

Aphasia in Bilinguals

Alfredo Ardila
Institute of Linguistics and Intercultural Communication
First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia; &
Psychology Doctoral Program
Albizu University, Miami, Florida, USA

&

Durjoy Lahiri
Institute of Post-Graduate Medical Education;
Research, Seth Sukhlal Karnani Memorial Hospital
Kolkata, India

Abstract

Background: Little is known about the influence of bilingualism in aphasia.

Aims: To analyze the effect of bilingualism in a group of patients with aphasia.

Methods: Data from 155 monolingual and 53 bilingual aphasia patients were analyzed.

Results: It was found that aphasia was significantly less severe in bilinguals when compared to monolinguals ($p=0.023$)

Conclusion: Bilingualism represents a protecting factor in aphasia. Aphasia is less severe and consequently, recovery is expected to be better in bilingual patients.

Introduction

It has been reported that bilingualism represents a type of cognitive reserve (e.g., Bialystok, Craik, Klein, & Viswanathan, 2004; Gold, Johnson, & Powell, 2013) and delays the onset of dementia (e.g., Alladi, et al., 2013; Bialystok, Craik, & Freedman, 2007). Bilingualism has also been associated with increase of some specific cognitive abilities, including but not limited to executive functions (Bialystok, 2011, 2015), spatial tasks (Greenberg, Bellana & Bialystok, 2013; McLeay, 2003), and working memory (Luo, Craik, Moreno, & Bialystok, 2013). This perspective, however, remains controversial and sometimes no bilingual advantage on cognition is reported (e.g., Dick et al., 2019). Calvo, García, Manoiloff & Ibáñez (2016) in a review paper found that only that around 60-70% of published data have found a cognitive reserve effect of bilingualism on cognitive decline. Recently Lehtonen et al. (2018) compared the bilinguals' and monolinguals' performance in six executive domains based on 152 studies on adults. Their analyses revealed a very small bilingual advantage in inhibition, shifting, and working memory, but not in monitoring or attention. The authors concluded that available evidence does not support the widely held notion that bilingualism is associated with benefits in cognitive control functions in adults.

Bilingualism affects the cognitive outcome after stroke. Alladi et al. (2016) studied 608 patients with ischemic stroke and analyzed the effect of bilingualism on post-stroke cognitive impairment. No differences in frequency of aphasia were observed: 11.8% of monolingual participants presented aphasia, compared to 10.5% of the bilingual patients ($p < 0.354$). However, twice the number of bilingual patients had normal cognition compared to monolinguals (40.5% and 19.6% respectively) ($p < 0.0001$). The reverse pattern was observed when cognitive impairment was analyzed: in 77.7% of monolinguals and 49.0% of bilinguals cognitive impairment was documented ($p < 0.0009$). The authors concluded that bilingualism is associated with a better cognitive profile after stroke, probably due to an increased cognitive reserve.

Penn, Frankel, Watermeyer, and Russell (2010) suggested that bilingualism could affect the aphasia characteristics. They found that bilingual individuals with aphasia presented superior conversational skills; these increased skills were correlated with better executive functions compared to monolinguals, and hence, their better performance in expressive language was associated with a higher executive control. Hope and colleagues (2015), however, reported that

bilingual immigrants, who were non-native English speakers with aphasia performed worse in several language tests administered both in L1 (native language) and L2 (English) compared to monolingual native English-speaking aphasia patients. The authors suggested that in this specific bilingual sample, poor premorbid language proficiency can be assumed in comparison with the monolingual control patients; they further hypothesized that bilinguals may be more sensitive to brain pathological conditions. Moreover, Faroqi-Shah, Sampson, Pranger, & Baughman (2018) studied 38 individuals with aphasia. They were administered a task measuring cognitive control (Stroop color-word task) and two word production tasks (picture naming and category fluency). The authors found lower cognitive control in the aphasia group relative to age-matched neurologically healthy adults. However, a bilingual advantage in cognitive control was found in neurologically healthy adults and in one group of bilingual speakers with aphasia, but not the other group.

It has been observed that bilingual individuals with aphasia show more efficient alerting skills than monolinguals with aphasia (Dash, Masson-Trottier, & Ansaldo, 2020). Bilinguals with aphasia can also present an advantage in inhibitory control tasks (Faroqi-Shah, Sampson, Pranger & Baughman, 2016). Differences in language and bilingual control have been documented in bilingual individual presenting aphasia (Gray & Kiran, 2015, 2019).

