medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

April 27, 2020

Submitted to British Medical Journal

COVID-19 mathematical model reopening scenarios for São Paulo - Brazil

Osmar Pinto Neto[1,2,4*], José Clark Reis[2], Ana Carolina Brisola Brizzi[1,2], Gustavo José Zambrano[2], Joabe Marcos de Souza[2,3], Wellington Pedroso E. Amorim [1,2], Rodrigo Cunha de Mello Pedreiro[1,6,7], Bruno de Matos Brizzi[2], Ellysson Oliveira Abinader[5], Deanna M. Kennedy[8], Renato Amaro Zângaro[1,4].

[1] Anhembi Morumbi University, Biomedical Engineering Department, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

[2] Arena235 Research Lab – São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil.

[3] Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Engenharia Aeronáutica, São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

[4] Center for Innovation, Technology and Education – CITE, Parque Tecnológico de São José dos Campos, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil.

[5] Instituto Abinader, Manaus, AM, Brazil.

[6] Estácio de Sá University, Nova Fribugo, RJ, Brazil.

[7] Santo Antônio de Pádua College, Santo Antônio de Pádua, RJ, Brasil.

[8] Texas A&M University, TX, USA.

*

Corresponding Author:

Osmar Pinto Neto

osmarpintoneto@hotmail.com

Parque Tecnológico de São José dos Campos, Estrada Dr. Altino Bondensan, 500, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil, 12247-016.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Abstract

The objective of the current investigation was to produce a generalized computational model to predict consequences of various reopening scenarios on COVID-19 infections rates and available hospital resources in São Paulo - Brazil. We were able to use the Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered (SEIR) model to fit both accumulated death data and corrected accumulated cases data associated with COVID-19 for both Brazil and the state of São Paulo. In addition, we were able to simulate the consequences of reopening under different possible scenarios in Brazil, in special for the state of São Paulo. The model was able to provide a predicted scenario in which reopening could occur with minimal impact on human life considering people careful behavior in combination with continued social distancing measures.

Keywords: COVID-19; Brazil; São Paulo; SEIR model; pandemic; mathematical modelling; quarantine; reopening.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

In December 2019, a series of atypical pneumonia cases emerged in China caused by a new Coronavirus, officially called COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO). As of April 25 2020, 2,919,404 people have tested positive for COVID-19 and 203,164 deaths have been attributed to the virus worldwide, posing a great threat to public health. In Brazil, the first case was confirmed on February 26th and the number of positive cases rapidly increased to 59,196 and 4,045 deaths reported in all states of the federation as of April 25, 2020 (https://covid.saude.gov.br/). To prevent the spread of COVID-19, most countries have adopted social distancing policies and closed all non-essential businesses. However, this strategy has resulted in several economic repercussions. With increasing social pressure on politicians to reopen the economy, it is imperative we use high-fidelity mathematical modeling to predict consequences of various reopening scenarios on COVID-19 infections rates and available hospital resources.

Current research and prediction models, however, have focused on China, Europe, and the United States leaving many questions unanswered for several countries, including Brazil (1). For example, research has indicated the older adults (60+) are at the greatest risk of experiencing complications from COVID-19 (2). The death rate for older adults (60+) is 6.4% and increases up to 13.4% for more senior older adults (80+) whereas the death rate for young healthy adults is less than 1% (1). Given that Brazil has a large percentage of its population over the age of 60 years, especially in the urban areas of the South and Southeastern regions, the incidence of aggravated cases may be particularly high in comparison to countries with younger population demographics (3). It has also been debated whether environmental conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity) influence the behavior of the COVID-19 virus similar to the common cold and flu. Yet, the majority of the research investigating the virus has been conducted in environments different/opposite than that of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Brazil and other countries in the southern hemisphere (4,5). In addition, Brazil faces many economical and sociocultural challenges that may impact containment, mitigation, and suppression strategies differently than countries that are the current focus of most prediction models (3).

To fully understand the dynamic behavior of the virus on public health in a particular country, region, state, city, or organization it is important to consider factors such as testing rates and the availability of medical resources. Widespread testing is necessary to properly assess intervention strategies and allocate needed medical resources (6,7). However, laboratory testing to confirm exposure and/or diagnosis has been a major obstacle for the containment, mitigation, and suppression of COVID-19 worldwide. The actual number of people who have been infected with COVID-19 is unknown. What is known is the number of people who have lab-confirmed cases, which is dependent upon the number of people being tested and how the results are reported. Some countries are testing a higher percentage of the population (e.g., Iceland) and have more comprehensive reporting practices (e.g., Estonia) than others (8) (https://ourworldindata.org/covid-testing). In Brazil, the testing rates have been significantly lower (1375/million) than countries with higher per capita income such as the United Kingdom (7.886/million), United States (12.407/million), Germany (20.629/million) and France (7.103/million) (https://www.worldbank.org/) and has been limited to individuals with severe, life-threatening symptoms (https://covid.saude.gov.br/).

The availability of medical resources to treat people infected with COVID-19 can affect recovery and mortality rates associated with virus. More specifically, mortality rates may rise as hospitals become overwhelmed and have fewer resources. As such, the ability to accurately estimate the need for hospital beds and Intensive Care Units (ICU), for example, may be an important factor in combating COVID-19. For example, in a recent model forecasting the need for hospital beds based upon age and infection mortality rates (IFR), it

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

was assumed the 30% hospitalized cases would need to be placed in a ICU (50% of which were predicted not to survive) and with average hospital stays estimated at 16 days (9). In addition, research has indicated the availability of adequate medical assistance was highly correlated to the income status of countries (10). Unfortunately, in countries first impacted by the pandemic the demand for hospital beds and mechanical ventilators surpassed the local availability even in countries with high per capital income. In countries with a shortfall of health infrastructure and services, the COVID-19 pandemic may have even more dire consequences.

It is of utmost importance to determine not only the number of active cases, but also the number of hospital beds, ventilators and ICU's that will be needed at a certain point in time to maximize the usage of public resources. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) gathering date which depict the true reality of the pandemic allows for more accurate modeling. In turn, higher fidelity mathematical models increase the reliability of predictions. These models may play an important role in helping governments and health providers prepare and combat the COVID-19 pandemic. For examples, prediction models may provide a framework for prototyping and studying possible intervention strategies and used as a guideline for when certain social distancing restrictions can be lifted (7).

The Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered (SEIR) model was a particularly useful and widely accepted mathematical model used in previous pandemics (i.e., Hubei, Wuhan and Beijing) (11). The purpose of the current analysis is to use the SEIR model to represent the behavior of COVID-19 and predict the outcome of the pandemic in São Paulo - Brazil. More specifically, the SEIR model will be used to predict the consequences of reopening under different possible scenarios in Brazil, especially for the state of São Paulo. Note, however, that this model can be applied to different countries, regions, states, cities, and/or organizations.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Methodology

The SUEIHCDR model

We present a generalized SEIR compartmental model using novel and recently suggested ideas and concepts (11) (https://apmonitor.com/do/index.php/Main/COVID-19Response; https://covid19-scenarios.org/about). The model was used to investigate the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil and the state of São Paulo, the country epicenter (for the application of the model for different countries around the world please see (12). It is composed of eight compartments: Susceptible, Unsusceptible, Exposed, Infected, Hospitalized, Critical, Dead, and Recovered (SUEIHCDR, Figure 1).

