medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

FIRST DETECTION OF SARS-COV-2 IN UNTREATED WASTEWATERS IN ITALY

Giuseppina La Rosa[1*], Marcello Iaconelli[1], Pamela Mancini[1], Giusy Bonanno Ferraro[1], Carolina Veneri[1], Lucia Bonadonna[1], Luca Lucentini[1], Elisabetta Suffredini[2]

[1] Department of Environment and Health - Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy

[2] Department of Food Safety, Nutrition and Veterinary Public Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, Coronavirus, COVID-19, sewage, wastewater, surveillance

[*] **Corresponding author:** Giuseppina La Rosa
Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Department of Environment and Health, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161, Rome, Italy.
Phone/Fax: 0039-06-49902718. E-mail: giuseppina.larosa@iss.it

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

ABSTRACT

Several studies have demonstrated the advantages of environmental surveillance through the monitoring of sewer systems for the assessment of viruses circulating in a given community (wastewater-based epidemiology, WBE).

During the COVID-19 public health emergency, many reports have described the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in stools from COVID-19 patients, and a few studies reported the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewaters worldwide. Italy is among the world's worst-affected countries in the COVID-19 pandemic, but so far there are no studies assessing the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in Italian wastewaters. To this aim, twelve influent sewage samples, collected between February and April 2020 from Wastewater Treatment Plants in Milan and Rome, were tested adapting, for concentration, the standard WHO procedure for Poliovirus surveillance. Molecular analysis was undertaken with three nested protocols, including a newly designed SARS-CoV-2 specific primer set.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection occurred in volumes of 250 mL of wastewaters collected in both areas at high (Milan) and low (Rome) epidemic circulation, according to clinical data. Overall, 6 out of 12 samples were positive. One of the positive results was obtained in a Milan wastewater sample collected a few days after the first notified Italian case of autochthonous SARS-CoV-2.

The study shows that WBE has the potential to be applied to SARS-CoV-2 as a sensitive tool to study spatial and temporal trends of virus circulation in the population.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is responsible for the coronavirus disease COVID-19, a public health emergency worldwide. On March 11 2020, the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a pandemic. Italy is among the world's most affected countries in the COVID-19 pandemic. Indeed, after entering Italy, COVID-19 has been spreading fast. As of April 20th 2020, the total number of cases reported by the authorities reached 181,228, with 108,237 active cases (Dipartimento della Protezione Civile, Bulletin 20.04.2020), mainly located in Northern Italy (Lombardy, and its neighbouring regions of Emilia-Romagna and Piedmont).

Presymptomatic and paucisymptomatic carriers, mostly undetected in clinical and laboratory surveillance systems, contribute to the spread of the disease (Bay et al., 2020; Nicastri et al., 2020; Rothe et al., 2020; WHO, 2020) and hamper the efforts made to assess the extent of SARS-CoV-2 circulation in the population and to control efficiently virus transmission. Analytical regular investigation of wastewaters provides valuable information to measure viral circulation in the population as Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs), collecting and concentrating human excreta, are useful sampling points receiving discharges from the entire community.

Environmental microbiologists have studied pathogens in sewage for decades (La Rosa & Muscillo, 2012; Sinclair *et al.*, 2008). The screening of wastewater, as a public health surveillance tool, defined as wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), is currently well recognized (Xagoraraki & O'Brien, 2020). In the recent years, scientists have applied WBE to a wide range of waterborne, foodborne and fecal-oral viruses, which infected individuals usually excrete in high concentration with faeces (Katayama et al., 2008; Iaconelli et al., 2017; Bisseaux et al., 2018). However, the concept of WBE can also be applied to viruses beyond those commonly associated with the faecal-oral route (i.e. enteric viruses), since viral shedding may involve different body fluids ultimately discharged into urban sewage.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Some studies have reported the presence of virus RNA in the stools of COVID-19 patients in percentages ranging from 16.5% to 100% at a concentration up to 6.8 $\log_{10}$ genome copies/g of stool (Chen et al., 200; Lo et al., 2000; Han et al., 2000; Lescure et al., 2000). Furthermore, preliminary studies have reported the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater in The Netherlands (Medema *et al.*, 2020), France (Wurtzer et al., 2020), USA (Wu et al., 2020), and Australia (Ashmed et al., 2020). To date, no study has yet provided insights into the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewaters in Italy.

