medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

A possible role of immunopathogenesis in COVID-19 progression

Moritz Anft[1*], Krystallenia Paniskaki[2*], Arturo Blazquez-Navarro[1,3*], Adrian Doevelaar[1], Felix S. Seibert[1], Bodo Hoelzer[1], Sarah Skrzypczyk[1], Eva Kohut[1], Julia Kurek[1], Jan Zapka[1], Patrizia Wehler[1,3], Sviatlana Kaliszczyk[1], Sharon Bajda[3], Constantin J. Thieme[3], Toralf Roch[1,3], Margarethe Justine Konik[2], Thorsten Brenner[4], Clemens Tempfer[5], Carsten Watzl[6], Sebastian Dolff[2], Ulf Dittmer[7], Timm H. Westhoff[1], Oliver Witzke[2], Ulrik Stervbo[1*], Nina Babel[1,2*]

[1]*Center for Translational Medicine and Immune Diagnostics Laboratory, Medical Department I, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany*

[2]*Department of Infectious Diseases, West German Centre of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany*

[3]*Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany*

[4]*Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany*

[5]*Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany*

[6]*Department of Immunology, Leibniz Research Centre for Working Environment and Human Factors at the Technical University Dortmund (IfADo), Ardeystrasse 67, 44139, Dortmund, Germany,*

[7]*Germany Institute for Virology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany.*

*equal contribution

Corresponding author:
Prof. Nina Babel
Center for Translational Medicine, Medical Department I, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany and
Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany

email: nina.babel@elisabethgruppe.de; nina.babel@charite.de

Keywords: Covid-19, SARS-CoV-2, Immunity, S-protein-reactive T-cells

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background: The efficacy of the humoral and cellular immunity determines the outcome of viral infections. An appropriate immune response mediates protection, whereas an overwhelming immune response has been associated with immune-mediated pathogenesis in viral infections. The current study explored the general and SARS-CoV-2 specific cellular and humoral immune status in patients with different COVID-19 severities.

Methods: In this prospective study, we included 53 patients with moderate, severe, and critical COVID-19 manifestations comparing their quantitative, phenotypic, and functional characteristics of circulating immune cells, SARS-CoV-2 antigen specific T-cells, and humoral immunity.

Results: Significantly diminished frequencies of $CD8^+$T-cells, $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$T-cell subsets with activated differentiated memory/effector phenotype and migratory capacity were found in circulation in patients with severe and/or critical COVID-19 as compared to patients with moderate disease. Importantly, the improvement of the clinical courses from severe to moderate was accompanied by an improvement in the T-cell subset alterations. Furthermore, we surprisingly observed a detectable SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cell response in all three groups after stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 S-protein overlapping peptide pool already at the first visit. Of note, patients with a critical COVID-19 demonstrated a stronger response of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells producing Th1 associated inflammatory cytokines. Furthermore, clear correlation between antibody titers and SARS-CoV-2-reactive $CD4^+$ frequencies underscore the role of specific immunity in disease progression.

Conclusion: Our data demonstrate that depletion of activated memory phenotype circulating T-cells and a strong SARS-CoV-2-specific cellular and humoral immunity are associated with COVID-19 disease severity. This counter-intuitive finding may have important implications for diagnostic, therapeutic and prophylactic COVID-19 management.

Introduction

The pandemic outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 faced the human population with tremendous health, social, and economical challenges. SARS-CoV-2 can lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and multi-organ failure[1]. The conventional assumption on the general immunity fitness can certainly not explain the different disease manifestation. Recent data demonstrate an association of cytokine storm including high level of interleukin (IL)-6 production with severe disease[1–3] suggesting a pathological immune dysregulation. In contrast, markedly lower immune cell numbers and decreased activation levels were associated with critical COVID-19 manifestations[4,5]. Usually, a protective role of cellular immunity controlling viral infection can be assumed[6–8]. However, an overwhelming immune response after viral infections leading to cell damage and organ failure was also reported[9]. It is unclear whether the diminished or hyperreactive immunity is responsible for the asymptomatic or critical ARDS manifestation, respectively. Our study provides a detailed characterization of non-specific and SARS-CoV-2-specific cellular and humoral immunity in a prospective cohort of patients with different disease severity to understand their role for COVID-19 progression.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Methods

Study population and design

53 patients with moderate (n=21), severe (n=18) and critical (n=14) COVID-19 were recruited into the study. The severity of infection was assessed according to the guidelines of the Robert Koch Institute, Germany, Table S1. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Ruhr-University Bochum (20-6886) and University Hospital Essen (20-9214-BO), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients are demonstrated in Tables 1 and S2.

Patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 were recruited after the first symptoms occurred and a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result (in median 4 days after the diagnostic test) were available. For patients with critical disease, the recruitment occurred at ICU, being diagnosed with COVID-19 in median 14 days before. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer duration of COVID-19 in ICU patients, blood samples of patients with moderate and severe cases were obtained in follow up within the next 8 days after the recruitment. For 10 out of 14 critical patients, only one time point was available (Fig. 1A).

Preparation of PBMCs and stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 overlapping peptide pools

SARS-CoV-2 PepTivator peptide pools (Miltenyi Biotec), containing overlapping peptides spanning parts of the surface glycoprotein (Fig. 1B), were used in the stimulation. The utilized overlapping peptide pool (OPP) consisted of 15mers with 11 amino acids overlap (Fig. 1C). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared from whole blood by gradient centrifugation as previously described[10]. Isolated PBMCs were stimulated with 1 µg/mL OPP for 16h. Brefeldin A (1µg/ml, Sigma Aldrich) was added after 2h.

Flow cytometry

For the immune phenotyping, EDTA treated whole blood was stained as described in the Supplementary Appendix. Surface antigen of the T-cells stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 OPP were stained prior to fixation and staining of intracellular antigen, as described in Supplementary Appendix. All samples were immediately acquired on a CytoFlex flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter).

SARS-CoV-2 IgG Antibody titers

SARS-CoV-2 IgG titers were analyzed using a commercially available kit (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, Germany) per manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis

Flow cytometry data were analyzed using FlowJo version 10.6.2 (BD Biosciences); gating strategies are presented in Fig. S5-S9. Statistical analysis was performed using R, version 3.6.2[11]. P values below 0.05 were considered significant; only significant P values are reported. P values were not corrected for multiple testing, as this study was of exploratory nature[12].

Results

53 hospitalized patients with moderate, severe and critical disease were enrolled in this prospective study. The detailed characteristics of study patients, study design and blood sampling are presented

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

in Table 1, S2 and Fig. 1A. There were no statistically significant differences in patient's age between the analyzed groups. Most patients in the critical groups were males. To exclude a potential bias of obtained results caused by gender mismatch between the groups, we performed a bivariate regression analysis for all significant factors. We found no evidence of a confounding effect of gender for the described markers associated with COVID-19 severity (P>0.05, Table S3).

We monitored changes of disease severity during the short follow up period. 10 patients showed clinical improvement and moved from the severe to the moderate disease cohort in the follow up visit.

Decreased frequencies of lymphocytes with differentiated and activated cytotoxic phenotype in patients with severe or critical COVID-19

The absolute counts of circulating leukocytes including lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes were for most patients below the reference level at the first and at the follow-up visit (Fig. S1 and S2). NK-cell numbers were significantly lower in patients with severe and critical disease (Fig. S1F), while eosinophil counts were increased in critically ill patients (Fig. S1G). We further characterized different subsets within T- and B-cell compartments. To exclude patient specific variations caused by lymphopenia, we focused the analysis on the relative values.

The relative frequency of lymphocytes among leukocytes was significantly lower in critical versus moderate cases (Fig. S1H). We also observed a lower level of $CD8^+$, higher $CD4^+$ level and consequently a higher $CD4^+/CD8^+$ ratio in patients with severe and critical COVID-19 symptoms versus moderate (Fig. S1I-K).

Next, we performed a comparison of various T- and B-cell subsets between the different disease severities at the first visit (Fig. 2) and in follow-up (Fig. S3). Comparing the groups with different disease severity, we found lower frequencies of central memory cells among $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells in patients with critical COVID-19 (Fig. 2A,B). Lower frequencies of terminally differentiated TEMRA $CD8^+$ T-cells were found in patients with severe and critical compared to moderate COVID-19 (Fig. 2C,D). We also found significantly lower frequencies of T-cells showing an activated phenotype with the lowest level of HLA-DR-expressing $CD4^+$ (Fig. 2E) and $CD8^+$ T-cells (Fig. 2F) in patients with critical disease, followed by severe and moderate cases. Similar gradual decrease associated with COVID-19 severity was observed for $CD57^+CD4^+$ or $CD57^+CD8^+$ T-cells (Fig. 2G,H). Interestingly, we found dramatically lower frequencies of CD11a-expressing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells and a significant reduction of $CD28^+CD4^+$ T-cells in critical compared to severe and moderate COVID-19 (Fig. 2I-L). In the B-cell compartment, we found a strong gradual reduction in the frequencies of transitional and marginal zone $CD19^+$ cells in the patients with severe or critical symptoms (Fig. 2M,N), but not in class switched $CD19^+IgD^-$ plasmablasts (data not shown).

