medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

COVID-19 progression is potentially driven by T cell immunopathogenesis

Moritz Anft[1*], Krystallenia Paniskaki[2*], Arturo Blazquez-Navarro[1,3*], Adrian Doevelaar[1], Felix S. Seibert[1], Bodo Hoelzer[1], Sarah Skrzypczyk[1], Eva Kohut[1], Julia Kurek[1], Jan Zapka[1], Patrizia Wehler[1,3], Sviatlana Kaliszczyk[1], Sharon Bajda[3], Constantin J. Thieme[3], Toralf Roch[1,3], Margarethe Justine Konik[2], Thorsten Brenner[4], Clemens Tempfer[5], Carsten Watzl[6], Sebastian Dolff[2], Ulf Dittmer[7], Timm H. Westhoff[1], Oliver Witzke[2], Ulrik Stervbo[1*], Nina Babel[1,3*]

[1]Center for Translational Medicine and Immune Diagnostics Laboratory, Medical Department I, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany
[2]Department of Infectious Diseases, West German Centre of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany
[3]Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies, and Institute of Medical Immunology, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany
[4]Department of Anesthesiology, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Germany
[5]Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany
[6]Department of Immunology, Leibniz Research Centre for Working Environment and Human Factors at the Technical University Dortmund (IfADo), Ardeystrasse 67, 44139, Dortmund, Germany,
[7]Germany Institute for Virology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany.

*equal contribution

Corresponding author:

Prof. Nina Babel
Center for Translational Medicine, Medical Department I, Marien Hospital Herne, University Hospital of the Ruhr-University Bochum, Hölkeskampring 40, 44625 Herne, Germany and

Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Medical Immunology and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies, Augustenburger Platz 1, 13353 Berlin, Germany

email: *nina.babel@elisabethgruppe.de*; nina.babel@charite.de

Keywords: Covid-19, SARS-CoV-2, Immunity, S-protein-reactive T-cells

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background: The role of cellular immunity in pathogenesis of COVID-19 is unclear and conflicting data points to insufficient or pathogenic immunity as drivers of COVID-19 progression. Here we aimed to delineate the phenotype and function of the immune system in patients with moderate, severe, and critical COVID-19.

Methods: In this prospective study, we included 53 patients with moderate (n=21), severe (n=18), and critical (n=14) COVID-19 manifestations. Using multiparametric flow cytometry we compared quantitative, phenotypic, and functional characteristics of circulating immune cells, SARS-CoV-2 antigen-reactive T-cells, and humoral immunity.

Results: Deep phenotypic profiling revealed a depletion of circulating bulk $CD8^+$ T-cells, $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cell subsets with activated memory/effector T-cells expressing $CD57^+$, HLA-DR^+, and the key activation and migration molecule $CD11a^{++}$ in critical COVID-19. Importantly, survival from acute respiratory distress syndrome was accompanied by a recovery of the depleted $CD11^{++}$ T-cell subsets including T-cells expressing CD28, CD57, HLA-DR activation/effector molecules. We further observed a stronger response of S-protein specific T-cells producing inflammatory cytokines in critical COVID-19 cases. This seemingly contradictory observation is in fact confirmation of the underlying immunopathogenesis in patients with critical COVID-19.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest a CD11a-based immune signature as a possible prognostic marker for disease development. Our data further reveal that increased rather than decreased SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell immunity is associated with adverse outcome in COVID-19. Tissue migration of activated effectors T-cells may constitute a crucial cornerstone in the immunopathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 associated tissue injury.

Trial registration: This is a prospective observational study without a trial registration number.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from Mercator Foundation, the BMBF e:KID (01ZX1612A), and BMBF NoChro (FKZ 13GW0338B).

