A model for 2019-nCoV infection with treatment

Amar Nath Chatterjee[1] and Fahad Al Basir*[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, K.L.S. College, Nawada, Magadh University, Bodh Gaya, Bihar-805110, India
[2]Department of Mathematics, Asansol Girls' College, Asansol-4, West Bebgal-713304, India

April 8, 2020

Abstract

The current emergence of coronavirus (2019-nCoV or COVID-19) puts the world in threat. 2019-nCoV remains the outbreak of SARS-CoV 2002-2003. The structural research on the receptor recognition by SARS-CoV has identified the key interactions between SARS-CoV spike protein and its host receptor, also known as *angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)*. It controls both the cross-species and human-to-human transmissions of SARS-CoV. In this article, we have considered the infection dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the acute stage. Our aim is to propose and analyse a mathematical model for investigating the effect of CTL responses over the viral mutation to control the viral infection when a post-infection vaccine is administered at regular intervals. Dynamics of the system with and without impulses have been analysed using qualitative theory. Main findings are supported through numerical simulations.

Keywords: Mathematical model; Basic reproduction number; Stability analysis; Immune activator; Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2); Impulsive differential equation.

1 Introduction

A novel coronavirus named 2019-nCoV or COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO) become pandemic since December 2019. The first

*Corresponding author. Email: fahadalbasir@yahoo.com

infectious respiratory syndrome was recognised in Wuhan, Hubei province of China. Dedicated virologist identified and recognised the virus within a short time [3]. The COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 is a single standard RNA virus genome which is closely related to severe acute respiratory syndrome SARS-CoV [4]. The infection of COVID-19 is associated with a SARS-CoV like a disease with a fatality rate of 3.4% [5]. The World Health Organisation (WHO) have declared it as a public health emergency worldwide [2].

The common symptoms of COVID-19 are fever, fatigue, dry cough, myalgia. Also, some patients suffer from headaches, abdominal pain, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting. In the acute phase of infection, the disease may lead to respiratory failure which leads to death also. From clinical observation, within 1-2 days after patient symptoms, the patient becomes morbid after 4-6 days and the infection may clear within 18 days [6] depending on the immune system. Thus appropriate quarantine measure for minimum two weeks is taken by the public health authorities for inhibiting community spread [1].

Zhou et al. [3] identified that the respiratory tract as principal infection site for COVID-19 infection. SARS-CoV-2 infects primary human airway epithelial cells. Angiotensic converting enzyme II (ACE2) receptor of epithelial cells plays an important role in cellular entry [3,8]. It has been observed that ACE2 could be expressed in the oral cavity. ACE2 receptors are higher in tongue than buccal and gingival tissues. These findings imply that the mucosa of the oral cavity may be a potentially high-risk route of COVID-19 infection. Thus epithelial cells of the tongue are the major routes of entry for COVID-19. Zhou et al. [3] also reported that SARS-CoV-2 spikes S bind with ACE2 receptor of epithelial cells with high affinity. The bonding between S - spike of SARS-CoV-2 with ACE2 [8], results from the fusion between the viral envelope and the target cell membrane and the epithelial cells become infected. The S protein plays a major role in the induction of protective immunity during the infection of SARS-CoV-2 by eliciting neutralization antibody and T cell responses [9]. S protein is not only capable of neutralizing antibody but it also contains several immunogenic T cell epitopes. Some of the epitopes found in either S1 or S2 domain. These proteins are useful for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine development [13].

We know that virus clearance after acute infection is associated with strong antibody responses. Antibody responses have the potential to control the infection [14]. Also, CTL responses help to resolve infection and virus persistence caused by weak CTL responses. Antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 play an important role in preventing the viral entry process [9]. Hsueh et al. [4] found that antibodies block viral entry by binding to the S

glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2. To fight against the pathogen SARS-CoV-2, the body requires SARS-CoV-2 specific $CD4^{+}T$ helper cells for developing this specific antibody [9]. Antibody-mediated immunity protection helps the anti-SARS-CoV serum to neutralize COVID 19 infection. Besides that, the role of T cell responses in COVID-19 infection is very much important. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) responses are important for recognizing and killing infected cells, particularly in the lungs [9]. But the kinetic of the CTL responses and antibody responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection is yet to be explored. Our study will focus on the role CTL and its possible implication on treatment and vaccine development. Vaccine that stimulates the CTL responses represents the best hope for control of COVID 19. Here we have modelled the situation where CTLs can effectively control the viral infection when the post infection vaccine is administered at regular intervals.