Herein we report the results of the screening for SARS-CoV-2 presence in sewage samples collected between the end of February and the beginning of April 2020 from WWTPs in Milan (Northern Italy) and Rome (Central Italy).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Twelve raw sewage samples were collected between the 3rd of February and the 2nd of April 2020 from three WWTPs, located in Milan (two distinct plants, reported as A and B) and in Rome (one plant receiving two different pipelines, C1 and C2, from different districts of the town), respectively. The samples (24-hour composites) were collected from the WWTP influent, immediately stored at -20 °C, and dispatched frozen to the National Institute of Health for analysis. Before viral concentration, samples underwent a 30 min treatment at 57 °C to increase the safety of the analytical protocol for the laboratory personnel and environment, as Pastorino et al. (2020) reported these conditions to reduce the virulence of the virus by over 5 log.

Sample concentration took place using a two-phase (PEG-dextran method) separation as detailed in the 2003 WHO Guidelines for Environmental Surveillance of Poliovirus protocol (WHO, 2003), with modifications to adapt the protocol to enveloped viruses. In brief, the wastewater sample (250

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

mL) was centrifuged to pellet the wastewater solids, retaining the pellet for further processing. The clarified wastewater was mixed with dextran and polyethylene glycol (PEG), and the mixture was left to stand overnight at 4 °C in a separation funnel. The bottom layer and the interphase were then collected drop-wise, and this concentrate was added to the pellet from the initial centrifugation. The chloroform treatment that the WHO protocol envisages at this stage was omitted; this preserved the integrity of the enveloped viruses object of this study. The viral nucleic acids extraction foresaw the use of the NucliSENS miniMAG semi-automated extraction system with magnetic silica carried out following manufacturer's instructions (bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) with however slight modifications. The lysis phase was prolonged to 20 minutes, and brief centrifugation (2000 × *g*, 1 min) was used to pellet the sediment; subsequently, magnetic silica beads were added to the cleaned supernatant. Before molecular tests, the extracted nucleic acids were further purified by PCR inhibitors using the OneStep PCR Inhibitor Removal Kit (Zymo Research, CA, USA).

In the absence of a standardized method for SARS-CoV-2 detection in environmental samples, RNAs were tested for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 using three different PCR assays (Table 1 and Figure 1):

a) a broad range of PCR for Coronavirus detection targeting the ORF1ab (Ar Gouilh et al., 2018). Primers were previously designed targeting a highly conserved region (nsp12) among all Coronavirinae sequences to detect a broad range of coronaviruses by a semi-nested PCR producing a fragment of 218 bp.

b) a newly designed primer set specific for SARS-CoV-2. Novel nested primers, amplifying a 332 bp fragment of ORF1ab, were designed using prime3 software (http://primer3.ut.ee/). For the assays a) and b) first-strand cDNA was synthesized using Super Script IV Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) with the reverse primer. PCR reaction was performed using 2.5 µl of cDNA in a final volume of 25 µl (Kit Platinum™ SuperFi™ Green PCR Master Mix, Thermo), using 1 µl of primers (10 µM). The PCR conditions were as follows: 98 °C for 30 sec; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 10 sec, 50 °C and 54 °C for 10 sec for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

assay a) and b) respectively, and 72 °C for 30 sec; final extension 72 °C for 5 min. After the first round PCR, nested PCR was performed using 2 µl of first PCR product and under the same reaction composition and thermal profile conditions. A synthetic DNA (Biofab Research) including the PCR target region, was used to set up PCR conditions before experiments with study samples, but was not amplified along with samples to avoid risks of PCR contamination . Molecular grade water was used as the negative control.

