White matter variability, cognition, and disorders: a systematic review

Running title: White matter variability, cognition, and disorders

Stephanie J. Forkel[a,b,c]*, Patrick Friedrich[a,b,d], Michel Thiebaut de Schotten[a,b] & Henrietta Howells[e]

[a] *Brain Connectivity and Behaviour Laboratory, Sorbonne Universities, Paris, France*

[b] *Groupe d'Imagerie Neurofonctionnelle, Institut des Maladies Neurodégénératives-UMR 5293, CNRS, CEA University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France*

[c] *Centre for Neuroimaging Sciences, Department of Neuroimaging, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK*

[d] *Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Brain & Behaviour (INM-7), Research Centre Jülich, Jülich 52428, Germany*

[e] *MoCA lab, Department of Medical Biotechnology and Translational Medicine, University of Milan, Italy*

** Corresponding author: stephanie.forkel@gmail.com*

Key Terms: variability, tractography, white matter, patients, cognition, personalised medicine, biomarker

Abstract

Inter-individual differences can inform treatment procedures and - if accounted for - have the potential to significantly improve patient outcomes. However, when studying brain anatomy, these inter-individual variations are commonly unaccounted for, despite reports of differences in gross anatomical features, cross-sectional and connectional anatomy. Brain connections are essential to facilitate functional organisation and, when severed, cause impairments or complete loss of function. Hence the study of cerebral white matter may be an ideal compromise to capture inter-individual variability in structure and function. We reviewed the wealth of studies that associate functions and clinical symptoms with individual tracts using diffusion tractography. Our systematic review indicates that tractography has proven to be a sensitive method in neurology, psychiatry, and healthy populations to identify variability and its functional correlates. However, the literature may be biased, as we determined that the most commonly studied tracts are not necessarily those with the highest sensitivity to cognitive functions and pathologies. Additionally, the hemisphere of the studied tract is often unreported, thus neglecting functional laterality and asymmetries. Finally, we demonstrate that tracts, as we define them, are not usually correlated with only one, but rather multiple cognitive domains or pathologies. While our systematic review identified some methodological caveats, it also suggests that tract-function correlations might be a promising biomarker for precision medicine. It characterises variations in brain anatomy, differences in functional organisation, and predicts resilience and recovery in patients.

Introduction

If one stopped in a busy street and observed passers-by, one cannot help but observe that people are physically different. This diversity in appearance but also opinions, creativity, and morals has helped create a rich society.

Individuals of different races, ethnicities, religious beliefs, socioeconomic status, language, and geographical origins make up our diverse community. Ever-evolving changes in our genome and adaptation to environmental factors have contributed to a range of genotypes (variability in genetic code) and phenotypes (variability in observable traits, *e.g.* eye colour) and the interaction between them (White & Rabargo-Smith, 2011). In the medical world, studying these inter-individual differences has led to the new disciplines of personalised and precision medicine. Inter-individual differences can help inform treatment procedures, and accounting for them has already improved patient outcomes and saved lives.

However, when turning to differences in brain anatomy, these inter-individual variations are relatively understudied (Glasser et al., 2016). It is often assumed that we share the same organisation of cognitive functions and underlying brain anatomy (Caramazza, 1986; Goldin *et al.*, 2008; Greene *et al.*, 2004; Johnson-Frey, 2004; Treu *et al.*, 2020; Linden, 2020). For this reason, results from brain mapping studies are often depicted as group averages on template brains where inter-individual variability is considered an irrelevant deviation from the mean or considered to be a pathological change. In contrast, neuroanatomical studies often report or indeed study variability in individual brain structures (*e.g.* Sachs, 1892; Ono *et al.*, 1990; Rademacher *et al.*, 1993; Amunts *et al.*, 1999, Caspers *et al.*, 2006; Fornito *et al.*, 2008), psychologists assume a Gaussian distribution of cognition and behaviour (Seghier & Price, 2018), and clinicians report differences in susceptibility to disorders and recovery (Forkel *et al.*, 2014; Forkel *et al.*, 2020). Although the existence of structural and functional variability is known, the ability to study interindividual variability across large populations and consider structural variability as having functional correlates has emerged only recently. This has been made possible through the availability of unique datasets with critical sample sizes and advances in computing power (Braver et al., 2010; Kanai & Rees, 2011; Dubois & Adolphs, 2016). The structure and function of the brain varies greatly between individuals and neuroimaging is sensitive to capture both sources of variability (Lerch et al., 2017; Gordon et al., 2017; Grasby et al., 2020; Tavor et al., 2016). On a structural level, measures of cortical surface area and thickness show hemispheric asymmetries that vary within the population (Kong et al., 2018). Brain morphology is also variable with half of the population having an additional gyrus, the paracingulate gyrus, in at least one hemisphere, for example (Fornito et al., 2008). Even primary cortical regions such as the motor, auditory, and visual cortices, are subject to anatomical variations (Uylings et al., 2005; Caulo et al., 2005; Leonard et al., 1998; Eichert et al., 2020) and associative cortical regions have variable cytoarchitectonic boundaries (Amunts et al., 1999). This body of literature indicates that a large amount of structural variability exists in primary cortical areas and associative cortices. Still, it is as yet unclear how observable behaviour and cognitive measures relate to these structural alterations.

There is increasing interest in understanding the brain's structure-function relationship in the light of interindividual variability. Recent evidence has identified anatomical variations that are linked to differences in cognition and clinical outcomes (Forkel et al., 2020; Harrisson et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2020; Taebi et al., 2020; Munsell et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). The neurosurgical literature is also increasing our understanding through mapping cognitive-anatomical variability in single case series during pre-, post, and intrasurgical imaging assessments (e.g. Vanderweyen et al., 2020). This multimodal brain mapping approach is able to reveal variation

but also 'atypical cases', meaning the patients that do not fit expected assumptions of associations between one brain area or brain connection and a deficit in one cognitive domain. In the surgical setting, transcranial magnetic stimulation for presurgical planning (e.g. Giampiccolo et al., 2020; Mirchandani et al., 2020), deep brain stimulation (e.g. Calabrese, 2016; Akram et al., 2017), and direct electrical cortical stimulation during awake surgery (e.g. Puglisi et al., 2019; Middlebrooks et al., 2020) has been greatly aided by the consideration of inter-individual variability in white matter tracts estimated with tractography. However, there has not yet been a systematic attempt to capture this variability in connections across the entire brain and associate white matter phenotypes with cognitive profiles and clinical dimensions. It is, therefore, high time we included inter-individual variability and revisited the drawing board of neurology and psychiatry.

Clinical cases and mapping of inter-individual differences are beginning to explain the observed variance in cognitive and behavioural measures. As such, a better understanding of variability is crucial to explain differences in human abilities and disabilities and improve our clinical models and predictions (Seghier & Price, 2018). While the cerebral white matter may not be a functional agent *per se* (see Innocenti et al. 2017; Rockland, 2020), it constrains the brain's functional organisation (Bouhali et al., 2014; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2017; Takemura & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2020) and leads to cognitive impairment or complete loss of function when severed (Geschwind et al. 1965a, 1965b). Hence, mapping white matter variability may be a useful surrogate measure to capture inter-individual differences in structure and function. Diffusion tractography has become an established non-invasive quantitative method to study connectional anatomy in the living human brain over the past 15 years (for reviews see Assaf et al., 2017; Jbabdi & Johanson-Berg, 2011). Tractography has been employed as a neuroimaging biomarker to link white matter phenotypes, meaning inter-individual variations in white matter networks, to cognition. These white matter phenotypes are a product of an environment-genotype interaction as has been demonstrated for the language and limbic networks (Su et al., 2020; Budisaljevic et al., 2016; Budisaljevic et al., 2015). Consequently, white matter networks are subject to variations over the lifespan and can change with training (Scholz et al. 2009; Lebel et al., 2019; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2014; Vanderauwera et al., 2018). Tractography has been shown to be highly sensitive in capturing these variations, which can be associated with inter-individual differences in neuropsychological measures in the healthy population (*e.g.* Catani et al., 2007, Thiebaut de Schotten et al. 2011; Howells et al. 2018) and clinical groups (*e.g.* Forkel et al., 2014; Forkel et al. 2020; Thompson 2017; Pacella et al. 2020; Alves et al. 2021). Therefore, tractography can be employed to study variability in the human brain and map functional white matter correlates.