The dynamical model plays a pivotal role in describing the interaction among uninfected cells, free viruses, and immune responses. A four-dimensional dynamical model for a viral infection is proposed by Tang et al. [10] for MERS-CoV mediated by DPP4 receptors. Based on this, we propose the following novel four-dimensional dynamics model which describes the cell biological infection of SARS-CoV-2 with epithelial cells and the role of the ACE2 receptor.

We explained the dynamics in the acute infection stage. It has been observed that CTL proliferate and differentiate antibody production after they encounter antigen. Here we investigate the effect of CTL responses over the viral mutation to control viral infection when a post-infection vaccine is administered at regular intervals by mathematical perspective.

To study the dynamics of vaccination, we use impulsive differential equations Perfect drug adherence and drug holidays which can make easy for the development of resistance. In recent years the effects of perfect adherence have been studied by using impulsive differential equations [11, 12, 16–20]. With the help of impulsive differential equations, the effect of maximal acceptable drug holidays and optimal dosage can be found more precisely [11, 20].

The article is organised as follows: The very next section contains the formulation of the impulsive mathematical model. Dynamics of the system without impulses has been provided in section 3. The system with impulses has been analysed in section 4. Numerical simulations, on the basis of the outcomes of section 3 and 4, have been included in section 5. Discussion in section 6 concludes the paper.

2 Model formulation

In this research article, we propose a five-dimensional mathematical model which describes the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 and the expression of ACE2 receptor of the epithelial cells and cellular response (CTL) against the pathogen as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dT}{dt} &= \lambda_1 - \beta EVT - d_T T, \\
\frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta EVT - d_I I - pIC, \\
\frac{dV}{dt} &= md_I I - d_V V, \\
\frac{dE}{dt} &= \lambda_2 - \theta\beta EVT - d_E E, \\
\frac{dC}{dt} &= \alpha YC\left(1 - \frac{C}{C_{max}}\right) - d_c C, \qquad t \neq t_k, \\
C(t_k^+) &= \omega + C(t_k^-), \qquad t = t_k.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

Here $T(t)$ represent the concentration of susceptible cell, $I(t)$ denote the concentration of infected cell, $V(t)$ denote the concentration SARS-CoV-2 and $C(t)$ denote the concentration of CTLs at time t. In this model, we consider $E(t)$ which represents the concentration of ACE2 on the surface of uninfected cells, which can be recognized by surface spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2 [23].

It is assumed that the susceptible cells are produced at a rate λ_1 from the precursor cells and die at a rate d_T. The susceptible cells become infected a rate $\beta E(t)V(t)T(t)$. The constant d_I is the death rate of the infected cells. Infected cells are also cleared by the body's defensive CTLs at a rate p.

The infected cells produce new viruses at the rate md_I during their life, and d_V is the death rate of new virions, where m is any positive integer. It is also assumed that ACE2 is produced from the surface of uninfected cells at the constant rate λ_2 and the ACE2 is destroyed, when free viruses try to infect uninfected cells, at the rate $\theta\beta E(t)V(t)T(t)$ and is hydrolyzed at the rate $d_E E$.

CTL proliferation in the presence of infected cells can be described by $\alpha IC(1 - \frac{C}{C_{max}})$ which shows the antigen dependent proliferation. Here we consider the logistic growth of CTL where C_{max} is called the maximum concentration of CTL and d_c is its rate of decay.

We consider the perfect adherence behaviour of vaccination in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients at fixed vaccination times $t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \ldots,$ CTL cells increases by a fixed amount ω, which is proportional to the total number of CTLs that vaccine can stimulate. $C(t_k^-)$ denotes the CTL cells concentration immediately before the impulse, $C(t_k^+)$ denotes the concentration after the impulse and ω is the fixed amount which is proportional to the total number of CTLs the vaccine stimulates at each impulse time t_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