25 Word summary

Stronger S-protein reactivity and decreased frequency of activated memory/effector T-cells expressing $CD11a^{++}$ suggests immunopathogenesis in critical COVID-19 mediated by tissue migration of activated effector T-cells.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

The pandemic outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 faced the human population with tremendous health, social, and economical challenges. SARS-CoV-2 can lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and multi-organ failure (1). The conventional assumption on the general immunity fitness can certainly not explain the different disease manifestation. Recent data demonstrate an association of cytokine storm including high level of interleukin (IL)-6 production with severe disease (1–3) suggesting a pathological immune dysregulation. In contrast, markedly lower immune cell numbers and decreased activation levels were associated with critical COVID-19 manifestations (4, 5). Usually, a protective role of cellular immunity controlling viral infection can be assumed (6–8). However, an overwhelming immune response after viral infections leading to cell damage and organ failure was also reported (9). It is unclear whether the diminished or hyperreactive immunity is responsible for the critical COVID-19 manifestations. Our study provides a detailed characterization of non-specific and SARS-CoV-2-reactive cellular and humoral immunity in a prospective cohort of patients with different disease severity to understand their role for COVID-19 progression.

Methods

Study population and design

53 patients with moderate (n=21), severe (n=18), and critical (n=14) COVID-19 manifestations were recruited into the study. The severity of infection was assessed according to the guidelines of the Robert Koch Institute, Germany, Table S1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients are demonstrated in Tables 1 and S2.

Patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 were recruited after the first symptoms occurred and a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result (in median 4 days after the diagnostic test) was available. For patients with critical disease, the recruitment occurred at ICU, being diagnosed with COVID-19 in median 14 days before. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer duration of COVID-19 in ICU patients, blood samples of patients with moderate and severe cases were obtained in follow up within the next 8 days after the recruitment. For 6 out of 14 critical patients, only one time point was available (Fig. 1A).

Preparation of PBMCs and stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 overlapping peptide pools

SARS-CoV-2 PepTivator peptide pools (Miltenyi Biotec), containing overlapping peptides spanning parts of the surface glycoprotein (Fig. 1B), were used in the stimulation. The utilized overlapping peptide pool (OPP) consisted of 15mers with 11 amino acids overlap (Fig. 1C). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were prepared from whole blood by gradient centrifugation as previously described (10). Isolated PBMCs were stimulated with 1 µg/mL OPP for 16h. Brefeldin A (1µg/ml, Sigma Aldrich) was added after 2h.

Flow cytometry

For the immune phenotyping, EDTA treated whole blood was stained as described in the Supplementary Appendix. Surface antigen of the T-cells stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 OPP were stained prior to fixation and staining of intracellular antigen, as described in Supplementary Appendix. All samples were immediately acquired on a CytoFlex flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

SARS-CoV-2 IgG Antibody titers

SARS-CoV-2 IgG titers were analyzed using a commercially available ELISA (EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, Germany) per manufacturer's instructions.

Statistics

Flow cytometry data were analyzed using FlowJo version 10.6.2 (BD Biosciences); gating strategies are presented in Fig. S5-S9. Statistical analysis was performed using R, version 3.6.2 (11). P values were not corrected for multiple testing: Since this is an exploratory study with the aim of hypothesis generation, multiplicity adjustment is generally not recommended as relevant findings might be considered insignificant (12). In the figures, only significant P values ($P < 0.05$) are reported.

Study approval

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Ruhr-University Bochum (20-6886) and University Hospital Essen (20-9214-BO). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

53 hospitalized patients with moderate, severe and critical COVID-19 disease manifestations were enrolled in this prospective study. The detailed characteristics of study patients, study design and blood sampling are presented in Table 1, S2 and Fig. 1A. There were no statistically significant differences in age between the analyzed groups. Most patients in the critical groups were males. To exclude a potential bias of obtained results caused by gender mismatch between the groups, we performed a bivariate regression analysis for all significant factors. We found no evidence of a confounding effect of gender for the described markers associated with COVID-19 severity ($P>0.05$, Table S3).

We monitored changes of disease severity during the short follow up period. Six out of ten patients suffering ARDS dies within two weeks after study recruitment, while the remaining four ARDS patients recovered. Ten further patients showed clinical improvement and moved from the severe to the moderate disease cohort in the follow up visit.

Degree of lymphopenia is similar across disease severity

The absolute counts of circulating leukocytes including lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes were for most patients below the reference level at the first and at the follow-up visit (Fig. S1 and S2). Eosinophil counts were increased in critically ill patients (Fig. S1G). We further characterized different subsets within T- and B-cell compartments. To exclude patient specific variations caused by lymphopenia, we focused the analysis on the relative values.