Identifying consistent trends in the diffusion tractography literature may be a crucial step in mapping white matter phenotypes and their impact on cognition, hence a systematic review is timely. We focus here on studies that describe significant correlations between structural and continuous cognitive measures in healthy adults and psychiatric and neurological patients. For structure, we focus on volumetric or microstructural (e.g. fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity) measures of white matter tracts that can be extracted from tractography reconstructions and voxel-wise measurements. We concentrate on neuropsychological tests in healthy volunteers and clinical scales in pathological populations to estimate cognitive-behavioural measures and clinical symptom severity. In this review, we summarise dimensional differences (i.e. correlations) between structural white matter

connectivity (i.e. volumetric or microstructural) and cognition as a first step toward the systematic inclusion of inter-individual variability in neuroscience studies.

Methods

We undertook a systematic review of published journal articles that correlated measures derived from white matter tractography with cognition or clinical symptoms, following PRISMA guidelines (Liberati et al., 2009).

The resources obtained from this study and created for this data are made available as supplementary material: https://github.com/StephForkel/PhenotypesReview.git

Data Sources: A title/abstract search in MEDLINE and Scopus (which includes most of the EMBASE database, https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/embase-biomedical-research) was conducted. The search term 'tractography' returned a total of 5,303 in PubMed and 7,204 results in Scopus. We hence restricted our search (conducted on February 25th, 2020) to the following strings: (predictor OR "correlat*" OR regression OR "assoc*") AND (tractography). Additional filters were applied to include only human adult studies published in English as final stage peer-reviewed articles in scientific journals. The search returned 1333 results on PubMed and 2380 results on Scopus, yielding 3,713 records. There were no internal duplicates within each database, and we excluded 1224 external duplicates between the databases. After removing duplicates from these lists, a total of 2489 results were screened.

Data screening and eligibility: Figure 1 summarises the following workflow. During the screening, we applied further exclusion criteria leading to the exclusion of paediatric studies, non-human studies, pure methodological papers without behavioural correlates, correlations between tractography and physiological rather than behavioural measures (e.g. heart rate), non-brain studies (e.g. cranial nerves, spine, muscle), graph theory and tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) studies, and case studies or mini-series (less than 10 participants/patients) and papers that reported no significant correlation. All studies reporting variability of white matter tracts using tractography that described a significant association with continuous cognitive measures, clinical symptom severity and/or continuous recovery were included. After screening of the abstracts, we retained a list of 466 references. Full text screening further identified studies that fulfilled the exclusion criteria defined above. This led to a total of 326 studies included in the final analysis.

Study quality:

The QUADAS quality assessment tool (Whiting et al., 2003) was adapted for the review to document the steps taken by each paper to avoid bias and justify and validate the protocols. The following criteria were used to rate publications: 1) Sufficient detail provided to reproduce the protocol, 2) clearly defined white matter tracts, 3) the groups, cognitive measures or clinical characteristics were reported.

Data extraction: The following information was collected from the records: year of publication, group (e.g. healthy participants vs degeneration vs psychiatric vs neurological vs neurodevelopmental), sample sizes, left/right/unspecified hemisphere, tractography indices, label of white matter tracts, clinical symptoms, behaviour and/or cognitive domain, differential neuropsychological measures (*e.g.* Trail Making test), and finally the interaction between white matter tracts and neuropsychological assessments. The labelling of the groups (healthy participants vs degeneration vs psychiatric vs neurological vs neurodevelopmental) was aligned to current diagnostic criteria and categories of disease (e.g. DSM-5, IDC-11). The coding of the parameters is available from the supplementary material online (https://github.com/StephForkel/PhenotypesReview). As an example, a study in neurological patients measuring motor functions and the left corticospinal tract would be coded as: neurological*motor*CST_lh .

Data synthesis and analyses: In the present synthesis of this dataset, we summarised degeneration, neurosurgical and common neurological symptoms as a neurological group. Similarly, the psychiatric group included adult neurodevelopmental and psychiatric studies. We also synthesised clinical symptoms, behaviour and/or cognitive domains using the following terms: We limited the cognitive domains taxonomy to the terms that are currently widely accepted in the literature, including attention, executive functions, language, memory, and reward. Terms defining behavioural domains included addiction, auditory, visual and motor behaviour, sleep, mood, social measures (*e.g.* theory of mind). To assess the sensitivity of tracts to clinical measures, we also included a "symptoms" dimension corresponding to neurological and psychiatric severity measures.

According to domains, the classification of the correlations was replicated three times by SJF, PF and HH and in case of disagreements, a consensus was reached. From these terms, we could extract variables of interest such as the number of correlations per tract (*i.e.* sensitivity or how likely a tract can correlate), but also the number of studies reporting significant correlations for that tract (*i.e.* popularity or how often studies report a significant correlation for that tract). A differentiation between sensitivity and popularity was made because many studies tested multiple associations between a tract and several cognitive measures. Therefore, if a study investigated the relationship between a tract and multiple functions, the sensitivity measure would increase with each significant correlation; however, the study would only be counted once for the popularity value. Subsequently, we also investigated the number of correlations reported according to the domains of interest mentioned above (*i.e.* specificity to cognitive domains: attention, executive functions, language, memory, and reward; behavioural domains: addiction, auditory, visual and motor behaviour, sleep, mood, social; and symptoms severity).



Figure 1. PRISMA flow chart.

Results

The number of correlations and studies per tract.

A total of 25 individual white matter tracts were reported to correlate with performance on neuropsychological tests and clinical symptoms (Figure 2). Amongst these, certain tracts were more commonly correlated with cognitive-behavioural measures (Figure 2A). We report here the number of studies that described correlations (Figure 2A) and the number of correlations per tract (Figure 2B). Showing this difference is essential, as some studies reported more than one tract correlation. Notably, commonly reported tracts (*i.e.* sensitivity) were not always those that were most systematically studied (*i.e.* popularity) indicated by the different number of studies per tract reported (Figure 2B).



Figure 2. *Frequencies of reported correlations (A) and the number of studies (B) per tract in each field of study (i.e. healthy participants, neurology, psychiatry). A high number of correlations indicates a high tract sensitivity, and the number of studies represents tract popularity.*

Our review demonstrates that most results were reported for patients with neurological (45%) or psychiatric (29%) pathologies rather than controls (25%) (Figure 2). Additionally, the most studied tracts that have been reported to correlate with cognitive measures vary for each group. For example, most correlations reported in healthy participants were with the inferior longitudinal fasciculus, arcuate fasciculus, and striatal fibres (Figure 3A). In the neurological groups, correlations were mainly reported for the corticospinal tract, the corpus callosum, and the cingulum (Figure 3B). The cingulum, arcuate and uncinate fasciculus were the most prominent tracts to correlate with psychiatric symptoms (Figure 3C). The most correlated (i.e. sensitivity), however, does not mean the most commonly studied tracts (i.e. popularity) and might point towards a bias in the literature to focus on 'target' tracts rather than systematically studying the whole white matter. In healthy participants, the most 'popular' tracts were the arcuate, inferior longitudinal, and uncinate fasciculi (Figure 3D). For the neurological group, the most studied tracts were also the most sensitive tracts, namely the corticospinal tract, corpus callosum, and cingulum (Figure 3E). In the psychiatric group, the most sensitive and popular tracts were the cingulum, arcuate fasciculus and uncinate (Figure 3F).



Figure 3. *"Tract bias" in the literature. Tract sensitivity (A-C) and tract popularity (D-F) in healthy participants, neurological group, and psychiatric group.* The number of correlations per tract is defined as 'sensitivity' or how likely a tract can correlate, whereas 'popularity' is defined as the number of studies reporting significant correlations for that tract. (*Note*: Data shown is the same as Figure 2 split by group).

The number of correlations per domain.