The model assumes, at first that, the whole population is susceptible (Equation 1) to the disease. As time progresses, a susceptible person can either become exposed (Equation 5) to the virus or unsusceptible (Equation 2).

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\big(1 - SD(t)\big)\beta S(t)I(t)}{N_{pop}} - \alpha(t)S(t) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = \alpha(t)S(t) \tag{2}$$

where $I(t)$ is the number of infectious people at time t, N_{pop} is the population of the country, β is the infection rate, α is a protection rate, and SD is a social distancing factor.

As in Peng et al. (2020) we introduced a protection rate α factor to our susceptible equation (Equation 1). This protection rate was introduced to account for possible decreases in the number of susceptible people to the virus caused by factors other than social distancing, such as the usage of face masks, better hygiene, more effective contact tracing and possible vaccines and or drugs that may prevent infection. Different from the study of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Peng et al, (2020), however, we varied α across time (Equation 3). This time variation was introduced to reliably model people's behavior, who are not commonly too concerned about the disease in the earlier stages of the epidemic, but as the number of infected and deaths increases, become more cautious about the virus.

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(t) = \alpha_0 \dfrac{log\ (t+1)}{log(t_{qo})}; \ \ until\ quarantine\ opening \\ \alpha(t) = \alpha_{qo}; \ \ after\ quarantine\ opening \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where α_0 is the reference value, that is the maximum value, t_{qo} is the final time before quarantine opening, and α_{qo} is the new protection rate after the date that the quarantine is opened.

Furthermore, we also introduced a social distancing factor SD, which also varies with time (Equation 4). Social distancing was modeled as a logistic curve so that the model could account for the date (t_{sd}) when a possible quarantine measurement starts.

$$\begin{cases} SD(t) = SD_0 \dfrac{1}{1+e^{-(t-t_{sd})}}; \ \ until\ quarantine\ opening \\ SD(t) = SD_{qo}; \ \ after\ quarantine\ opening \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where SD_0 is SD reference value, that is the maximum value, and t_{sd} is the time the SD increases until reaching SD_0, before quarantine opening. After this date, the SD value is equal to SD_{qo}.

Exposed people become infectious after an incubation time of 1/γ (Equation 5).

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = +\frac{(1-SD(t))\beta S(t)I(t)}{N_{pop}} - \gamma E(t) \tag{5}$$

Infected people stay infected for a period of 1/δ (Equation 6) days and can have three different outcomes. Considering *m* as a specific parameter to account the fraction of infectious that are asymptomatic, it is possible to determine that a percentage of the infected (*1-m*) go hospitalized, another percentage of them (*l*) may die without hospitalization, and the rest of them (*m-l*) recover. *l* was introduced as a function of time (Equation 7) so that the time

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

when hospital bed became unavailable could be modeled (t_m), as well as the duration that hospital were full (*dur*).

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = +\gamma E(t) - \delta I(t) \tag{6}$$

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} l_0 \dfrac{1}{1 + e^{-rl\,(t - t_l)}}, & t < 2t_l + dur \\ 0.95^{l_0(t - 2t_l + dur)}, & t \geq 2t_l + dur \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where l_0 is the inclination of the angular coefficient of the ramp up until reaching the maximum value reference value, t_l is the time when people started dying due the lack of available ICUs.

Hospitalized people (Equation 8) stay hospitalized for 1/ζ days and can either recover (*1-c*) or become critical (*c* – specific parameter to account the fraction of hospitalized that becomes critical cases) needing to go an intensive care unit (ICU).

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = +(1 - m)\delta I(t) + (1 - f)\varepsilon C(t) - \zeta H(t) \tag{8}$$

where ε is the inverse of the time people stay in the ICU.

A person stays on average 1/ ε in the ICU (Equation 9) and can either go back to the hospital (*1-f*) or die (*f* - specific parameter to account the fraction of people in critical state that died).

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = +c\zeta H(t) - \varepsilon C(t) \tag{9}$$

Therefore, recovered people (Equation 10) can either come straight from infection when the case is mild (*m-l*) or from the hospital when the case is no critical (*1-c*).

$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = +(m - l)\delta I(t) + (1 - c)\zeta H(t) \tag{10}$$

At last, death (Equation 11) arises either from lack of available treatment (*l*), or from critical cases in the ICU (*f*).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

$$\frac{dD(t)}{dt} = +l\delta I(t) + f\varepsilon C(t) \tag{11}$$

Solving and testing the model

We used the fourth order Runge-Kutta numerical method to solve our system of ordinary differential equation in MATLAB (MathWorks Inc.R14a). To run our model to Brazil and the state of Sao Paulo, we used both coefficients estimated from outside sources (6) and coefficients found by optimization (15). First *l*, *rl*, t_l, and *dur* were set to zero. These coefficients were introduced in the model to so that the percentage of people that went from infectious to death without access to hospital care were considered. This is important for modelling the situation in countries such as Italy for example (13) (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/09/italian-hospitals-short-beds-coronavirus-death-toll-jumps). We set it to zero in Brazil because the main purpose of the simulations is to estimate the number of hospital and ICU beds what will be needed considering the demand will be attended. Also, it is important to determine what scenarios could be contained in the number of beds we currently have available in the state and country. Data from the Brazilian national government indicates Brazil has 421,415 hospital beds and 30,941 ICU beds in the country (http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/tabcgi.exe?cnes/cnv/leiintbr.def). Additionally, data from the state government indicates São Paulo State has 90,603 hospital beds and 8,385 ICU beds in the State (http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/tabcgi.exe?cnes/cnv/leiintbr.def). Additionally, t_{sd} was set considering the day the Governor of São Paulo officially declared they start reopening the state (14) (https://www.correiobraziliense.com.br/app/noticia/brasil/2020/04/23/interna-brasil,847309/sao-paulo-tera-retomada-gradual-das-atividades-a-partir-de-11-de-maio.shtml). Finally, the last coefficient found by outside sources was *SD*.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Determining Social Distancing

To determine the values for SD for Brazil and for the state of São Paulo we used two sources, the Google's Community Mobility Reports (https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/) and data obtained from the "*Sistema de Monitoramento Inteligente (SIMI-SP)*" (https://www.saopaulo.sp.gov.br/noticias-coronavirus/governo-de-sp-apresenta-sistema-de-monitoramento-inteligente-contra-coronavirus/).

São Paulo State *SD* value was obtained as the mean *SD* of the quarantine period of the data obtained by *SIMI_SP* (*SD*=54%).

In order to estimate SD for the country we used both São Paulo State *SIMI_SP* data and Google's data for "residential percent change from baseline". This variable estimates the percentual change in time of staying at home during the quarantine compared to before. Residential percent change from baseline for Brazil and for the state of São Paulo were low-pass filter filtered at 0.09 Hz (Butterworth 4th order). After filtering maximum values per day for Brazil and São Paulo were quantified. We found a linear regression fit of the values found this way for São Paulo and the data obtained for the state by *SIMI_SP*. The linear equation found was y=0.74884x+36.651. This equation was used to correct Google's estimated SD value for Brazil (*SD*=53%).

