Mathematical Modeling of Listeriosis Incorporating Effects of Awareness Programs

C. W. Chukwu[1]*, F. Nyabadza[2]

[1,2]*Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Department, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park Kingsway Campus, PO Box 524, 2006, Johannesburg, South Africa*

Abstract

Awareness programs by the media play a pivotal role in the control of infectious diseases. In this paper, we formulate, and analyse a mathematical model for listeriosis with incorporating aware individuals interacting with the infected individuals. The model has three equilibria; namely; the disease-free, the bacteria free, and the endemic equilibria. Local stability of the equilibria were established using the food contamination number $\mathscr{R}_f$. Numerical simulations are carried out and the effects of various parameters on the model variables investigated. The results reveal that an increase in the efficacy of awareness programs, rate of implementation of awareness programs, and the rate at which unaware susceptible becomes awareness result in the reduction of listeriosis in the human population. The result have important implications on the control and management of listeriosis.

Keywords: Unaware individuals Aware individuals Awareness programs Foodborne Listeriosis Equilibria Food contamination threshold

*Communicated by: Email: *wiliam.chukwu@gmail.com*

1 Background

Foodborne diseases (FBDs) are a global public health problem that affects millions of people every year. They are caused by contamination of food products by the various pathogens including bacteria, viruses, and parasites such as *Campylobacter spp.*, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella spp., Vibrio, Yersinia*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* (*L. monocytogenes*) [1]. Foodborne listeriosis is caused by the bacteria Gram-positive bacillus *L. monocytogenes*. This organism can be found in the environment such as soil, water or vegetation e.t.c. In particular, the primary source of human *Listeria* infection are from the consumption of contaminated ready-to-eat food products, or directly from the environment [2]. This bacteria is successful in causing listeriosis because it survives food processing technologies that rely on acidic or salty conditions unlike many other pathogens. It continues to multiply slowly at low temperature which allows its growth even in properly refrigerated food products [3,4]. It is a relatively rare disease with 0.1 to 10 cases per 1 million people per year depending on the countries or regions of the world. For example, the case fatality ratio in South Africa is estimated at 28.6% compared to worldwide listeriosis outbreaks. Even though the number of cases of listeriosis is relatively small, the high death rate and high management costs associated with this disease makes it a significant public health concern [5].

Recently, many mathematical models incorporating campaign programs by media have been formulated and analysed, to predict the impact of awareness programs in preventing or controlling infectious diseases [8–14, 17, 18, 21, 23, 24]. In particular Misra et al. [26], assumed that during the spread of an infectious disease, aware individuals avoid contacts with the infectives by taking precautionary measures during the infection period. In [14], a model with the intensity of media campaign assumed to be proportional to the number of the infected individuals was analysed. The information regarding the protection against the disease is propagated through television (TV), and social media advertisements (SMA's). The growth rate of cumulative number of TV and SMA's was assumed to be proportional to the number of infected individuals and aware individuals. However, this growth rate against the aware individuals A decreases with a factor $f(A) = r\frac{\theta A}{\omega+A}$. In their paper, the net growth rate of TV and SMA's to aware population becomes $f(A) = r\left(1 - \frac{\theta A}{\omega+A}\right)$. The constant θ denotes the decay in advertisements due to increase in the number of aware individuals, ω represents the half saturation point [24] for this interaction as $f(A)$ attains half of its maximum value $r\theta$ due to the effect of TV and SMA's, the aware population arrives at ω. Their results shows that, the increment in growth rate of TV, and SMA's destabilizes the system, and periodic oscillations arise through Hopf-bifurcation. Also, TV and SMA's during the spread of infectious diseases have the potential to bring behavioral changes among the people, and control the spread of diseases. Imbusi et al. [23], studied the effect of media campaigns on Jiger infestation. They assumed that the aware individuals interact with the infected individuals and get infected. Bifurcation analysis of their paper reveals that the model has an intrinsic backward bifurcation whenever the parameter that accounts for the proportion of larvae that develop into adult female fleas involved in jiggers transmission is included. Furthermore, increase in media campaign decrease rate of contracting Jigers infections. On the other hand, mathematical models incorporating awareness programs on disease transmission rates were analysed in [17, 19, 20]. Authors in [20], used the transmission rate with media effect represented by $f(M, I, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = e^{-\alpha_1 I + \alpha_2 M}$, where M denotes the media campaigns, I the infective individuals, α_1, and α_2 are the weights of media effect sensitive to infectives, and media

campaigns respectively. Results from simulations reveals that media impact reduces the number of cases during an epidemic, but the number of cases is further mitigated under some optimal reporting intensity. Sensitivity analysis of their model also reveals that, the outbreak severity is more sensitive to the weight α_1 than weight α_2. Further, mathematical models with time delay were used in [15–17], to investigate the effects of awareness programs on dynamics of infectious diseases.

To the authors best of knowledge, there is no mathematical model on awareness programs on listeriosis to date. In the present study, we formulate a mathematical model for listeriosis spread from consumption of contaminated food products, with the inclusion of awareness programs, and assumption that the aware individuals interact with the infectives as in [23]. The aim of the paper is; to study the effects of imperfect awareness programs on deterministic listeriosis epidemic model.

This manuscript is organized as follows: In Section 2, a mathematical model to describe the effect of imperfect awareness program on listeriosis epidemic is formulated and its basic properties Section 3. In Section 4, equilibria of the model were found, and their local stability discussed. Numerical simulations confirming the analytical results were presented in Section 5. Finally, a brief discussion and conclusion in Section 6.

2 Mathematical model

In this section, a deterministic model that describes the effect of imperfect awareness programs on listeriosis epidemic. The model consists of four sub-components, namely; the human population, bacteria population, awareness program, and the food products. Let $A_p(t)$ represent the cumulative density of awareness program driven by media at any time t, A the maximum intensity of $A_p(t)$, with μ_1 the rate of implementation, and μ_0 the rate of diminution of awareness program over time. The human population is divided into four sub-classes namely; unaware susceptible, $S_u(t)$, (individuals who are prone to contracting listeriosis), aware susceptible, $S_a(t)$, (individuals who are become aware during disease spread as a result of awareness programs), infected, $I(t)$, (individuals who are infected with listeriosis disease), recovered $R(t)$, (individuals who would have recovered from listeriosis through treatment). The total human population $N(t)$ at any time t is thus given by

$$N(t) = S_u(t) + S_a(t) + I(t) + R(t). \tag{1}$$

The unaware individuals are recruited into the human population at a rate proportional to the human population, so that we have $\mu_h N$ unaware susceptible individuals become infected at a rate $\lambda_h(t) = \beta_{f_1} F_c$, and move to the infectious class who then recover at a rate α with no immunity and become susceptible to the disease again [6]. Also, the susceptible individuals move into the aware class at a rate ω_1 as a result of the awareness programs, who in-turn become unaware susceptibles again at a rate ω_2 due to waning of the awareness programs. We assume the awareness programs are not 100% effective, so that the awareness susceptibles are infected at a rate $(1-\varepsilon)\lambda_h(t)$, where the efficacy parameter, $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$, accounts for the effectiveness of the media programs in protecting the aware individuals. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then the awareness programs are not effective, and $\varepsilon = 0$ corresponds to completely ineffective awareness programs, while

$0 < \varepsilon < 1$ means that the awareness programs will be effective to some extent. In this model, we assumed that the recovered individuals do not contribute to disease transmissions, and the growth rate of the cumulative density of awareness program is proportional to the number of infected individuals.