The analysis of correlations between tracts and cognitive domains showed no one-to-one correspondence between a white matter tract and a domain (Figure 4). The tracts that had the highest number of correlations (i.e. selectivity) with one domain were the corticospinal tract with the motor domain and the cingulum with executive functions (Figure 4). Additional figures showing all correlations per domain for all tracts are available in the supplementary material (https://github.com/StephForkel/PhenotypesReview.git). This summary also shows that the extent of a tract's selectivity to one domain is often related to the diversity of the tract's projections. For instance, the corpus callosum, which projects to most of the brain's surface (Karolis et al., 2019) is associated

domains, but the most common association for this pathway was with language measures. When separating the arcuate into its subdivisions (Catani 2005), this showed that its fronto-temporal segment was driving the domain specificity of the arcuate with language. In contrast, correlations with the anterior and posterior segments of the arcuate fasciculus were usually with aspects of the memory and attention domain (Figure 4).


corticospinal tract
symptoms
motor
memory
language
executive
attention
cingulum
symptoms
visua
social
sleep
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
attention
corpus callosum
addiction
visua
symptoms
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
auditory
addiction
arcuate fasciculus
visua
symptoms
social
sleep
motor
memory
language
executive
auditory
arcuate fascicu us
anterior segment
long segment
posterior segment
symptoms
sleep
memory
language
executive
auditory
attention
motor
uncinate fasciculus
symptoms
social
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
auditory
attention
left hemisphere
right hemisphere
unspecified
2
10
20
30
40
50
number of correlations

Figure 4. Specificity for tract x domain correlations. *The number of correlations between cognitive, behavioural or clinical assessments and white matter tracts demonstrates that the concept of 'one tract-one function' does not hold. The figure shows the most studied tracts as identified by the current study. The other tract-domain correlations are available as supplementary figures (see supplementary figures).*

Hemispheric specialisation

Hemispheric specialisation is inconsistently reported in the current body of literature, with as many as 14% of studies not specifying if their correlations with a domain are for the left or right hemisphere tracts (Figure 5A). Amongst the 326 papers assessed, a total of 674 tract-function correlations with a p value reported of 0.05 or below were extracted. Within this data pool, an equal number of studies specified their results for the left (37.38%) and the right hemisphere (35.01%), while the remaining results (n=186) were unspecified (14%) or described commissural connections (19%) that cannot be attributed to either hemisphere. When looking at the distribution of significant correlations with cognitive measures it was evident that correlations with the left hemisphere are more commonly reported - or more commonly studied (Figure 5A). Specifying the hemisphere for commissural tracts is of course not required, however, this is essential when studying association or projection fibres, given the strong structural and functional lateralisation of the brain for some tracts and cognitive functions (e.g. Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011; Koralis et al., 2019).



Figure 5. *Summary of reporting of tract-domain correlations for each hemisphere of the brain (A) and diffusion indices (B). The results show that a greater number of correlations between tractography results in the left hemisphere and cognitive-behavioural measures exist in the literature and that studies with significant correlations most commonly use fractional anisotropy (FA). Mean diffusivity, MD; radial diffusivity, RD; axial diffusivity, AD; Apparent Diffusion Coefficient, ADC; Hindrance modulated orientational anisotropy, HMOA.*

Diffusion indices

A multitude of diffusion imaging methods have been developed and applied to the living brain. The first model to be widely applied to the study of the healthy living brain and pathological groups was diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Using this model, various average properties of tissues within each voxel could be characterised by diffusion indices, including fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), number of streamlines, and voxels intersected

by streamlines as a proxy of volume (mm^3 or cm^3). Volumetric measures accounted for 11% of the tract-domain correlations in the studied literature (Figure 5). These indices are computed using the diffusivity in each voxel, have been associated with microstructural properties and are used to indicate axonal damage or degeneration (Le Bihan, 1995; Pierpaoli & Basser, 1996; Beaulieu 2002; Ciccarelli et al., 2008; Afzali et al., 2021). Each index was extracted from the 326 studies and the results highlight that some measures are more commonly reported than others (Figure 5). Below we briefly discuss the meaning of these indices and their prevalence in the literature.

The quantitative apparent diffusion coefficient map (ADC) is a primary sequence in acute stroke imaging due to its sensitivity to early ischemic changes. The lesion cascade typically induces cytotoxic oedema which results in a quick drop in ADC by 30-50% (Moseley, 1990). ADC comprises about 3% of the correlational diffusion tractography literature. Another clinically useful surrogate measure of diffusion deficits is mean diffusivity ($MD= \lambda 1 + \lambda 2 + \lambda 3/3$), a measure independent of tissue directionality and more prominently used in the literature at 16% (Figure 5). This map does not offer anatomical details but is sensitive to diffusion abnormalities, such as acute ischemic lesions (Lythgoe et al., 1997). The diffusivity measured along the principle axis ($\lambda 1$) is referred to as axial diffusivity (also called longitudinal or parallel diffusivity as diffusivity is parallel to the axonal fibres, $AD=\lambda 1$). While the diffusivity perpendicular to the fibres is calculated from the mean along the two perpendicular directions. This average of diffusivities along the two orthogonal axes ($\lambda 2$, $\lambda 3$) is denoted as perpendicular or radial diffusivity ($RD=\lambda 2 + \lambda 3/2$). Together, axial and radial indices make up 18% of the literature (Figure 5). The application of these measure is however still debated, as the direction and magnitude of the eigenvalue/eigenvector system relies on physical measures that are sensitive to noise and may be influenced by the estimated tensor ellipsoid and underlying pathologies (Wheeler-Kingshott & Cercignani 2009). As such, changes in axial diffusivity measurements, for example, could be related to intra-axonal composition, while radial diffusivity may be more sensitive to changes in membrane permeability and myelin density (Song et al., 2002). By far the most prominently used diffusion measure is fractional anisotropy (FA) which comprises 48% of the literature (Figure 5). The variance of all three eigenvectors (v1-3) about their mean is normalised by the overall magnitude of the tensor and is referred to as fractional anisotropy ($FA= 3((\lambda\square - \lambda)^2 + (\lambda\square - \lambda)^2 + (\lambda\square - \lambda)^2) / 2 (\lambda\square^2 + \lambda\square^2 + \lambda\square^2)$) (Jones 2009). The resulting FA index represents the fraction of the tensor that can be attributed to anisotropic diffusion (i.e. unequal diffusivity along directions) as the deviation from isotropy (i.e. equal diffusivity in all directions). FA designates free diffusivity (i.e. unhindered isotropic) with a value of 0, and constrained diffusion (i.e. anisotropic along one axis only) with the value of 1. Despite its wide adoption in the healthy and clinical literature, DTI and its voxel-wise measurements have their limitations (Dell'Aqua & Tournier, 2017; Meiher-Hein et al., 2017). Over the past years, advanced diffusion models have moved towards diffusion and fibre orientation density functions (fODF) to capture the complexity of white matter organisation using tract-specific measurements. One such measure is the apparent fibre density also referred to as hindrance modulated orientational anisotropy (HMOA) (Dell'Acqua et al., 2013; Dell'Aqua & Tournier, 2017), which currently comprise about 1% of the correlational diffusion tractography literature.

Together, these in vivo diffusion-based measurements allow connectional anatomy to be defined at different scales in health and disease. However, given the sensitivity of these diffusion indices to tissue characteristics and brain lesions (e.g. in the presence of oedema), they have to be interpreted carefully.

Discussion

Over the course of the last fifteen years, there have been over 300 studies in human adults showing significant correlations between white matter tracts and cognitive measures. These correlations lend support to the concept of the importance of inter-individual differences in healthy participants and brain pathologies (e.g. neurological and psychiatric). Our systematic review of this literature demonstrates that tractography is a commonly used tool to study inter-individual variability and has proven to be a sensitive method in neurology, psychiatry, and healthy volunteers. Secondly, there may be a "tract bias" in the literature, as tracts that are commonly studied (high popularity) are not necessarily those that have the highest number of significant correlations (high specificity) for a given cognitive function or clinical symptoms. Finally, our review clearly shows that tracts, as we define them, are never correlated with only one cognitive domain or pathology.

Our investigation collated tract-function correlations across neurological, psychiatric, and healthy populations. Most tract-domain correlations in the literature were identified in studies of pathological groups rather than healthy participants (Figure 3). There are several possible explanations for this. This predominance may originate from the broader dispersion of data points associated with pathologies (i.e. more variability). As the presence of pathology causes higher variability in both anatomy and cognitive/clinical test scores, these variations are more likely to be detected by linear correlations. Another explanation for the high number of tract-domain correlations in clinical groups is that differences between healthy participants are often considered to be noise which can mean they are reduced during data processing (Kanai & Rees, 2011). While noise may contribute to the difference observed in controls, it is now clearly established that diffusion tractography can capture inter-individual differences that reflect some of the variations in the functioning of the brain (*e.g.* Powell et al., 2006; Vernooij et al., 2007; Lezari et al., preprint). An alternative hypothesis could be that current neuroimaging or cognitive and behavioural tests are not sensitive enough to disentangle noise from real variability in healthy participants systematically (Braver et al., 2010; Rousselet & Pernet, 2012). The latter may be improved by using finer-grained cognitive measures, higher resolution data, and better anatomical tract definitions.

