

22 Respiratory failure occurred in 14 patients (Table 4). Other adverse events were

1 generally mild or moderate among the three Groups. The most frequent adverse events
2 occurring in the study population were similar among all groups, including elevation of
3 triglyceride (20 events, Figure S5 in appendix p8), liver function abnormality (18 events,
4 Figure S6 in appendix p9), rash (7 events), and diarrhea (4 events) (Table 4). No abnormal
5 serum creatinine was found in all patients.

6 **Discussion**

7 In this study, we assessed the efficacy and safety of baloxavir marboxil and favipiravir in
8 29 COVID-19 patients who were still virus positive under the current antiviral treatment
9 according to the recommendation of Diagnosis and treatment program of novel coronavirus
10 pneumonia (COVID-19) (Trial Sixth Edition). The results of viral negativity, clinical
11 symptoms, and laboratory tests indicated adding either baloxavir or favipiravir to the current
12 standard treatment did not provide additional benefits to the clinical outcome in this clinical
13 study. Adverse events were generally mild or moderate with no differences in frequency or
14 severity among the three groups. No moderate or severe drug-related unsolicited adverse
15 events were reported during the trial.

16 Furthermore, in order to provide the pharmacological rationale of drug antiviral activities
17 in vivo, the drug exposure of baloxavir acid, favipiravir, arbidol, lopinavir, ritonavir, and
18 darunavir were also measured. The drug concentrations of favipiravir in our study were
19 slightly lower than that of Ebola patients[6], which may be caused by different dosage. The
20 exposure of baloxavir and lopinavir/ritonavir in these COVID-19 patients were similar as that
21 in influenza[7] or HIV-infected patients[8] which reported in the previous studies. However,
22 the pre-dose drug concentrations of abidol in this study were 2-3 times higher than the

1 steady-state concentrations of $C_{\min,ss}$ (0.17 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) in healthy Chinese volunteers[9], which
2 may be due to the drug-drug interaction between abidol and ritonavir or cobicistat based on
3 CYP3A4[10]. Similarly, comparing the minimum plasma concentration ($C_{\min,1.3}$ $\mu\text{g/mL}$) of
4 darunavir in HIV-1-infected adults[11], the plasma concentration in this study were much
5 higher. Although, the results from this trial showed that the free drug concentrations of these
6 five antiviral drugs were generally lower than their respective EC_{50} values. The latest
7 published clinical trial report that patients with severe COVID-19 had no benefit from
8 lopinavir-ritonavir treatment[12], which to some extent confirms our view.

9 Our findings do not support adding either baloxavir marboxil or favipiravir under the
10 current dosage as antiviral agents to the existing standard treatment in COVID-19 patients.
11 However, this conclusion should be taken with caution for several reasons. In this study, the
12 analysis relied only on plasma concentrations and in vitro antiviral activity against
13 SARS-CoV-2, while intracellular concentrations of the active phosphorylated moiety were not
14 available. For favipiravir, the intracellular concentrations of the active metabolite has been
15 shown to be associated with antiviral efficacy, instead of the plasma concentrations of the
16 parent molecule[13]. Secondly, the poor correlation could be due to the delay between
17 infection and treatment initiation. Viral dynamic modelling shows that a drug affecting viral
18 replication, will only have a limited impact on viraemia if treatment is initiated after the
19 viraemia peak, regardless of drug efficacy[14]. Since the subjects of this trial were those who
20 were still positive after the treatment of the recommended scheme, the optimum time to start
21 antiviral therapy may have been missed. There are many reasons for the failure of viral load
22 reduction, some of which are related to the pharmacology of drugs. We are not sure if these

1 drugs will appear in respiratory secretions, or if they are not so effective against
2 SARS-CoV-2.