Optimization

Fifteen of our model coefficients were found by optimization. We gathered accumulated cases, recovered cases, accumulated deaths, and tests per million people data from trust sources (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/; https://ccs2.ufpel.edu.br/wp/2020/04/15/ufpel-apresenta-primeiros-resultados-do-estudo-sobre-covid-19-no-rs) for the first 20 countries in number of total cases and Iceland , on April 25, 2020, and from the state of São Paulo official website

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

(http://www.saude.sp.gov.br/cve-centro-de-vigilancia-epidemiologica-prof.-alexandre-vranjac/areas-de-vigilancia/doencas-de-transmissao-respiratoria/coronavirus-covid-19/situacao-epidemiologica; https://www.prefeitura.sp.gov.br/cidade/secretarias/saude/vigilancia_em_saude/doencas_e_agravos/coronavirus/index.php?p=295572; https://www.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2020/April/04/2020-04-04---COVID---ATUALIZA----O-DE-VIGIL--NCIA-EPIDEMIOL--GICA----S--BADO.pdf). Furthermore, we gathered the percentage of people over 65 years of age for each country (www.indexmundi.com).

We assume that the number of deaths is more reliable to measure of the epidemic since sub-testing has been largely reported for the covid-19 and in most places only cases that demand medical attention are being tested (8) (https://ourworldindata.org/covid-testing; https://ccs2.ufpel.edu.br/wp/2020/04/15/ufpel-apresenta-primeiros-resultados-do-estudo-sobre-covid-19-no-rs). As such we multiplied the number of cases in Brazil by a correction factor. To determine this factor, we took two information into consideration the death rate in Iceland, the country with the greatest percentage of test per habitant in the time of this analyses (15) (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/) and each countries percentage of people over 65% years considering the increase in death rate with age (1). First, we found a linear regression fit of the death rate and the percentage of people in each country over 65 years of age for the 21 countries from which data was gathered. The linear equation found was $y=0.004x+0.01$. Using this equation, we corrected Brazil's death rate and determined the correction factor by diving Brazil's corrected death rate by Iceland's corrected death rate. Factor found for Brazil was 17.7 and we used the same factor for the state of Sao Paulo (epicenter of the epidemic in Brazil).

Furthermore, we used a custom build MATLAB global optimization algorithm using Monte Carlo iterations and multiple local minima searches to find 15 different inputs to the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

model. The algorithm was tested for the best solution considering all inputs varying within ranges obtained from the WHO and several publications (16–18) (Table 1), by minimizing a goal function (*J*) as a combination of Active Cases and Death time series (Equation 12).

$$\begin{cases} J = (1-p) * RMSE(Active\ Cases) + p * RMSE(Deaths) \\ p = \frac{mean(Active\ Cases)/mean(Death)}{\frac{mean(Active\ Cases)}{mean(Death)}+1} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Data under 50 active cases were discarded. Initial values for each compartmental parameter had ranges proportional to the following initial values (Table 1): infected initial values (*I0*) were determined as the corrected actives cases first value greater than 50; exposed initial values (*E0*), hospitalized initial values (*H0*), and critical cases initial values (*C0*), had initial values proportional to *I0* considering model parameters (*m, c, f*); deaths initial values (*D0*) were obtained from the accumulated deaths real data; similarly, recovered initial values (*Re0)* were obtained from the recovered real data. Results are presented as mean (standard deviation). The model results presented are based on an average of 1250 runs.

After the fitting, the parameters were used to predict the numbers of Infected, Recovered, Deaths, Hospital and ICU beds per day varying the *SD* value and the protection rate (α) value to account for different possible scenarios after the quarantine opening. To better illustrate the effects of changes in α, instead of presenting the value of the coefficient itself in the tables and figures, we exhibited the effect of α in the susceptible people (Equation 1). Thus, in results section we used protection (%) as the percentage of people that have become unsusceptible (Equation 2) by the end of the epidemic in relation to the population of Brazil or the state of São Paulo. We ran hundreds of different scenarios for both Brazil and São Paulo. We simulated the reopening on May 11th; the day the State governor decreed the state will start re-opening (14)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

(https://www.correiobraziliense.com.br/app/noticia/brasil/2020/04/23/interna-brasil,847309/sao-paulo-tera-retomada-gradual-das-atividades-a-partir-de-11-de-maio.shtml).

At last, a Confidence Interval of 95% estimated using Monte Carlo for a 2% error was used in order to show the range of the results.

Results

Our model was able to accurately fit the data for both the country of Brazil and the state of São Paulo (Figure 2). Current protection percentage is approximately 65% for Sao Paulo and 75% for Brazil.

Tables 2 present the optimized model inputs for April 25, 2020. For Brazil, we have: protective rate (α) was 0.023; mean infectious rate (β) was 0.67; mean fraction of infectious that are asymptomatic or mild (*m*) was 0.95; the fraction of severe cases that turn critical (*c*) was 0.33; the mean fraction of critical cases that are fatal (*f*) was 0.52. For São Paulo, we have: protective rate (α) was 0.018; mean infectious rate (β) was 0.57; mean fraction of infectious that are asymptomatic or mild (*m*) was 0.92; the fraction of severe cases that turn critical (*c*) was 0.29; the mean fraction of critical cases that are fatal (*f*) was 0.51. Table 2 also shows the inverse values of γ, δ, ζ, ε: the latent period was 0.3 day for Brazil and 0.5 day for São Paulo ; the infectious period was 7.9 days for both Brazil and São Paulo; the hospitalized period was 4.4 days for both Brazil and São Paulo; and the ICU period was 13.4 days for Brazil and 12.9 day for São Paulo. The reproductive number (**R0)** estimated from the model is also presented in Table 2, **R0** found was 3.88 for Brazil and 3.53 for São Paulo State.

Results for 50 different scenarios considering Brazil's data on April 25, reopening on May 11th, and SD ranging from 0 to 0.53 (current estimate for Brazil during quarantine) protection rate ranging from 31-99% are displayed in Table 3. The results indicate that changes to either SD or protection rate can cause quite different outcomes. Considering a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

protection rate of approximately 75% the current optimized value found for the country, varying SD from 13 to 40% cause a drop in model results of 18,754,357 to 3,412,191 in total infections, 184,781 to 34,000 in deaths, 1,905,610 to 199,940 in total hospitalizations, 39,291 to 10,566 in peak hospitalization in one day, 353,659 to 65,072 total ICU beds used, 37,023 to 9,086 peak ICU beds used in one day and 18,569,577 to 3,378,191 in recovered people.

From the data in table 3 a magnitude of comparisons and projections can be made, for instance if we find the mean values across high protection percentages (80-99%) and compare them across different social distance percentages (Table 4), we can see that adopting an approximate 20% over a 40% SD strategy after coming back from quarantine can result in an approximate double of the number of deaths (approximate form 28 thousand to 57 thousand).