We define $B(t)$ as the bacteria population in the environment with a carrying capacity K_B, the bacteria net growth rate r_b. We also define uncontaminated food products by, $F_u(t)$, and contaminated food products by, $F_c(t)$, so that the total amount of food products is given by

$$F(t) = F_u(t) + F_c(t).$$

The rate of addition of uncontaminated food products is Λ_f, and the rate of removal of food products is μ_f. Uncontaminated food $F_u(t)$ are contaminated by the bacteria from the environment at a rate $\lambda_f(t) = \beta_b B + \beta_{f_2} F_c$, and they become contaminated. The contaminated food products then causes human listeriosis as a result of ingestion at a rate $\lambda_h(t)$. We also assume a



Figure 1: Model schematic diagram illustrating listeriosis disease transmission dynamics with the effect of imperfect awareness programs $A_p(t)$ within the human populations. Note that, the bold arrows represents transitions from one compartment to another, and the dotted arrows indicates the effect on the bold arrows on the respective compartments.

natural mortality rate μ_h for all the human compartments. Combining the model; parameters, assumptions, and the schematic diagram as shown in Figure 1, we have the following nonlinear

system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS_u}{dt} &= \mu_h N + \sigma_h R + \omega_2 S_a - (\lambda_h + \mu_h + \omega_1 A_p) S_u, \\
\frac{dS_a}{dt} &= \omega_1 A_p S_u - (\omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\lambda_h) S_a, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \lambda_h S_u + (1-\varepsilon)\lambda_h S_a - (\mu_h + \alpha) I, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \alpha I - (\mu_h + \sigma_h) R, \\
\frac{dB}{dt} &= r_b B\big(1 - \frac{B}{K_B}\big), \\
\frac{dA_p}{dt} &= \mu_1 I - \mu_0 A_p, \\
\frac{dF_u}{dt} &= \mu_f F - (\lambda_f + \mu_f) F_u, \\
\frac{dF_c}{dt} &= \lambda_f F_u - \mu_f F_c,
\end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{2}$$

We substitute $R(t) = N(t) - S_u(t) - S_a(t) - I(t)$ from (1), and non-dimensionalise system (2) by setting

$$s_u = \frac{S_u}{N}, \quad s_a = \frac{S_a}{N}, \quad i = \frac{I}{N}, \quad b = \frac{B}{K_B},$$
$$a_p = \frac{A_p}{A}, \quad f_n = \frac{F_u}{F}, \quad f_c = \frac{F_c}{F},$$

and obtain the following systems of equations

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{ds_u}{dt} &= \mu_h + \sigma_h(1 - s_u - s_a - i) + \omega_2 s_a - (\tilde{\lambda}_h + \mu_h + \omega a_p)s_u, \\
\frac{ds_a}{dt} &= \omega a_p s_u - (\omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\tilde{\lambda}_h)s_a, \\
\frac{di}{dt} &= \tilde{\lambda}_h s_u + (1-\varepsilon)\tilde{\lambda}_h s_a - (\mu_h + \alpha)i, \\
\frac{db}{dt} &= r_b b(1-b), \\
\frac{da_p}{dt} &= \mu i - \mu_0 a_p, \\
\frac{df_u}{dt} &= \mu_f - (\tilde{\lambda}_f + \mu_f)f_u, \\
\frac{df_c}{dt} &= \tilde{\lambda}_f f_u - \mu_f f_c,
\end{aligned}\right\}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_h = \beta_1 f_c, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_f = \beta_2 b + \beta_3 f_c, \quad \omega = \omega_1 A,$$
$$\mu = \frac{\mu_1 N}{A}, \quad \beta_1 = \beta_{f_1} F, \quad \beta_2 = \beta_b K_B, \quad \beta_3 = \beta_{f_2} F$$

subject to following initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
s_u(0) &= s_{u0} > 0, s_a(0) = s_{a0} > 0, i(0) = i_0 \geq 0, b(0) = b_0 \geq 0, \\
a_p(0) &= a_{p0} \geq 0, f_u(0) = f_{u0} \geq 0, f_c(0) = f_{c0} \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The model parameters for system (3), are assumed to be non-negative for all time except for the net growth rate r_b.

3 Model analysis

3.1 Existence of solutions

Let Γ be the epidemiological meaningful region for system (3) contained in $\mathbb{R}^7_+$. Firstly, we prove that the solutions exist and are bounded. We therefore have the following theorem on the existence of solutions.

Theorem 1. *The solutions of model system (3) are contained in the region $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^7_+$, which is given by $\Gamma = \left\{(s_u, s_a, i, b, a_p, f_u, f_c) \in \mathbb{R}^7_+ : 0 \leq s_u + s_a + i \leq 1, 0 \leq b \leq 1, 0 \leq a_p \leq \frac{\mu i}{\mu_0}, 0 \leq f_u + f_c \leq 1\right\}$, for the initial conditions (4) in Γ.*

Proof. The total change in human population from the model equations (3) is given by

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \mu_h(1 - s_u - s_a - i) + \sigma_h(1 - s_u - s_a - i_h) - \alpha i.$$

Since $n = s_u + s_a + i \leq 1$, we set $\psi = (s_u + s_a + i_h)$ and obtain

$$\frac{dn}{dt} \leq (\mu_h + \sigma_h)(1 - \psi). \tag{5}$$

Solving the differential inequality (5), yields

$$n(t) = 1 - \psi(0)e^{[-(\mu_h+\sigma_h)]t},$$

with $n(t) \leq 1$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, the upper bound of $n(t)$ is 1 provided that $\psi(0) \geq 0$.

Consider

$$\frac{db}{dt} = r_b b(1 - b) \tag{6}$$

the bacteria population given by the fourth equation of model system (3). The solution of (6) yields $b(t) = \frac{1}{1+\psi_1 e^{-r_b t}}$, with $b(t) \leq 1$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, $b(t)$ is bounded above by 1. We emphasize that the bacteria grows logistically, and since it exists naturally in the environment it can easily cause contamination of the ready-to-eat food products which leads to human listeriosis.

On the other hand, the total change in amount of food products is given by

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \mu_f(1 - f). \tag{7}$$

The solutions of (7) yields

$$f(t) = 1 - \psi_1 e^{-\mu_f t},$$

where ψ_1 is a constant with $f(t) \leq 1$ as $t \to \infty$, also $f(t)$ is bounded above by 1. Hence, all the solutions of model system (3) exists, are epidemiological meaningful, bounded, and remain in Γ for $t > 0$. □

3.2 Positivity of solutions

We show that the solutions of systems (3) are positive subject to the following initial conditions $(s_u(0), s_a(0), I(0), b(0), a_p(0), f_u(0), f_c(0))^T \in \mathbb{R}^{+7}$. We use Lemma 2 in [7], stated as follows.