The relative frequency of lymphocytes among leukocytes was significantly lower in critical versus moderate cases (Fig. S1H). We also observed a lower level of $CD8^+$, higher $CD4^+$ level and consequently a higher $CD4^+/CD8^+$ ratio in patients with severe and critical COVID-19 symptoms versus moderate (Fig. S1I-K), the difference was significant between moderate and severe patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Decreased frequencies of lymphocytes with differentiated and activated cytotoxic phenotype is associated with critical COVID-19

Next, we performed a comparison of various T- and B-cell subsets between the different disease severities at the first visit (Fig. 2) and in follow-up (Fig. S3). We found lower frequencies of central memory $CD4^+$ in patients with critical COVID-19 (Fig. 2A,B). Lower frequencies of terminally differentiated TEMRA $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells were found in patients with severe and critical compared to moderate COVID-19 (Fig. 2 C,D). Interestingly, for TEMRA $CD8^+$ T-cells, the difference between the three cohorts was borderline significant (Kruskal-Wallis P=0.054); a pairwise examination of revealed a potential difference between critical and moderate patients (Mann-Whitney P=0.017). We further found lower frequencies of T-cells with an activated effector phenotype expressing HLA-DR and CD57 on $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells (Fig. 2E-H) in patients with critical disease compared to severe and moderate cases. While the differences for HLA-DR-expressing T-cells were statistically significant, the difference for $CD8^+CD57^+$ T cells showed only a tendency towards lower values with increasing COVID-19 severity (Kruskal-Wallis P=0.095). The difference was most marked between the moderate and the critical sub-cohorts (Mann-Whitney P=0.049). Interestingly, we found dramatically lower frequencies of CD11a-expressing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells and a significant reduction of $CD28^+CD4^+$ T-cells in critical compared to severe and moderate COVID-19 (Fig. 2I-L). This alteration was obviously specific for the SARS-CoV-2 infection, as it was not observed in patients with pneumonia and sepsis on mechanical ventilation (data not shown).

In the B-cell compartment, we found a strong gradual reduction in the frequencies of transitional and marginal zone $CD19^+$ cells in the patients with severe or critical symptoms (Fig. 2M-N), but not in class switched $CD19^+IgD^-$ plasmablasts (data not shown).

Taken together, the findings above demonstrate a loss of activated and differentiated effector T-cells in patients with severe and critical COVID-19. These differences remained stable albeit not always significant in follow up visit of moderate and severe COVID-19 patients (Fig. S3). The data on follow up analysis in critical COVID-19 patients with ARDS is of special importance. Six out of ten ARDS patients succumbed to the infection within 14 days after the study recruitment. At week three, however, all ARDS survivors displayed a recovery of the depleted $CD11^{++}$ T-cell among CD4+ and CD8+ (Fig 3 A-B) including $CD11a^{++}$ expressing HLA-DR, CD28, and CD57 molecules (Fig 3 C-F). In parallel, we also observed an increase of initially depleted TEMRA and HLA-DR^+ $CD8^+$ T-cells (Fig 3 G-H). At the time of writing, ARDS-survivors have been discharged from the ICU and no longer require mechanical ventilation.

Increased magnitude and functionality of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in patients with critical COVID-19

Given the observed loss of activated/differentiated T-cells in circulation of patients with critical symptoms, we wondered how this might influence the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein-specific T-cell immunity.

Among the patients with moderate symptoms, we found remarkably, albeit insignificantly, fewer patients with detectable SARS-CoV-2-reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ T-cells compared to severe or critical cases (54.5% vs. 71.4% and 80.0%, respectively). The number of patients with detectable S-protein-reactive $CD4^+$ T-cells increased in all groups to 76.9% (moderate), 100% (severe) and 81.8% (critical) after the follow-up visit (Table S4).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Interestingly, during the whole observation period, a lower percentage of patients with a moderate disease had detectable $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells (First visit: 54.5%, Follow-up: 38.5%), whereas the percentage of patients with a severe COVID-19 and detectable $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells increased from 42.9% at the first to 75% at the follow-up visit. In critically ill patients, the frequency was comparable to the level of the follow-up visit in severe diseases (80% and 81.8%).