Figure 3 illustrates the accumulated cases (a), total recuperated cases (b), accumulate deaths (c) and percentage of Unsusceptible or Protected people (d) in Brazil considering data on April 25 and reopening on May 11th, with SD of 13% and protection of 76%, with a 95% confidence interval on a 2% error variation of every input parameter to the model. To complement, Figure 4 illustrates active cases (a), hospital beds per day usage (b), ICU beds per day usage (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in in Brazil considering Brazil's data on April 25 and reopening on May 11th, with SD of 13% and protection of 76%, also with a 95% confidence interval on a 2% error variation of every input parameter to the model.

Table 5 shows the results for Brazil filtered to illustrate only simulated scenarios of changes in SD and protection with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to be greater than the number in the country (30,941 beds). From the table we can conclude that the minimum protection is 64% when associated to a 40% *SD*, and the minimum possible *SD* is 13%, when associated to an 81% protection. Furthermore, by adopting a more realistic protection percentage by using as the current one

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

displayed in the state of Sao Paulo (65%) as a mirror to the country, we find the minimum SD to be 40%. At this scenario, the projected peak in ICU day usage would be about 9 thousand.

Results for 50 different scenarios considering the state of São Paulo data on April 25 reopening on May 11th with SD ranging from 0 to 0.54 (current estimate for São Paulo during quarantine) protection rate ranging from 12-99% are displayed in Table 6. Again, results indicate that changes to either SD or protection rate can cause quite different outcomes. Considering a protection rate of approximately 67% the current optimized value found for the country, dropping SD from 40% to 13% cause an increase in model results from 996,657 to 7,600,658 in total infections, 12,881 to 98,225 in deaths, 88,895 to 678,032 in total hospitalizations, 3,153 to 31,292 in peak hospitalization in one day, 25,346 to 193,283 total ICU beds used, 2,350 to 24,441 peak ICU beds used in one day and 983,777 to 7,502,433 in recovered people.

Figure 5 illustrates the accumulated cases (a), total recuperated cases (b), accumulate deaths (c) and percentage of Unsusceptible or Protected people (d) in São Paulo considering data on April 25 and reopening on May 11th, with SD of 13% and protection of 67%, with a 95% confidence interval on a 2% error variation of every input parameter to the model. To complement, Figure 6 illustrates active cases (a), hospital beds per day usage (b), ICU beds per day usage (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in in Brazil considering Brazil's data on April 25 and reopening on May 11th, with SD of 13% and protection of 67%, also with a 95% confidence interval on a 2% error variation of every input parameter to the model.

Table 7 show the results for São Paulo filtered to illustrate only simulated scenarios of changes in SD and protection with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to be greater than the number in the state (8,385 beds). From the table we can conclude that the minimum protection is 53% when associated to a 40% *SD*, and the minimum possible *SD* is 13%, when associated to a 97% protection.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Furthermore, as it happened for the analyses of the country, by adopting a more realistic protection percentage as the current one displayed in the state of São Paulo (65%) we find the minimum SD would be 40%. This scenario would roughly represent the peak in a day usage of 2,500 ICU. Additionally, at a protection rate of 67% and SD of 26% the peak in ICU bed virtually matches the number of beds in the state (Figure 7c).

Finally, Figure 7 illustrates the accumulated cases active cases (a), hospital beds per day usage (b), ICU beds per day usage (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in São Paulo considering data on April 25 and reopening on May 11th, with SD of 13% and 26% and protection of 62% and 67%, with a 95% confidence interval on a 2% error variation of every input parameter to the model.

Discussion

As many country, states, cities, and organizations struggle with the decisions to lift social distancing measures put in place to limit the spread of COVID-19 and reopen economies, it is important to understand the associated risks and plan for appropriate public health measures. We present a novel generalized SEIR compartmental model to predict death rates and the number of hospital and ICU beds as social distancing measures are lifted. The model was used to predict the consequences of reopening under different possible scenarios in Brazil, in special for the state of São Paulo.

SEIR compartmental model

We developed a SEIR compartmental model to predict mortality rates and the need for public health resources due to COVID-19. While countries throughout the world have reported vastly different mortality rates (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/) our model assumed that the mortality rate was constant for all countries, considering only differences in age across the populations. Several factors may account for differences in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

mortality rates between countries including testing, demographics, and available medical resources. The lack of widespread testing and comprehensive epidemiological investigations have been major issues for many countries, including Brazil. When testing is limited to those with severe, life-threatening symptoms, mortality rates are likely inflated. With more testing people with mild symptoms are identified, resulting in lower mortality rates. Countries with higher testing rates most likely provide a more accurate representation. Iceland, recognized as testing a larger percentage of the population (10%) than any other country, has a reported mortality rate at approximates 0.6% (15). Thus, in our model, we used data from Iceland to correct the number of total cases of Brazil and São Paulo and account for a lack of testing.

The demographics of the population may account for differences in mortality rates between countries. Symptoms of COVID-19 tend to be more severe in older adults resulting in higher mortality rates (13.4% for people over 80 years) than young adults (less than 1%) (1). For countries with older population demographics, mortality rates may be particularly high. As such, we applied a correction in the confirmed cases to our model, correcting by the age of the population. The underlying bias of our assumption that the mortality rate is constant for all countries when corrected by population age, is that there are no differences among mortality rates for countries concerning factors such as genetic influence, comorbidities prevalence (e.g., diabetes, obesity, high blood pressure), or virus mutations. However, recent research has indicated such factors can affect mortality rates associated with COVID-19 (e.g., (5,19). It may be necessarily to account for such factors as more data becomes available.

Finally, it was crucial to our model to use coefficients that were known from outside sources, so that future scenarios can be monitored by the government specially considering social distancing measures. To do so, for São Paulo we used data obtained from cell-phone companies; and for the country, we used both the cell-phone data for São Paulo and Google

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

estimates of number of people following social distancing recommendations and staying at home. Consequently, our model assumes that cell-phone data is an accurate measure of social distancing; and, for Brazil, that differences in google and cell-phone estimates from the state of São Paulo can be reflected across the country.

Model Application

We used the SEIR compartment model to investigate the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil and in the state of São Paulo. The results indicated that the model accurately fit both accumulated death data and corrected accumulated case data for both Brazil and São Paulo (Figure 2). Our model predicted a basic reproduction number **R0** for Brazil of 3.82 and Sao Paulo 3.52. The basic reproduction number represents the average number of secondary cases that result from the introduction of a single infectious case in a susceptible population (20). Considering the importance of such parameter, several investigations have used various methods to estimate this parameter for COVID-19 and our values fall within the range of values reported so far. In their review, Liu et al. (2020) reported two studies using stochastic methods that estimated **R0** ranging from 2.2 to 2.68, six studies using mathematical computation methods with results ranging of 1.5 to 6.49, and three studies using statistical methods such as exponential growth with estimations ranging from 2.2 to 3.58.

Additionally, we found latent periods of 0.3 and 0.5 days and infectious period of approximately 8 days for Brazil and São Paulo, respectively. The mean estimated latent period found here is smaller than some previously reported, such as in PENG et al (2020) and GUAN et al (2020) (21) who estimates the latent median times around 2-3 days. Nevertheless, our results corroborate with the idea that COVID-19 transmission may occur in the pre-symptomatic phase and that COVID-19 patients may have an inconsiderable latent

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

non-infectious period. The mean infectious period of 8 days is within expected range estimated by recent publications (4,22). We found hospitalization periods of 4.4 days and ICU period of 13.4 days for both Brazil and São Paulo. The estimation for ICU time is similar to (23) (https://www.pnas.org/content/suppl/2020/04/03/2004064117.DCSupplemental), which estimates 13.5 days, and mean total hospital time, including ICU time, is in accordance with (9), which estimates around 16 days. Similar or even the same coefficients were expected for both places, since São Paulo is the epicenter of the pandemic in Brazil containing 22% of all active cases of the country.

