Title

Predictors of adherence to public health instructions during the COVID-19 pandemic

Authors

Yehuda Pollak, Ph.D., The Seymour Fox School of Education, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, yehuda.pollak@mail.huji.ac.il

Haym Dayan, The Paul Baerwald School of Social Work and Social Welfare, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, haymdayan@gmail.com

Rachel Shoham, Ph.D. Special Education Department, Talpiot College, Holon, rstalpiot@gmail.com

Itai Berger, M.D., The Paul Baerwald School of Social Work and Social Welfare, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, dr.itai.berger@gmail.com

Key Points

Question What factors are associated with non-adherence to public health instructions during COVID-19?

Findings In a cross-sectional study of 654 Israeli participants, non-adherence to instructions was associated with male gender, not having children, smoking, high levels of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms, low level of pro-sociality, and high levels of past risk-taking behavior, as well as by current high psychological distress, high perceived risk of the COVID-19, high exposure to the instructions, and high perceived efficacy of the instructions.

Meaning The findings suggest that in setting out and communicating public health instructions, policymakers should consider the above sociodemographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related characteristics.

Abstract

Importance Identifying risk factors for adherence to public health instructions for the COVID-19 pandemic may be crucial for controlling the rate of transmission and the pandemic's health and economic impacts.

Objective To determine sociodemographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related factors that predict non-adherence to instructions for the COVID-19 pandemic.

Design Cross-sectional survey in Israel collected between March 28 and April 10, 2020.

Setting Population-based study.

Participants A convenience sample completed an online survey.

Exposures Sociodemographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related characteristics of the participants that have been linked to adherence to medical instructions.

Main Outcome and Measure Non-adherence to instructions defined by a mean score of less than 4 on a 1 to 5 adherence scale consisting of 19 instruction items.

Results Among 654 participants (413 [64.8%] female, age 40.14 [15.23] years), 28.7% were defined as non-adherents. Non-adherence was associated with male gender [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 1.54, CI 1.03–2.31], not having children [aOR = 1.73, 1.13–2.65], smoking [aOR = 2.27, CI 1.42–3.62], high levels of ADHD symptoms [aOR = 1.55, CI 1.07–2.25], high levels of past risk-taking behavior [aOR = 1.41, CI 1.10–1.81], as well as by current high psychological distress [aOR = 1.51, CI 1.14–2.01], low perceived risk of COVID-19 [aOR = 1.52, CI 1.22–1.89], low exposure to the instructions [aOR = 1.45, CI 1.14–1.82], and low perceived efficacy of the instructions [aOR = 1.47, CI 1.16–1.85]. Adjusted OR of age, economic status, physical health status, and exposure to media did not reach the significance level.

Conclusions and Relevance People with the above characteristics may have increased risk for non-adherence to public health instructions. There appears to be a need for setting out and communicating instructions to specifically targeted at-risk populations.

Introduction

The novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak has had an enormous global impact. In response to the rapidly spreading pandemic, states have introduced public health measures to limit community transmission of COVID-19. In Israel, between February 2 and March 17, 2020, the Ministry of Health gradually introduced a series of orders concerning home-isolation and quarantine, personal hygiene, restrictions on gathering and traveling, and social distancing.

The public health instructions' efficacy in limiting the spread of the pandemic depends on public adherence to them. Despite the potentially harmful consequences for individuals and public health, non-adherence to the instructions (non-AtI) for the COVID-19 pandemic has been frequent.[1] The objective of this study was to identify predictors of non-adherence. The hypothesized predictors were chosen based on the clinical literature regarding the risk factors for non-adherence to medical instructions, and more generally, for engagement in risk-taking behavior,[2-4] and more generally, for engagement in risk-taking behavior.[5,6] These include demographic/economic factors (i.e., young age, male gender, low religiousness, unemployment status, and low-income level), health and personality factors (i.e., background and current physical and mental health problems, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms, low levels of pro-sociality, past risk-taking behavior), perceptions regarding COVID-19 risk and efficacy of the instructions, and low exposure to the instructions.