Our review identified a total of 25 studied tracts that have been significantly correlated with cognitive measures in healthy participants or symptom severity in patients. The precise number of white matter tracts in the human brain remains unknown and variable estimates originate from the use of different methods. Most atlases suggest that around 26 tracts can be reliably identified with most tractography methods (Mori, 2005; Lawes et al., 2008; Catani & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Mori et al., 2009; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011; Rojkova et al., 2016). Some recent atlases further identify additional intralobar connections (Catani et al., 2012; Guevara et al., 2012; Catani et

al., 2017; Guevara et al., 2020). This review reported on some additional tracts that have not yet been incorporated into atlases, including the accumbofrontal tract and the vertical occipital fasciculus (Martínez-Molina et al. 2019; Rigoard et al., 2011, Vergani et al., 2016; Yeatman et al., 2013; Vergani et al., 2014), while other tracts have not yet been widely used in the literature and therefore do not feature in this review (e.g. medial occipital longitudinal tract; Beyh et al. preprint). Our results also highlight a bias in the literature toward studying specific tracts that have very well-established functions (e.g. corticospinal tract) or are easy to dissect in clinical groups (e.g. cingulum). The omission of other tracts does, of course, not mean they are functionally irrelevant as shown by our sensitivity measure. For example, a high number of correlations were identified for the corticospinal tract and motor functions as can be expected. However, there were also some significant correlations with other tracts not typically associated with motor functions (e.g. arcuate fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus). It could thus be that some tract-function relationships are still poorly understood. Some may have non-linear or indirect relationships with function, for which correlational approaches are not appropriate. Further, understudied tracts may be more challenging to reconstruct due to limited anatomical guidelines or available algorithms (*e.g.* U-shaped fibres, Attar et al., 2020, Mandelstam, 2012; Maffei et al., 2019a; 2019b).

For the most sensitive, or commonly correlated, tracts, several functions were reported. Our results show that even the corticospinal tract that is primarily studied within the motor domain (62.21% of correlations, Figure 4), showed a non-uniform functional profile. For instance, some studies reported correlations between the corticospinal tract and executive functions (8.11%) and language/speech processes (5.4%). For other tracts, the correlations were even more diverse. For example, the cingulum correlated with psychiatric symptom severity (20.29%), memory (14.49%) and language measures (10.14%). Such results support the idea of hierarchical brain organisation with some tracts involved in mediating many functions, whereas others may have a more specific functional profile (Pandya and Yeterian 1990). While the number of associations is very likely to be biased by several factors including prior hypotheses that a given tract is involved in a specific function, a recent study mapped a total of 590 cognitive functions, as defined by a meta-analysis of activations derived from fMRI paradigms, onto a white matter atlas (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2020). This first functional atlas of the white matter demonstrated that one tract can be relevant for multiple functions. Another possible interpretation of this finding is that human-ascribed definitions of white matter tracts are too coarse to be specific to only one given function. For example, segmenting the arcuate fasciculus into three components in line with the early work (Catani et al., 2005) shows correlations with more domain specificity than correlations with the entire arcuate fasciculus. This may call for finer-grained white matter divisions or data-driven approaches to identify segments of white matter that may be related to specific functions (see, for example, Foulon et al., 2018; Nozais et al., in press).

We also show differential patterns between healthy participants and pathological groups. One such example is the size of the uncinate fasciculus that has been primarily associated with memory in healthy ageing (Sasson et al., 2013), with psychopathy in psychiatric studies (*e.g.* Craig et al., 2009), and language in neurological studies (*e.g.* D'Anna et al., 2016). Similarly, the size of the arcuate fasciculus has been implicated in learning new words in healthy participants (Lopez-Barroso et al., 2013), which supports the role of the arcuate fasciculus as the mediator between the temporal-parietal-frontal cortices and the neural substrate for the phonological loop (Baddley et al., 1998; Catani et al., 2005; Baddley 2007; Buchsbaum & D'Eposito, 2008; see Baddley & Hitch, 2019 for a recent review on phonological loop). Recently this hypothesis was supported by intraoperative direct cortical stimulation

in neurosurgical patients (Duffau et al., 2008; Papagano et al., 2017). In psychiatric and neurological patients, damage to the arcuate fasciculus was associated with auditory hallucinations in schizophrenia (Catani et al., 2011), aphasia severity in stroke (Forkel et al., 2014), and repetition deficits in primary progressive aphasia patients (Forkel et al., 2020). Therefore, the functions associated with a tract might not purely be a product of the cortical regions the white matter connects to but instead rely on the interplay of one region with another. When pathology is introduced into this delicate network, differential patterns of symptoms may reflect the variable impact on brain regions within such a network. Furthermore, the pathophysiological mechanisms are different across pathologies and have different long-range effects on connected regions (e.g. Catani et al., 2005).

There are limitations associated with tractography that may have influenced the studies summarised in the current work. We set out to systematically review tract-function correlations irrespective of these limitations, to identify broad patterns, however, it is essential to caveat this study by stating what tractography can and cannot do when interpreting results. While tractography has proven useful for research and clinical applications, interpretation of voxel-based indices presents challenges (Dell'Acqua & Tournier, 2019). When considering the resolution of diffusion data, for example, diffusion indices are averaged across and within voxels, which may mask meaningful changes. For research purposes, the voxel size is typically 2*2*2mm, while the voxel sizes are often larger for clinical acquisitions leading to even lower spatial resolution. As such, a research acquisition with an $8mm^3$ voxel is likely to contain an inhomogeneous sample of tissue classes, intra- and extracellular space, and axons of different densities and diameters. This multi tissue composition within voxels can pose challenges for the study of projection and commissural fibres and afflict tractography reconstructions with false positive and negative reconstructions. A recent preprint also looked at the commonly reported index of FA and demonstrated that multimodal approaches can help detect white matter behaviour relationships that are not detected with FA alone (Lezari et al., preprint). This study also raised the notion of the need for sufficiently powered samples to detect changes in myelin in relation to behaviour.

The diffusion signal itself is also inhomogeneous across the brain. As a result, areas such as the orbitofrontal cortex and anterior temporal cortex are often distorted. Methodological advances partially correct for these distortions (e.g. TOPUP, Andersson et al., 2003) and disentangle some of these components to reconstruct crossing fibres and extract tract-specific measurements (see Dell'Acqua & Tournier, 2019). However, most studies included in this review used diffusion tensor algorithms rather than advanced algorithms and indices (see Figure 5, HMOA 1% of studies). While recent research studies have the methodological means to mitigate such distortions (*e.g.* Andersson et al., 2003), most current clinical studies still suffer from these limitations, potentially explaining the lack of tract domain specificity.

Another source of inconsistencies originates from incoherent reporting of the anatomy. For example, many studies did not specify which hemisphere was studied or collapsed their white matter across both hemispheres and correlated the averaged anatomy with cognitive and behavioural measures. Collapsing measurements from anatomical features across both hemispheres might prove problematic for white matter tracts that are subject to more considerable inter-individual variability and subsequently might get over- and underrepresented in each hemisphere (*e.g.* Catani et al., 2007; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011; Rojkova et al. 2016, Croxson et al., 2018; Howells et al. 2018; Howells et al. 2020). Further, while the concept of a strict hemispheric dichotomy might be