Lemma 1. *Suppose $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R} \times C^n$ is open, $f_i \in C(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}), i = 1, \ldots, n$. If*

$$f_i|_{x_i(t)=0, X_t \in C^n_{0+}} \geq 0, \quad X_t = (x_{1t}, \cdots, x_{nt})^T \quad i = 1, \cdots, n,$$

then C^n_{+0} is the invariant domain of the following equations

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = f_i(t, X_t), \quad t \geq \sigma, \ i = 1, \cdots, n. \tag{8}$$

If $f_i|_{x_i(t)=0, X_t \in C^n_{-0}} \leq 0, \quad X_t = (x_{1t}, \cdots, x_{nt})^T \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$, then C^n_{-0} is the invariant domain of

equations (8).

We have the following result on the positivity of solutions of model system (3).

Theorem 2. *The solutions* $(s_u(t), s_a(t), I(t), b(t), a_p(t), f_u(t), f_c(t))$ *of the model system (3) with positive initial values (4) are positive for all* $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Let $X = (s_u, s_a, I, b, a_p, f_u, f_c)^T$ and

$$f(X) = (f_1(X), f_2(X), f_3(X), f_4(X), f_5(X), f_6(X), f_7(X))^T,$$

then we can re-write the model system (3) as follows

$$\dot{X} = f(X) = \big(f_1(X), f_2(X), ..., f_7(X)\big)^T$$

where

$$f(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_h + \sigma_h(1 - s_u - s_a - i) + \omega_2 s_a - (\tilde{\lambda}_h + \mu_h + \omega a_p)s_u \\ \omega a_p s_u - (\omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\tilde{\lambda}_h)s_a \\ \tilde{\lambda}_h s_u + (1-\varepsilon)\tilde{\lambda}_h s_a - (\mu_h + \alpha)i \\ r_b b(1-b) \\ \mu i - \mu_0 a_p \\ \mu_f - (\tilde{\lambda}_f + \mu_f)f_u \\ \tilde{\lambda}_f f_u - \mu_f f_c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

with $(.)^T$ being the transpose. Setting all the model state variables in (9) to zero gives

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{ds_u(t)}{dt}|_{s_h=0} & = & (\mu_h + \sigma_h + \omega_2 s_a) - \sigma_h(s_a + i) \leq 0, \\ \frac{ds_a(t)}{dt}|_{s_a=0} & = & \omega a_p s_u > 0, \quad \frac{di_h(t)}{dt}|_{i=0} = \tilde{\lambda}_h(s_u + s_a) - \varepsilon\tilde{\lambda}_h \leq 0, \quad \frac{db(t)}{dt}|_{b=0} = 0, \\ \frac{da_p(t)}{dt}|_{a_p=0} & = & \mu i > 0, \quad \frac{df_u(t)}{dt}|_{f_u=0} = \mu_f > 0, \quad \frac{df_c(t)}{dt}|_{f_c=0} = \tilde{\lambda}_f f_u > 0. \end{array}$$

Hence, by Lemma 1 as in [7], we thus have that $\mathbb{R}^7_+$ is positively invariant set.

4 Equilibria and their stability analysis

4.1 Equilibria

We set the right side of equations (3) to zero as follows

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\mu_h + \sigma_h(1 - s_u^* - s_a^* - i^*) + \omega_2 s_a^* - \Big(\beta_1 f_c^* + \mu_h + \omega a_p^*\Big) s_u^* &= 0,\\
\omega a_p^* s_u^* - \Big(\omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^*\Big) s_a^* &= 0,\\
\beta_1 f_c^* s_u^* + (1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^* s_a^* - (\mu_h + \alpha) i^* &= 0,\\
r_b b^*(1 - b^*) &= 0,\\
\mu i^* - \mu_0 a_p^* &= 0,\\
\mu_f - (\beta_2 b^* + \beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f) f_u^* &= 0,\\
(\beta_2 b^* + \beta_3 f_c^*) f_u^* - \mu_f f_c^* &= 0,
\end{aligned}\right\}. \tag{10}$$

Solving system (10) for the equilibria, from the fourth equation of system (10), we have that

$$b_0^* = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad b_1^* = 1.$$

Firstly, we consider the case when $b^* = 0$.

Case I: If $b_0^* = 0$, the second last equation of (10) gives that

$$f_u^* = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f}. \tag{11}$$

Substituting (11) into the last equation of (10) we obtain

$$\mu_f\Big(\beta_3 f_c^* - f_c^*\big(\beta_3 f_c^* + \mu_f\big)\Big) = 0, \tag{12}$$

and after some algebraic manipulation we obtain

$$f_c^* = 0 \;\text{ or }\; f_c^* = \frac{\beta_3 - \mu_f}{\beta_3}.$$

Hence, when $b_0^* = 0$, we have that $f_c^* = 0$, and as a result $\tilde{\lambda_h} = \tilde{\lambda_f} = 0$, $f_u^* = 1$, $i^* = 0, a_p^* = 0, s_a^* = 0$, and

$$s_u^* = 1.$$

This results in the disease-free equilibria (DFE) denoted by

$$\mathscr{E}^0 = \Big(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\Big).$$

Further simplification of the nonzero f_c^* yields

$$f_c^* = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}\Big(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\Big), \quad \text{where} \qquad \mathscr{R}_f = \frac{\beta_3}{\mu_f}.$$

Thus, we have the following result on the existence of the non-zero equilibrum point f_c^*.

Lemma 2. *The nonzero equilibrum point f_c^* exists if and only if $\mathscr{R}_f > 1$.*

Here, we emphasize that $\mathscr{R}_f$ denotes the *food contamination threshold* from the contaminated food to the uncontaminated food products. This is equivalent to the basic reproduction number $\mathscr{R}_0$, in disease modeling, defined in [27,28]. However, we note that $\frac{1}{\mu_f}$ is the duration of stay in the food compartments. Thus, $\mathscr{R}_f$ represents the average amount of food products that can be contaminated that are responsible for causing human listeriosis.

When $b_0^* = 0, f_c = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}\big(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\big)$, from the third and fifth equations of (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} i^* &= Q_0\left((1-\varepsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\right), \\ a_p^* &= Q_1\left((1-\epsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\right), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $Q_0 = \dfrac{\beta_1\mu_f\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right)}{\beta_3\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)}$, and $Q_1 = \dfrac{\mu\beta_1\mu_f\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right)}{\beta_3\mu_0\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)}$. Substituting (13) into the second equation of system (10), and express s_a^* in terms of s_u^*, we have

$$s_a^* = \frac{\Theta_0 s_u^{*2}}{\Theta_1 - \Theta_0(1-\varepsilon)s_u^*}, \tag{14}$$

where $\Theta_0 = \mu\omega\beta_1\mu_f(\mathscr{R}_f - 1)$, and $\Theta_1 = \mu_0\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)\Big(\beta_1\mu_f(1-\epsilon)(\mathscr{R}_f - 1) + \beta_3\left(\mu_h + \omega_2\right)\Big)$. Substituting (14) into the first equation of (10) gives the quadratic equations