Methods

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Seymour Fox School of Education at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

From March 28 to April 10, 2020, a convenience sample of 849 participants filled out an online survey. Participants were recruited by social media (WhatsApp groups, Twitter, Facebook). Of the total sample, 654 participants completed the AtI scale and were enrolled to the study. The dependent variable, non-AtI, was measured by 20 questions probing for adherence to each of the instructions released to the public by the Ministry of Health at that time. For each item, participants were asked to rate their adherence on a Likert scale, ranging from not at all (1) to very strictly (5) (see Table S1 in the supplementary materials). The mean score on the AtI scale was calculated for each participant. Non-adherence was defined by a mean response of <4 across the AtI scale.

To estimate the contribution of the predictors of non-AtI, the following tools were used: A sociodemographic questionnaire included specific questions regarding each participant's age, gender, marital status, number of children, level of education, ethnicity, religiousness, place of birth, level of income, and working status. Health and personality factors were measured by specific questions regarding regular number of hours of

sleep, physical activity, smoking, presence of chronic illness, and a single-item self-rated health measure on a Likert scale of 1–10. Psychological distress was measured by the K6 scale,[7] and ADHD symptoms were measured by the Adult ADHD Self-Reporting Scale (ASRS-v1.1).[8] Pro-sociality was measured by the pro-social subscale of the adult version of the Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ).[9] Past risk-taking behavior was measured by the Adult Risk Taking Inventory.[10] Perceptions regarding COVID-19 risk and the efficacy of instructions in reducing this risk were measured by scales created for the purpose of this study: the Perceived Risk of COVID-19 Scale and the Perceived Efficacy of the Ministry of Health Instructions Scale. For detailed description of the measures, see the supplementary materials.

Descriptive statistics present the number of respondents and the percentages for categorical variables, and means and standard deviations for continuous variables. Logistic regression analyses were used to calculate the associations between independent variables and the primary outcomes. Variables that appeared to be associated ($p<0.10$) in the unadjusted analyses were further adjusted for demographic factors (i.e., age, gender, having children, education, and ethnicity) using stepwise logistic regressions. Associations with a p-value <0.05 in the adjusted analyses were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The overall sample is described in Table 1. In summary, of the 654 respondents, 15.2% were 18 to 24 years old and 8.5% were 65 years or older, 64.8% were women, 67.1% were married / in a relationship, 87.7% identified as Jewish, 57% identified as religious, 92.6% were born in Israel, 75.2% lived in cities, 71.5% had higher education, 32.2% earned less than the mean income, 32.3% earned more than the mean income, 32.4% were unemployed before the COVID-19 crisis, and 39.2% have lost their jobs due to the crisis. Descriptive statistics of the K6, ASRS, pro-sociality, perceived risk, perceived effectiveness, and perceived illness are presented in Table 2. The distribution of the ratings of adherence to each instruction is provided in Table S1 in the supplementary materials. The minority of the participants (28.7%) reported a mean level lower than 4 and were consequently defined as non-adherents.

Table 1: Sociodemographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related categorical (A) and dimensional (B) characteristics of the sample

A: Categorical characteristics of the sample

Characteristic	**N (%)**
Gender	
Male	224 (35.2)
Female	413 (64.8)

Ethnicity Jewish Arabic	 568 (87.7) 80 (12.3)
Marital status Never married Married or in a relationship Divorced, or widowed	 156 (23.9) 438 (67.1) 59 (9)
Having children No Yes	 155 (26.1) 440 (73.9)
Education Non-academic Jewish-Religious (Yeshiva) Academic	 105 (16.4) 77 (12) 459 (71.6)
Religious Yes No	 373 (57) 281 (43)
Place of birth In Israel Outside of Israel	 602 (92.6) 48 (7.4)
Current employment status Employed Unemployed since the crisis Unemployed before the crisis	 284 (51.1) 218 (39.2) 54 (9.7)
Working out of home No Yes	 467 180
Smoking Yes No	 135 (20.7) 516 (79.1)
Regular physical activity Yes No	 340 (52.6) 307 (47.4)
Health problems Yes No	 94 (14.5) 556 (85.5)