3 The system without impulses

3.1 Equilibria

Model (1) has three steady states namely (i) the disease-free equilibrium $E_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{d_T}, 0, 0, \frac{\lambda_2}{d_E}, 0\right)$, (ii) with $\bar{E} > \frac{d_T d_V}{\beta \lambda_1 n}$, there is a CTL-responses-free equilibrium, $E_2(\bar{T}, \bar{I}, \bar{V}, \bar{E}, 0)$, where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{T} &= \frac{d_V}{\beta n \bar{E}}, \quad \bar{I} = \frac{\beta \lambda_1 n \bar{E} - d_T d_V}{\beta d_I m \bar{E}}, \quad \bar{V} = \frac{\beta \lambda_1 n \bar{E} - d_T d_V}{\beta d_V \bar{E}}, \\
\bar{E} &= \frac{-(\theta \beta \lambda_1 n - \beta \lambda_2 n) + \sqrt{(\theta \beta \lambda_1 n - \beta \lambda_2 n)^2 + 4 \beta n d_T d_V d_E \theta}}{2 \beta d_E n},
\end{aligned}$$

and (iii) the endemic equilibrium E^* which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T^* &= \frac{\lambda_1 \alpha - d_I \alpha I^* - p d_c}{d_T \alpha}, \\
V^* &= \frac{d_I m I^*}{d_V}, \quad E^* = \frac{\lambda_2 \alpha - \theta \alpha d_I I^* - \theta p d_c}{d_E \alpha}, \\
C^* &= \frac{(\alpha I^* - d_c) C_{max}}{\alpha I^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

where, I^* is the positive root of the cubic equation

$$L_0 I^3 + L_1 I^2 + L_2 I + L_3 = 0, \tag{2}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0 &= -\alpha^2 \theta \beta d_I^3 n, \\
L_1 &= -2\alpha \theta \beta d_I^2 d_c n p + \alpha^2 \theta \beta d_I^2 \lambda_1 n + \alpha^2 \beta d_I^2 \lambda_2 n, \\
L_2 &= \alpha^2 d_T d_I d_V d_E + \alpha \theta \beta d_I d_c \lambda_1 n p + \alpha \beta d_I d_c \lambda_2 n p \\
&\quad -\alpha^2 \beta d_I \lambda_1 \lambda_2 n - \theta \beta d_I d_c^2 n p^2, \\
L_3 &= \alpha d_T d_V d_E d_c p.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 1. *Note that $L_0 < 0$ and $L_3 > 0$. Thus, the equation (2) has at least one positive real root. If $L_1 > 0$ and $L_2 < 0$, then (2) can have two positive roots. For a feasible endemic equilibrium we also need*

$$\min\left\{\frac{\lambda_1\alpha - pd_c}{d_I\alpha}, \quad \frac{\lambda_2\alpha - \theta pd_c}{\theta\alpha d_I}\right\} > I^* > \frac{d_c}{\alpha}.$$

3.2 Stability of equilibria

In this section, the characteristic equation at any equilibria is determined for the local stability of the system (1). Linearizing the system (1) at any equilibria $E(T, I, V, E, C)$ yields the characteristic equation

$$\triangle(\xi) =\mid \xi I_n - \mathbf{A} \mid= 0,$$

where I_n is the identity matrix and $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ is the following 5×5 matrix given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta EV - d_T & 0 & -\beta ET & -\beta VT & 0 \\ \beta EV & -d_I - pC & \beta ET & \beta VT & -pI \\ 0 & d_I m & -d_v & 0 & 0 \\ -\theta\beta EV & 0 & -\theta\beta ET & -\theta\beta VT - d_E & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha C(1 - \frac{C}{C_{max}}) & 0 & 0 & a_{55} \end{bmatrix},$$

with $a_{55} = \alpha I(1 - \frac{2C}{C_{max}}) - d_c$. We finally get the characteristic equation as

$$\psi(\xi) = \xi^5 + A_1\xi^4 + A_2\xi^3 + A_3\xi^2 + A_4\xi + A_5 = 0, \tag{3}$$