$$\xi_2 s_u^{*2} + \xi_1 s_u^* + \xi_0 = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0 &= \beta_3\mu_0^2\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)^2\left(\mu_h + \sigma_h\right)\left(\beta_1\mu_f(1-\varepsilon)\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right) + \beta_3\left(\mu_h + \omega_2\right)\right), \\ \xi_1 &= \mu_0\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)\Big(\Upsilon_0 + \Upsilon_1\Big), \\ \xi_2 &= -\varepsilon\mu\omega\beta_1\beta_3\mu_0\mu_f\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)\left(\mu_h + \sigma_h\right)\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_0 &= -\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right)\beta_1\mu_0\mu_f\left(\alpha + \mu_h + \sigma_h\right)\left((1-\epsilon)\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right)\beta_1\mu_f + \beta_3\left(\mu_h + \omega_2\right)\right), \\ \Upsilon_1 &= \beta_3\left(\mu_h + \sigma_h\right)\left((-1+\epsilon)\left(\mathscr{R}_f - 1\right)\beta_1\mu_f\left(\mu\omega + \mu_0\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)\right) - \beta_3\mu_0\left(\alpha + \mu_h\right)\left(\mu_h + \omega_2\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\xi_0 > 0, \xi_2 < 0$ if $\mathscr{R}_f > 1$. Applying Descartes' rule of sign change, equation (15) has only one possible positive solutions for s_u^* irrespective of the sign of ξ_1. Thus, we have the bacteria free equilibrium point $\mathscr{E}^1 = \left(s_a^{**}, i^{**}, b_0^{**}, a_p^{**}, f_u^{**}, f_c^{**}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_a^{**} &= \frac{\mu\omega\beta_1 f_c^* s_u^{*2}}{\mu\omega f_c^*(1-\varepsilon)s_u^* - (1-\varepsilon)f_c\beta_1\mu_0\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right) + \mu_0\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)\left(\mu_h+\omega_2\right)},\\
i^{**} &= \frac{\beta_1\mu_f\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\left((1-\varepsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\right)}{\beta_3\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)}, \quad b_1^{**}=0,\\
a_p^{**} &= \frac{\mu\beta_1\mu_f\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\left((1-\epsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\right)}{\beta_3\mu_0\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)}, \quad f_u^{**} = \frac{1}{\mathscr{R}_f}, \quad f_c^{**} = \frac{\mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathscr{R}_f-1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Before consider the second case, we look at the local stability of the DFE and the LFE points.

4.1.1 Local stability of the disease free equilibrium point

We state the following theorem for the local stability of $\mathscr{E}^0$.

Theorem 3. *The equilibrium point $\mathscr{E}^0$ is locally asymptotically stable if $r_b < 0$ and $\mathscr{R}_f < 1$, and unstable otherwise.*

Proof. We show that our system is locally asymptotically stable by evaluating the Jacobian matrix at $\mathscr{E}^0$ as follows

$$
J(\mathscr{E}^0) = \begin{pmatrix}
-(\sigma_h+\mu_h) & \omega_2-\sigma_h & -\sigma_h & 0 & -\omega & 0 & -\beta_1\\
0 & -(\omega_2+\mu_h) & 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & -(\mu_h+\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_1\\
0 & 0 & 0 & r_b & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \mu & 0 & -\mu_0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_2 & 0 & -\mu_f & -\beta_3\\
0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_2 & 0 & \beta_3 & \beta_3-\mu_f
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}
$$

Thus, the eigenvalues of $J(\mathscr{E}^0)$ are; $-(\sigma_h+\mu_h), -(\omega_2+\mu_h), -(\mu_h+\alpha), r_b, -\mu_0$, The remaining eigenvalues are determined from the determinant of

$$
J_1(\mathscr{E}^0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_f & -\beta_3\\ \beta_3 & \beta_3-\mu_f \end{pmatrix}.
$$

and are obtained from the solutions of the quadratic equation

$$
\lambda^2 + \nu_1\lambda + \nu_0 = 0, \tag{17}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_0 &= \beta_3^2 + \mu_f^2(1-\mathscr{R}_f),\\
\nu_1 &= 2\mu_f^2(1-\tfrac{1}{2}\mathscr{R}_f).
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathscr{R}_f < 1$, then $\nu_1 > 0$, and $\nu_0 > 0$. Hence, the eigenvalues of (17) has negative real parts by principle of Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion. We note that if $r_b < 0$, all the eigenvalues at $\mathscr{E}^0$ are negative, hence $\mathscr{E}^0$ is locally asymptotically stable. However, if $r_b > 0$, then $\mathscr{E}^0$ is unstable. Note that if $r_b < 0$ then Listeria in the environment dies out, resulting in a locally

asymptotically stable equilibrium point, while it establishes itself when r_b positive resulting in an unstable equilibrium point. □

4.1.2 Local stability of the bacteria free equilibrium point

We state the following theorem for the local stability of $\mathscr{E}^1$.

Theorem 4. *The equilibrium point $\mathscr{E}^1$ is locally asymptotically stable if $r_b < 0$ and $\mathscr{R}_f > 1$, and unstable otherwise.*

Proof. We show that our system is locally asymptotically stable by evaluating the Jacobian matrix at $\mathscr{E}^1$ as follows

$$J(\mathscr{E}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} -J_0 & -\sigma_h + \omega_2 & -\sigma_h & 0 & -\omega s_u^* & 0 & -\beta_1 s_u^* \\ \omega a_p^{**} & -J_1 & 0 & 0 & \omega s_u^* & 0 & -(1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 s_a^* \\ J_2 & J_3 & -(\mu_h + \alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & 0 & -\mu_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} & 0 & -J_5 & -\frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} & 0 & J_6 & \frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} - \mu_f \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

for positive constants J_k's, $k = 0, ..., 6$, if the value for $\mathscr{R}_f > 1$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned} &J_0 = \sigma_h + \mu_h + \frac{\beta_1 \mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathscr{R}_f - 1) + \omega a_p^{**}, \quad J_1 = \omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\frac{\beta_1 \mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathscr{R}_f - 1), \\ &J_2 = \frac{\beta_1 \mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathscr{R}_f - 1), \quad J_3 = (1-\varepsilon)\frac{\beta_1 \mu_f}{\beta_3}(\mathscr{R}_f - 1), \quad J_4 = \beta_1\Big(s_u^{**} + (1-\varepsilon)s_a^{**}\Big), \\ &J_5 = \beta_2 + \mu_f \mathscr{R}_f, \quad \text{and} \quad J_6 = \mu_f(\mathscr{R}_f - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of from matrix (18) are; $r_b, -\mu_0$ and those from matrices

$$J_1(\mathscr{E}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} -J_0 & -\sigma_h + \omega_2 & -\sigma_h \\ \omega a_p^{**} & -J_1 & 0 \\ J_2 & J_3 & -(\mu_h + \alpha) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad J_2(\mathscr{E}^1) = \begin{pmatrix} -J_5 & -\frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} \\ J_6 & \frac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f} - \mu_f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues from $J_1(E_1^*)$ are give by the cubic equation