Information about the influence of bilingualism on aphasia severity is limited, regardless the abundant literature about the characteristics and recovery patterns of both languages in bilingual aphasia (e.g., Fabbro, 2001; Faroqi-Shah, Frymark, Mullen & Wang, 2010; Lorenzen & Murray, 2008; Paradis & Libben, 2014). Two papers dealt directly with the issue of aphasia severity in bilinguals. Paplikar et al. (2019) studied 38 bilingual and 27 monolingual aphasia patients. In all the cases, aphasia was due to stroke. Language was evaluated at least three months after the stroke (mean 11.5 months). The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination – Revised (ACE-R) was used for the diagnosis of aphasia. Monolinguals' and bilinguals' scores were compared after controlling for confounding variables including: age, gender, education, occupation, medical, and stroke characteristics. Aphasia severity was significantly higher in monolinguals compared to bilinguals (7.0 vs. 14.4, maximum score 40; $p = 0.008$). Bilinguals also had a better performance in the attention, memory, and visuospatial domains of ACE-R. A univariate general linear model analysis found that bilingualism was significantly associated with higher language scores in the ACE-R after adjusting for potentially confounding variables. It was

concluded that although bilingual speakers have a similar risk of developing aphasia after stroke, their aphasia is likely to be less severe.

More recently Dekhtyar, Kiran, & Gray (2020) studied examined in 13 Spanish-English bilingual healthy adults (BHA), English monolingual healthy adults (MHA), Spanish-English bilingual adults with aphasia (BAA) and 18 English monolingual adults with aphasia (MAA). In the last two groups participants were matched by age, education, language impairment, and non-verbal executive functions. The authors report that no evidence of bilingual cognitive control advantage on reaction times in healthy adult groups; however, BAA were faster than MAA, suggesting that bilingualism may contribute to cognitive reserve in adults with aphasia. The authors concluded that bilingualism may represent a protection factor after an acquired brain pathology.

The aim of current study was to analyze the effect of bilingualism on aphasia severity in a sample of bilingual patients. Their results were compared with a groups of monolingual aphasia patients.

Methods

Data from 155 monolingual and 53 bilingual aphasia patients in a hospital in Kolkata (formerly Calcutta), India, were analyzed.

The Kolkata Aphasia Study

An observational study in the stroke section of a neurology center of Kolkata, India, was developed. Participants were individuals with first ever strokes. A two-year period (between 2016 and 2018) was used. Bengali is the official language of Kolkata (East India). For all the patients Bengali was the mother tongue, but some of them could also speak English or other Indian national language. Approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee was obtained before beginning the study. The general results of Kolkata Aphasia Study are presented in several papers (Lahiri et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2019c, 2020)

Participants

Consecutive patients with first ever acute stroke were recruited for this study. We used the following inclusion criteria: (1) alert at the moment of language assessment; (2) only literate adults over 18 years were included; (3) capable to communicate in Bengali language. Exclusion

criteria were: (1) general cognitive defects affecting language assessment;(2) dementia documented or suspected; (3) pre-morbid psychiatric disorders hindering communication;(4) abuse of alcohol or drugs; (5) aphasia as consequence of vascular intra-cranial space-occupying lesion

Bilingualism was understood as the ability to communicate in two or more languages (Mohanty, 1994). This information was obtained from the patient and/or the family. In India, there is a high number of spoken languages, and bilingualism is relatively frequent.

Aphasia Assessment

The Bengali version of Western Aphasia Battery (BWAB) (Keshree, Kumar, Basu, Chakrabarty, & Kishore, 2013) was used for aphasia assessment. In this test battery, an Aphasia Quotient (AQ) can be calculated; aphasia diagnosis is given when this quotient is lower than 93.8. BWAB was administered between the 3rd and 7th day following stroke. Magnitude of aphasia severity was determined using the AQ score. Additionally, patients were divided into two groups using a cut-off point of 50: non-severe aphasia (AQ 50 or more) and severe aphasia (AQ less than 50). In severe aphasia lesion mean volume was 18.08 mm³ (SD=1.54) whereas in non-severe aphasia it was 6.9 mm³ (SD=4.05).

Table 1 presents the demographic variables of the sample and the general results

Statistical Analysis

Two different types of statistical analyses were performed.

1. Initially, bilingual and monolingual participants were compared in three demographic variables (gender, age, and education) as well as in the degree of aphasia severity. Chi-square tests were used for gender and aphasia severity (Non-severe/Severe); t-tests were used to compare age and education.

2. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was developed to find the significance of bilingualism in aphasia severity using Education and Lesion Volume as co-variants. AQ score was furthermore used as a measure of aphasia severity, and lesion extension in mm³ was used as a measure of lesion volume.

Results

Table 2 presents the differences between monolingual and bilingual participants in three demographic variables (gender, age, and education) as well as in aphasia severity. No gender or age effect was found. Interestingly, there was an uneven gender distribution (144 men and 64 women) as well as a low mean age in both groups (52.82 and 50.34 years). Differences in education and aphasia severity between both groups, however, were highly significant. The educational level of the bilingual patients was two and half higher than the education level of the monolingual participants, suggesting that the second language may be acquired at school or that bilingual participants had a higher socioeconomic level than the monolingual participants.

Table 2 presents the differences between monolingual and bilingual participants in three demographic variables and in aphasia severity.

To control the educational effect on the aphasia severity, an ANCOVA entering education as co-variant, was developed. Besides, considering that theoretically the major factor affecting aphasia severity is lesion volume, which could potentially be different in monolinguals and bilinguals, lesion volume was also included as co-variant. Table 3 presents the results of ANCOVA. As anticipated, lesion volume was the major factor determining aphasia severity. The effect of education disappeared in this analyses, and the effect of bilingualism was statistically significant ($p=0.023$).

Finally, to be sure that the difference in aphasia severity between both groups was not a consequence of the lesion size, lesion volumes were compared. Although lesions were slightly larger in monolinguals, difference in volume was not statistically significant ($p=.323$).

Discussion

Few studies have approached the effect of bilingualism on aphasia severity. However, for several years it had been suggested that bilingualism can affect the aphasia characteristics (Penn et al., 2010) and bilinguals could maintain a better performance in at least some verbal abilities. Paplikar et al. (2019) study represents crucial information in the area; the authors found that language--and also other cognitive abilities--, were better preserved in bilinguals than in monolinguals in cases of stroke.

Nonetheless, the effect of bilingualism in cases of acquired brain pathology does not seem to be limited to the language abilities. Bilingualism has been reported to be associated with less severe cognitive disturbances after stroke (Alladi et al., 2016) and also with better cognitive

outcomes (Wood, 2016), suggesting a general protective effect, not restricted to the verbal domain. In Paplikar et al. study (2019), bilinguals had better performance in diverse cognitive areas, including attention, memory, and visuospatial domains; that means, in verbal and also non-verbal abilities.

The current report, corresponding to the Kolkata Aphasia Study, clearly supports that aphasia is significantly less severe in bilinguals, after controlling for potentially confounding variables. As it is obvious, the major factor predicting aphasia severity was lesion volume, but differences in lesion volume were not significant between monolinguals and bilinguals. Education initially appeared as a significant factor predicting aphasia severity. But education was highly correlated with bilingualism, and the analysis of covariance demonstrated that the crucial factor was indeed bilingualism, not education.

Current results are congruent with previous research and corroborate that bilingualism is indeed a protecting factor in cases of stroke. That means, bilingualism can be interpreted as a protecting factor in cases of both, progressive (e.g., Alzheimer disease), and also abrupt (stroke) brain pathology, suggesting that indeed bilingualism represents a significant cognitive reserve. Hopefully, toward the future new studies will clarify if this reserve is increased in cases of trilingualism and multilingualism, as found during normal aging (Kavé, Eyal, Shorek, & Cohen-Mansfield, 2008).

Interestingly, gender did not predict aphasia severity (Table 2), but was associated with aphasia probability (144 men and 64 women; that is, men represented 69% of the aphasia sample). This distribution has not been reported in western aphasia samples (e.g., Pedersen, Vinter, & Olsen, 2004), but this distribution is similar to the percentage reported by Paplikar et al. (2019) (75.4% of the patients were male) in another study in India. We do not have any evident explanation for this uneven gender distribution, even though it could be speculated that because of some unclear cultural reasons, in cases of neurological impairments, men have a higher probability to be taken to a hospital for medical care.

Diverse limitations could be mentioned in the current report. It is based on a particular type of bilingualism; in all the cases Bengali was L1. Bengali, as any language, has certain specific idiosyncrasies, which may potentially impact the brain organization of language. Current results should be replicated using different bilingual samples in order to support the generalizability of our results. Another important limitation refers to the lack of aphasia follow-

up. It is known that initial aphasia severity predicts aphasia evolution and recovery (Lazar & Antonello, 2008). However, the pattern of recovery may be different for L1 and L2 in bilinguals (Paradis, 1977). This is an important type of information that is advisable to include in future studies. An additional limitation refers to the aphasia evaluation; evaluation was carried out in one single language, the L1, in this case Bengali. We do not know the aphasia profile in L2.