Taken together, these findings demonstrate a loss of activated and differentiated T-cells in patients with severe and critical COVID-19. These differences remained stable also in follow up visit. (Fig. S3). Importantly, in 10 patients severe COVID-19 improved to moderate in follow up. In these patients, we found an increase in the frequencies of significantly diminished T-cell subsets including $HLADR^+CD4^+$, $HLA^+DR^+CD8^+$, $CD11^+CD8^+$ at the first visit to levels comparable to the levels of patients with moderate COVID-19 (Fig. 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Increased magnitude and functionality of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in patients with critical COVID-19

Given the observed loss of activated/differentiated T-cells in circulation of patients with critical symptoms, we wondered how this might influence the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein-specific T-cell immunity (Fig. S5).

Among the patients with moderate symptoms, we found remarkably, albeit insignificantly, fewer patients with detectable SARS-CoV-2-reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ T-cells compared to severe or critical cases (44.4% vs. 75.0% and 80.0%, respectively). The number of patients with detectable S-protein-reactive $CD4^+$ T-cells increased in all groups to 76.9% (moderate) and 100% (severe) after the follow-up visit (Table S4).

Interestingly, during the whole observation period, only a low percentage of patients with a moderate disease had detectable $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells (First visit: 44.4%, Follow-up: 38.5%), whereas the percentage of patients with a severe COVID-19 and detectable $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells increased from 50.0% at the first to 75% at the follow-up visit. In critically ill patients, the frequency was comparable to the level of the follow-up visit in severe diseases (80%).

Assessing the number of patients with detectable antigen-specific T-cells expressing granzyme B or the cytokines TNF-α, INFγ or IL-2, we identified more patients with detectable cytokine producing antigen-specific T-cells in the group with severe or critical COVID-19 compared to the group with a moderate course (Table S4).

Furthermore, we compared the magnitude of T-cell responses between the groups. We found a higher frequency (Fig. 4A) and absolute counts (Fig. S4A) of S-protein reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ and IL-2 producing $CD4^+CD154^+$ in critical compared to moderate COVID-19. The magnitude of TNF-α, IFNγ and granzyme B producing $CD4^+CD154^+$ T-cells was slightly but not significantly higher in the severe and critical versus moderate group (Fig. 4A). The magnitude of S protein-reactive $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells was generally very low, although we found higher frequencies in critical COVID-19. We also detected a significantly higher frequency (Fig. 4B) and number (Fig. S4B) of IL-2 producing $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells, whereas TNF-α, IFN-γ and granzyme B producing $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cell was very low and comparable between the groups (Fig. 4B and Fig. S4B). Notably, we did not find statistical differences between three groups with respect to the differentiation phenotype of the detected S-protein-reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ and $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells with a majority of cells showing memory/effector phenotype already at the first measurement (data not shown).

Of interest, we found a clear correlation between humoral and cellular immunity. The frequency (Fig. 4C) and number (Fig. S4C) of spike protein-reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$, but not $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells (Fig. 4C and Fig. S4C), correlated with antibody titers independent of the COVID-19 severity.