$$\lambda^3 + \nu_4 \lambda^2 + \nu_3 \lambda + \nu_2 = 0, \tag{19}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2 = & \frac{1}{\beta_3^2}\omega a_p\beta_3\left(\beta_3\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)\left(\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)\left(1-\varepsilon\right)\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\mu_f\left(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)\right) \\
& -\left(\beta_3\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)\left(\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)+\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\mu_f\left(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)\right) \\
& \left(\left(\varepsilon-1\right)\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\mu_f-\beta_3\left(\mu_h+\omega_2\right)\right), \\
\nu_3 = & \frac{1}{\beta_3^2}(1-\epsilon)\beta_1^2\mu_f^2\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)^2+\omega a_p\beta_3((1-\varepsilon)\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\mu_f+\beta_3\left(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)) \\
& +\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\beta_3\mu_f\left((2-\varepsilon)(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h)+\omega_2\right)+ \\
& \beta_3^2(3\mu_h^2+\alpha\sigma_h+(\alpha+\sigma_h)\omega_2+2\mu_h(\alpha+\sigma_h+\omega_2)), \\
\nu_4 = & \frac{1}{\beta_3}(2-\varepsilon)\beta_1\mu_f(\mathscr{R}_f-1)+\beta_3(\alpha+\omega a_p+3\mu_h+\sigma_h+\omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Given that, all the coefficients of ν_2, ν_3, ν_4 are positives, and

$$\nu_4\nu_3-\nu_2=\frac{1}{\beta_3^3}\Big(\omega^2a_p^2\beta_3^2(1-\varepsilon)\Psi_0+\Psi_0\Psi_1+\omega a_p\beta_3\Psi_2\Big)>0$$

for

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_0 = & (\mathscr{R}_f-1)\beta_1\mu_f+\beta_3(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h, \\
\Psi_1 = & (\varepsilon-2)(\varepsilon-1)\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)^2\beta_1^2\mu_f^2+\beta_3^2\left(\alpha+2\mu_h+\omega_2\right)\left(2\mu_h+\sigma_h+\omega_2\right) \\
& -(\mathscr{R}_f-1)\beta_1\beta_3\mu_f((4\varepsilon-6)\mu_h+(\varepsilon-2)(\alpha+\sigma_h)+(2\varepsilon-3)\omega_2), \\
\Psi_2 = & (-3+\varepsilon)(-1+\varepsilon)\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)^2\beta_1^2\mu_f^2+\beta_3^2\left(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h\right)\left(\alpha+4\mu_h+\sigma_h+2\omega_2\right) \\
& -\left(\mathscr{R}_f-1\right)\beta_1\beta_3\mu_f\left(2(-5+3\varepsilon)\mu_h+(-2+\varepsilon)\left(2\left(\alpha+\sigma_h\right)+\omega_2\right)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the roots of equation (19) have negative real parts by the principle of Routh Hurwitz stability criterion. Next, we consider the matrix $J_2(E_1^*)$. Its eigenvalues are obtained from the quadratic expression

$$\lambda^2+\nu_6\lambda+\nu_5=0, \tag{20}$$

given that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_5 &= -\tfrac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f}(\beta_2+\mu_f)+\mu_f(\beta_2+\mu_f\mathscr{R}_f), \\
\xi_6 &= \beta_2+\mu_f(1-\mathscr{R}_f)-\tfrac{\beta_3}{\mathscr{R}_f},
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, provided the value of $\mathscr{R}_f<1$, we will have that ξ_5, and ξ_6 are positives. Thus, making the eigenvalues of $J_2(\mathscr{E}_1)$ to have negative real parts. However, $J(\mathscr{E}_1)$ will be locally asymptotically stable if and only if the eigenvalue $r_b<0$, and unstable if $r_b>0$. □

Case II: Secondly, when $b_1^*=1$, solving for f_u^* from the sixth equation of (10) we have that

$$f_u^*=\frac{\mu_f}{\mu_f+\beta_2+\beta_3f_c^*}. \tag{21}$$

Substituting (21) into the last equation of (10) we obtain the following quadratic expression after some algebraic simplifications

$$\xi_6f_c^{*2}+\xi_5f_c^*+\xi_4=0, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_4 &= \beta_3\mu_f > 0,\\ \xi_5 &= \beta_2\mu_f + \mu_f^2\big(1-\mathscr{R}_f\big),\\ \xi_6 &= -\beta_2\mu_f < 0,\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\xi_5 > 0$ if $\mathscr{R}_f < 1$ or $\xi_5 < 0$ $\mathscr{R}_f > 1$. Thus, irrespective of the signs of ξ_5, the quadratic equation (22) has one positive solution for f_c^* say f_c^{*+}.

Similarly, from the third, and fifth equations of (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} i^* &= Q_2\big((1-\varepsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\big),\\ a_p^* &= Q_3\big((1-\varepsilon)s_a^* + s_u^*\big),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $Q_2 = \dfrac{\beta_1 f_c^{*+}}{\alpha+\mu_h}$ and $Q_3 = \dfrac{\mu\beta_1 f_c^{*+}}{\mu_0(\alpha+\mu_h)}$. Substituting (23) into the second equation of (10), and expressing s_a^* in terms of s_u^*, we obtain the following expression after some algebraic manipulations

$$s_a^* = \frac{\Theta_2 s_u^{*2}}{\Theta_3 - \Theta_2(1-\varepsilon)s_u^*}, \tag{24}$$

where $\Theta_2 = \mu\omega\beta_1 f_c^{*+}$, and $\Theta_3 = \mu_0(\alpha+\mu_h)\Big(\beta_1 f_c^{*+}(1-\varepsilon) + \omega_2 + \mu_h\Big)$. Substituting (24) into the first equation of (10), we obtain the following quadratic equation

$$\xi_9 s_u^{*2} + \xi_8 s_u^* + \xi_7 = 0, \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_7 &= \mu_0^2\,(\alpha+\mu_h)^2\,(\mu_h+\sigma_h)\,\big((1-\epsilon)\beta_1 f_c^{*+} + \mu_h + \omega_2\big) > 0,\\ \xi_8 &= \mu_0\,(\alpha+\mu_h)\,\Big(\Phi_0 + f_c^{*+}\beta_1(\Phi_1+\Phi_2)\Big)\\ \xi_9 &= -\varepsilon\mu\omega\beta_1\mu_0 f_c^{+}\,(\alpha+\mu_h)\,(\mu_h+\sigma_h) < 0,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_0 &= (\varepsilon-1)\beta_1^2\mu_0 f_c^{*+2}\,(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h) - \mu_0\,(\alpha+\mu_h)\,(\mu_h+\sigma_h)\,(\mu_h+\omega_2)\,,\\ \Phi_1 &= (\varepsilon-2)\mu_0\mu_h^2 + (\varepsilon-1)\,(\mu\omega+\alpha\mu_0)\,\sigma_h \quad \text{and}\\ \Phi_2 &= (\mu_h((\varepsilon-1)\mu\omega + \mu_0((\varepsilon-2)\,(\alpha+\sigma_h) - \omega_2)) - \mu_0\,(\alpha+\sigma_h)\,\omega_2)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\Phi_0 < 0, \Phi_1 < 0$, and $\Phi_2 < 0$ making $\xi_8 < 0$. Hence, the solution to equation (25) given by

$$s_u^* = \frac{-\xi_8 \pm \sqrt{\xi_8^2 - 4\xi_9\xi_7}}{2\xi_9},$$

have one positive solutions for s_u^*. We thus have the following result on the existence of new s_u^* called s_u^{*+}.