seen as overly simplistic (*e.g.* Vingerhoets, 2019), splitting the measurements by hemisphere may reveal useful insights and higher specificity into the contribution of either side to a measured cognitive behaviour or disorder (Floris & Howells, 2018). Another limitation comes from inconsistencies in the classification of white matter tracts. For instance, the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) was often considered in its entirety without specifying which branch was studied. When branches were specified, a variety of terminologies were used, including the three branches (SLFI-III, Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011), or a lobar-based segmentation into a SLFtp (temporal projections) and SLFpt (parietal projections) (*e.g.* Nakajima et al. 2019). Another example is the arcuate fasciculus that was sometime considered in its entirety and sometimes split into several branches (*e.g.* Catani et al., 2005; Kaplan et al., 2010). Perhaps due to early anatomical descriptions where the terminology was used interchangeably and incorrectly, we are still faced with a body of literature that uses the terms SLF and arcuate interchangeably. This confusion may have come about in the literature, as the SLF system was not easily dissected in the human brain using either post-mortem methods or tractography due to crossing fibres. In fact, this fronto-parietal network was first described in the monkey brain and only subsequently identified in the human brain using diffusion imaging (Makris et al. 2005) and diffusion tractography (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011). While there is some overlap between both networks, such as the SLF-III and the anterior segment of the arcuate fasciculus, the other branches and segments are distinct. From an anatomical and etymological perspective, the superior longitudinal fasciculus should be ascribed solely fronto-parietal connections (*i.e.* "superior and longitudinal"; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011) whereas the arcuate fasciculus should be considered to be the fronto-temporal connection (i.e. 'arching' around the Sylvian fissure; Catani et al., 2005). Recent attempts have synthesised this literature, suggesting using the term superior longitudinal system (SLS) to include the arcuate fasciculus stricto sensu and the three branches of the SLFs in one multilobar fibre system (Mandonnet et al., 2018; Vavassori et al., 2021). Another controversy in the literature is the differentiation between the posterior segment of the arcuate fasciculus and the vertical occipital fasciculus (Martino & Garcia-Porrero, 2013; Bartsch et al., 2013; Bullock et al., 2019; Weiner et al., 2017). The anatomy of the VOF has been verified using tractography (Yeatman et al., 2014; Keser et al., 2016; Briggs et al., 2018; Schurr et al., 2019; Panesar et al., 2019), postmortem dissections (Vergani et al., 2014; Gungor et al., 2017; Palejwala et al., 2020), and comparative anatomy (Takemura et al., 2017). These descriptions were scrutinised against historical postmortem descriptions from Wernicke (Yeatman et al., 2014), Sachs (Vergani et al., 2014) and the Dejerine's (Bugain et al., 2021). Anatomically, the vertical occipital fibre system and the posterior arcuate segment are distinct bundles in terms of their trajectories and cortical terminations. More precisely, the vertical occipital fasciculus projections are in the occipital lobe and the posterior segment of the arcuate fasciculus projections are in the posterior temporal and parietal lobes (Weiner et al., 2017). Another example is the differential and synonymous use of the terminologies external capsule (Rilling et al., 2012), external/extreme fibre complex (Mars et al., 2016), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (Forkel et al., 2014; Sarubbo et al., 2015), and inferior occipitofrontal fasciculus (Kier et al., 2004). The difference in terminology is owed mainly to the description of these tracts using different methods (Forkel et al., 2014) and some consensus is certainly needed to improve consistency in the literature (Maier-Hein et al., 2017; Mandonnet et al., 2018; Vavassori et al., 2021). Another tract that appears under two terminologies in the literature is the medial occipital longitudinal tract (MOLT) relevant for visuospatial processing (Beyh et al., preprint). This tract has previously been referred to as the 'sledge runner' (Vergani et al., 2014).

Additionally, to harvest the interindividual variability results, this review focused on continuous cognitive and clinical measures obtained from correlations to associate them with white matter phenotypes. As such, we did not separate distinct structural subtypes (*e.g.* Ferreiera et al. 2020; Forkel et al., 2020) and did not take different diffusion matrices (*e.g.* fractional anisotropy vs mean diffusivity) or tractography algorithms (*e.g.* tensor vs HARDI) into account. Some of these parameters may be more sensitive and specific than others as discussed above.

However, some measures were underrepresented in our systematic review which prevented any valid comparison (Figure 5). Finally, while correlational research indicates that there may be a relationship between two variables (*e.g.* structure and function), it cannot assume causality and prove that one variable causes a change in another variable (e.g. Rousselet & Pernet, 2012). This means that from the correlation data reviewed in this study, it is impossible to determine whether anatomical variability is driving behaviour or if the anatomy results from an expressed behaviour (*i.e.* directionality problem). In addition, it is also not possible to know whether a third factor mediates the changes in both variables and that the two variables are in fact not related (*i.e.* third variable problem). Future studies using correlational tractography may benefit from exploring other statistical frameworks such as Bayesian methods to get closer to establishing causal relationships between variables (Pacella et al., 2019). Systematic reviews cannot answer all clinically relevant questions as they are retrospective research projects and as such subject to bias. One bias that was not able to mitigate in this review was the positive publication bias. We did not report negative correlations as they are not coherently reported in the literature. This review aimed to systematically evaluate and summarise current knowledge and going forward new initiatives such as registered reports should help reduce the positive publication bias associated with the clinical-anatomical correlation method (for an example see Lazari et al., preprint). Functional white matter atlases (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2020) can also help to decode cognitive networks and individual assessment battery correlations (Forkel et al. preprint; Dulyan et al., preprint; Talozzi et al., preprint).

In conclusion, acknowledging and objectively quantifying the degree of variability between each of us, particularly when it comes to brain anatomy, will potentially have a far-reaching impact on clinical practice. While some methodological refinement is needed in the field of white matter tractography (e.g. Wasserthal et al., 2018; Maier-Hein et al., 2017; Grisot et al. 2021), preliminary evidence indicates that differences in white matter phenotypes are beginning to explain disease progression and differential symptom presentations (Forkel et al., 2020). Variability in structural brain connections can also shed light on why current invasive and non-invasive treatments and therapies help some but not all patients (Lunven et al., 2019; Parlatini et al., under review; Sanefuji et al., 2017). These findings are encouraging, as we move towards more personalised approaches to medicine. With the improvements suggested in this systematic review, tract-function correlations could be a useful adjunct in studies predicting resilience and recovery in patients.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank our reviewers and the Groupe d'imagerie neurofonctionnelle (GIN) whiteboard team for helpful discussions.

Funding

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101028551 (SJF) and the European Research Council (ERC) Consolidator grant agreement No. 818521 (MTdS).

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Supplementary material: https://github.com/StephForkel/PhenotypesReview.git

References

Afzali M, Pieciak T, Newman S, Garifallidis E, Özarslan E, Cheng H, and Jones DK. (2021) The sensitivity of diffusion MRI to microstructural properties and experimental factors. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 347: 108951.

Akram H, Sotiropoulos SN, Jbabdi S, Georgiev D, Mahlknecht P, Hyam J, Foltynie T, Limousin P, De Vita E, Jahanshahi M, Hariz M, Ashburner J, Behrens T, Zrinzo L. (2017) Subthalamic deep brain stimulation sweet spots and hyperdirect cortical connectivity in Parkinson's disease. Neuroimage 158:332-345. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.012.

Amunts K, Schleicher A, Bürgel U, Mohlberg H, Uylings H, Zilles K. (1999) Broca's region revisited: cytoarchitecture and intersubject variability. The journal of comparative neurology 412:319–341.

Andersson JL, Skare S, Ashburner J. (2003) How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. Neuroimage 20(2):870-88. doi: 10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00336-7.

Assaf Y, Johansen-Berg, H, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (2017) The role of diffusion MRI in neuroscience. NMR in Biomedicine https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3762

Attar FM, Kirilina E, Haenelt D, Pine KJ, Trampel R, Edwards L, et al. (2020) Mapping Short Association Fibers in the Early Cortical Visual Processing Stream Using In Vivo Diffusion Tractography. Cerebral Cortex, https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaa049

Baddeley AD (2007): Working Memory, Thought, and Action. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p 215.

Baddeley AD, Gathercole S, Papagno C (1998): The Phonological loop as a language learning device. Psychol Rev 105:158–173

Bartsch, A. J., Geletneky, K. & Jbabdi, S. (2013) The temporoparietal fiber intersection area and wernicke perpendicular fasciculus. Neurosurgery 73, E381–E382.

Beyh A, Dell'Acqua F, Sestieri C, Caulo M, Zappala G, Cancemi D, De Santiago Requejo F, Della Sala S, ffytche D, Catani M. The missing pathway in current visuospatial processing models. BioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.08.442147

Martino, J. & García-Porrero, J. A. (2013) Wernicke perpendicular fasciculus and vertical portion of the superior longitudinal fasciculus. Neurosurgery 73,E382–E383.

Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C. (1996) Microstructural and physiological features of tissues elucidated by quantitative ‐ diffusion ‐ tensor MRI.J Magn Reson B.;111:209 ‐ 219.

Beaulieu, C. (2002), The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system – a technical review. NMR Biomed. 15: 435-455. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.782

Bouhali F, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Pinel P, Poupon C, Mangin JF, Dehaene S & Cohen L. (2014) Anatomical Connections of the Visual Word Form Area. Journal of Neuroscience 34(46): 15402-15414.

Beaulieu, C. (2002). The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - a technical review. NMR Biomed 15(7-8), 435–455.