Discussion

Here, we present a comprehensive immune profiling of a cohort of 53 patients with different COVID-19 severity. Our data reveal an intriguing association between the quantitative composition and functionality of several immune cell subsets and the clinical manifestation of COVID-19 that might reflect pathogenic immune reactivity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The impaired immune regulation and increased inflammation have been recently reported for patients with SARS-CoV-2-related severe respiratory failure (SRF)[5,13]. Patients with SRF showed an IL-6-driven hyperinflammation and a T-, B-and NK-cell lymphopenia[5]. In agreement with these data, we show significantly lower numbers of circulating T-, NK- and B-cell subsets in patients with severe and critical as compared to moderate COVID-19. Moreover, critically ill patients had the lowest frequencies of T-cell subsets with advanced differentiation, activation and functional properties, while severe cases had more but still significantly lower numbers of these cells compared to moderate cases. The affected immune cell subsets are described to be involved in immune activation and cytotoxic response towards foreign antigens[14–16]. The reason for the acute decrease of different circulating immune cell populations is not clear so far. However, the improvement in the clinical course from severe to moderate in our study patients accompanied by the increase of affected T-cells might point out an inflammation-triggered lymphocyte migration[17,18] rather than apoptosis. Thus, previous data demonstrate that *in vivo* pre-activated TEMRA can migrate unspecifically to any inflammatory site providing cytotoxic effects[19]. In this respect, the data on the dramatic depletion of CD11a-expressing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells in patients with critical disease are relevant. CD11a is a key T-cell integrin, essential for T-cell activation and migration[20]. In addition, $CD57^+$T-cells are known as terminally differentiated, functionally competent memory/effector T lymphocytes, with high migratory capacities[15,16]. Supporting the assumption of T-cell migration, the very recent review[21] reports on SARS-CoV-2-induced activation of IL-6 amplifiers. Leading to IL-6 and other cytokine release, it recruits activated T-cells and macrophages in the lesion[21]. In line with this and other papers[5], we observed significantly higher level of IL-6 in patients with severe and critical diseases (data not shown).

We demonstrate an increased magnitude and functionality of SARS-CoV2 S-protein-reactive $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells in patients with critical and severe cases. The frequencies of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in critical COVID-19 are comparable or higher than the frequencies of other virus- or vaccine-reactive T-cells analyzed in our previous studies[7,8,10,22,23]. The magnitude of the S-protein-reactive T-cell response is also comparable with the first data on S-protein-reactive T-cells in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated SRF[13]. The reason for the higher number of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in critical cases is unknown and might be explained by a disturbed migration of the antigen-specific cells to the infected tissue. However, it is also possible that the composition of the peripheral immune cells mirrors the situation in the inflamed tissue, where the large number of antigen-specific effector T-cells leads to injury as we hypothesize before for unspecific cellular immunity. Independent of the reason for the higher magnitude of SARS-CoV2-reactive immunity, our data provide important implications for the clinical patient management. Patients with severe and critical course mount a strong antiviral response. Although the antiviral protective capacity of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells has to be evaluated, COVID-19 disease progression is obviously associated with a higher magnitude of inflammatory cytokine-producing cells. Therefore, immune modulatory approaches could be helpful in patients with severe/critical cases, which is confirmed by a recent study describing a positive outcome of anti-IL6-antibody therapy in SRF patients[5].

In conclusion, we demonstrate data on SARS-CoV-2-specific and non-specific cellular and humoral immunity in patients with different disease severity and provide first evidences for possible immune pathogenesis of COVID-19. Although further analysis of the patient cohort in follow-up is required, our data have important implications for the management of severe/critical COVID-19 and for the planning further clinical trials.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020;

2. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, Sanchez E, Tattersall RS, Manson JJ. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. The Lancet 2020;395(10229):1033–4.

3. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 2020;395(10223):497–506.

4. Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. Journal of Clinical Investigation [Internet] 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 26];Available from: https://www.jci.org/articles/view/137244

5. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Netea MG, Rovina N, et al. Complex Immune Dysregulation in COVID-19 Patients with Severe Respiratory Failure. Cell Host & Microbe [Internet] 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 26];Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312820302365

6. Kern F, Bunde T, Faulhaber N, et al. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Phosphoprotein 65 Makes a Large Contribution to Shaping the T Cell Repertoire in CMV-Exposed Individuals. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 2002;185(12):1709–16.

7. Stervbo U, Nienen M, Weist BJD, et al. BKV Clearance Time Correlates With Exhaustion State and T-Cell Receptor Repertoire Shape of BKV-Specific T-Cells in Renal Transplant Patients. Front Immunol 2019;10:767.

8. Weist BJD, Wehler P, El Ahmad L, et al. A revised strategy for monitoring BKV-specific cellular immunity in kidney transplant patients. Kidney Int 2015;88(6):1293–303.

9. Babel N, Volk H-D, Reinke P. BK polyomavirus infection and nephropathy: the virus-immune system interplay. Nat Rev Nephrol 2011;7(7):399–406.

10. Nienen M, Stervbo U, Mölder F, et al. The Role of Pre-existing Cross-Reactive Central Memory CD4 T-Cells in Vaccination With Previously Unseen Influenza Strains. Frontiers in Immunology 2019;10:593.

11. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020. Available from: http://www.R-project.org/

12. Li G, Taljaard M, Van den Heuvel ER, et al. An introduction to multiplicity issues in clinical trials: the what, why, when and how. International Journal of Epidemiology 2016;dyw320.

13. Weiskopf D, Schmitz KS, Raadsen MP, et al. Phenotype of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cells in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome [Internet]. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2020 [cited 2020 Apr 26]. Available from: http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.04.11.20062349

14. Chandele A, Sewatanon J, Gunisetty S, et al. Characterization of Human CD8 T Cell Responses in Dengue Virus-Infected Patients from India. Journal of Virology 2016;90(24):11259–78.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

15. Strioga M, Pasukoniene V, Characiejus D. CD8+CD28− and CD8+CD57+ T cells and their role in health and disease: CD8+CD28− (CD8+CD57+) T cells in health and disease. Immunology 2011;134(1):17–32.

16. Le Priol Y, Puthier D, Lécureuil C, et al. High Cytotoxic and Specific Migratory Potencies of Senescent CD8+CD57+ Cells in HIV-Infected and Uninfected Individuals. The Journal of Immunology 2006;177(8):5145–54.

17. Gu J, Gong E, Zhang B, et al. Multiple organ infection and the pathogenesis of SARS. Journal of Experimental Medicine 2005;202(3):415–24.

18. Ding Y, He L, Zhang Q, et al. Organ distribution of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS patients: implications for pathogenesis and virus transmission pathways. The Journal of Pathology 2004;203(2):622–30.

19. Reinke S, Geissler S, Taylor WR, et al. Terminally Differentiated CD8+ T Cells Negatively Affect Bone Regeneration in Humans. Science Translational Medicine 2013;5(177):177ra36-177ra36.

20. Walling BL, Kim M. LFA-1 in T Cell Migration and Differentiation. Frontiers in Immunology [Internet] 2018 [cited 2020 Apr 26];9. Available from: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00952/full

21. Murakami M, Kamimura D, Hirano T. Pleiotropy and Specificity: Insights from the Interleukin 6 Family of Cytokines. Immunity 2019;50(4):812–31.

22. Hammer MH, Brestrich G, Mittenzweig A, et al. Generation of EBV-specific T cells for adoptive immunotherapy: a novel protocol using formalin-fixed stimulator cells to increase biosafety. J Immunother 2007;30(8):817–24.

23. Friedrich P, Sattler A, Müller K, Nienen M, Reinke P, Babel N. Comparing Humoral and Cellular Immune Response Against HBV Vaccine in Kidney Transplant Patients. Am J Transplant 2015;15(12):3157–65.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Tables

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Disease Severity		
	Moderate	Severe	Critical
Number patients	21(39.62%)	18(33.96%)	14(26.42%)
Age (NS)	64.6 (range: 41-89)	73.9 (range: 56-92)	64.9 (range: 26-86)
Gender (Male/Female)	10/11 (47.62/52.38) NS	10/8 (55.6%/44.4%) NS	13/1 (92.9%/7.1%) **
Comorbidities			
Cancer	1	3	1
Chronic renal Disease	0	2	0
Obstructive Lung Disease	2	1	0
Diabetes	1	3	3
Cardiovascular disease	8	6	2

Age is compared across patient categories by one-way ANOVA. Gender is compared for each patient category by χ^2. NS indicate not significant, ** indicate P value < 0.01