B: Dimensional characteristics of the sample

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age (years)	566	40.14	15.23
Regular income (1-5 scale)	646	3.08	1.086
Economic deterioration (1-8 scale)	644	3.13	2.19
Self-rated health (1-10	651	8.63	1.67

scale)			
Daily sleep hours	629	6.88	1.21
ADHD symptoms (ASRS, 1-5 scale)	527	2.43	0.59
Pro-sociality (SDQ, 1-5 scale)	631	2.66	0.37
Psychological distress, (K6, 1-6 scale)	633	1.87	0.75
Risk taking behavior (ARTI, Z score)	588	0.02	0.91
Perceived efficacy (1-6 scale)	624	4.99	0.85
Perceived risk (1-7 scale)	613	3.88	0.93
Exposure to media (1-7 scale)	648	4.94	1.11
Exposure to instructions (1-6 scale)	638	5.22	0.83

Table 3 presents the unadjusted and adjusted regression analysis results for non-AtI. The following background variables predicted non-adherence on adjusted analyses: male gender, not having children, smoking, high levels of ADHD symptoms, and high levels of past risk-taking behavior. Non-AtI was also predicted by the following current variables: high psychological distress, low perceived risk of COVID-19, low exposure to the instructions, and low perceived efficacy of the instructions.

Table 3: Non-adherence to the instructions of the Ministry of Health for the COVID-19 pandemic by a range of sociodemographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related factors

	N (%)	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
Gender			
Male	83 (37.1)	Ref	Ref
Female	101 (24.5)	**1.81 (1.28-2.59)****	**1.54 (1.03-2.31)***
Age (years)	566	0.99 (0.98-1.00)	1.00 (.99-1.02)
Ethnicity			
Jewish	168 (29.6)	Ref	Ref
Arabic	16 (20.0)	1.68 (0.94-2.99)	1.97 (0.92-4.20)
Marital status			
Divorced, or widowed	15 (25.4)	Ref	
Never married	53 (34.0)	1.09 (0.59-2.04)	
Married or in a relationship	119 (27.2)	1.51 (0.77-2.96)	
Having children			
Yes	117 (26.6)	Ref	Ref
No	53 (34.2)	1.43 (0.97-2.13)	**1.73 (1.13-2.65)***

Education			
Academic	124 (27.0)	Ref	Ref
Jewish-Religious (Yeshiva)	30 (39.0)	**1.72 (1.04-2.85)***	1.25 (0.72-2.16)
Secondary school	32 (30.5)	1.18 (0.75-1.88)	1.19 (0.60-2.38)
Religious			
Ultraorthodox	66 (27.0)	Ref	
Orthodox	31 (26.3)	0.96 (0.58-1.58)	
Traditional	18 (27.3)	1.01 (0.55-1.86)	
Non-religious	71 (33.0)	1.33 (0.89-1.99)	
Place of birth			
Outside of Israel	12 (25.0)	Ref	
In Israel	175 (29.1)	1.23 (0.63-2.42)	
Level of income (1-5 scale)	646	0.95 (0.81-1.11)	
Current employment status			
Employed	79 (27.8)	Ref	
Unemployed since the crisis	70 (32.1)	1.23 (0.84-1.80)	
Unemployed before the crisis	16 (29.6)	1.09 (0.58-2.07)	
Economic deterioration (1-7 scale)	644	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	
Working out of home			
No	125 (26.8)	Ref	
Yes	62 (34.4)	1.43 (0.99-2.08)	1.30 (0.84-2.00)
Daily sleep hours	629	1.12 (0.97-1.29)	
Smoking			
No	126 (24.4)	Ref	
Yes	61 (45.2)	**2.55 (1.72- 3.78)*** **	**2.27 (1.42-3.62)** **
Regular physical activity			
Yes	91 (26.8)	Ref	
No	95 (30.9)	0.82 (0.58-1.15)	
Health problems			
Yes	27 (28.7)	Ref	
No	160 (28.8)	0.99 (0.62-1.62)	
Self-rated health	651	0.99 (0.89-1.09)	
ASRS	527	**1.65 (1.20-2.27)** **	**1.55 (1.07-2.25)***
Pro-social scale	631	**0.57 (0.36-0.90)** **	0.81 (0.46-1.40)
K6	633	**1.37 (1.10-1.71)** **	**1.51 (1.14-2.01)** **
ARTI total	588	**1.40 (1.15-1.72)** **	**1.41 (1.10-1.81)** **
Perceived efficacy	624	**0.65 (0.53-0.80)*** **	**0.68 (0.54-0.86)** **