Furthermore, we compared the magnitude of T-cell responses between the groups. We found a tendency towards higher frequency (Fig. 4A) and absolute counts (Fig. S4A) of S-protein reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ in critical compared to moderate COVID-19. The magnitude of TNF-α, IFNγ and granzyme B producing $CD4^+CD154^+$ T-cells was slightly higher in the severe and critical versus moderate group (Fig. 4A), while for IL-2 producing $CD4^+CD154^+$ T cells, a significant difference was found. The magnitude of S-protein-reactive $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells was generally very low, although we found higher frequencies in critical COVID-19. We also detected a significantly higher frequency (Fig. 4B) and number (Fig. S4B) of IL-2 producing $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells, whereas the frequencies of TNF-α, IFN-γ and granzyme B producing $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cell were very low and comparable between the groups (Fig. 4B and Fig. S4B).

Of interest, we found a correlation between humoral and cellular immunity: Samples with detectable SARS-CoV-2-reactive $CD4^+CD154^+$ T-cells had significantly higher antibody titers, independent of the COVID-19 severity and the sampling time point (Fig. 4C). Moreover, among the samples with detectable anti-SARS-CoV-2 CD4+ response the counts (Fig. S4C) of $CD4^+CD154^+$ were significantly correlated with the magnitude of the humoral response. On the other hand, no significant effect was found for antiviral $CD8^+CD137^+$ T-cells.

Discussion

Here, we present a comprehensive immune profiling of a cohort of 53 patients with different COVID-19 severity. Our data reveal an intriguing association between the quantitative composition and functionality of several immune cell subsets and the clinical manifestation of COVID-19 pointing to pathogenic immune reactivity in critical COVID-19. The most potent SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell immunity was detected in those patients with the worst lung injury. The temporary dramatic depletion of CD11a-expressing potent SARS-CoV-2 specific circulating T cells indicates that emigration from the vasculature with consecutive tissue invasion may constitute a central pathophysiological mechanism for tissue injury in COVID-19.

The impaired immune regulation and increased inflammation have been recently reported for patients with SARS-CoV-2-related severe respiratory failure (SRF) (5, 13). Patients with SRF showed an IL-6-driven hyperinflammation and a T-, and B-cell lymphopenia (5). In agreement with these data, we show lower numbers of circulating T-, and B-cell subsets in patients with severe and critical as compared to moderate COVID-19. More importantly, critically ill patients had the lowest frequencies of T-cell subsets with advanced differentiation, activation and functional properties. The affected immune cell subsets are described to be involved in immune activation and cytotoxic response towards foreign antigens (14–16). The reason for the depletion of activated differentiated effector T-cells in circulation of patients with critical COVID-19 is not clear so far and can be explained either by activation-induced apoptosis or by inflammation-triggered cell migration. In this respect, the data on the dramatic depletion of CD11a-expressing $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells in patients with critical disease are relevant. CD11a is a key T-cell integrin, essential for T-cell activation and migration (17). In addition,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

CD57$^+$T-cells are known as terminally differentiated, functionally competent memory/effector T lymphocytes, with high migratory capacities (15, 16). Notably, four out of ten ARDS patients recovered in follow up. This improvement in the clinical course of ARDS patients was accompanied by the increase of the depleted T-cell subsets. This points out that an inflammation-triggered lymphocyte migration (18, 19) rather than apoptosis is responsible for the observed loss of activated terminally differentiated T-cell subsets. Moreover, previous data demonstrate that *in vivo* pre-activated terminally differentiated TEMRA can migrate unspecifically to any inflammatory site providing cytotoxic effects (20). Supporting our hypothesis on inflammation-driven T-cell migration, the very recent review (21) reports on SARS-CoV-2-induced activation of IL-6 amplifiers. Leading to IL-6 and other cytokine release, it recruits activated T-cells and macrophages in the lesion (21). In line with this and other papers (5), we observed significantly higher levels of IL-6 in patients with severe and critical diseases (data not shown).