It can be anticipated that toward the future, new studies will continue analyzing this critical variable. Its analysis will advance bilingualism understanding, and its effect on the brain organization of language in bilinguals.

References

- Alladi, S., Bak, T. H., Duggirala, V., Surampudi, B., Shailaja, M., Shukla, A. K., & Kaul, S. (2013). Bilingualism delays age at onset of dementia, independent of education and immigration status. *Neurology*, *81*(22), 1938-1944.
- Alladi, S., Bak, T. H., Mekala, S., Rajan, A., Chaudhuri, J. R., Mioshi, E., & Kaul, S. (2016). Impact of bilingualism on cognitive outcome after stroke. *Stroke*, *47*(1), 258-261.
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I., Klein, R., & Viswanathan, M. (2004). Bilingualism, aging, and cognitive control: evidence from the Simon task. *Psychology and aging*, *19*(2), 290.
- Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I., & Freedman, M. (2007). Bilingualism as a protection against the onset of symptoms of dementia. *Neuropsychologia*, *45*(2), 459-464.
- Bialystok, E. (2011). Coordination of executive functions in monolingual and bilingual children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *110*(3), 461-468.
- Bialystok, E. (2015). Bilingualism and the development of executive function: The role of attention. *Child Development Perspectives*, *9*(2), 117-121.
- Calvo, N., García, A. M., Manoilloff, L., & Ibáñez, A. (2016). Bilingualism and cognitive reserve: a critical overview and a plea for methodological innovations. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, *7*, 249.
- Dash, T., Masson-Trottier, M., & Ansaldo, A. I. (2020). Efficiency of attentional processes in bilingual speakers with aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 1-25.

- Dekhtyar, M., Kiran, S., & Gray, T. (2020). Is bilingualism protective for adults with aphasia? *Neuropsychologia*, 107355.
- Dick, A. S., Garcia, N. L., Pruden, S. M., Thompson, W. K., Hawes, S. W., Sutherland, M. T., & Gonzalez, R. (2019). No evidence for a bilingual executive function advantage in the nationally representative ABCD study. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1.
- Fabbro, F. (2001). The bilingual brain: Bilingual aphasia. *Brain and language*, 79(2), 201-210.
- Faroqi-Shah, Y., Frymark, T., Mullen, R., & Wang, B. (2010). Effect of treatment for bilingual individuals with aphasia: A systematic review of the evidence. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 23(4), 319-341.
- Faroqi-Shah, Y., Sampson, M., Pranger, M., & Baughman, S. (2016). Cognitive control, word retrieval and bilingual aphasia: Is there a relationship? *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 45, 95–109. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroling.2016.07.001
- Faroqi-Shah, Y., Sampson, M., Pranger, M., & Baughman, S. (2018). Cognitive control, word retrieval and bilingual aphasia: Is there a relationship?. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 45, 95-109.
- Gold, B. T., Johnson, N. F., & Powell, D. K. (2013). Lifelong bilingualism contributes to cognitive reserve against white matter integrity declines in aging. *Neuropsychologia*, 51(13), 2841-2846.
- Gray, T., & Kiran, S. (2015). The relationship between language control and cognitive control in bilingual aphasia. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 19, 433–452. doi:10.1017/S1366728915000061
- Gray, T., & Kiran, S. (2019). The effect of task complexity on linguistic and non-linguistic control mechanisms in bilingual aphasia. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 22, 266–284. doi:10.1017/S1366728917000712
- Greenberg, A., Bellana, B., & Bialystok, E. (2013). Perspective-taking ability in bilingual children: Extending advantages in executive control to spatial reasoning. *Cognitive development*, 28(1), 41-50.
- Hope, T. M., Jones, Ö. P., Grogan, A., Crinion, J., Rae, J., Ruffle, L., & Green, D. W. (2015). Comparing language outcomes in monolingual and bilingual stroke patients. *Brain*, 138, 1070–1083. doi:10.1093/brain/awv020
- Kavé, G., Eyal, N., Shorek, A., & Cohen-Mansfield, J. (2008). Multilingualism and cognitive state in the oldest old. *Psychology and Aging*, 23(1), 70.