with the coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44}+a_{55}),\\
A_2 &= a_{11}(a_{22}+a_{33})+a_{23}a_{32}+a_{22}a_{33}-a_{14}a_{41}+(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33})a_{44}\\
&\quad -a_{25}a_{52}+(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44})a_{55},\\
A_3 &= a_{32}(a_{11}a_{23}-a_{13}a_{21}-a_{24}a_{43})-a_{11}a_{22}(a_{44}+a_{33})+a_{14}a_{33}a_{41}\\
&\quad +a_{44}(a_{23}a_{32}-a_{11}a_{33}-a_{22}a_{33})+a_{25}a_{52}(a_{33}+a_{44}+a_{11})\\
&\quad -a_{11}a_{55}(a_{22}+a_{33})+a_{23}a_{32}a_{55}-a_{22}a_{33}a_{55}\\
&\quad -a_{44}a_{55}(a_{11}+a_{14}a_{41}a_{55}+a_{22}+a_{33})+a_{14}a_{22}a_{41},\\
A_4 &= a_{32}a_{41}(a_{23}a_{14}-a_{13}a_{24})-a_{22}a_{33}(a_{14}a_{41}-a_{44}a_{55})\\
&\quad -a_{14}a_{21}a_{32}a_{43}+a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}a_{44}-a_{11}(a_{23}a_{32}a_{44}+a_{25}a_{33}a_{52})\\
&\quad +a_{52}(a_{14}a_{25}a_{41}-a_{11}a_{25}a_{44}-a_{25}a_{33}a_{44})+a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}a_{55}\\
&\quad -a_{11}a_{55}(a_{23}a_{32}-a_{22}a_{33})-a_{41}a_{55}(a_{14}a_{22}+a_{14}a_{33})\\
&\quad +a_{55}(a_{24}a_{32}a_{43}+a_{11}a_{22}a_{44}-a_{23}a_{32}a_{44})\\
&\quad +a_{11}a_{33}(a_{22}a_{44}+a_{44}a_{55})+a_{11}a_{24}a_{32}a_{43},\\
A_5 &= a_{25}a_{33}(a_{11}a_{44}a_{52}-a_{14}a_{41}a_{52})+(a_{14}a_{21}a_{32}-a_{11}a_{24}a_{32})a_{43}a_{55}\\
&\quad +a_{41}a_{55}(a_{13}a_{24}a_{32}-a_{14}a_{23}a_{32}+a_{14}a_{22}a_{33})+a_{44}a_{55}(a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}\\
&\quad -a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}-a_{11}a_{22}a_{33}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Looking at stability of any equilibrium E, the Routh-Hurwitz criterion gives that all roots of this characteristic equation (3) have negative real parts, provided the following conditions hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
&A_5>0,\ A_1A_2-A_3>0,\ A_3(A_1A_2-A_3)-A_1(A_1A_4-A_5)>0,\\
&(A_1A_2-A_3)(A_3A_4-A_2A_5)-(A_1A_4-A_5)^2>0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

Let us define the *basic reproduction number* as

$$
R_0=\frac{n\beta\lambda_1\lambda_2}{d_Td_Ed_V},
\tag{5}
$$

then using (4) we can derived the following result:

Theorem 1. *Disease-free equilibrium* $E_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{d_T},0,0,\frac{\lambda_2}{d_E},0\right)$ *of the model (1) is stable for* $R_0<1$*, and unstable for* $R_0>1$*.*

At $E_2(\bar{T},\bar{I},\bar{V},\bar{E},0)$, the Jacobian matrix is,

$$\mathbf{B} = [b_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta\bar{E}\bar{V} - d_T & 0 & -\beta\bar{E}\bar{T} & -\beta\bar{V}\bar{T} & 0 \\ \beta\bar{E}\bar{V} & -d_I & \beta\bar{E}\bar{T} & \beta\bar{V}\bar{T} & 0 \\ 0 & d_I m & -d_v & 0 & 0 \\ -\theta\beta\bar{E}\bar{V} & 0 & -\theta\beta\bar{E}\bar{T} & -\theta\beta\bar{V}\bar{T} - d_E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -d_c \end{bmatrix},$$

At E_2 one eigenvalue is $-d_c$ and rest of the eigenvalues satisfy the following equation

$$\xi^4 + B_1\xi^3 + B_2\xi^2 + B_3\xi + B_4 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= -(b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{33} + b_{44}), \\ B_2 &= b_{11}b_{22} - b_{23}b_{32} + b_{33}(b_{11} + b_{22}) - b_{14}b_{41} \\ &\quad + b_{44}(b_{11} + b_{22} + b_{33}) \\ B_3 &= b_{32}(b_{11}b_{23} - b_{13}b_{21}) - b_{22}(b_{11}b_{33} - b_{14}b_{41}) \\ &\quad + b_{14}b_{33}b_{41} - b_{24}b_{32}b_{43} \\ &\quad + (b_{23}b_{32} - b_{11}b_{22})b_{44} - b_{33}b_{44}(b_{11} + b_{22}), \\ B_4 &= b_{14}b_{41}(b_{23}b_{32} - b_{22}b_{33}) - b_{13}b_{24}b_{32}b_{41} \\ &\quad - b_{14}b_{21}b_{32}b_{43} + b_{32}(b_{11}b_{24}b_{43} + b_{13}b_{21}b_{44}) \\ &\quad - b_{44}b_{11}(b_{23}b_{32} - b_{22}b_{33}). \end{aligned}$$