Thus, these findings provide substantial insight into the immunopathogenesis of COVID-19: In the observed patients hyperreactive and not insufficient cellular immunity is responsible for COVID-19 progression. We demonstrate an increased magnitude and functionality of SARS-CoV2 S-protein-reactive CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T-cells in patients with critical and severe cases. The frequencies of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in critical COVID-19 are comparable or higher than the frequencies of other virus- or vaccine-reactive T-cells analyzed in our previous studies (7, 8, 10, 22, 23). The magnitude of the S-protein-reactive T-cell response is also comparable with the first data on S-protein-reactive T-cells in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated SRF (13). The reason for the higher number of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells in critical cases has to be explored. It might be explained by a disturbed migration of the antigen-specific cells to the infected tissue leading to the impaired viral clearance, increased inflammation within infected tissue and unspecific migration of effector T-cells in this area through bystander activation. However, it is also possible that the composition of the peripheral immune cells mirrors the situation in the infected tissue, where the large number of antigen-specific effector T-cells leads to injury of the affected organ.

Independent of the reason for the higher magnitude of SARS-CoV2-reactive immunity, our data provide important clinical implications: Patients with severe and critical course mount a strong antiviral response. Although the protective capacity of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells has to be evaluated, COVID-19 disease progression is obviously associated with a higher magnitude of inflammatory cytokine-producing cells. This finding delivers an immunological rationale for the use of immunosuppressive approaches at this stage of the disease. Concordantly, recent studies described a positive effect of anti-IL6 or anti-IL1 therapy in SRF patients (5, 24).

In conclusion, the data presented here are supportive of an immune pathogenesis as an underlying cause of COVID-19 severity. Additionally, the identified CD11a-based immune signature can represent a possible prognostic marker for disease progression. Since the proposed marker analysis is already offered by most immunodiagnostics laboratories, a multi-center evaluation is foreseeable and the marker can readily be utilized for monitoring in the current pandemic.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.A., U.S. and N.B.; Data curation: K.P.; Formal analysis: M.A., A.B., C.J.T., T.R. and U.S.; Funding acquisition: T.H.W., O.W. and N.B.; Investigation: S.S., E.K., J.K., J.Z., P.W., S.K. and S.B.; Methodology: M.A., K.P., C.J.T. and T.R.; Project administration: U.S. and N.B.; Resources: K.P., A.D.,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

F.S.S., B.H., M.J.K., T.B., C.T., C.W., S.D., U.D., T.H.W. and O.W.; Supervision: T.R., U.S. and N.B.; Visualization: A.B.; Writing – original draft: M.A., K.P., A.B., C.J.T., T.R., C.W., S.D., U.D., T.H.W., O.W., U.S. and N.B. The order of co-first authors is based on time spent on the project with alphabetical order of surnames resolving ties (M.A. and K.P.).

Acknowledgments

We want to express our deepest gratitude to the patients who donated their blood samples and clinical data for this project.

References

1. Guan W-J et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N. Engl. J. Med.* [published online ahead of print: February 28, 2020]; doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032

2. Mehta P et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *The Lancet* 2020;395(10229):1033–1034.

3. Huang C et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *The Lancet* 2020;395(10223):497–506.

4. Chen G et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019 [Internet]. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* [published online ahead of print: April 13, 2020]; doi:10.1172/JCI137244

5. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ et al. Complex Immune Dysregulation in COVID-19 Patients with Severe Respiratory Failure [Internet]. *Cell Host & Microbe* [published online ahead of print: April 2020]; doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.04.009

6. Kern F et al. Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Phosphoprotein 65 Makes a Large Contribution to Shaping the T Cell Repertoire in CMV-Exposed Individuals. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002;185(12):1709–1716.

7. Stervbo U et al. BKV Clearance Time Correlates With Exhaustion State and T-Cell Receptor Repertoire Shape of BKV-Specific T-Cells in Renal Transplant Patients. *Front Immunol* 2019;10:767.

8. Weist BJD et al. A revised strategy for monitoring BKV-specific cellular immunity in kidney transplant patients. *Kidney Int.* 2015;88(6):1293–1303.

9. Babel N, Volk H-D, Reinke P. BK polyomavirus infection and nephropathy: the virus-immune system interplay. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2011;7(7):399–406.

10. Nienen M et al. The Role of Pre-existing Cross-Reactive Central Memory CD4 T-Cells in Vaccination With Previously Unseen Influenza Strains. *Frontiers in Immunology* 2019;10:593.