3 There are some limitations to the current study. Firstly, the subjects were all under
4 treatment with other medication. The treatment scheme and medication time before the
5 initiation of the trial were different among the patients, which makes their progression of the
6 disease at the beginning of the trial quite different. There was therefore a risk of influencing
7 the results and conclusions. During a rapidly evolving COVID-19 situation, it was difficult to
8 obtain large number of newly detected cases without previous treatment. Second, patients in
9 favipiravir group showed oldest average age and shortest time from symptom onset to
10 randomization, even though, the clinical performance of favipiravir group was not inferior to
11 the other two groups. However, we are not sure if the efficacy of favipiravir under current
12 dosage is underestimated because its drug exposure does not reach the EC_{50} value. Third, the
13 relatively small sample size of our study poses an additional limitation. Nevertheless, it was
14 conclusive that the free plasma concentrations of these antiviral drugs did not reach their
15 respective EC_{50} values, which can be almost certainly that the drugs have no effect against
16 SARS-CoV-2 at the dose as mentioned above. Our research cannot be simply regarded as
17 negative results, as it is very meaningful for clinical treatment of COVID-19 in global
18 outbreak. In addition, our exploratory research provides useful information for further studies
19 to find the best strategy for application of these drugs.

20 **Conclusion**

21 This exploratory trial does not prove that baloxavir marboxil was effective in COVID-19
22 patients. Because the free drug concentration of baloxavir marboxil is far below than its EC_{50}

1 values (more than 100 times). Under the current dosage, the insufficient exposure of
2 favipiravir also resulted in no additional antiviral benefit by adding favipiravir to the existing
3 standard treatment. Administration of favipiravir at different dosage or at different stages of
4 COVID-19 (for example, early stage) deserves further study. Additional studies are needed to
5 confirm the no clinical benefit from the current standard treatment drugs.

6 **Supplementary Data**

7 Supplementary materials are available online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to
8 benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of
9 the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

10 **Acknowledgments**

11 This article was supported by Zhejiang Provincial Science and technology department key R
12 & D plan emergency project (No. 2020c03123-8). We acknowledge Dr. Chengyong Yang and
13 Dr. Guofeng Cheng for their technical support to this study. Dr. Yang and Dr. Cheng were
14 given the opportunity to review the manuscript for medical and scientific accuracy.