Again, using Routh-Hurwitz criterion, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *CTL-free equilibrium, $E_2(\bar{T}, \bar{I}, \bar{V}, \bar{E}, 0)$, is asymptotically stable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned} &B_1 > 0, \quad B_2 > 0, \quad B_3 > 0, \quad B_4 > 0, \\ &B_1B_2 - B_3 > 0, \quad (B_1B_2 - B_3)B_3 - B_1^2B_4 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Denoting $A_i^* = A_i(E^*)$ and using (4), we have the following theorem establishing the stability of coexisting equilibrium E^*.

Theorem 3. *The coexisting equilibrium E^* is asymptotically stable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied*

$$\begin{aligned} &A_5^* > 0, \ A_1^*A_2^* - A_3^* > 0, \ A_3^*(A_1^*A_2^* - A_3^*) - A_1^*(A_1^*A_4^* - A_5^*) > 0, \\ &(A_1^*A_2^* - A_3^*)(A_3^*A_4^* - A_2^*A_5^*) - (A_1^*A_4^* - A_5^*)^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

4 System with impulses

We propose the one dimensional impulse system as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC}{dt} &= \alpha IC\left(1-\frac{C}{C_{max}}\right)-d_cC, \qquad t\neq t_k. \\ C(t_k^+) &= \omega + C(t_k^-), \qquad\qquad t=t_k. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$C(t_k^-)$ denotes the CTL responses immediately before the impulse vaccination, $C(t_k^+)$ denotes the concentration after the impulse and ω is the dose that is taken at each impulse time t_k, $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

We now consider the following linear system,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dC}{dt} &= -d_cC, \qquad t\neq t_k \\ \Delta C &= \omega, \qquad t=t_k \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where, $\Delta = C(t_k^+)-C(t_k^-)$. Let $\tau = t_{k+1}-t_k$ be the period of the campaign. The solution of the system (9) is,

$$C(t) = C(t_k^+)e^{-d_c(t-t_k)}, \quad \text{for } \ t_k < t \leq t_{k+1}. \tag{10}$$

In presence of impulsive dosing, we can get the recursion relation at the moments of impulse as,

$$C(t_k^+) = C(t_k^-)+\omega.$$

Thus the amount of CTL before and after the impulse is obtained as,

$$C(t_k^+) = \frac{\omega(1-e^{-k\tau d_c})}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}}$$

and

$$C(t_{k+1}^-) = \frac{\omega(1-e^{-k\tau d_c})e^{-\tau d_c}}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}}.$$

Thus the limiting case of the CTL amount before and after one cycle is as follows:

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} C(t_k^+) = \frac{\omega}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} C(t_{k+1}^-) = \frac{\omega e^{-\tau d_c}}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}}$$

and

$$C(t_{k+1}^+) = \frac{\omega e^{-\tau d_c}}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}}+\omega = \frac{\omega}{1-e^{-\tau d_c}}.$$

Definition 1. *Let* $\Lambda \equiv (S_u, S_a, I, C)$, $B_0 = [B : R_+^4 \to R_+]$, *then we say that* B *belong to class* B_0 *if the following conditions hold:*

(i) B *is continuous on* $(t_k, t_{k+1}] \times R_+^3, n \in N$ *and for all* $\Lambda \in R^4$, $lim_{(t,\mu)\to(t_k^+,\Lambda)}\ B(t,\mu) = B(t_k^+, \Lambda)$ *exists,*

(ii) B *is locally Lipschitzian in* Λ.

We now recall some results for our analysis from [21, 22].

Lemma 1. *Let* $Z(t)$ *be a solution of the system (8) with* $Z(0^+) \geq 0$. *Then* $Z_i(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ *for all* $t \geq 0$. *Coreover,* $Z_i(t) > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ *for all* $t > 0$ *if* $Z_i(0^+) > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$.

Lemma 2. *There exists a constant* γ *such that* $T(t) \leq \gamma$, $I(t) \leq \gamma$, $V(t) \leq \gamma$ $E(t) \leq \gamma$ *and* $C(t) \leq \gamma$ *for each and every solution* $Z(t)$ *of system (8) for all sufficiently large* t.