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure legends



Fig. 1: Study protocol and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein overlapping peptide pool. (A) 53 patients admitted to Marienhospital Herne – Universitätsklinikum der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Herne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany and Universitätsklinikum Essen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany were enrolled into the study if diagnosed with COVID-19. Patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 were recruited after the first symptoms occurred and a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result (in median 4 days after the diagnostic test) were available. For patients with critical disease, the recruitment into the study occurred at ICU, being diagnosed with COVID-19 in median 14 days before. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer duration of COVID-19 in ICU patients, blood samples of patients with moderate and severe cases were obtained in follow up within the next 8 days after the recruitment. For 10 out of 14 critical patients, only one time point was available. The profiling included evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein specific IgG serum antibodies, as well as phenotyping of all major immune cell populations by flow cytometry, and characterization of B- and T-cell subsets. T-cells reactive to the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein were also analyzed by application of overlapping peptide pools. (B) The utilized peptide pool contained 15mer, 11 AA overlapping peptides spanning the S-protein regions 304-338, 421-475, 492-519, 683-707, 741-770, 785-802, and 885-1273 of the S-protein. (C) Principle of 15mer 11 AA overlapping peptides from SARS-CoV-2 S-protein region 304-340.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 2: Decrease of lymphocyte frequencies with differentiated and activated cytotoxic phenotype in patients with severe or critical COVID-19. Peripheral blood from patients with moderate, severe or critical COVID-19 manifestations was subjected to evaluation for differentiation and activation state of T- and B-cell subsets at the first visit using multiparametric flow cytometry. The subsets of the $CD3^+$ T-cells and the $CD19^+$ B lymphocyte were identified according to the gating strategy in Fig. S7-S9. (A-B) Frequency of central memory, defined as $CCR7^+CD45RA^-$, among $CD4^+$ (A) and $CD8^+$ (B) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (C-D) Frequency of terminally differentiated effector T-cells (TEMRA), defined as $CCR7^-$ $CD45RA^+$, among $CD4^+$ (C) and $CD8^+$ (D) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (E-F) The frequency of HLA-DR expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (E) and $CD8^+$ (F) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (G-H) The frequency of CD57 positive cells among $CD4^+$ (G) and $CD8^+$ (H) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (I-J) The frequency of CD11a expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (I) and $CD8^+$ (J) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (K-L) The frequency of CD28 expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (K) and $CD8^+$ (L) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (M) The frequency of transitional B-cells defined as $CD27^-CD38^{high}CD24^{high}$, among all $CD19^+IgM^+IgD^+$ B-cells, and (N) the frequency of marginal zone (MZ) B-cells, defined as IgD^+CD27^+, among all $CD19^+$ B-cells.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 3: Increase of frequencies of differentiated and activated cytotoxic lymphocyte associated with improving of COVID-19 symptoms from severe to moderate COVID-19. The dynamics of differentiation and activation state of T-cell subsets was evaluated in patients with an improvement of disease manifestations from severe to moderate. The subsets of the $CD3^+$ T-cells were identified according to the gating strategy in Fig. S7 and S8. The difference of cell frequency after improvement to the frequency before the improvement in symptoms was taken. Terminally differentiated effector T-cells (TEMRA) are defined as $CCR7^-CD45RA^+$. Expression of HLA-DR was evaluated for $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells, $CD57^+$ was evaluated for $CD4^+$ T-cells, and $CD11a^+$ for $CD8^+$ T-cells. Changes were evaluated by the one-sample Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test with the null hypothesis of zero increase.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 4: Increased magnitude of cytokine producing S-protein-reactive T-cells in patients with critical COVID-19. The presence and functional status of SARS-CoV-2 specific T-cells was evaluated using PBMCs, isolated from the peripheral blood of patients with moderate, severe, or critical COVID-19 manifestations. Defrosted PBMCs rested for 24 hours before treatment with overlapping peptide pools covering the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. The cells were stimulated for a total of 16 hours and in the presence of Brefeldin A for the last 14 hours. SARS-CoV-2 reactive $CD4^+$ T-cells and $CD8^+$ T-cells were evaluated using multiparametric flow cytometry, using the expression of CD154 and CD137 to identify the activated $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell, respectively. The complete gating strategy is presented in Fig. S5. For critical COVID-19 patients, only a single time point was available. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer disease duration in critical COVID-19 patients, the comparison of the data in critically ill patients was performed also with the follow-up visit data in moderate and severe cases. (A) Frequency of $CD4^+CD154^+$ among $CD4^+$ T-cells (first row) to the initial visit (left column) and follow-up visit (right column), and frequency of cells expressing granzyme B (GrB), INF-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α among $CD4^+CD154^+$ (row two to four). (B) Frequency of $CD8^+CD137^+$

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089; this version posted May 2, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

among $CD8^+$ T-cells (first row) to the initial visit (left column) and follow-up visit (right column), and frequency of cells expressing granzyme B (GrB), INF-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α among $CD8^+CD137^+$ (row two to four). (C) Correlation between the frequencies of S-protein-reactive $CD4^+$ T-cells (left) and S-protein-reactive CoV-2 specific $CD8^+$ T-cells (right) against relative titers of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein specific IgG antibodies, measured by ELISA and evaluated as ratio to an internal control.