15 **Declaration of Interests**

16 We declare no competing interests.

1 Reference

- 2 1. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK, Chu H, Yang J, Xing F, Liu J, Yip CC, Poon RW, Tsoi HW, Lo SK,
3 Chan KH, Poon VK, Chan WM, Ip JD, Cai JP, Cheng VC, Chen H, Hui CK, Yuen KY. A familial cluster of
4 pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a
5 family cluster. *Lancet* 2020;395:514-23.
- 6 2. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, Yang X, Liu J, Xu M, Shi Z, Hu Z, Zhong W, Xiao G. Remdesivir and
7 chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res*
8 2020;30:269-71.
- 9 3. Hayden FG, Sugaya N, Hirotsu N, Lee N, de Jong MD, Hurt AC, Ishida T, Sekino H, Yamada K,
10 Portsmouth S, Kawaguchi K, Shishido T, Arai M, Tsuchiya K, Uehara T, Watanabe A; Baloxavir Marboxil
11 Investigators Group. Baloxavir Marboxil for Uncomplicated Influenza in Adults and Adolescents. *N Engl J Med*
12 2018;379:913-23.
- 13 4. Hayden FG, Shindo N. Influenza virus polymerase inhibitors in clinical development. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*
14 2019;32:176-86.
- 15 5. Abdelnabi R, Morais ATS, Leyssen P, Imbert I, Beaucourt S, Blanc H, Froeyen M, Vignuzzi M, Canard B,
16 Neyts J, Delang L. Understanding the Mechanism of the Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Activity of Favipiravir
17 (T-705): Key Role of the F1 Motif of the Viral Polymerase. *J Virol* 2017;91: pii: e00487-17.
- 18 6. Nguyen TH, Guedj J, Anglaret X, Laouenan C, Madelain V, Taburet AM, Baize S, Sissoko D, Pastorino B,
19 Rodallec A, Piorkowski G, Carazo S, Conde MN, Gala JL, Bore JA, Carbonnelle C, Jacquot F Raoul H, Malvy
20 D, de Lamballerie X, Mentré F, JIKI study group. Favipiravir pharmacokinetics in Ebola-Infected patients of the
21 JIKI trial reveals concentrations lower than targeted. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2017;11:e0005389.
- 22 7. Abraham GM, Morton JB, Saravolatz LD. Baloxavir: A Novel Antiviral Agent in the Treatment of
23 Influenza. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020: pii: ciae107.
- 24 8. Molto J, Valle M, Blanco A, Negredo E, DelaVarga M, Miranda C, Miranda J, Domingo P, Vilaró J, Tural C,
25 Costa J, Barbanj MJ, Clotet B. Lopinavir/ritonavir pharmacokinetics in HIV and hepatitis C virus co-infected
26 patients without liver function impairment: influence of liver fibrosis. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2007;46:85-92.
- 27 9. Sun Y, He X, Qiu F, Zhu X, Zhao M, Li-Ling J, Su X, Zhao L. Pharmacokinetics of single and multiple oral
28 doses of arbidol in healthy Chinese volunteers. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2013;51:423-32.
- 29 10. Deng P, Zhong D, Yu K, Zhang Y, Wang T, Chen X. Pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and excretion of the
30 antiviral drug arbidol in humans. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57:1743-55.
- 31 11. Tashima K, Crofoot G, Tomaka FL, Kakuda TN, Brochot A, Van de Castelee T, Opsomer M, Garner W,
32 Margot N, Custodio JM, Fordyce MW, Szwarcberg J. Cobicistat-boosted darunavir in HIV-1-infected adults:
33 week 48 results of a Phase IIIb, open-label single-arm trial. *AIDS Res Ther* 2014;11:39.
- 34 12. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, Ruan L, Song B, Cai Y, Wei M, Li X, Xia J, Chen N, Xiang
35 J, Yu T, Bai T, Xie X, Zhang L, Li C, Yuan Y, Chen H, Li H, Huang H, Tu S, Gong F, Liu Y, Wei Y, Dong C,
36 Zhou F, Gu X, Xu J, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Li H, Shang L, Wang K, Li K, Zhou X, Dong X, Qu Z, Lu S, Hu X, Ruan S,
37 Luo S, Wu J, Peng L, Cheng F, Pan L, Zou J, Jia C, Wang J, Liu X, Wang S, Wu X, Ge Q, He J, Zhan H, Qiu F,
38 Guo L, Huang C, Jaki T, Hayden FG, Horby PW, Zhang D, Wang C. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults
39 Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020; [Epub ahead of print].
- 40 13. Bazzoli C, Jullien V, Le Tiec C, Rey E, Mentre F, Taburet AM. Intracellular Pharmacokinetics of
41 Antiretroviral Drugs in HIV-Infected Patients, and their Correlation with Drug Action. *Clin Pharmacokinet*
42 2010;49:17-45.
- 43 14. Madelain V, Oestereich L, Graw F, Nguyen TH, de Lamballerie X, Mentre F, Günther S, Guedj J. Ebola

- 1 virus dynamics in mice treated with favipiravir. *Antiviral Res* 2015;123:70-7.

1 **Figure legends**

2 Figure 1 Overview of the Clinical Study.

3 BAL: baloxavir marboxil; FAV: favipiravir; ARB: arbidol; LOP/RIT: lopinavir/ritonavir;

4 DAR/COB: darunavir/cobicistat.

5 Figure 2 Estimation of in vitro antiviral effect of Arbidol, Baloxavir, and Lopinavir against

6 SARS-CoV-2. Vero E6 Cells were infected with coronavirus strain SARS-CoV-2 in the

7 treatment of different concentrations of the antiviral drugs. The viral yield in the cell

8 supernatant was quantified with qRT-PCR. The Y-axis of the graphs represents inhibition%

9 of virus yield, while the X-axis represents the different concentrations of antiviral drugs in

10 cell culture medium.

11 Figure 3 Cumulative negative conversion rates of subjects by Day 14. The x-axis represents

12 the time (days) since trial initiation. The y-axis represents cumulative negative conversion

13 rate.