Reopening

COVID-19 has not only resulted in a public health crises resulting in millions of infections and thousands of deaths worldwide, it has also caused great economic suffering due to social distancing measures and large-scale closures of schools, businesses, and government services put in place to slow the spread of the virus and minimize the loss of human life. As such, when to reopen economies has become a hotly debated issue worldwide. Opening the economy too soon may result in greater cost to human life while continued closure may result in economic crisis (24). Therefore, knowing when and how to reopen economies is of utmost important. Since we already have a scheduled date for the process of re-opening in the state of São Paulo, and possibly the rest of the country as well, we used this date in our simulation. Simulations produced by our model, indicated the capacity of public health care resources (ICU beds) in Brazil was not great enough to sustain the projected infection rates if social distancing measures were lifted too soon. However, projections also indicated values for social distancing and protection that can help yield a safe return post quarantine.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

We found that considering the current protection percentage displayed in the state of São Paulo (65%) the minimum social distance that should be adopted by both the country of Brazil and the state of São Paulo would be 40%; the current values for the country and State now during quarantine are 53 and 54%. At this scenario, the projected peak in ICU day usage would roughly represent the peak in a day usage of 9,000 for the country and 2,500 ICU for the state (Table 7). In more practical terms, an protection percentage of 65% consists of having 65% of the people during the pandemic period so aware and cautious, that by using masks (25,26) and having high standard of hygiene and social behavior (https://behavioralscientist.org/handwashing-can-stop-a-virus-so-why-dont-we-do-it-coronavirus-covid-19/; https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-how-behaviour-can-help-control-the-spread-of-covid-19-132247), the probability of these people to be infected is assumed to be zero (27). Our results indicate that 65% is the current percentage found in São Paulo today. It is imperative that governments and media keep on educating people so that this number does not drop. For instance, if during post-quarantine period, we keep of a 40% social distance percentage, but the percentage of cautious protected people drops to 35%, the projected need of ICU beds in the highest day of the pandemic only in the state of São Paulo goes up to 50 thousand. In other words, considering the total number of ICU available in the state (8,385 beds) and in the country (30,941 beds), the results would be disastrous. Figure 7 illustrates that São Paulo by adopting a 27% social distance, which means 50% of the current state social distance during quarantine, and a protection percentage of 67% could have the peak ICU beds during the pandemic matching the state total capacity. Although this could be used to argue for adopting such social distancing strategy, it is imperative to note that ICU beds are not only used for COVID-19 related urgencies; thus, projected number should be well under the margin used here. At last, our model was able to provide specific guidelines considering the

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

current official timeframe in which reopening could occur with minimal impact on human life if done carefully and in combination with continued social distancing measures.

Conclusion

To prevent the spread of COVID-19 most countries have adopted social distancing policies and closed all non-essential businesses. Such measures have caused great economic suffering with government leaders under increasing pressure to reopen economies despite the continued threat of COVID-19 on public health. We used SEIR compartment model to predict the consequences of reopening under different possible scenarios in Brazil, especially for the state of São Paulo. Our model was able to provide a predicted scenario in which reopening could occur with minimal impact on human life considering people careful behavior in combination with continued social distancing measures.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. Osmar Pinto JR, Dra. Iara RCA Pinto, Emely Flores, Leandro Dalmarco, Fernando Torres Balbina, Fabricio Duarte, Henrique Touguinha, Guilherme Ferro, Marco Antonio Ridenti and all others who have helped us by sharing data and information during this project.

Conflict of interest statement

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Tarrataca L et al. Flattening the curves: on-off lock-down strategies for COVID-19 with an application to Brazi. 2020; Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06916

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

2. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol [Internet]. 2020; Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32294485

3. Ribeiro, L. C.; Bernardes AT. Estimate of underreporting of COVID-19 in Brazil by Acute Respiratory Syndrome hospitalization reports. UFMG , UFOP. 2020;

4. Hou C, Chen J, Zhou Y, Hua L, Yuan J, He S, et al. The effectiveness of the quarantine of Wuhan city against the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): well-mixed SEIR model analysis. J Med Virol. 2020;0–3.

5. Wang J, Tang K, Feng K, Lv W. High Temperature and High Humidity Reduce the Transmission of COVID-19. SSRN Electron J. 2020;

6. Rache B, Rocha R, Spinola P, Malik AM, Massuda A. Necessidades de Infraestrutura do SUS em Preparo ao COVID19 - Nota técnica 3: IEPS. Inst para Estud em Políticas Saúde. 2020;1–5.

7. Lyra W et al. COVID-19 pandemics modeling with SEIR (+ CAQH), social distancing , and age stratification . The effect of vertical confinement and release in Brazil . 2020;1–17.

8. Hassel, J.□; Ospina, E. O. steban Ortiz-Ospina, Mathieu, E.; Ritchie, H., Beltekian, Diana; Macdonald B. RM. To understand the global pandemic we need global testing the Our World in Data COVID-19 Testing dataset [Internet]. Our World in Data. 2020. Available at: https://ourworldindata.org/covid-testing

9. Ferguson NM et al. Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID- 19 mortality and healthcare demand. Imp Coll [Internet]. 2020;(March). Available at: https://www.imperial.ac.uk/mrc-global-infectious-disease-analysis/news--wuhan-coronavirus/

10. Gt Walker P, Whittaker C, Watson O, Baguelin M, Ainslie KEC, Bhatia S, et al. The

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Global Impact of COVID-19 and Strategies for Mitigation and Suppression. Imp Coll. 2020;(March):1–19.

11. Peng L, Yang W, Zhang D, Zhuge C, Hong L. Epidemic analysis of COVID-19 in China by dynamical modeling. Pré Print [Internet]. 2020;(February). Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.06563

12. Neto, O.; Reis, José Clark; Brisola, Ana Carolina; Zambrano, Gustavo José; Souza, Joabe Marcos; Pedroso, Wellington Amorim; Pedreiro, Rodrigo Cunha; Brizzi; Bruno; Zangaro, Renato Amaro; Abinader E. Compartmentalized mathematical model to predict future number of active cases and deaths of COVID-19. Res Biomed Eng [Preprint]. 2020; Available at: https://www.editorialmanager.com/rbme/download.aspx?id=6019&guid=193feae2-e50e-4398-bf3d-2e9436afd265&scheme=1

13. Tondo L. Italian hospitals short of beds as coronavirus death toll jumps [Internet]. The Guardian. 2020. p. 1–5. Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/mar/09/italian-hospitals-short-beds-coronavirus-death-toll-jump

14. Cardim, Maria Eduarda; Nunes M. São Paulo terá retomada gradual das atividades a partir de 11 de maio. Vol. 00, Correio Braziliense. 2020. p. 1–5.