c) a published nested RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 targeting the spike region (Nao et al., 2020). cDNA was synthesized from 5 µl of sample RNA, using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific), 0.5 µM of the reverse primer (WuhanCoV-spk2-r, Table 1) and a 50 min reaction at 50 °C (20 µl final volume). First PCR reaction was performed by adding the reaction mix (Dream Taq polymerase and buffer from ThermoFisher Scientific, 0.4 µM of primers WuhanCoV-spk2-r and WuhanCoV-spk1-f) directly to the whole volume of synthesized cDNA. The used PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 sec, 56 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 40 sec; final extension 72 °C for 5 min. Nested PCR (primers NIID_WH-1_F24381 and NIID_WH-1_R24873) was performed in a total volume of 50 µl using 5 µl of first PCR product, with the same conditions applied for the first PCR and 45 cycles.

All positive samples were retested for confirmation of results. The PCR products were revealed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels and were purified using a Montage PCRm96 Microwell Filter Plate (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and then direct sequenced on both strands (BioFab Research, Rome, Italy). Sequences were identified in terms of the closest homology sequence using BLAST https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi. All Italian SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences available at the time of analysis were retrieved from Gisaid (https://www.gisaid.org/) for comparison with study sequences, using the MEGA X software (Kumar *et al*., 2018)..

Sequences were submitted to NCBI GenBank with the accession numbers: [GenBank submission ID 2337138 and 2337168].

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 50% (6/12) of the wastewater samples showed positive results for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (Table 2). Both the published and newly designed SARS-CoV-2 specific primer sets detected bands of the expected size and were confirmed by the sequencing. In contrast, only aspecific products were detected with a broad range assay for coronavirus. Upon comparison of broad range primers with SARS-CoV-2 genome, we noted that they showed only 77.1 to 91.3 % nt identity, which explain why these were not able to amplify the novel coronavirus.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA was first detected with a weak amplification signal in an influent sample from Milan WWTPs (Lombardy, North Italy) collected on February 24th from the plant A with the ORF1ab assay. A positive result for SARS-CoV-2 was also detected this time with a strong amplification signal, on February 28th from the plant B, with both the ORF1ab and the Spike regions. In the influent samples taken from the WWTP in Rome (Latium, Central Italy), SARS-CoV-2 was detected in both the sampling dates (31st of March and 2nd of April) and both the pipelines, C1 and C2, using the newly designed primer sets specific for SARS-CoV-2. The analyzed sequences showed, for both ORF1ab and S partial gene regions, 100% identity with the first SARS-CoV-2 sequence detected in Italy (MT066156), isolated on 30th January 2020 from a Chinese tourist by the Institute “Lazzaro Spallanzani” (INMI, Rome). Given the high level of conservation of the two analysed regions, 100% identity was also detected with several sequences in GenBank and with all the other Italian SARS-CoV-2 genomes deposited in Gisaid.

Significantly, on February 24th and 28th, when the samples positive for SARS-CoV-2 were collected in Milan, COVID-19 infections were still limited in Italy, the first Italian autochthonous SARS-CoV-2 positive case having been reported only a few days earlier, on February 21st. On February 28th, the total number of SARS-CoV-2 positive patients reported in all Italy was only 888,with 531 (57%) in Lombardy, the most affected region in the country. However, at that time, the vast

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

majority of cases in Lombardy were recorded in the provinces of Lodi, Cremona and Bergamo (182, 123, and 103 cases, respectively). In comparison, in the province of Milan (an even larger area compared to the metropolitan area served by the selected WWTPs) only 29 cases had been reported (Dipartimento della Protezione Civile, Bulletin 28.02.2020).

These results provide evidence of the sensitivity of environmental surveillance for the detection of ongoing outbreaks in the population. Virus detection in sewage, despite the low incidence of reported human infections, may be associated with the ability of environmental surveillance to detect mild, subclinical, or asymptomatic cases. These infected individuals shed viruses into local sewage systems and contribute to virus circulation while remaining substantially undetectable by clinical surveillance, a phenomenon known as the "surveillance pyramid" (Martinez Wassaf et al., 2014). Clinical surveillance, indeed, only captures the tip of the iceberg of viral diseases (hospitalized patients or laboratory diagnosed cases). In contrast, monitoring of urban wastewaters makes it possible to capture the full extent of the diseases at a community level.