Perceived risk	613	**0.65 (0.54-0.78)*** **	**0.66 (0.53-0.82)*** **
Exposure to media	648	0.92 (0.79-1.07)	
Exposure to instructions	638	**0.66 (0.53-0.80)*** **	**0.69 (0.55-0.88)** **

Note * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Discussion

In the absence of a vaccine and treatments, high adherence to public health instructions is essential for reducing transmission and the impact of COVID-19. Our study suggests that non-adherence to public health instructions for COVID-19 can be predicted by several demographic, health-related, risk-related, and instruction-related variables. Specifically, background male gender, not having children, high levels of ADHD symptoms, smoking, and high levels of past risk-taking behavior predicted non-adherence. As these factors preceded the outbreak and the public health instructions, they can be considered as causes or risk factors. Non-adherence was also predicted by current high distress levels, low exposure to the instructions, and low perceptions regarding the risk of COVID-19 and the efficacy of the instructions. As the latter factors coincided with the outbreak and the instructions, their causal relation cannot be determined[11] and should be further studied by a longitudinal research design.

The findings of a link between non-AtI and being male and between non-AtI and low perceived risk are in line with other reports of males and non-anxious persons being less engaged in social distancing measures for coping with COVID-19 infection,[1,12] as well as with reports concerning other epidemics.[13,14] Other studies provided evidence for non-AtI among the most economically disadvantaged in society, which was not replicated in our sample, possibly due to differences in the operationalization of non-adherence and economic position. Our study, driven by findings of factors associated with risk-taking behavior in general,[5] highlights the role of two background characteristics in predicting non-AtI – ADHD symptoms and previous engagement in risk-taking behavior – as well as a link with risk perception.

Our study has some strengths, including the use of scales, rather than single-item questions. The size of the sample, and the focus on the Israeli public and on the first weeks after the release of the instructions might limit the generalization of the findings to other places and times. Future studies should scrutinize adherence pattern variations throughout the epidemic. Further research is warranted to effectively design public health messaging targeted at populations at risk of non-adherence.

References

1. Atchison CJ, Bowman L, Vrinten C, et al. Perceptions and behavioural responses of the general public during the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional survey of UK Adults. *medRxiv.* 2020.
2. Webster RK, Brooks SK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Rubin GJ. How to improve adherence with quarantine: Rapid review of the evidence. *Public Health.* 2020.
3. Sabaté E, Sabaté E. *Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action.* World Health Organization; 2003.
4. Osterberg L, Blaschke T. Adherence to medication. *New England journal of medicine.* 2005;353(5):487-497.
5. Pollak Y, Dekkers TJ, Shoham R, Huizenga HM. Risk-Taking Behavior in Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): a Review of Potential Underlying Mechanisms and of Interventions. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2019;21(5):33.
6. Figner B, Weber EU. Who takes risks when and why? Determinants of risk taking. *Current Directions in Psychological Science.* 2011;20(4):211-216.
7. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological medicine.* 2002;32(6):959-976.
8. Kessler RC, Adler L, Ames M, et al. The World Health Organization Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS): a short screening scale for use in the general population. *Psychological medicine.* 2005;35(2):245-256.
9. Brann P, Lethbridge MJ, Mildred H. The young adult Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) in routine clinical practice. *Psychiatry research.* 2018;264:340-345.
10. Shoham R, Sonuga-Barke E, Yaniv I, Pollak Y. ADHD Is Associated With a Widespread Pattern of Risky Behavior Across Activity Domains. *J Atten Disord.* 2019:1087054719875786.
11. Brewer NT, Chapman GB, Gibbons FX, Gerrard M, McCaul KD, Weinstein ND. Meta-analysis of the relationship between risk perception and health behavior: the example of vaccination. *Health psychology.* 2007;26(2):136.
12. Kwok KO, Li KK, Chan HH, et al. Community responses during the early phase of the COVID-19 epidemic in Hong Kong: risk perception, information exposure and preventive measures. *medRxiv.* 2020.
13. Leung G, Lam T, Ho L, et al. The impact of community psychological responses on outbreak control for severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health.* 2003;57(11):857-863.
14. Bults M, Beaujean DJ, de Zwart O, et al. Perceived risk, anxiety, and behavioural responses of the general public during the early phase of the Influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in the Netherlands: results of three consecutive online surveys. *BMC public health.* 2011;11(1):2.