1 **Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with 2019-nCoV infection (COVID-19)**

Characteristic	Baloxavir Group	Favipiravir Group	Control Group	Total
n	10	9	10	29
Age (year) -mean(SD)	53.5 (12.5)	58.0 (8.1)	46.6 (14.1)	52.5 (12.5)
Male sex - no. (%)	7 (70)	7 (77)	7 (70)	21 (72.4)
Days from symptom onset to randomization-mean(SD)	12.7 (3.5)	8.5 (3.7)	13.6 (4.6)	11.7 (4.4)
Comorbidity- no. (%)				
Diabetes	0	2(22)	0	2 (6.9)
Hypertension	2 (20)	1 (11)	3(30)	6 (20.7)
Hyperlipidemia	0	0	1 (10)	1 (3.4)
Cardiovascular disease	3 (30)	1(11)	0	4 (13.8)
NEWS2 score- median (IQR)	4 (4-5)	4 (4-5)	4 (4-5)	4 (4-5)
Ct value- median (IQR)	28.2 (22.1-36.8)	25.4 (19.8-36.0)	29.6 (19.8-37.1)	28.2 (19.8-37.1)
Body temperature (□) -median (IQR)	36.9 (36.2-38.4)	36.9 (36.3-39.6)	36.9 (36.0-37.9)	36.9 (36.0-39.6)
Fever - no. (%)	1 (10)	3 (33)	2 (20)	6 (20.7)
Respiratory rate >24/min - no. (%)	0	1(11)	0	1 (3.4)
Serum biochemistry - median (IQR)				
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	133 (118-153)	141 (127-156)	133 (89-155)	138 (89-156)
Total peripheral WBC count (*10E9/l)	8.3 (3.3-27.9)	7.8 (3.9-14.1)	6.3 (2.9-19.4)	7.5 (2.9-27.9)
Lymphocyte count (*10E9/l)	0.6 (0.2-2.1)	0.9 (0.3-1.6)	0.8 (0.3-1.6)	0.7 (0.2-2.1)
Platelet count (*10E9/l)	174 (108-459)	206 (82-281)	199 (97-347)	(82-459)
ALT (U/l)	22 (10-72)	21 (8-148)	27 (12-76)	22 (8-148)
AST (U/l)	19 (9-44)	17 (12-77)	16 (12-44)	17 (9-77)
Albumin (g/l)	34.8 (28.8-43.6)	37.8 (29.7-43.0)	34.9 (31.1-44.6)	36.8 (28.8-4.6)
Creatinine (μmol/l)	67 (54-83)	76 (65-104)	82 (54-91)	76 (54-104)
LDH (U/l)	265 (219-370)	252 (174-323)	307 (142-358)	249 (142-370)
CPK (U/l)	63 (20-223)	50 (34-117)	43 (22-186)	53 (20-223)
CRP (mg/l)*	14.1 (0.65-50.9)	27.3 (0.32-79.9)	2.1 (0.32-26.4)	10.6 (0.32-79.9)
PCT (ng/ml) †	0.06 (0.03-0.3)	0.07 (0.02-0.1)	0.05 (0.02-0.1)	0.06 (0.02-0.3)

- 2 WBC = white blood cell; ALT = Alanine aminotransferase; AST=Aspartate aminotransferase; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; CPK=creatinine
3 phosphohykinase; CRP=C-reactive protein; PCT=procalcitonin
4 *Four missing values (two in Baloxavir group, two in Favipiravir group).
5 †Five missing values (two in Baloxavir group, two in Favipiravir group, one in Control group).

1 **Table 2. Clinical Outcomes of patients with 2019-nCoV infection (COVID-19)***