As regards to the influent samples collected in Rome, SARS-CoV-2 detection was obtained on March 31, when the epidemic had spread considerably in Italy. In that date, a total of 77.635 SARS-CoV-2 infections had been reported in Italy, of which 3.095 in Latium Region and 2.186 in the province of Rome (Dipartimento della Protezione Civile, Bulletin 31.03.2020), with about 85% of them being active cases (Assessorato alla Sanità e all'Integrazione Socio Sanitaria della Regione Lazio; Ministero della Salute, Bulletin 31.03.2020). Given this diffusion of the virus, with such several excreting patients (symptomatic and asymptomatic), the detection of the viral RNA in the tested samples is not surprising and, consistently, the samples taken two days later, on the 2nd April, at the same WWTP were still positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA.

Following this investigation on the occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in sewage, the production of quantitative data on virus concentration in raw sewage will be undertaken. This approach will allow obtaining a rough estimation of the total number of subjects excreting the virus, by integrating - as done by Wu and co-workers in samples taken in the United States (Wu et al., 2020) - the available

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

information on viral shedding rates, WWTPs loads, and virus concentration in wastewaters. Moreover, the environmental surveillance will be extended to the collection of wastewater samples available in the Department of Environment and Health of the Italian National Health Institute, that were collected throughout Italy in the framework of different projects on enteric viruses. Such monitoring will provide a picture of the SARS-CoV-2 circulation across the different regions of Italy and over time, to better understand the virus circulation, as provided by wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) and compare it to the clinical data. Samples collected before the reporting of the first known Italian case on February 21 will also be tested, to possibly infer when SARS-CoV-2 first appeared in Italy. In a previous study, indeed, wastewater monitoring provided evidence that a novel variant of Norovirus GII.17 (termed Kawasaki 2014) had been circulating in the Italian population before its first appearance and identification in clinical cases, later becoming one of the prevalent variants in the population (Suffredini et al., 2018).

Also and most important, environmental monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in sewages will continue when the emergency phase is over, and its circulation in the population will be considered limited. Indeed, sewage surveillance could also serve for the early detection of a possible re-emergence of COVID-19 in urban areas. WHO recommends environmental surveillance for poliovirus as an early warning system. As an example, during 2013, Israel observed the silent reintroduction and transmission of wild poliovirus type 1, detected through routine environmental surveillance performed on sewage samples (https://www.who.int/csr/don/2013_09_20_polio/en/) without the reporting of any clinical cases. Environmental monitoring, therefore, appears to be an effective measure for proving early warning against pathogen reintroduction.

In conclusions, the main findings of this study are:

1) first detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA fragments in sewage in Italy;
2) demonstration of the suitability of the WHO protocol for sewage treatment to enveloped viruses after appropriate modifications;

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

3) design of a novel nested PCR assay specific for SARS-CoV-2, useful for screening purposes.

Further research will clarify the applicability of WBE to SARS-CoV-2 for prompt detection, the study, and the assessment of viral outbreaks.

Table 1: Primers and amplification protocols used in the study

Target	Region	Primer Name	Nucleotide sequence	Orientation	Usage	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
Broad-range Coronavirus	ORF1ab	Bat-CoV pol 15197	GGTTGGGAYTAYCCWAARTGTGA	+	First PCR	440	Ar Gouilh et al.,
		Bat-CoV pol 15635	CCATCRTCMGAHARAATCATCATA	–			
		Bat-CoV pol 15419	GTGCTAAACCACCGCCTG	+	Nested PCR	218	
		Bat-CoV pol 15635	CCATCRTCMGAHARAATCATCATA	–			
SARS-CoV-2	ORF1ab	2274 - CO-FW1	GTGCTAAACCACCGCCTG	+	First PCR	368	This study
		2275 - CO-REV1	CAGATCATGGTTGCTTTGTAGGT	–			
		2276 - CO-FW2	CGCCTGGAGATCAATTTAAACAC	+	Nested PCR	332	
		2277 - CO-REV2	ACCTGTAAAACCCCATTGTTGA	–			
SARS-CoV-2	S	WuhanCoV-spk1-f	TTGGCAAAATTCAAGACTCACTTT	+	First PCR	547	Nao et al., 2020
		WuhanCoV-spk2-r	TGTGGTTCATAAAAATTCCTTTGTG	–			
		NIID_WH-1_F24381	TCAAGACTCACTTTCTTCCAC	+	Nested PCR	493	
		NIID_WH-1_R24873	ATTTGAAACAAAGACACCTTCAC	–			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Results of SARS-CoV-2 detection in the study period