Braver TS, Cole MW, Yarkoni T. (2010) Vive les differences! Individual variation in neural mechanisms of executive control. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 20(2):242-50. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2010.03.002

Briggs RG, Conner AK, Sali G, Rahimi M, Baker CM, Burks JD, Glenn CA, Battiste JD, Sughrue ME. (2018) A Connectomic Atlas of the Human Cerebrum-Chapter 16: Tractographic Description of the Vertical Occipital Fasciculus. Oper Neurosurg 15(suppl_1):S456-S461. doi: 10.1093/ons/opy270.

Buchsbaum BR, D'Esposito M. (2008) The search for the phonological store: from loop to convolution. J Cogn Neurosci. 20(5):762-78. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2008.20501.

Budisavljevic S, Dell'Acqua F, Rijsdijk FV, Kane F, Picchioni M, McGuire P, et al. (2015) Age Related Differences and Heritability of the Perisylvian Language Networks. J Neurosci 35(37):12625-34.

Budisavljevic S, Kawadler JM, Dell'Acqua F, Rijsdijk FV, Kane F, Picchioni M, et al. (2016) Heritability of the limbic networks. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci (5):746-57.

Bullock, D. et al. (2019) Associative white matter connecting the dorsal and ventral posterior human cortex. Brain Struct. Funct. 224, 2631–2660.

Calabrese E. (2016) Diffusion Tractography in Deep Brain Stimulation Surgery: A Review. Front Neuroanat.10:45. doi: 10.3389/fnana.2016.00045.

Catani M, Allin MPG, Husain M, Pugliese L, Mesulam M.-M, Murray RM, et al. (2007) Symmetries in human brain language pathways correlate with verbal recall. PNAS 104(43): 17163-17168.

Catani M, Craig MC, Forkel SJ, Kanaan R, Picchioni M, Toulopoulou T, Shergill S, Williams S, Murphy DG, McGuire P. (2011) Altered Integrity of Perisylvian Language Pathways in Schizophrenia: Relationship to Auditory Hallucinations. Biol Psychiatry 70(12): 1143-50.

Catani M, Dell'Acqua F, Vergani F, Malik F, Hodge H, Roy P, et al. (2012) Short frontal lobe connections of the human brain. Cortex 48(2): 273-291.

Catani M, ffytche D. (2005) The rises and falls of disconnection syndromes. Brain 128(10): 2224–2239.

Catani M, Jones D, ffytche D. (2004) Perisylvian language network of the human brain. Annals of Neurology 57(1): 8-16.

Catani M, Robertsson N, Beyh A, Huynh V, de Santiago Requejo F, Howells H, et al. (2017) Short parietal lobe connections of the human and monkey brain. Cortex 97: 339-357.

Catani M, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (2008) A Diffusion Tensor Imaging Tractography Atlas for Virtual in Vivo Dissections. Cortex 44(8): 1105-32.

Caulo M, Briganti C, Mattei PA, Perfetti B, Ferretti A, Romani GL, et al. (2007) New Morphologic Variants of the Hand Motor Cortex as Seen with MR Imaging in a Large Study Population. American Journal of Neuroradiology 28(8): 1480-1485.

Cicarelli O, Catani M, Johansen-Berg H, Clark C, Thompson A. (2008) Diffusion-based tractography in neurological disorders: concepts, applications, and future developments. The Lancet Neurology 7(8):715-727.

Ciccarelli, O., Catani, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Clark, C. A., & Thompson, A. (2008). Diffusion-based tractography in neurological disorders: concepts, applications, and future developments. Lancet Neurology, 7(8), 715–727. http://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70163-7

Craig M, Catani M, Deeley Q, Latham R, Daly E, Kanaan R, et al. (2009) Altered Connections on the Road to Psychopathy. Mol Psychiatry 14(10): 946-53, 907.

Croxson PL, Forkel SJ, Cerliani L, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (2018) Structural Variability Across the Primate Brain: A Cross-Species Comparison. Cereb Cortex 28(11): 3829- 3841.

D'Anna L, Mesulam MM, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Murphy D, Wieneke C, et al. (2016) Frontotemporal Networks and Behavioral Symptoms in Primary Progressive Aphasia. Neurology 86(15): 1393-1399.

Dell'Acqua F, Catani M. (2012) Structural human brain networks: hot topics in diffusion tractography. Curr Opin Neurol. 25(4):375-83. doi: 10.1097/WCO.0b013e328355d544.

Dell'Acqua F, Simmons A, Williams SC, Catani M. (2013) Can spherical deconvolution provide more information than fiber orientations? Hindrance modulated orientational anisotropy, a true-tract specific index to characterize white matter diffusion. Hum Brain Mapp. 34(10):2464-83. doi: 10.1002/hbm.22080.

Dell'Acqua F, Tournier JD. (2019) Modelling white matter with spherical deconvolution: How and why? NMR Biomed. 32(4):e3945. doi: 10.1002/nbm.3945.

Denis Le Bihan, E. Breton. (1985) Imagerie de diffusion in-vivo par résonance magnétique nucléaire. Comptes-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 93(5), pp.27-34.

Duffau H, Peggy Gatignol ST, Mandonnet E, Capelle L, Taillandier L. (2008) Intraoperative subcortical stimulation mapping of language pathways in a consecutive series of 115 patients with Grade II glioma in the left dominant hemisphere. J Neurosurg. 109(3):461-71. doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/109/9/0461.

Dulyan L, Talozzi L, Pacella V, Corbetta M, **Forkel SJ**, Thiebaut de Schotten M. Longitudinal prediction of motor dysfunction after stroke: a disconnectome study, *preprint*

Eichert N, Watkins KE, Mars RB, Petrides M. Morphological and functional variability in central and subcentral motor cortex of the human brain. BioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.17.995035

Ferreira D, Nordberg A, Westman E. (2020) Biological subtypes of Alzheimer disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurology 94(10): 436-448.

Floris D & Howells H. (2018) Atypical structural and functional motor networks in autism. Progress in Brain Research 238:207-248

Forkel SJ, Rogalski E, Drossinos Sancho N, D'Anna L, Luque Laguna P, Sridhar J, Dell'Acqua F, et al. (2020) Anatomical Evidence of an Indirect Pathway for Word Repetition. Neurology 94(6): e594-e606.

Forkel SJ, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Kalra L, Murphy DG, Williams SC, et al. (2014) Anatomical Predictors of Aphasia Recovery: A Tractography Study of Bilateral Perisylvian Language Networks. Brain 137(Pt 7): 2027-39.

Forkel SJ, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Kawadler JM, Dell'Acqua F, Danek A, Catani M. (2014) The anatomy of fronto-occipital connections from early blunt dissections to contemporary tractography. Cortex 56: 73-84.

Fornito A, Wood SJ, Whittle S, Fuller J, Adamson C, Saling MM, et al. (2008) Variability of the paracingulate sulcus and morphometry of the medial frontal cortex: associations with cortical thickness, surface area, volume, and sulcal depth. Hum Brain Mapp 29(2):222-36.

Foulon C, Cerliani L, Kinkingnéhun S, Levy R, Rosso C, Urbanski M, et al. (2018) Advanced lesion symptom mapping analyses and implementation as BCBtoolkit. GigaScience 7(3):giy004.

Geschwind N. (1965a) Disconnexion syndromes in animals and man. I. Brain. 88(2):237-94. doi: 10.1093/brain/88.2.237. PMID: 5318481.

Geschwind N. (1965b) Disconnexionsyndromes in animals and man. II. Brain. 88(3):585-644. doi: 10.1093/brain/88.3.585. PMID: 5318824.

Giampiccolo D, Howells H, Bährend I, Schneider H, Raffa G, Rosenstock T, Vergani F, Vajkoczy P, Picht T. (2020) Preoperative transcranial magnetic stimulation for picture naming is reliable in mapping segments of the arcuate fasciculus. Brain Commun. 2(2):fcaa158. doi: 10.1093/braincomms/fcaa158.

Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson ER, Hacker CD, Harwell J, Yacoub E, Ugurbil K, Andersson J, Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM & Van Essen DC. (2016) A multi-modal parcellation of the human cerebral cortex. Nature 536:171–178.

Gordon EM, Laumann TO, Gilmore AW, Newbold DJ, Greene DJ, Berg JJ, Ortega M, Hoyt Drazen C, Gratton C, Sun H, et al. (2017) Precision Functional Mapping of Individual Human Brains. Neuron 95: 791-807.e7.