	Baloxavir Group (n=10)	Favipiravir Group (n=9)	Control Group (n=10)	Total (n=29)
Primary Outcome				
Viral negative in Day 14 - no. (%)	7 (70)	7 (77)	10 (100)	24 (83)
Time to clinical improvement - median no. of days (IQR)	14 (6-49)	14 (6-38)	15 (6-24)	14 (6-49)
Secondary Outcomes				
Viral negative in Day 7 - no. (%)	6 (60)	4 (44)	5 (50)	15 (52)
Incidence of mechanical ventilation - no. (%)	1 (10)	0	0	1 (3)
Transfer to ICU in Day 14 - no. (%)	1 (10)	2 (22)	0	3 (10)
Other Clinical Outcomes				
Time to viral negative-median no. of days (IQR)	6 (1-46)	9 (2-34)	9 (1-13)	7 (1-46)
Clinical improvement - no. (%)				
Day 14	6 (60)	5 (55)	5 (50)	16 (55)
Day 7	1 (10)	2 (22)	1 (10)	4 (14)
Oxygen support - days (IQR)	13 (3-41)	13 (3-37)	12 (5-23)	12 (3-41)
Score on seven-category scale at day 14 - no.of patients (%)				
2: Not hospitalized, but unable to resume normal activities	6 (60)	4 (44)	4 (40)	14 (48)
3: Hospitalization, not requiring supplemental oxygen	0	2 (22%)	2 (20)	4 (14)
4: Hospitalization, requiring supplemental oxygen	3 (30)	3 (33)	4 (40)	10 (34)
5: Hospitalization, requiring HFNC or noninvasive mechanical ventilation	0	0	0	0
6: Hospitalization, requiring ECMO, invasive mechanical ventilation, or both	1(10)	0	0	0
Changes of Ct value compared with Day1- median (IQR)				
Day14†	6.1 (-5.0-8.1)	9.2 (2.0-13.9)	11.4 (0.9-13.6)	7.1(-5.0-13.9)
Day7	4.7 (-13.8-13.0)	6.7 (-3.4-13.9)	5.5 (-9.7-15.1)	5.6 (-13.8-15.1)

2 *Clinical improvement was defined as a decline of two categories on the modified seven-category ordinal scale of clinical status, or hospital
3 discharge. The seven-category ordinal scale consisted of the following categories: 1, not hospitalized with resumption of normal activities; 2,
4 not hospitalized, but unable to resume normal activities; 3, hospitalized, not requiring supplemental oxygen; 4, hospitalized, requiring
5 supplemental oxygen; 5, hospitalized, requiring nasal high-flow oxygen therapy, noninvasive mechanical ventilation, or both; 6, hospitalized,
6 requiring ECMO, invasive mechanical ventilation, or both; and 7, death.

7 † Patients who have turned negative on Day 7 were not recalculated.

8 ICU= intensive care unit.

9 There was no death.

1 **Table 3. Plasma concentrations of baloxavir and favipiravir on Day-1, Day-4 and Day-7***

		Number of patients	Observed concentration (µg/mL)†	Concentration/EC₅₀†
Favipiravir	Day 1	7	32.2 ± 18.7	≤2.1 ± 1.2
	Day 4	8	11.8 ± 11.6	≤0.8 ± 0.7
	Day 7	5	21.8 ± 28.7	≤1.4 ± 1.8
baloxavir	Day 1	9	0.016 ± 0.023	0.006 ± 0.009
	Day 4	10	0.024 ± 0.019	0.009 ± 0.007
	Day 7	9	0.020 ± 0.013	0.008 ± 0.005


2 *Sampling time: The first day of the sampling time is based on the first administration for favipiravir and baloxavir.



3 Both observed concentration and concentration/EC₅₀ are presented as mean ± SD.

1 **Table 4 Summary of Adverse Events in the Safety Population**

	Baloxavir Group (n=10)	Favipiravir Group (n=9)	Control Group (n=10)
	number (percent)		
Any adverse event			
Respiratory failure or ARDS	6 (60)	4 (44)	4 (40)
Lymphopenia	8 (80)	7 (77)	7 (70)
Leukopenia	2 (20)	1 (11)	1 (10)
Decreased hemoglobin	8 (80)	7 (77)	6 (60)
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	3 (30)	1 (11)	3 (30)
Increased alanine aminotransferase	7 (70)	4 (44)	6 (60)
Increased total bilirubin	2 (20)	1 (11)	1 (10)
Decreased albumin	10 (100)	8 (88)	9 (90)
Increased creatine phosphohykinase	0	1 (11)	0
Increased lactate dehydrogenase	7 (70)	5 (55)	8 (80)
Increased triglyceride	6 (60)	6 (66)	8 (80)
Increased D-dimmer	7 (70)	5 (55)	5 (50)
Diarrhea	1 (10)	2 (22)	1 (10)
Rash	1 (10)	1 (11)	5 (50)
Nausea	0	1 (11)	0
Anemia	1 (10)	0	0

2 Adverse events that occurred in more than 1 patient after randomization through day 14 are shown. Some patients had more than one adverse
3 event. There was no death in the trial. ARDS indicates acute respiratory distress syndrome.



Arbidol**Baloxavir****Lopinavir**