Lemma 3. *The equilibrium point s_u^{*+} exists subject to existence of f_c^{*+}.*

Thus, we have the endemic equilibria $\mathscr{E}^2 = \left(s_a^{*+}, i^{*+}, b_1^*, a_p^{*+}, f_u^{*+}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_a^* &= \frac{\mu\omega\beta_1 f_c^{*+} s_u^{*+2}}{\mu\omega\beta_1(1-\varepsilon)f_c^{*+}s_u^{*+} - \mu_0\Big(\alpha+\mu_h\Big)\Big[(1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^{*+} + \mu_h + \omega_2\Big]},\\
i^* &= \frac{\beta_1 f_c^{*+}\left((1-\varepsilon)s_u^{*+} + s_a^{*+}\right)}{\alpha+\mu_h}, \quad b_1^* = 1,\\
a_p^* &= \frac{\mu\beta_1 f_c^{*+}\left((1-\varepsilon)s_u^{*+} + s_a^{*+}\right)}{\mu_0\left(\alpha+\mu_h\right)}, \quad f_u^{*+} = \frac{1}{1+\mathscr{R}_e + \mathscr{R}_f f_c^+},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\mathscr{R}_e = \frac{\beta_2}{\mu_f},$$

denotes the contamination threshold contributed by the environment.

4.1.3 Local stability of the endemic equilibrium point

Theorem 5 governs the existence of the local stability of the endemic equilibria.

Theorem 5. *The equilibrium point $\mathscr{E}^2$ is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathscr{R}_f > 1, r_b > o$ and unstable otherwise.*

Proof. Evaluating the Jacobian at $\mathscr{E}^2$, we have that

$$
J(\mathscr{E}^2) = \begin{pmatrix}
-J_0 & -\sigma_h+\omega_2 & -\sigma_h & 0 & -\omega s_u^{*+} & 0 & -\beta_1 s_u^{*+}\\
\omega a_p^{*+} & -J_1 & 0 & 0 & \omega s_u^{*+} & 0 & -(1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 s_a^{*+}\\
\beta_1 f_c^{*+} & (1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^{*+} & -(\mu_h+\alpha) & 0 & 0 & 0 & J_3\\
0 & 0 & 0 & -r_b & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & \mu & 0 & -\mu_0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta_2 f_u^{*+} & 0 & -J_2 & -\beta_3 f_u^{*+}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_2 f_u^{*+} & 0 & J_4 & \beta_3 f_u^{*+} - \mu_f
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)
$$

where the J_q's, $q = 0, ..., 4$, are positive and given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&J_0 = \sigma_h + \mu_h + \beta_1 f_c^{*+} + \omega a_p^{*+}, \quad J_1 = (\omega_2 + \mu_h + (1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^{*+}),\\
&J_2 = \mu_f + \beta_2 + \beta_3 f_c^{*+}, \quad J_3 = \beta_1\Big(s_u^{*+} + (1-\varepsilon)s_a^{*+}\Big), \quad J_4 = \beta_2 b^{*+} + \beta_3 f_c^{*+}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, it can bee seen that the eigenvalues from (26) are; $-r_b$, and $-\mu_0$. The rest eigenvalues are determined from two matrices

$$
J_1(\mathscr{E}^2) = \begin{pmatrix}
-J_0 & -\sigma_h+\omega_2 & -\sigma_h\\
\omega a_p^{*+} & -J_1 & 0\\
\beta_1 f_c^{*+} & (1-\varepsilon)\beta_1 f_c^{*+} & -(\mu_h+\alpha)
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad J_2(\mathscr{E}^2) = \begin{pmatrix}
-J_2 & -\beta_3 f_u^{*+}\\
J_4 & \beta_3 f_u^{*+} - \mu_f
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The eigenvalues of $J_1(E_2^*)$ are obtained from the cubic equation

$$\lambda^3 + \nu_9\lambda^2 + \nu_8\lambda + \nu_7 = 0, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_7 = \;& \omega a_p^{*+}((\alpha+\mu_h)(\mu_h+\sigma_h)(1-\varepsilon)f_c^{*+}\beta_1(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h)) \\
& +((\alpha+\mu_h)(\mu_h+\sigma_h) + f_c^{*+}\beta_1(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h)) + ((1-\varepsilon)f_c^{*+}\beta_1 + \mu_h + \omega_2) > 0, \\
\nu_8 = \;& \beta_1^2 f_c^{*2}(1-\varepsilon) + 2\alpha\mu_h + 3\mu_h^2 + \alpha\sigma_h + 2\mu_h\sigma_h + \omega a_p^{*+}(\alpha\beta_1 f_c^{*+}(1-\varepsilon) + 2\mu_h + \sigma_h) \\
& +(\alpha + 2\mu_h + \sigma_h)\omega_2 + f_c^{*+}\beta_1((2-\varepsilon)(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h) + \omega_2) > 0, \\
\nu_9 = \;& 3\mu_h + \sigma_h + \omega_2 + \alpha + \omega\beta_1 a_p^{*+} f_c^{*+}(2-\varepsilon) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Given all the coefficients are positive and

$$\nu_9\nu_8 - \nu_7 = \Psi_3 + \Psi_4\Psi_5 > 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_3 = \;& (1-\varepsilon)\omega a_p^{*+}\beta_1 f_c^{*+}(\alpha+\mu_h)(\mu_h+\sigma_h)(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h) > 0, \\
\Psi_4 = \;& ((\alpha+\mu_h)(\mu_h+\sigma_h) + f_c^{*+}\beta_1(\alpha+\mu_h+\sigma_h))((1-\varepsilon)f_c^{*+}\beta_1 + \mu_h + \omega_2) \\
& +(\alpha + \omega\beta_1 a_p^{*+} f_c^{*+}(2-\varepsilon) + 3\mu_h + \sigma_h + \omega_2) > 0, \\
\Psi_5 = \;& ((1-\varepsilon)\beta_1^2 f_c^{*+2} + 3\mu_h^2 + (\alpha + \omega a_p^{*+})\sigma_h + (\alpha+\sigma_h)\omega_2 + 2\mu_h(\alpha + \omega a_p + \sigma_h + \omega_2) \\
& +\beta_1 f_c^{*+}(\alpha\omega a_p^{*+}(1-\varepsilon)(2-\varepsilon)(\alpha+2\mu_h+\sigma_h) + \omega_2)) > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the roots (27) have negative real parts by the Routh Hurwitz criterion. We now focus our attention to $J_2(\mathscr{E}^2)$. The remaining eigenvalues are obtained from quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 + \nu_{11}\lambda + \nu_{10} = 0, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{10} &= \mu_f(\beta_2 + \beta_3(f_c^{*+} - f_u^{*+}) + \mu_f), \\
\nu_{11} &= 2\mu_f + \beta_2 + \beta_3(f_c^{*+} - f_u^{*+}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\nu_{10} > 0, \nu_{11} > 0$ if $f_c^{*+} > f_u^{*+}$. Hence, by Routh-Hurwitz criterion the eigenvalues of (28) have negative real parts. Given that $r_b > 0$, $\mathscr{E}^3$ is locally asymptotically stable. However, if $r_b < 0$ then $\mathscr{E}^3$ is unstable. The biological significance of $f_c^{*+} > f_u^{*+}$, implies that, so long there are more contaminated food products $f_c(t)$ compared to uncontaminated food products $(f_u(t))$, listeriosis infection will always reach its endemic state in the human population. Thus, making it difficult for the disease to be contained. □

5 Numerical simulations

In this section, we present numerical simulations of the model system (3) confirming most of the analytical results obtained in this manuscript. We begin by looking at the parameters of the model. There are very few mathematical models on human listeriosis disease, as a result,

it difficult to find the model parameters in literature, and research articles. Thus, most of the parameters values were estimated for the work done in this paper except for the diminution rate of awareness campaign, μ_0, which is taken from [25]. The sets of parameters that give rise to the endemic equilibrium points are given in Table 1. The simulations of model system (3) were carried out using fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical method scheme implemented in Matlab with a unit time step 1 to perform the simulations with the following initial conditions for the state variables;

$$s_u(0) = 0.6, s_a(0) = 0.3, i(0) = 0.1, b(0) = 0.1, a_p(0) = 0.25, f_u = 0.8, \quad \text{and} \quad f_c = 0.2$$

also reflected at the endemic equilibria. These numerical values were hypothetically chosen, and do not represent any real-life scenarios and are thus for illustrative purposes only.