Grasby K, Jahanshad N, Painter JN, Colodro-Conde L, Bralten J, Hibar DP, et al. (2020) The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science 367(6484): eaay6690.

Grisot G, Haber SN, Yendiki A. (2021) Diffusion MRI and anatomic tracing in the same brain reveal common failure modes of tractography. Neuroimage 239:118300. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118300.

Guevara M, Guevara P, Román C, Mangin JF. (2020) Superficial white matter: A review on the dMRI analysis methods and applications. Neuroimage 212: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116673.

Guevara P, Duclap D, Poupon C, Marrakchi-Kacem L, Fillard P, Le Bihan D, et al. (2012) Automatic fiber bundle segmentation in massive tractography datasets using a multi subject bundle atlas. Neuroimage 61(4): 1983-1099.

Güngör A, Baydin S, Middlebrooks EH, Tanriover N, Isler C, Rhoton AL Jr. (2017) The white matter tracts of the cerebrum in ventricular surgery and hydrocephalus. J Neurosurg. 126(3):945-971. doi: 10.3171/2016.1.JNS152082.

Hirsch J. (1963) Behavior genetics and individuality understood. Science 142(3598):1436- 1442.

Howells H, Puglisi G, Leonetti A, Vigano L, Fornia L, Simone L, et al. (2020) The role of left fronto parietal tracts in hand selection: evidence from neurosurgery. Cortex https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2020.03.018

Howells H, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Beyh A, Zappalà G, Leslie A, Simmons A, et al. (2018) Frontoparietal Tracts Linked to Lateralized Hand Preference and Manual Specialization. Cereb Cortex 28(7):2482-2494.

Innocenti GM. (2017) Network causality, axonal computations, and Poffenberger. Experimental Brain Research 235:2349–2357.

Jbabdi S & Johansen-Berg H. (2011) Tractography: Where Do We Go From Here? Brain Connect 1(3):169-83.

Jones DK. (2008) Studying connections in the living human brain with diffusion MRI. Cortex. 44(8):936-52. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2008.05.002.

Kanai R & Rees G. (2011) The Structural Basis of Inter-Individual Differences in Human Behaviour and Cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 12(4):231-42.

Kaplan E, Naeser MA, Martin PI, Ho M, Wang Y, Baker E, Pascual-Leone A. (2010) Horizontal portion of arcuate fasciculus fibers track to pars opercularis, not pars triangularis, in right and left hemispheres: a DTI study. Neuroimage 52(2): 436-44.

Karolis V, Corbetta M, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (2019) The architecture of functional lateralisation and its relationship to callosal connectivity in the human brain. Nature Comms 10:1417.

Keser Z, Ucisik-Keser FE, Hasan KM. (2016) Quantitative Mapping of Human Brain Vertical-Occipital Fasciculus. J Neuroimaging. 26(2):188-93. doi: 10.1111/jon.12268.

Kier EL, Staib LH, Davis LM, Bronen RA. (2004) MR imaging of the temporal stem: anatomic dissection tractography of the uncinate fasciculus, inferior occipitofrontal fasciculus, and Meyer's loop of the optic radiation. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 25(5):677-91.

Kong XZ, Mathias SR, Guadalupe T, ENIGMA Laterality Working Group, Glahn DC, Franke B, et al. (2018) Mapping cortical brain asymmetry in 17,141 healthy individuals worldwide via the ENIGMA Consortium. PNAS 115(22): E5154-E5163.

Lawes IN, Barrick TR, Murugam V, Spierings N, Evans DR, Song M, et al. (2008) Atlas-based segmentation of white matter tracts of the human brain using diffusion tensor tractography and comparison with classical dissection. Neuroimage 39(1):62-79.

Le Bihan D, Breton E. (1985) Imagerie de diffusion in vivo par re résonance magnétique nucléaire. Comptes-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 93 (5), pp.27-34. hal-00350090

Lebel C, Treit S, Beaulieu C. (2019) A review of diffusion MRI of typical white matter development from early childhood to young adulthood. NMR Biomed. 32(4):e3778.

Leonard CM, Puranik C, Kuldau JM, Lombardino LJ. (1998) Normal variation in the frequency and location of human auditory cortex landmarks. Heschl's gyrus: where is it? Cerebral Cortex 8(5): 397–406.

Lerch JP, Van Der Kouwe AJW, Raznahan A, Paus T, Johansen-Berg H, Miller KL, Smith SM, Fischl B, Sotiropoulos SN (2017) Studying neuroanatomy using MRI. Nature Neuroscience 20: 314– 326.

Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. (2009) The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 62(10): e1-34.

Linden D. Unique: The New Science of Human Individuality. BasicBooks: New York.

López-Barroso D, Catani M, Ripollés P, Dell'Acqua F, Rodríguez-Fornells A, de Diego Balaguer R. (2013) Word Learning Is Mediated by the Left Arcuate Fasciculus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110(32): 13168-73.

Lunven M, Rode G, Bourlon C, Duret C, Migliaccio R, Chevrillon E, et al. (2019) Anatomical predictors of successful prism adaptation in chronic visual neglect. Cortex 120:629-641.

Lythgoe MF, Busza AL, Calamante F, Sotak CH, King MD, Bingham AC, Williams SR, Gadian DG. (1997) Effects of diffusion anisotropy on lesion delineation in a rat model of cerebral ischemia. Magn Reson Med. 38(4):662-8. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910380421.

Maffei C, Sarubbo S, Jovicich J. (2019) A Missing Connection: A Review of the Macrostructural Anatomy and Tractography of the Acoustic Radiation. Front Neuroanat 13:27.

Maffei C, Sarubbo S, Jovicich J. (2019) Diffusion-based tractography atlas of the human acoustic radiation. Scientific Report 9:4046.

Maier-Hein KH, Neher PF, Houde JC, Côté MA, Garyfallidis E, Zhong J, Chamberland M, Yeh FC, Lin YC, Ji Q, Reddick WE, Glass JO, Chen DQ, Feng Y, Gao C, Wu Y, Ma J, He R, Li Q, Westin CF, Deslauriers-Gauthier S, González JOO, Paquette M, St-Jean S, Girard G, Rheault F, Sidhu J, Tax CMW, Guo F, Mesri HY, Dávid S, Froeling M, Heemskerk AM, Leemans A, Boré A, Pinsard B, Bedetti C, Desrosiers M, Brambati S, Doyon J, Sarica A, Vasta R, Cerasa A, Quattrone A, Yeatman J, Khan AR, Hodges W, Alexander S, Romascano D, Barakovic M, Auría A, Esteban O, Lemkaddem A, Thiran JP, Cetingul HE, Odry BL, Mailhe B, Nadar MS, Pizzagalli F, Prasad G, Villalon-Reina JE, Galvis J, Thompson PM, Requejo FS, Laguna PL, Lacerda LM, Barrett R, Dell'Acqua F, Catani M, Petit L, Caruyer E, Daducci A, Dyrby TB, Holland-Letz T, Hilgetag CC, Stieltjes B, Descoteaux M. (2017) The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion tractography. Nat Commun. 8(1):1349. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01285-x.

Mandelstam SA. (2012) Challenges of the Anatomy and Diffusion Tensor Tractography of the Meyer Loop. Am J Neuroradiol 33:1204-10.

Mandonnet E, Sarubbo S, Petit L. (2018) The Nomenclature of Human White Matter Association Pathways: Proposal for a Systematic Taxonomic Anatomical Classification. Front Neuroanat 12: 94.

Mars RB, Foxley S, Verhagen L, Jbabdi S, Sallet J, Noonan MP, et al. (2016) The extreme capsule fiber complex in humans and macaque monkeys: a comparative diffusion MRI tractography study. Brain Struct Funct 221(8): 4059-4071.

Martínez-Molina N, Mas-Herrero E, Rodríguez-Fornells A, Zatorre RJ, Marco-Pallarés J. (2019) White Matter Microstructure Reflects Individual Differences in Music Reward Sensitivity. J Neurosci 39(25): 5018-5027.

Middlebrooks EH, Domingo RA, Vivas-Buitrago T, Okromelidze L, Tsuboi T, Wong JK, Eisinger RS, Almeida L, Burns MR, Horn A, Uitti RJ, Wharen RE Jr, Holanda VM, Grewal SS. (2020) Neuroimaging Advances in Deep Brain Stimulation: Review of Indications, Anatomy, and Brain Connectomics. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 41(9):1558-1568. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A6693.