City and WWTP	Date of sampling							
	03 Feb	19 Feb	23 Feb	24 Feb	26 Feb	28 Feb	31 Mar	02 Apr
Milan – plant A		×		○[a]	×	×		
Milan – plant B	×		×		×	○●		
Rome – plant C1							○[a]	○
Rome – plant C2							○	○●

× SARS-CoV-2 not detected; ○ SARS-CoV-2 detected (ORF1ab); ● SARS-CoV-2 detected (spike)

[a] weak positive

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Fig. 1: Position on SARS-CoV-2 genome of the primers used in the study. Modified from Viralzone (https://viralzone.expasy.org/9076)



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Reference List

Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., et al. (2020). First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. *Science of the Total Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764

Ar Gouilh, M., Puechmaille, S. J., Diancourt, L., Vandenbogaert, M., Serra-Cobo, J., Lopez Roïg, M., et al. (2018). SARS-CoV related Betacoronavirus and diverse Alphacoronavirus members found in western old-world. *Virology*, **517**, 88–97.

Assessorato alla Sanità e all'Integrazione Socio Sanitaria della Regione Lazio; Ministero della Salute – Bulletin 31.03.2020: http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_notizie_4370_0_file.pdf

Bai, Y., Yao, L., Wei, T., Tian, F., Jin, D.Y., Chen, L., Wang, M. (2020). Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19. JAMA, 323(14), 1406–7. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2565.

Bisseux, M., Colombet, J., Mirand, A., Roque-Afonso, AM., Abravanel, F., Izopet, J., Archimbaud, C., et al. (2018). Monitoring human enteric viruses in wastewater and relevance to infections encountered in the clinical setting: a one-year experiment in central France, 2014 to 2015. *Euro Surveill*. **23**(7):17-00237. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.7.17-00237.

Chen, Y., Chen, L., Deng, Q., Zhang, G., Wu, K., Ni, L., et al. (2020). The Presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Feces of COVID-19 Patients. *J Med Virol*. Accepted Author Manuscript.

Corman, V. M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D. K., et al. (2020). Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. *Euro surveillance*:

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin, **25**(3), 2000045.

Han, M. S., Seong, M.-W., Heo, E. Y, Park, J. H., Kim, N., Shin, S., et al. (2020). Sequential analysis of viral load in a neonate and her mother infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. ciaa447. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa447

Iaconelli, M., Muscillo, M., Della Libera, S., Fratini, M., Meucci, L., De Ceglia, M., Giacosa, D., La Rosa, G. (2017). One-year Surveillance of Human Enteric Viruses in Raw and Treated Wastewaters, Downstream River Waters, and Drinking Waters. *Food Environ Virol*. **9** (1):79-88. doi: 10.1007/s12560-016-9263-3.

Katayama, H., Haramoto, E., Oguma, K., Yamashita, H., Tajima, A., Nakajima, H., Ohgaki, S. (2008). One-year monthly quantitative survey of noroviruses, enteroviruses, and adenoviruses in wastewater collected from six plants in Japan. *Water Res*. 42:1441–1448

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). Molecular Biology and Evolution 35:1547-1549

La Rosa, G., Pourshaban, M., Iaconelli, M., Muscillo, M. (2010). Quantitative real-time PCR of enteric viruses in influent and effluent samples from wastewater treatment plants in Italy. *Ann Ist Super Sanità*. 46:266–273

La Rosa, G. & Muscillo, M. (2013). Molecular detection of viruses in water and sewage . In *Viruses in food and water: risks, surveillance and control*. pp. 97-125.