11. R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020:

12. Li G et al. An introduction to multiplicity issues in clinical trials: the what, why, when and how. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2016;dyw320.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

13. Weiskopf D et al. *Phenotype of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cells in COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome [Internet]*. Infectious Diseases (except HIV/AIDS); 2020:

14. Chandele A et al. Characterization of Human CD8 T Cell Responses in Dengue Virus-Infected Patients from India. *Journal of Virology* 2016;90(24):11259–11278.

15. Strioga M, Pasukoniene V, Characiejus D. CD8+CD28− and CD8+CD57+ T cells and their role in health and disease: CD8+CD28− (CD8+CD57+) T cells in health and disease. *Immunology* 2011;134(1):17–32.

16. Le Priol Y et al. High Cytotoxic and Specific Migratory Potencies of Senescent CD8+CD57+ Cells in HIV-Infected and Uninfected Individuals. *The Journal of Immunology* 2006;177(8):5145–5154.

17. Walling BL, Kim M. LFA-1 in T Cell Migration and Differentiation [Internet]. *Frontiers in Immunology* 2018;9. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.00952

18. Gu J et al. Multiple organ infection and the pathogenesis of SARS. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2005;202(3):415–424.

19. Ding Y et al. Organ distribution of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS patients: implications for pathogenesis and virus transmission pathways. *The Journal of Pathology* 2004;203(2):622–630.

20. Reinke S et al. Terminally Differentiated CD8+ T Cells Negatively Affect Bone Regeneration in Humans. *Science Translational Medicine* 2013;5(177):177ra36-177ra36.

21. Murakami M, Kamimura D, Hirano T. Pleiotropy and Specificity: Insights from the Interleukin 6 Family of Cytokines. *Immunity* 2019;50(4):812–831.

22. Hammer MH et al. Generation of EBV-specific T cells for adoptive immunotherapy: a novel protocol using formalin-fixed stimulator cells to increase biosafety. *J. Immunother.* 2007;30(8):817–824.

23. Friedrich P et al. Comparing Humoral and Cellular Immune Response Against HBV Vaccine in Kidney Transplant Patients. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2015;15(12):3157–3165.

24. Cavalli G et al. Interleukin-1 blockade with high-dose anakinra in patients with COVID-19, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and hyperinflammation: a retrospective cohort study [Internet]. *The Lancet Rheumatology* [published online ahead of print: May 2020]; doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30127-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figures and figure legends