Lemma 3. *Let* $B \in B_0$ *and also consider that*

$$\begin{aligned} D^+B(t,Z) &\leq j(t, B(t, Z(t))), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ B(t, Z(t^+)) &\leq \Phi_n(B(t, Z(t))), \quad t = t_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $j : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}$ *is continuous in* $(t_k, t_{k+1}]$ *for* $e \in \mathbf{R}_+^2$, $n \in N$, *the limit* $\lim_{(t,V)\to(t_k^+)} j(t,g) = j(t_k^+, x)$ *exists and* $\Phi_n^i (i = 1, 2) : \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$ *is non-decreasing. Let* $y(t)$ *be a maximal solution of the following impulsive differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= j(t, x(t)), \quad t \neq t_k, \\ x(t^+) &= \Phi_n(x(t)), \quad t = t_k, \quad x(0^+) = x_0, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

existing on $(0^+, \infty)$. *Then* $B(0^+, Z_0) \leq x_0$ *implies that* $B(t, Z(t)) \leq y(t)$, $t \geq 0$, *for any solution* $Z(t)$ *of system (8). If* j *satisfies additional smoothness conditions to ensure the existence and uniqueness of solutions for (11), then* $y(t)$ *is the unique solution of (11).*

We now consider the following sub-system:

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = -d_c C, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad C(t_k^+) = C(t_k) + \omega, \quad C(0^+) = C_0. \tag{12}$$

The Lemma provided above, gives the following result,

Lemma 4. *System (12) has a unique positive periodic solution $\tilde{C}(t)$ with period τ and given by*

$$\tilde{C}(t) = \frac{\omega \ exp(-d_c(t-t_k))}{1-exp(-\tau d_c)}, \quad t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}, \quad \tilde{C}(0^+) = \frac{d_c}{1-exp(-\tau d_c)}.$$

We use this result to derive the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *The disease-free periodic orbit $(\tilde{T}, 0, 0, \tilde{E}, \tilde{C})$ of the system (1) is locally asymptotically stable if*

$$\tilde{R_0} < 1 \tag{13}$$

where,

$$\tilde{R_0} = \frac{m d_I \beta}{d_T d_E d_V \ \tau} \int_0^\tau \frac{\tilde{T}\tilde{E}}{d_I + p\tilde{C}} dt.$$

Proof. Let the solution of the system (8) without infected people be denoted by $(\tilde{T}, 0, 0, \tilde{E}, \tilde{C})$, where

$$\tilde{C}(t) = \frac{\omega \ \exp(-d_c(t-t_k))}{1-\exp(-\tau d_c)}, \quad t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1},$$

with initial condition $C(0^+)$ as in Lemma 4. We now test the stability of the equilibria. The variational matrix at $(\tilde{T}, 0, 0, \tilde{E}, \tilde{C})$ is given by

$$M(t) = [m_{ij}] = \begin{pmatrix} -d_T & 0 & m_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(d_I + p\tilde{C}) & \beta\tilde{E}\tilde{T} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m d_I & -d_v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{43} & -d_E & 0 \\ 0 & m_{52} & 0 & 0 & -d_c \end{pmatrix}.$$

The monodromy matrix $\mathbb{P}$ of the variational matrix $M(t)$ is

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau) = I_n \exp\left(\int_0^\tau M(t)dt\right),$$

where I_n is the identity matrix. Note that m_{13}, m_{43}, m_{52} are not required for this analysis, therefore we have not mentioned their expressions.



Figure 1: Existence and stability of equilibria is shown with respect to R_0. Parameters values used in this figure are $\lambda_1 = 5, \lambda_2 = 1, d_T = 0.1, d_I = 0.1, d_V = 0.1, d_E = 0.1, d_c = 0.1, C_{max} = 100, \theta = 0.3, p = 0.01, m = 10$. We have varied the value of β in $(0.00001,\ 0.0001)$.

We can write $\mathbb{P}(\tau) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5)$, where, $\sigma_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, are the Floquet multipliers and they are determined as

$$\sigma_1 = \exp\left(-d_T\tau\right), \quad \sigma_{2,3} = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau \frac{1}{2}\left[-A \pm \sqrt{A^2 - 4B}\right] dt\right),$$
$$\sigma_4 = \exp(-d_E\tau), \quad \sigma_5 = \exp(-d_c\tau).$$

Here $A = d_I + d_V + p\tilde{C}$ and $B = d_V(d_I + pC) - md_I\beta\tilde{E}\tilde{T}$. Clearly $\lambda_{1,4,5} < 1$. It is easy to check that $A^2 - 4B > 0$ and if $B \geq 0$ and hold then we have $\lambda_{2,3} < 1$. Thus, according to Floquet theory, the periodic solution $(\tilde{S}_u(t), 0, 0, \tilde{M}(t))$ of the system (8) is locally asymptotically stable if the conditions given in (13) hold. □

5 Numerical simulations

In this section, we have observed the dynamical behaviours of system (1) without drug (Figure 1 and Figure 2) and impulsive effect of the vaccine (Figure 3 and Figure 4) through numerical simulations taking the parameters mainly from [10].