15. Gudbjartsson DF, Ph D, Helgason A, Ph D, Jonsson H, Ph D. Early Spread of SARS-Cov-2 in the Icelandic Population. N Engl J Med. 2020;1–34.

16. Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. J Travel Med. 2020;27(2):1–4.

17. Ranjan R. Estimating the final epidemics size for COVID-19. medRxiv. 2020;1:1–15.

18. Wu JT, Leung K, Bushman M, Kishore N, Niehus R, de Salazar PM, et al. Estimating clinical severity of COVID-19 from the transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China. Nat

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Med [Internet]. 2020;1–5. Available at: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-020-0822-7

19. Dawood AA. Mutated COVID-19, May Foretells Mankind in a Great Risk in the Future. New Microbes New Infect [Internet]. 2020;35:100673. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2020.100673

20. Anastassopoulou C, Russo L, Tsakris A, Siettos C. Data-based analysis, modelling and forecasting of the COVID-19 outbreak. PLoS One [Internet]. 2020;15(3):e0230405. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230405

21. Guan, Wei-jie; Ni, Zheng-yi; Hu Yu, M.D; Liang, Wen-hua; Ou, Chun-liang; He, Jian-xing; Shan H. Clinical characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in China. medRxiv. 2020;34(2).

22. Guo Y-R, Cao Q-D, Hong Z-S, Tan Y-Y, Chen S-D, Jin H-J, et al. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak – an update on the status. Mil Med Res. 2020;7(1):1–10.

23. Moghadas SM, Shoukat A, Fitzpatrick MC, Wells CR, Sah P, Pandey A, et al. Projecting hospital utilization during the COVID-19 outbreaks in the United States. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2020; Available at: https://www.pnas.org/content/pnas/suppl/2020/04/03/2004064117.DCSupplemental/pnas.2004064117.sapp.pdf

24. McKee M, Stuckler D. If the world fails to protect the economy, COVID-19 will damage health not just now but also in the future. Nat Med [Internet]. 2020; Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0863-y

25. Robert F. Service. You may be able to spread coronavirus just by breathing, new report finds. Science 2020, 368 (6489) Available at: doi:10.1126/science.abc0490.

26. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Rapid Expert

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Consultation on the Effectiveness of Fabric Masks for the COVID-19 Pandemic (April 8, 2020). Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. 2020; Available at: https://doi.org/10.17226/25776.

27. Leung, N.H.L., Chu, D.K.W., Shiu, E.Y.C. et al. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat Med [Internet]. 2020;1–5. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0843-2

Consulted Links

APMonitor Optimization Suite. COVID-19 Optimal Control Response. 2020. Available: https://apmonitor.com/do/index.php/Main/COVID-19Response, accessed in Apr. 18, 2020. 17:29.

Behavioral Scientist. Handwashing Can Stop a Virus—So Why Don't We Do It? Available in: https://behavioralscientist.org/handwashing-can-stop-a-virus-so-why-dont-we-do-it-coronavirus-covid-19/, accessed in Apr. 26, 2020. 21:29.

Centro of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions (NPIs), 2019. Available: http//www.cdc.gov/nonpharmaceuticalinterventions/community/index.html, accessed in 13/04, 23:20.

Coronavírus Brasil. Available in: https://covid.saude.gov.br/, accessed in Apr. 17, 2020. 10:45.

Our World in Data. COVID-19, 2020. Available: https://ourworldindata.org/search?q=covid+19, accessed in 13/04, 23:53.

Covid-19- scenarios. ©2020. Available in: https://covid19-scenarios.org/about, accessed in Apr. 25, 2020. 23:50.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

DATASUS. ©2020. Available in: http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/tabcgi.exe?cnes/cnv/leiintbr.def, accessed in Apr. 25, 2020. 23:53.

Google COVID-19. Community Mobility Report. © 2020. Available in: https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/, accessed in: Apr. 26, 2020. 0:01.

São Paulo.Sp.Gov. Government of SP presents Intelligent Monitoring System against coronavirus. Coronavirus News. São Paulo, Apr. 09,2020. Available in: https://www.saopaulo.sp.gov.br/noticias-coronavirus/governo-de-sp-apresenta-sistema-de-monitoramento-inteligente-contra-coronavirus/, accessed in Apr. 26, 2020. 00:06.

The Conversation. Coronavirus: How behaviour can help control the spread of COVID-19. Available in: https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-how-behaviour-can-help-control-the-spread-of-covid-19-132247, accessed in Apr. 26, 2020. 21:29.

The World Bank. ©2020. Available in: https://www.worldbank.org/, accessed in Apr. 25, 2020. 23:46.

UFPEL. Epidemiologia da COVID-19 no Rio Grande do Sul, EPICOVID-19. Pelotas, Apr. 15, 2020. Available in: https://ccs2.ufpel.edu.br/wp/2020/04/15/ufpel-apresenta-primeiros-resultados-do-estudo-sobre-covid-19-no-rs/, accessed in: Apr. 17, 2020. 19:22.

University of Basel. COVID-19scenarios developed at the University of Basel. ©2020. Available in: https://covid19-scenarios.org/about, accessed in Apr. 18, 2020. 17:247.

WorldoMeter. COVID-19, 2020. Available: https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/, accessed in 13/04, 21:45.

WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19. World Health Organization (WHO) 2020. Available in: https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---30-march-2020, accessed in Apr. 17, 2020. 10:40.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table Legends:

Table 1: Input coefficients to the model and respective ranges.

Table 2: Optimized inputs for Brazil and São Paulo State, for April 25, 2020.

Table 3: Results for Brazil simulating different scenarios of changes in social distancing (*SD*) and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

Table 4: Mean of high protection percentages (80-99%) simulated results for Brazil across different social distance percentages (*SD*) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

Table 5: Results for Brazil filtered to show simulated scenarios of changes in Social Distancing (SD) and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to saturate the number of beds in the country.

Table 6: Results for São Paulo simulating different scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

Table 7: Results for São Paulo filtered to show simulated scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to saturate the number in the state.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure Legends

Figure 1: SUEIHCDR model info graphic description; it is composed of eight compartments Susceptible, Unsusceptible, Exposed, Infected, Hospitalized, Critical, Dead, and Recovered. β is the infection rate, *S*D is a social distancing factor, α is a protection rate, *m* is the fraction of infectious that are asymptomatic, *1-m* is the percentage of the infected go hospitalized, *l* is the percentage of infected people that may die without hospitalization, *1-c* is the percentage of hospitalized people that recovers, *c* is the fraction of hospitalized that becomes critical cases needing to go an intensive care unit (ICU) and *f* is the fraction of people in critical state that dies.

Figure 2: Fitted model results for accumulated cases and deaths for Brazil (a and c – left) and Sao Paulo state (b and d - right), considering the data from February 02 until April 25 and using a 95% confidence interval.

Figure 3: Model results for accumulated cases (a), total recuperated cases (b), accumulate deaths (c) and percentage of Unsusceptible or Protected people (d) in Brazil, with SD of 13% and protection rate of 76%, using a 95% confidence interval. Quarantine opening occurs in May 11.

Figure 4: Model results for active cases (a), hospital beds per day (b), ICU beds per day (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in Brazil, with SD of 13% and protection rate of 76%, using a 95% confidence interval. Quarantine opening occurs in May 11.