Table 1: Description and estimation of parameter values used in the simulations of model system (10).

Notations	Description	Parameter values (day^{-1})
μ_h	Natural mortality rate	0.025/365
α	Recovery rate	0.0094
σ_h	Lost of immunity rate	0.4
ω_2	Wanning rate of aware susceptible	0.0084
ω	Rate at which unaware susceptible becomes aware	0.0165
r_b	Net growth rate of Listeria	0.02
μ	Implementation rate of awareness programs	0.015
μ_0	Diminution rate of awareness programs	0.03
ε	Efficacy of awareness programs	0.7
β_1	Human listeriosis contact rate	0.0067
β_2	Rate of food contamination by Listeria	0.00002
β_3	Rate of contamination of uncontaminated food	0.0134
μ_f	Rate of food removal	0.007

5.1 Convergence of equlibrium points

In Figure 2 we present a phase portrait confirming the analytical results for the local stability of the DFE and the EE points respectively. Figure 2(a) shows the relationship of f_c and i as their initial conditions are varied. We observe that, the trajectories tend to the point $(i^*, f_c^*) = (0, 0)$ irrespective of the starting point. Also, Figure 2(b) shows the phase portrait of a_p against i for different initial conditions with all the trajectories tending to the endemic equilibrium $(a_p^*, i^*) = (0.25, 0.13)$, with $\mathscr{R}_f = 1.9143$.



(a) (b)

Figure 2: (a) Phase portraits of the disease free equilibria points for is_a-plane with varying initial conditions, and corresponds to $\mathscr{R}_f = 0.5333$. (b) Phase portraits of the bacteria free equilibria points for is_u-plane with varying initial conditions, and corresponds to $\mathscr{R}_f = 1.5714$. (c) Phase portraits of the endemic equilibria points for ia_p-plane with varying initial conditions, and corresponds to $\mathscr{R}_f = 1.9143$.

5.2 Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis

Sensitivity indices allow us to measure the relative change in a state variable when a given parameter changes. Latin-hypercube sampling method of stratified uncertainty analysis [29], was used to determine model parameters that are sensitive model system (3). The listeriosis model parameters were simulated against the state variable i. The simulation were done using Matlab with 1000 runs over 1200 days, and its Tonardo and scatter plots for parameters with positive, and negative partial rank correlation coefficients (PRCC's) shown in Figures 3 and 4 respectively. The implications of increasing model parameters; ω_2, μ_0 with negative PRCC's will negatively impact the infected individuals. On the other hand, increase in model parameters such as; μ, ω and ϵ will positively impact human listeiosis disease cases. Furthermore, an increase in ω_2 will lead to more individuals losing awareness, resulting in increased risk of been exposed and getting infected due to waning.



Figure 3: Tornado plot of all model parameters driving listeriosis disease dynamics. Note that ε is represented by ϵ in the legend.

5.3 Impacts of varying μ and μ_0 on Listeriosis spread

To investigate the effect of μ and μ_0 on the listeriosis spread, we simulate system (3) for different values of μ and μ_0 over the modeling time against the infected and the aware individuals. Figure 5(a) depicts that an increase in the rate of implementation of awareness programs will lead to decrease in the number of infected individuals, and an increase in the number of aware individuals as they avoid been infected (see Figure 5(c)). Also, a decrease in the diminution of awareness programs results in more human Listeria infection and a fewer number of aware individuals as shown in Figure 5(b), and 5(d) respectively. These results suggest that, there is a need for awareness programs to educate the population about the risk of contracting listeriosis. This is critical in the management and control of the disease.



Figure 4: (a), (b), (c) Scatter plots of; parameters $\varepsilon, \omega_2, \mu$ with positive partial correlation coefficients versus i-individuals while Figure (d) the parameter μ_0 have negative partial correlation coefficients against the fractions of infected individuals.

5.4 Effects of β_3 and μ_f on $\mathscr{R}_f$

In this subsection, we investigate the effects of the rate of food contamination, β_3, and rate of food product removal, μ_f on the food contamination threshold $\mathscr{R}_f$. The contour plot Figure 6 shows that an increase in β_3 which is the rate at which uncontaminated food is contaminated by contaminated food results to increase in $\mathscr{R}_f$. This implication leads to more people been infected with Listeria, while an increase in μ_f, will cause less infections in humans listeriosis, since the value of $\mathscr{R}_f$ decreases. However, we emphasize that, changes in the value of β_3 impacts $\mathscr{R}_f$ less significantly when compared to that of μ_f.



Figure 5: Effects of varying parameters; μ and μ_0 on the fractions of i and s_a-individuals. The values of $\mathscr{R}_f = 1.9143$ with the rest parameter values as in Table 1.

5.5 Impacts of varying μ_f and ω on i and s_a individuals

We observe in Figure 7(a) that increasing in μ_f decreases $\mathscr{R}_f$. Thus, an increase in the value μ_f results in a decrease in number of infected humans as a result of contamination of food products. Figure 7(b), shows that a decrease in the rate at which the unaware humans, becomes aware of listeriosis (ω), results in more human infections. Similarly, we notice that increasing ω increases the number of aware individuals in the human populations as depicted in Figure 7(c).



Figure 6: Contour plot of rate of contamination of uncontaminated food β_3, and rate of food products removal, μ_f, against food contamination threshold $\mathscr{R}_f$.

5.6 Varying ε on the fractions of infected and aware individuals

Figure 8 illustrates the effects of varying the efficacy parameter on the dynamics of the listeriosis. We see that an increase in the efficacy of awareness program over time, reduces the number of individuals who become infected, see Figure 8(a). Also, an increase in ε, results in an increase in the number of aware individuals as depicted in Figure 8(b). This implies that increased implementation of awareness programs, results in more humans becoming aware of the disease and take precautionary measures thereby avoiding being infected by Listeria.



Figure 7: Varying rate at which unaware susceptible becomes aware (ω) against. (a) Infected individual i, and (b) aware individuals s_a, with the rest parameters values as given in Table 1.



Figure 8: Varying efficacy of awareness programs parameter ε against (a) Fraction of infected humans i, and (b) aware susceptibles s_a. The numerical value of $\mathscr{R}_f$ is 1.9143. Note that ϵ represents ε in the legend.