Existence of equilibria of the system without vaccination is shown for different values of basic reproduction number R_0. In this simulation, we have varied the value of infection rate β. For lower infection rate (that



Figure 2: In the absence of the vaccine, effect of growth rate of CTL i.e. α on the steady state values of model population is shown. Parameters values used in this figure are same as Figure 1 except α.

corresponds to $R_0 < 1$) disease free equilibrium E_1 is stable. It becomes unstable and ensure the existence of CTL-free equilibrium E_2 which is stable if $R_0 < 2.957$ (which corresponds to $\beta = 0.00005963$) and unstable otherwise. Again we see that when E_2 is unstable the E^* is feasible. Also whenever E^* exists, it is stable.

Effect of immune response rate α is plotted in Figure 2. We observe that in the absence of vaccination, the CTL count and ACE2 increases with increasing value of α. Steady state value of infected cell I^* and virus V^* decreases significantly as α increases.

Figure 3 compare the system without and with impulse vaccination effect. In the absence of vaccination we observe that the CTL count approaches a stable equilibrium. Under regular vaccinations, the CTL count oscillates in an impulsive periodic orbit. Assuming perfect adherence, if the vaccine is sufficiently strong, both infected cell and virus population are approaches towards extinction. In this case, the total number of uninfected cells reach its maximum level which implies that the system approaches towards its infection free state.

If we take sufficiently large impulsive interval $\tau = 5$ days (keeping rate $\omega = 50$ fixed, as in Figure 3) or lower dosage effect $\omega = 20$ (keeping interval



Figure 3: Numerical solution of the model system with and without vaccine is shown taking parameters as in Figure 1. In this figure, $\tau = 2,\ \omega = 50$.



Figure 4: Numerical solution of the model system for different rates of vaccination and different intervals of impulses.

$\tau = 2$ fixed, as in Figure 3), in both the cases, infection is remains present in the system. Thus proper dosage of drug and optimal dosing interval are important for infection management.

6 Discussion and conclusion

In this paper, the role of CTL vaccination during interactions between SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and its host receptor (ACE2) in COVID 19 infection has been studied as a possible vaccination policy. To reactivate the CTL responses during the acute infection period, vaccination is delivered to the host system in an impulsive mode. The new CTL vaccination when administered, the best possible CTL responses can act against the infected or virus-producing cells to neutralize infection. This particular situation can keep the infected cell population at a very low level. In the proposed mathematical model, we have analyzed the optimal dosing regimen for which infection can be controlled.

From this study, it has been observed that when the basic reproduction ratio lies below one, we expect the system to attain its disease-free state. However, the system switches from disease-free state to CTL-free equilibrium state when $1 < R_0 < 2.957$. If $R_0 > 2.957$, the CTL-free equilibrium moves to a coexisting endemic state (from Figure 1).

Due to the impulsive nature of the drugs, there are no equilibria of the system i.e. population do not reach to towards equilibrium point, rather approach a periodic orbit. Hence, we evaluate equilibrium-like periodic orbits. There are two periodic orbits: disease-free periodic orbit and endemic periodic orbit. Here we have only studied the stability of disease-free periodic orbit.

Impulsive system shows (Figure 3, 4) that proper dosage and dosing intervals are important for the eradication of the infected cells and virus population which results the control of pandemic.

It has also been observed that the length of the dosing interval and the drug dose play a very decisive role to control and eradicate the infection. The most interesting prediction of this model is that effective therapy can often be achieved, even for low adherence, if the dosing regimen is adjusted appropriately (see Figure 4). Also if the treatment regimen is not adjusted properly, the therapy is not effective at all.

This approach might also be applicable to a combination of antiviral therapy. Future extension work of the combination of drug therapy should also include more realistic patterns of non-adherence (random drug holidays,

imperfect timing of successive doses), more accurate intracellular pharmacokinetics and leads towards better estimates of drug dosage and drug dosing intervals.