Figure 5 Model results for accumulated cases (a), total recuperated cases (b), accumulate deaths (c) and percentage of Unsusceptible or Protected people (d) in São Paulo, with SD of 13% and protection rate of 67%, using a 95% confidence interval. Quarantine opening occurs in May 11.

Figure 6: Model results for active cases (a), hospital beds per day (b), ICU beds per day (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in Brazil, with SD of 13% and protection rate of 67%, using a 95% confidence interval. Quarantine opening occurs in May 11.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 7: Model results for active cases (a), hospital beds per day (b), ICU beds per day (c) and accumulated deaths (d) in São Paulo state, for different scenarios of SD and Protection rate. Quarantine opening occurs in May 11.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Input coefficients to the model and respective ranges.

Coeff.	Lower bound	Higher bound
α	0.01	0.12
β	0.5	1.2
γ	0.5	5.00
δ	0.07	0.50
ζ	0.20	0.33
ε	0.05	0.14
m	0.65	0.99
c	0.10	0.50
f	0.35	0.55
E0	*E0/2*	*2E0*
I0	*I0/2*	*2I0*
H0	*H0/2*	*2H0*
C0	*C0/2*	*2C0*
Re0	*Re0/2*	*2Re0*
D0	*D0/2*	*2E0*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Optimized inputs for Brazil and São Paulo State, for April 25, 2020.

Coeffs	Brazil	São Paulo State
α	0.023	0.018
β	0.67	0.57
γ	3.29	1.86
δ	0.13	0.13
ζ	0.23	0.22
ε	0.07	0.08
m	0.95	0.92
c	0.33	0.29
fa	0.52	0.51
E0	19.00	20.00
I0	39.00	36.00
H0	7.00	32.00
C0	27.00	27.00
R0	0.00	0.00
D0	0.00	0.00
SD	0.53	0.54
m_{sd}	89.00	89.00
R**0**	3.88	3.53
Factor	17.70	17.70
Latent	0.3	0.5
Infectious	7.9	7.9
Hospitalized	4.4	4.4
Critical	13.4	12.9

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 3: Results for Brazil simulating different scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting April 25, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

SD (%)	Prot (%)	DR (%)	Infect	Deaths	Hosp	peak_Hos	ICU	peak_ICU	Recov
53	99	0.010	2267410	22628	132912	10566	43306	9086	2244783
53	96	0.010	2347725	23428	137620	10566	44839	9086	2324297
53	89	0.010	2418946	24139	141794	10566	46198	9086	2394807
53	82	0.010	2474809	24695	145067	10566	47264	9086	2450114
53	77	0.010	2513639	25082	147342	10566	48003	9086	2488557
53	71	0.010	2567150	25611	150472	10566	49017	9086	2541538
53	64	0.010	2637308	26289	154544	10566	50315	9086	2611019
53	55	0.010	2788560	27605	162995	10566	52833	9086	2760955
53	44	0.009	3503005	32124	198488	10566	61493	9086	3470881
53	31	0.006	11626037	66271	548786	95587	127027	51540	11559766
40	98	0.010	2471742	24665	144888	10566	47206	9086	2447077
40	95	0.010	2676086	26703	156864	10566	51105	9086	2649383
40	89	0.010	2913615	29070	170784	10566	55637	9086	2884545
40	82	0.010	3167859	31598	185676	10566	60475	9086	3136261
40	77	0.010	3412191	34000	199940	10566	65072	9086	3378191
40	71	0.010	3948375	39014	230738	10566	74669	9086	3909361
40	64	0.009	5491736	51387	314708	10566	98364	9086	5440349
40	56	0.008	13757587	104459	731142	50206	200064	37999	13653128
40	47	0.007	43229033	304508	2264721	171777	583366	154057	42924524
40	40	0.008	73884807	604466	4091156	245133	1157524	222241	73280341
27	98	0.010	2796176	27900	163903	10566	53397	9086	2768276
27	95	0.010	3317005	33093	194427	10566	63336	9086	3283912
27	89	0.010	4161802	41508	243929	10566	79442	9086	4120294
27	82	0.010	5508374	54798	322643	10566	104878	9523	5453575
27	77	0.010	7392227	72746	431589	11168	139232	10773	7319481
27	71	0.009	12833567	120893	738636	16598	231407	16088	12712674
27	65	0.009	24175845	217904	1371966	38215	417151	36859	23957941
27	59	0.009	40212581	366099	2292574	80107	700838	75988	39846483
27	53	0.009	54954193	516600	3166366	131764	988865	122536	54437593
27	47	0.010	67131737	645893	3895277	188704	1236277	172006	66485845
18	98	0.010	3119276	31122	182839	10566	59563	9086	3088154
18	95	0.010	4089754	40797	239716	10566	78081	9964	4048957
18	88	0.010	6045478	60266	354298	13348	115342	12561	5985211
18	81	0.010	9691921	96131	567253	18742	183985	17765	9595791
18	76	0.010	14445426	141717	842758	26360	271240	25080	14303709
18	71	0.010	23392175	226272	1358853	43908	433092	41703	23165903
18	66	0.010	33656446	324973	1954195	70536	622011	66415	33331473
18	60	0.010	44376109	431173	2581662	107075	825267	99440	43944937
18	55	0.010	54376593	532156	3170193	150509	1018531	137531	53844437
18	50	0.010	63470909	624507	3706054	198568	1195271	178387	62846402
13	98	0.010	3326195	33185	194966	10566	63512	9463	3293010

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

13	95	0.010	4645403	46337	272281	12273	88684	11399	4599066
13	88	0.010	7539823	75143	441849	17446	143816	16297	7464680
13	81	0.010	12845700	127402	751842	27228	243835	25630	12718298
13	76	0.010	18754357	184781	1095610	39291	353659	37023	18569577
13	71	0.010	27729860	271815	1617491	61394	520244	57565	27458045
13	66	0.010	36677604	359651	2139624	89200	688359	82849	36317953
13	61	0.010	45750453	449929	2671119	124371	861139	114025	45300524
13	57	0.010	54374203	536426	3177397	165067	1026682	149103	53837777
13	52	0.010	62418068	617313	3649895	209770	1181485	186546	61800755

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Mean of high protection percentages (80-99%) simulated results for Brazil across different social distance percentages *(SD)* with reopening starting May 11th, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

Prot (%)	SD (%)	Infect	Deaths	Hosp	peak_Hos	ICU	peak_ICU	Recov
80-99%	13	7089280	70517	415235	16878	134961	15698	7018764
80-99%	18	5736607	57079	336026	13306	109243	12344	5679528
80-99%	27	3945839	39325	231226	10566	75263	9195	3906514
80-99%	40	2807325	28009	164553	10566	53606	9086	2779316
80-99%	53	2377223	23722	139348	10566	45402	9086	2353500

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 5: Results for Brazil filtered to show simulated scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to saturate the number of beds in the country.