- Keshree N.K., Kumar S., Basu S., Chakrabarty M., & Kishore T. (2013). Adaptation of the Western Aphasia Battery in Bangla. *Psychology of Language and Communication*, 17(2), 189-201.
- Lazar, R. M., & Antonello, D. (2008). Variability in recovery from aphasia. *Current Neurology and Neuroscience Reports*, 8(6), 497-502.
- Lahiri D, Dubey S, Ardila A, et al. (2019a). Lesion-aphasia discordance in acute stroke among Bengali-speaking patients: frequency, pattern, and effect on aphasia recovery. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*. 52:100859. doi:[10.1016/j.jneuroling.2019.100859](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2019.100859)
- Lahiri, D., Dubey, S., Ardila, A., Sawale, V.M., Roy, B.K., Sen, S., & Gangopadhyay, G. (2019b). Incidence and types of aphasia after first-ever acute stroke in Bengali speakers: age, gender, and educational effect on the type of aphasia. *Aphasiology*. doi:[10.1080/02687038.2019.1630597](https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2019.1630597)
- Lahiri, D., Dubey, S., **Ardila**, A., Madhukar Sawale, V., Kanti Roy, B., Sen, S. & Gangopadhyay, G. (2019c) Incidence and Types of Aphasia After First-Ever Acute Stroke in Bengali Speakers: Age, Gender, and Educational Effect on the Type of Aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 1-14.
- Lahiri D, Dubey S, Ardila A, Ray BK. (2020). Factors affecting vascular aphasia severity. *Aphasiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2020.1712587>
- Lehtonen, M., Soveri, A., Laine, A., Järvenpää, A., de Bruin, A., & Antfolk, J. (2018). Is bilingualism associated with enhanced executive functioning in adults? A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(4), 394–425
- Lorenzen, B., & Murray, L. L. (2008). Bilingual aphasia: A theoretical and clinical review. *American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*.
- Luo, L., Craik, F. I., Moreno, S., & Bialystok, E. (2013). Bilingualism interacts with domain in a working memory task: Evidence from aging. *Psychology and Aging*, 28(1), 28.
- McLeay, H. (2003). The relationship between bilingualism and the performance of spatial tasks. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 6(6), 423-438.
- Mohanty, A.K. (1994). *Bilingualism in multilingual society: Psychosocial and pedagogical implications*. Mysore, India : Central Institute of Indian Languages.
- Paplikar, A., Mekala, S., Bak, T. H., Dharamkar, S., Alladi, S., & Kaul, S. (2019). Bilingualism and the severity of poststroke aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 33(1), 58-72.
- Paradis, M. (1977). Bilingualism and aphasia. In *Studies in neurolinguistics* (pp. 65-121). Academic Press.

Paradis, M., & Libben, G. (2014). *The assessment of bilingual aphasia*. Psychology Press.

Pedersen, P. M., Vinter, K., & Olsen, T. S. (2004). Aphasia after stroke: type, severity and prognosis. *Cerebrovascular Diseases*, 17(1), 35-43.

Penn, C., Frankel, T., Watermeyer, J., & Russell, N. (2010). Executive function and conversational strategies in bilingual aphasia. *Aphasiology*, 24, 288–308.
doi:[10.1080/02687030902958399](https://doi.org/10.1080/02687030902958399)

Wood, H. (2016). Bilingualism is associated with better cognitive outcomes after stroke. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 12(1), 1-2.

Table 1. Demographic variables of the sample (adapted from Lahiri et al., 2020)

Variables	Non-Severe (n=40)	Severe (n=168)
Age (years)	51.1(SD=11.79)	52.44(SD=1.07)
Male/Female	31/9	113/55
Education (years)	8.65(SD=5.06)	7.59(SD=4.85)
Monolingual/Bilingual	23/17	132/36

Table 2. Differences between monolingual and bilingual participants in three demographic variables and in aphasia severity.

	Monolinguals	Bilinguals	p
Gender (M/F)	110/45	34/19	0.224 ¹
Age (mean(SD))	52.82(10.70)	50.34(11.61)	0.413 ²
Education (mean(SD))	5.61(2.91)	14.19(3.74)	0.001 ²
Non-severe/Severe	23/132	17/36	0.007 ¹

¹Chi-square; ²t-test

Table 3. Effect of bilingualism on aphasia severity. ANCOVA using lesion volume and education as co-variants.

Source	Sum of Squares	F	p	Partial Eta Squared
Lesion Volume	14710	19.761	0.000	0.088
Education	1281	1.721	0.191	0.008
Bilingualism	3879	5.211	0.023	0.025