Fig. 1: Study protocol and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein overlapping peptide pool. (A) 53 patients admitted to Marienhospital Herne – Universitätsklinikum der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Herne, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany and Universitätsklinikum Essen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Germany were enrolled into the study if diagnosed with COVID-19. Patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 were recruited after the first symptoms occurred and a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result (in median 4 days after the diagnostic test) were available. For patients with critical disease, the recruitment into the study occurred at ICU, being diagnosed with COVID-19 in median 14 days before. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer duration of COVID-19 in ICU patients, blood samples of patients with moderate and severe cases were obtained in follow up within the next 8 days after the recruitment. For 6 out of 14 critical patients, only single time point was available. The profiling included evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein specific IgG serum antibodies, as well as phenotyping of all major immune cell populations by flow cytometry, and characterization of B- and T-cell subsets. T-cells reactive to the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein were also analyzed by application of overlapping peptide pools. (B) The utilized peptide pool contained 15mer, 11 AA overlapping peptides spanning the S-protein regions 304-338, 421-475, 492-519, 683-707, 741-770, 785-802, and 885-1273 of the S-protein. (C) Principle of 15mer 11 AA overlapping peptides from SARS-CoV-2 S-protein region 304-340.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 2: Decrease of lymphocyte frequencies with differentiated and activated cytotoxic phenotype in patients with severe or critical COVID-19. Peripheral blood from 53 patients with moderate, severe or critical COVID-19 manifestations was subjected to evaluation for differentiation and activation state of T- and B-cell subsets at the first visit using multiparametric flow cytometry. The subsets of the $CD3^+$ T-cells and the $CD19^+$ B lymphocyte were identified according to the gating strategy in Fig. S7-S9. (A-B) Frequency of central memory, defined as $CCR7^+CD45RA^-$, among $CD4^+$ (A) and $CD8^+$ (B) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (C-D) Frequency of terminally differentiated effector T-cells (TEMRA), defined as $CCR7^-CD45RA^+$, among $CD4^+$ (C) and $CD8^+$ (D) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (E-F) The frequency of HLA-DR expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (E) and $CD8^+$ (F) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (G-H) The frequency of CD57 positive cells among $CD4^+$ (G) and $CD8^+$ (H) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (I-J) The frequency of CD11a expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (I) and $CD8^+$ (J) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (K-L) The frequency of CD28 expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (K) and $CD8^+$ (L) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (M) The frequency of transitional B-cells defined as $CD27^-CD38^{high}CD24^{high}$, among all $CD19^+IgM^+IgD^+$ B-cells, and (N) the frequency of marginal zone (MZ) B-cells, defined as IgD^+CD27^+, among all $CD19^+$ B-cells.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 3: Recovery from ARDS is accompanied by the recovery of depleted T-cell subsets with activated differentiated effector phenotype. The kinetics of T-cell subsets with activated terminally differentiated effector phenotype was evaluated in four ARDS survivors. The subsets of the $CD3^+$ T-cells were identified according to the gating strategy in Fig. S7 and S8. (A-B) The frequency of $CD11a^{++}$ cells among $CD4^+$ (A) and $CD8^+$ (B) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (C-D) The frequency of $CD11a^{++}HLA\text{-}DR^+$ expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (C) and $CD8^+$ (D) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (E-F) The frequency of $CD11a^{++}HLA\text{-}DR^+CD28^+CD57^+$ expressing cells among $CD4^+$ (E) and $CD8^+$ (F) $CD3^+$ T-cells. (G) Terminally differentiated effector T-cells (TEMRA), defined as $CCR7^-CD45RA^+$ among $CD8^+$ T-cells. (H) Expression of HLA-DR among and $CD8^+$ T-cells.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 4: Increased magnitude of cytokine producing S-protein-reactive T-cells in patients with critical COVID-19. The presence and functional status of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T-cells was evaluated using PBMCs, isolated from the peripheral blood of patients with moderate (n=9), severe (n=8), or critical (n=10) COVID-19 manifestations. Defrosted PBMCs rested for 24 hours before treatment with overlapping peptide pools covering the SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. The cells were stimulated for a total of 16 hours and in the presence of Brefeldin A for the last 14 hours. The complete gating strategy is presented in Fig. S5. For critical COVID-19 patients, only a single time point was available. To ensure the comparability of the data with respect to the longer disease duration in critical COVID-19 patients, the comparison of the data in critically ill patients was performed also with the follow-up visit data in moderate and severe cases. (A) Frequency of $CD4^+CD154^+$ among $CD4^+$ T-cells (first row) to the initial visit (left column) and follow-up visit (right column), and frequency of cells expressing granzyme B (GrB), INF-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α among $CD4^+CD154^+$ (row two to four). (B) Frequency of $CD8^+CD137^+$ among $CD8^+$ T-cells (first row) to the initial visit (left column) and follow-up visit (right

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

column), and frequency of cells expressing granzyme B (GrB), INF-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α among $CD8^+CD137^+$ (row two to four). (C) Comparison of the relative titers of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein specific IgG antibodies, measured by ELISA and evaluated as ratio to an internal control for samples with detectable SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD4^+$ T-cells (left) and SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD8^+$ T-cells (right); correlation of the relative titers of SARS-CoV-2 with the counts of SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD4^+$ T-cells (left) and SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD8^+$ T-cells for samples with detectable T cell response.

Tables

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	Disease Severity		
	Moderate	Severe	Critical
Number patients	21 (39.62%)	18 (33.96%)	14 (26.42%)
Age (NS)	64.6 (range: 41-89)	73.9 (range: 56-92)	64.9 (range: 26-86)
Gender (Male/Female)	10/11 (47.62/52.38) NS	10/8 (55.6%/44.4%) NS	13/1 (92.9%/7.1%) **
Comorbidities			
Cancer	1	3	1
Chronic renal Disease	0	2	0
Obstructive Lung Disease	2	1	0
Diabetes	1	3	3
Cardiovascular disease	8	6	2

Age is compared across patient categories by one-way ANOVA. Gender is compared for each patient category by χ^2. NS indicate not significant, ** indicate P value < 0.01

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.28.20083089.this version posted May 19, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.