We end the paper with the quotation *"This outbreak is a test of political, financial and scientific solidarity for the world to fight a common enemy that does not respect borders , what matters now is stopping the outbreak and saving lives."* by Dr. Tedros, Director General, WHO [24].

Conflict of interest

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability

The methods and results data used to support the findings of this study are included in the article.

References

[1] World Health Organization, (2020). Considerations for quarantine of individuals in the context of containment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19): interim guidance, 29 February 2020 (No. WHO/2019-nCov/IHR_Quarantine/2020.1). World Health Organization.

[2] World Health Organization, 2020. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19-11 March 2020. Geneva, Switzerland.

[3] Zhou, P. et al. (2020). A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. Published online February 3, 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7.

[4] Hsueh PR, Huang LM, Chen PJ, Kao CL, Yang P.C. (2004). Chronological evolution of IgM, IgA, IgG and neutralisation antibodies after infection with SARS-associated coronavirus. Clin Microbiol Infect, 10, 1062-1066.

[5] Zhu, N. et al. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 2019, 727-733.

[6] Zou, L. et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. N. Engl. J. Med. Published online February 19, 2020.

[7] Xu H., Zhong L., Deng J., Peng J., Dan H., Zeng X., Li T., Chen Q., (2020). High expression of ACE2 receptor of 2019-nCoV on the epithelial cells of oral mucosa, International Journal of Oral Science, 12:8.

[8] Wan, Y., Shang, J., Graham, R., Baric, R.S. and Li, F., (2020). Receptor recognition by the novel coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of SARS coronavirus. Journal of virology, 94(7).

[9] Hsueh-Ling Janice Oh, Samuel Ken-En Gan, Antonio Bertoletti, Yee-Joo Tan, Understanding the T cell immune response in SARS coronavirus infection, Emerging Microbes and Infections (2012) 1, e23; doi:10.1038/emi.2012.26.

[10] Tang S., Ma W., Bai P., (2017). A Novel Dynamic Model Describing the Spread of the MERS-CoV and the Expression of Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine, Article ID 5285810.

[11] Miron R.E., Smith R.J. (2010). Modelling imperfect adherence to HIV induction therapy. BMC Infect Dis 10: 6.

[12] Lou J, Smith RJ. Modelling the effects of adherence to the HIV fusion inhibitor enfuvirtide. J Theor Biol 2011; 268: 1-13.

[13] Li, G. and De Clercq, E., 2020. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV).

[14] Rothan, H.A. and Byrareddy, S.N., 2020. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. Journal of Autoimmunity, p.102433.

[15] Chen J, Hu C, Chen L, Tang L, Zhu Y, Xu X, Chen L, Gao H, Lu X, Yu L, Dai X. Clinical study of mesenchymal stem cell treating acute respiratory distress syndrome induced by epidemic Influenza A (H7N9) infection, a hint for COVID-19 treatment. Engineering. 2020 Feb 28.

[16] Smith RJ, Aggarwala BD. Can the viral reservoir of latently infected CD4(+) T cells be eradicated with antiretroviral HIV drugs? J Math Biol 2009; 59: 697-715.

[17] Smith R.J. (2008). Explicitly accounting for antiretroviral drug uptake in theoretical HIV models predicts long-term failure of protease-only therapy. J Theor Biol 251, 227-237.

[18] Smith R.J., Wahl LM. Drug resistance in an immunological model of HIV-1 infection with impulsive drug effects. Bull Math Biol 2005; 67: 783-813.

[19] Lou J., Chen L., Ruggeri T. (2009). An Impulsive Differential Model on Post Exposure Prophylaxis to HIV-1 Exposed Individual. J Biol Syst. 17, 659-683.

[20] Roy P. K., Chatterjee A. N., Li X. Z., (2016). The effect of vaccination to dendritic cell and immune cell interaction in HIV disease progression. International Journal of Biomathematics, 9 (1), p.1650005.

[21] Yu H., Zhong S., Agarwal R. P., (2011). Mathematics analysis and chaos in an ecological model with an impulsive control strategy, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 16(2), 776-786.

[22] Lakshmikantham V., Bainov D. D., Simeonov P. S., Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations, Series in Modern Applied Mathematics, World Scientific Publishing, 6, (1989).

[23] Qing E., Gallagher T. (2020). SARS Coronavirus Redux. Trends in Immunology. Mar 12, 2020.

[24] World Health Organization. Laboratory testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, World Health Organization, 2 March, 2020.