Lescure, F. X., Bouadma, L., Nguyen, D., Parisey, M., Wicky, P. H., Behillil, S., et al. (2020). Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series. Lancet. Infect Dis. S1473-3099(20)30200-0. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30200-0

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Lo, I. L., Lio, C. F., Cheong, H. H., Lei, C. I., Cheong, T. H., Zhong, X., et al. (2020). Evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding in clinical specimens and clinical characteristics of 10 patients with COVID-19 in Macau. *International journal of biological sciences* **16**(10), 1698–1707.

Martinez Wassaf, G.M., Pisano, M.B., Barril, P.A., Elbarcha, O.C., Pinto, M.A., Mendes de, O.J., et al.(2014). First detection of hepatitis E virus in Central Argentina: Environmental and serological survey. *J. Clin. Virol*. **61**, 334–339.

Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R. & Brouwer, A. (2020). Presence of SARS-Coronavirus-2 in sewage. *medRxiv preprint.*

Nao, N., Shirato, K., Katano, H., Matsuyama, S. & Takeda M. (2020). Detection of second case of 2019-nCoV infection in Japan.

Nicastri, E., D'Abramo, A., Faggioni, G., De Santis, R., Mariano, A., Lepore, L., et al. (2020). Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in a paucisymptomatic patient: epidemiological and clinical challenge in settings with limited community transmission, Italy, February 2020. *Euro surveillance: bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles*, 25 (11), 2000230. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.11.2000230

Pastorino, B., Touret, F., Gilles, M., de Lamballerie, X., & Charrel, R.N. (2020). Evaluation of heating and chemical protocols for inactivating SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv prept*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.11.036855

Dipartimento della Protezione Civile – Bulletin 28.02.2020: https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19/blob/master/dati-province/dpc-covid19-ita-province-20200228.csv

Dipartimento della Protezione Civile – Bulletin 31.03.2020: https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19/blob/master/dati-province/dpc-covid19-ita-province-20200331.csv

Dipartimento della Protezione Civile – Bulletin 20.04.2020: http://www.protezionecivile.gov.it/media-comunicazione/comunicati-stampa/dettaglio/-/asset_publisher/default/content/coronavirus-la-situazione-dei-contagi-in-ital-2

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.25.20079830; this version posted May 7, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Rothe, C., Schunk, M., Sothmann, P., Bretzel, G., Froeschl, G., Wallrauch, C., et al. (2020). Transmission of 2019-nCoV infection from an asymptomatic contact in Germany. *N Engl J Med*. **382** (10): 970-1. 10.1056/NEJMc2001468

Sinclair, R. G., Choi, C. Y., Riley, M. R. & Gerba, C. P. (2008). Pathogen surveillance through monitoring of sewer systems. *Adv Appl Microbiol* **65**, 249-269.

Suffredini, E., Iaconelli, M., Equestre, M., et al. (2018). Genetic Diversity Among Genogroup II Noroviruses and Progressive Emergence of GII.17 in Wastewaters in Italy (2011-2016) Revealed by Next-Generation and Sanger Sequencing [published correction appears in Food Environ Virol. 2018 May 4;:]. *Food Environ Virol*. **10**(2), 141–150.

World Health Organization. (2003). Guidelines for Environmental Surveillance of Poliovirus Circulation. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/67854 (accessed on 27 February 2020).

World Health Organization. (2020). Advice on the use of masks in the context of COVID-19: interim guidance, 6 April 2020. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331693.

Wu, F., Xiao A., Zhang, J., Gu X., Lee, W.L., Kauffman, K., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases. *medRxiv prep*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.05.20051540

Wurtzer, S., Marechal, V., Mouchel, JM. Moulin, L. (2020). Time course quantitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Parisian wastewaters correlates with COVID-19 confirmed cases. *medRxiv prep*. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.20062679

Xagoraraki, I. & O'Brien, E. (2020). Wastewater-Based Epidemiology for Early Detection of Viral Outbreaks. In *Women in Water Quality*, pp. 75-97. Edited by C. O'Bannon D.(eds) Women in Water Quality.Women in Engineering and Science.Springer.