6 Discussion and conclusion

The control of infectious diseases through awareness programs by media is important in reducing human mortality caused by foodborne diseases. In the present study, we used a mathematical model to study the impact of awareness programs on the spread or contracting listeriosis disease. It was assumed that aware susceptibles interact with the infectives due to ineffectiveness or waning of awareness programs over the modelling time. The equilibrium points of the model system (3) were determined and their local asymptotic stabilities were analysed using the food contamination threshold $\mathscr{R}_f$.

Further, the analytical findings in this manuscript were confirmed by some numerical simulations. Uncertainty sensitivity analysis was used to verify which parameters are sensitive to some of the state variables of interest. Results from the numerical simulations of most model sensitive parameters show that increase: in the efficacy of awareness programs ε, removal rate of contaminated food products μ_f, the rate at which the susceptible individuals becomes aware during disease spread will result to a fewer listeriosis infections. The implications of these results are vital, in that, there is a need for constant implementation of awareness programs by the media at all times with very low waning rates. On the other hand, reducing the rate at which the rate of food contamination (β_3) will prevent high food contamination, and therefore fewer human Listeria infections.

The analytical and numerical results of our findings suggest that implementation of awareness programs that do not wane over time, if the humans practice proper hygiene, in other words, avoid contracting Listeria (bacteria) from the environment, and reduction in the consumption of contaminated food products results to fewer infections in the human populations. In conclusion, the model presents some interesting new results even though it has some shortcoming. The assumption of constant human population only works for epidemics with a short duration and listeriosis seems to have been in the human population for long. The model was not fitted to epidemiological data for the validation of the model. The results in this paper however remains valid and implementable by policymakers or food safety risk management in order to contain or eradicate the disease during listeriosis outbreaks.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have are no competing interests.

Author's contributions

C. W. Chukwu carried out the analytical, and numerical simulations. Both authors formulated and commented on the final version of this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Faculty of Science in the University of Johannesburg for their support in the production of this manuscript. The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their lucrative suggestions and comments.

References

[1] H. Kun, R. Sondra, et. al, A modeling framework to accelerate food-borne outbreak investigations, Food Control, 59 ,53–58(2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.05.017

[2] E. Tambo , C.S. Yah, and G. Madjou, Deadly Listeriosis outbreaks in South africa and Australia: re-inforcing food safety surveillance and emergency response actions, J. of Adv. Virol. Res., 1, 1–9(2018).

[3] S. Simjee, Foodborne diseases, Humana Press, 2007 DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-501-5

[4] F. Allerberger, and M. Wagner, Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection, Clin. Microbio. & Infect., 16(1), 16–23(2010).

[5] WHO, World Health Organization Accessed 2019-12-16, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/listeriosis

[6] B. Swaminathan, and P. Gerner-Smidt. The epidemiology of human listeriosis, Micro. & infect., 9, no. 10, 1236-1243(2007).

[7] X. Yang, L. Chen, and J. Chen, Permanence and positive periodic solution for the single-species nonautonomous delay diffusive models, Comput. & Math. with Applicat., 32(4), 109–116 (1996).

[8] J. M. Tchuenche, N. Dube, C. P. Bhunu, and C. T. Bauch, The impact of media coverage on the transmission dynamics of human influenza, BMC Public Health., 11(1):S5,PMID:21356134(2011).

[9] S. Samanta, S. Rana, A. Sharma, A. K. Misra,and J. Chattopadhyay, Effect of awareness programs by media on the epidemic outbreaks: a mathematical model, Appl. Math. Comput., 219,6965-6977(2013).

[10] Y. Li, and J. Cui, The effect of constant and pulse vaccination on SIS epidemic models incorporating media coverage, Commun. Nonlin. Sci. Numer. Simulat., 14,2353-2365(2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2008.06.024

[11] F. Al Basir, S. Ray, and E. Venturino, Role of media coverage and delay in controlling infectious diseases: A mathematical model, Appl. Math. & Comput., 337, 372–385(2018).

[12] A. Sharma, and A. K. Misra, Backward bifurcation in a smoking cessation model with media campaigns, AppL. Math. Model., 39, 1087–1098(2015).

[13] R. K. Rai, A. K. Misra, and Y. Takeuchi, Modeling the impact of sanitation and awareness on the spread of infectious diseases, Math. Biosci.& Eng., 16(2), 667–700(2019).

[14] A. K. Misra, R. K. Rai, and Y. Takeuchi, Modeling the control of infectious diseases: Effects of TV and social media advertisements, Math. Biosci. & Eng., 15(6), 1315–1343(2018). https://doi:10.3934/mbe.2018061

[15] G. O. Agaba, Y. N. Kyrychko, and K. B. Blyuss, Time-delayed SIS epidemic model with population awareness. Ecol. Compl., 31, 50–56 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.

2017.03.002
[16] G. O. Agaba, Y. N. Kyrychko, and K. B. Blyuss, Dynamics of vaccination in a time-delayed epidemic model with awareness, Math. Biosci., 294, 92–99(2017).
[17] L. Zuo, M. Liu, and J. Wang, The impact of awareness programs with recruitment and delay on the spread of an epidemic, Math. Probl. in Eng., 2015 (2015)
[18] V. Verma, and A. Bhadauria, Global dynamics of a mathematical model on smoking: impact of anti-smoking campaign, J. of Math. Model., 7(1), 49–62(2019).
[19] D. K. Das, S. Khajanchi, and T. K. Kar, The impact of the media awareness and optimal strategy on the prevalence of tuberculosis. Appl. Math. & Comput., 366, 124732(2020).
[20] W. Zhou, Y. Xiao, and J. M. Heffernan, Optimal media reporting intensity on mitigating spread of an emerging infectious disease, PloS one, 14(3), e0213898(2019).
[21] I. Z. Kiss, J. Cassell, M. Recker, and P. L. Simon, The impact of information transmission on epidemic outbreaks, Math. BioscI. 225, no. 1,1–10(2010)
[22] A. K. Misra, A. Sharma and J.B. Shukla, Modeling and analysis of effects of awareness programs by media on the spread of infectious diseases, Math. & Comput. Model., 53(5-6),1221–1228 (2011).
[23] M. N. Imbusi, W. Mutuku, and F. Nyabadza, A mathematical model for Jiggers infestation incorporating effects of media campaigns, Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci. 2019:25 (2019): Article-ID ISSN: 2052–2541 https://doi.org/10.28919/cmbn/4096
[24] Y. Liu, and J. Cui, The impact of media convergence on the dynamics of infectious diseases, Int. J. Biomath., 1, 65–74(2008).
[25] N. Kaur, M. Ghosh, and S. S. Bhatia, Modeling and analysis of an SIRS epidemic model with effect of awareness programs by media, Int. J. Math. Comput. Nat. Phys. Eng, 8(1),233–239(2014).
[26] A. K. Misra, A. Sharma and J.B. Shukla, Modeling and analysis of effects of awareness programs by media on the spread of infectious diseases, Math. & Comput. Model., 53(5-6), 1221–1228(2011).
[27] M. J. Keeling, and R. Pejman, Modeling infectious diseases in humans and animals, (Princeton Univ. Press, 2011).
[28] P. Van den Driessche, and J. Watmough, Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission, Math. Biosci. 180, 1-2 29–48(2002).
[29] S. M. Blower and H. Dowlatabudi, Sensitivity and uncertainty of complex models of disease transmission: an HIV model as an example, Int. Stat. Rev. 62 p.599–653(1994).