SD (%)	Prot (%)	DR (%)	Infect	Deaths	Hosp	peak_Hos	ICU	peak_ICU	Recov
40	98	0.010	2471742	24665	144888	10566	47206	9086	2447077
40	95	0.010	2676086	26703	156864	10566	51105	9086	2649383
40	89	0.010	2913615	29070	170784	10566	55637	9086	2884545
40	82	0.010	3167859	31598	185676	10566	60475	9086	3136261
40	77	0.010	3412191	34000	199940	10566	65072	9086	3378191
40	71	0.010	3948375	39014	230738	10566	74669	9086	3909361
40	64	0.009	5491736	51387	314708	10566	98364	9086	5440349
27	98	0.010	2796176	27900	163903	10566	53397	9086	2768276
27	95	0.010	3317005	33093	194427	10566	63336	9086	3283912
27	89	0.010	4161802	41508	243929	10566	79442	9086	4120294
18	98	0.010	3119276	31122	182839	10566	59563	9086	3088154
13	98	0.010	3326195	33185	194966	10566	63512	9463	3293010
27	82	0.010	5508374	54798	322643	10566	104878	9523	5453575
18	95	0.010	4089754	40797	239716	10566	78081	9964	4048957
27	77	0.010	7392227	72746	431589	11168	139232	10773	7319481
13	95	0.010	4645403	46337	272281	12273	88684	11399	4599066
18	88	0.010	6045478	60266	354298	13348	115342	12561	5985211
27	71	0.009	12833567	120893	738636	16598	231407	16088	12712674
13	88	0.010	7539823	75143	441849	17446	143816	16297	7464680
18	81	0.010	9691921	96131	567253	18742	183985	17765	9595791
18	76	0.010	14445426	141717	842758	26360	271240	25080	14303709
13	81	0.010	12845700	127402	751842	27228	243835	25630	12718298

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 6: Results for São Paulo simulating different scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020. Values considering keeping the current social distance *SD*=0.53 are also displayed for comparison purposes.

SD (%)	Prot (%)	DR (%)	Infect	Deaths	Hosp	peak_Hos	ICU	peak_ICU	Recov
53	98	0.013	483646	6307	43251	3153	12410	2350	477339
53	92	0.013	506951	6610	45333	3153	13006	2350	500341
53	83	0.013	528559	6891	47263	3153	13559	2350	521669
53	75	0.013	546363	7122	48853	3153	14014	2350	539242
53	69	0.013	559334	7289	50010	3153	14344	2350	552044
53	62	0.013	578231	7531	51690	3153	14819	2350	570701
53	53	0.013	615355	7981	54933	3153	15704	2350	607374
53	42	0.012	735744	9194	64788	3153	18093	2350	726550
53	28	0.010	1627379	15546	129413	12122	30616	6450	1611833
53	12	0.007	9823958	65718	702151	132603	129593	71320	9758239
40	98	0.013	554316	7225	49564	3153	14218	2350	547090
40	92	0.013	628344	8187	56176	3153	16111	2350	620156
40	83	0.013	727415	9474	65024	3153	18642	2350	717941
40	75	0.013	853425	11095	76252	3153	21832	2350	842330
40	69	0.013	996657	12881	88895	3153	25346	2350	983777
40	62	0.013	1357710	17034	119938	3153	33522	2350	1340676
40	53	0.011	2818135	31494	239294	8776	61997	6541	2786641
40	44	0.010	7989781	80848	659022	38072	159202	29505	7908932
40	35	0.011	15304615	167556	1301658	66520	329870	52255	15137059
40	26	0.012	20657158	245928	1803097	97247	484042	74780	20411230
27	97	0.013	684352	8916	61179	3153	17543	2424	675436
27	91	0.013	925419	12048	82712	3450	23707	2749	913371
27	82	0.013	1420834	18464	126932	4435	36332	3550	1402369
27	74	0.013	2358540	30338	210119	6365	59698	5136	2328202
27	68	0.013	3563757	45075	315912	9138	88701	7397	3518683
27	62	0.012	5788199	71868	510267	15564	141434	12575	5716332
27	55	0.012	9092017	112783	801530	28387	221954	22708	8979234
27	48	0.013	12523450	157339	1108391	46331	309629	36493	12366112
27	42	0.013	15622540	198648	1387447	67490	390909	52235	15423892
27	35	0.013	18375689	235496	1635439	90709	463409	68958	18140193
18	97	0.013	831617	10830	74334	3766	21310	2929	820788
18	91	0.013	1354359	17621	121026	5392	34674	4215	1336737
18	81	0.013	2622001	34025	234152	9300	66952	7364	2587976
18	73	0.013	4657009	60054	415206	16057	118173	12751	4596955
18	68	0.013	6388698	82111	569076	22737	161577	18004	6306587
18	62	0.013	8514079	109335	758208	32491	215149	25551	8404744
18	56	0.013	10930334	140584	973782	46057	276640	35822	10789750
18	51	0.013	13312089	171622	1186695	62360	337711	47852	13140468
18	45	0.013	15570930	201156	1388779	80730	395825	61041	15369774
18	39	0.013	17692576	228909	1578598	100645	450436	74953	17463667
13	97	0.013	933421	12153	83428	4364	23913	3351	921268

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

13	90	0.013	1687947	21956	150823	7061	43203	5489	1665991
13	80	0.013	3502444	45450	312775	13564	89433	10663	3456994
13	72	0.013	5897289	76286	526220	23222	150112	18228	5821003
13	67	0.013	7600658	98225	678032	31292	193283	24441	7502433
13	62	0.013	9511162	122916	848449	41829	241869	32411	9388246
13	57	0.013	11603702	150067	1035287	55428	295295	42478	11453635
13	51	0.013	13665134	176892	1219475	71131	348078	53821	13488242
13	46	0.013	15646474	202707	1396559	88499	398875	66054	15443767
13	41	0.013	17535106	227321	1565361	107173	447308	78877	17307785

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 7: Results for São Paulo filtered to show simulated scenarios of changes in Social Distancing *(SD)* and percentage of protected people (Prot) with reopening starting May 11th, 2020 that didn't cause the peak in the number of ICUs beds to saturate the number in the state.

SD (%)	Prot (%)	DR (%)	Infect	Deaths	Hosp	peak_Hos	ICU	peak_ICU	Recov
40	98	0	554316	7225	49564	3153	14218	2350	547090
40	92	0	628344	8187	56176	3153	16111	2350	620156
40	83	0	727415	9474	65024	3153	18642	2350	717941
40	75	0	853425	11095	76252	3153	21832	2350	842330
40	69	0	996657	12881	88895	3153	25346	2350	983777
40	62	0	1357710	17034	119938	3153	33522	2350	1340676
27	97	0	684352	8916	61179	3153	17543	2424	675436
27	91	0	925419	12048	82712	3450	23707	2749	913371
18	97	0	831617	10830	74334	3766	21310	2929	820788
13	97	0	933421	12153	83428	4364	23913	3351	921268
27	82	0	1420834	18464	126932	4435	36332	3550	1402369
18	91	0	1354359	17621	121026	5392	34674	4215	1336737
27	74	0	2358540	30338	210119	6365	59698	5136	2328202
13	90	0	1687947	21956	150823	7061	43203	5489	1665991
40	53	0	2818135	31494	239294	8776	61997	6541	2786641

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 1



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 2



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 3



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 4



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 5



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 6



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20081208; this version posted May 1, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 7