Mirchandani AS, Beyh A, Lavrador JP, Howells H, Dell'Acqua F, Vergani F. (2020) Altered corticospinal microstructure and motor cortex excitability in gliomas: an advanced tractography and transcranial magnetic stimulation study. J Neurosurg. 1:1-9. doi: 10.3171/2020.2.JNS192994.

Mori S, Oishi K, Faria AV. (2009) White Matter Atlases Based on Diffusion Tensor Imaging. Curr Opin Neurol 22(4): 362-9.

Mori S, Wakana S, van Zijl PCM, Nagae-Poetscher LM. (2005) MRI Atlas of Human White Matter. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Moseley ME, Cohen Y, Mintorovitch J, Chileuitt L, Shimizu H, Kucharczyk J, Wendland MF, Weinstein PR. (1990) Early detection of regional cerebral ischemia in cats: comparison of diffusion- and T2-weighted MRI and spectroscopy. Magn Reson Med. 14(2):330-46. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910140218.

Nakajima R, Kinoshita M, Shinohara H, Nakada M. (2019) The superior longitudinal fascicle: reconsidering the fronto-parietal neural network based on anatomy and function. Brain Imaging Behav 2019; https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-019-00187-4.

Nozais V, Forkel SJ, Petit L, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (in press) Functionnectome: a framework to analyse the contribution of brain circuits to fMRI. Accepted in CommsBio, 10.1101/2021.01.06.425574

Ono M, Kubik S, Abernathey CD. (1990) Atlas of the cerebral sulci. Stuttgart: Thieme.

Pacella V, Foulon C, Jenkinson PM, Scandola M, Bertagnoli S, Avesani R, et al. (2019) Anosognosia for hemiplegia as a tripartite disconnection syndrome. Elife 8:e46075.

Palejwala AH, O'Connor KP, Pelargos P, Briggs RG, Milton CK, Conner AK, Milligan TM, O'Donoghue DL, Glenn CA, Sughrue ME. (2020) Anatomy and white matter connections of the lateral occipital cortex. Surg Radiol Anat. 42(3):315-328. doi: 10.1007/s00276-019-02371-z.

Pandya DN and Yeterian EH (1990). Architecture and connections of cerebral cortex: Implications for brain evolution and function. New York: The Guilford Press.

Panesar SS, Belo JTA, Yeh FC, Fernandez-Miranda JC. (2019) Structure, asymmetry, and connectivity of the human temporo-parietal aslant and vertical occipital fasciculi. Brain Struct Funct. 224(2):907-923. doi: 10.1007/s00429-018-1812-0.

Papagno C, Comi A, Riva M, Bizzi A, Vernice M, Casarotti A, Fava E, Bello L. (2017) Mapping the brain network of the phonological loop. Hum Brain Mapp. 38(6):3011-3024. doi: 10.1002/hbm.23569.

Parlatini V, Radua J, Whickers R, Maltezos S, Sanefuji M, Dell’Acqua F, et al. The anatomy of attentive brain networks predicts response to stimulant treatment in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), under review

Powell HWR, Parker GJM, Alexander D, Symms MR, Boulby PA, Wheeler-Kingshott CAM, et al. Hemispheric asymmetries in language-related pathways: A combined functional MRI and tractography study. Neuroimage 2006; 32(1): 388-399.

Puglisi G, Howells H, Sciortino T, Leonetti A, Rossi M, Conti Nibali M, Gabriel Gay L, Fornia L, Bellacicca A, Viganò L, Simone L, Catani M, Cerri G, Bello L. (2019) Frontal pathways in cognitive control: direct evidence from intraoperative stimulation and diffusion tractography. Brain. 142(8):2451-2465. doi: 10.1093/brain/awz178.

Rigoard P, Buffenoir K, Jaafari N, Giot JP, Houeto JL, Mertens P, et al. (2011) The accumbofrontal fasciculus in the human brain: a microsurgical anatomical study. Neurosurgery 68(4): 1102-11.

Rilling J, Glasser M, Jbabdi S, Andersson J, Preuss T. (2012) Continuity, Divergence, and the Evolution of Brain Language Pathways. Front Evol Neurosci 3:11.

Rockland KS. (2020) What we can learn from the complex architecture of single axons. Brain Struct Funct doi: 10.1007/s00429-019-02023-3

Rojkova K, Volle E, Urbanski M, Humbert F, Dell'Acqua F, Thiebaut de Schotten M. (2016) Atlasing the Frontal Lobe Connections and Their Variability Due to Age and Education: A Spherical Deconvolution Tractography Study. Brain Struct Funct 221(3): 1751- 66.

Rousselet GA, Pernet CR. (2012) Improving standards in brain-behavior correlation analyses. Front Hum Neurosci. 6:119. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00119.

Sanefuji M, Craig M, Parlatini V, Mehta M, Murphy DG, Catani M, et al. (2017) Double dissociation between the mechanism leading to impulsivity and inattention in Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A resting-state functional connectivity study. Cortex 86: 290-302.

Sarubbo S, Tate M, De Benedictis A, Merler S, Moritz-Gasser S, Herbet G, Duffau H. (2020) Mapping critical cortical hubs and white matter pathways by direct electrical stimulation: an original functional atlas of the human brain. Neuroimage. 205:116237. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116237.

Sasson E, Doniger GM, Pasternak O, Tararasch R, Assaf Y. (2013) White matter correlates of cognitive domains in normal aging with diffusion tensor imaging. Front. Neurosci. 7:32.

Schilling KG, Nath V, Hansen C, Parvathaneni P, Blaber J, Gao Y, Neher P, Aydogan DB, Shi Y, Ocampo-Pineda M, Schiavi S, Daducci A, Girard G, Barakovic M, Rafael-Patino J, Romascano D, Rensonnet G, Pizzolato M, Bates A, Fischi E, Thiran JP, Canales-Rodríguez EJ, Huang C, Zhu H, Zhong L, Cabeen R, Toga AW, Rheault F, Theaud G, Houde JC, Sidhu J, Chamberland M, Westin CF, Dyrby TB, Verma R, Rathi Y, Irfanoglu MO, Thomas C, Pierpaoli C, Descoteaux M, Anderson AW, Landman BA. (2019) Limits to anatomical accuracy of diffusion tractography using modern approaches. Neuroimage 185:1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.10.029.

Scholz J, Klein MC, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H. (2009) Training induces changes in white matter architecture. Nat Neurosci. 12(11): 1370–1371.

Schurr R, Filo S, Mezer AA. (2019) Tractography delineation of the vertical occipital fasciculus using quantitative T1 mapping. Neuroimage 202:116121. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116121.

Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH. (2002) Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. Neuroimage 17(3):1429-36. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1267.

Su M, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Zhao J, Song S, Zhou W, Gong G, et al. (2020) Influences of the early family environment and long-term vocabulary development on the structure of white matter pathways: A longitudinal investigation. Dev Cogn Neurosci 42:100767.

Takemura H, Pestilli F, Weiner KS, Keliris GA, Landi SM, Sliwa J, Ye FQ, Barnett MA, Leopold DA, Freiwald WA, Logothetis NK, Wandell BA. (2017) Occipital White Matter Tracts in Human and Macaque. Cereb Cortex. 27(6):3346-3359. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx070.

Talozzi L, Forkel SJ, Pacella V, Nozais V, Nachev P, Corbetta M, Thiebaut De Schotten M. (preprint) Large scale disconnectome prediction of individual symptom severity in chronic stroke

Tavor I, Parker Jones O, Mars RB, Smith SM, Behrens TB, Jbabdi S. (2016) Task-free MRI predicts individual differences in brain activity during task performance. Science 352(6282):216-220.

Thiebaut de Schotten M & Shallice T. (2017) Identical, similar or different? Is a single brain model sufficient? Cortex 86:172-175.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, Cohen L, Amemiya E, Braga LW, Dehaene S. (2012) Learning to Read Improves the Structure of the Arcuate Fasciculus. Cerebral Cortex 24(4): 989-995.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Forkel SJ, Simmons A, Vergani F, et al. (2011) A Lateralized Brain Network for Visuospatial Attention. Nat Neurosci 14(10): 1245- 6.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, ffytche DH, Bizzi A, Dell'Acqua F, Allin M, Walshe M, et al. (2011) Atlasing Location, Asymmetry and Inter-Subject Variability of White Matter Tracts in the Human Brain with MR Diffusion Tractography. Neuroimage 54(1):49-59.

Thiebaut de Schotten M, Foulon C, Nachev P. (2020) Brain disconnections link structural connectivity with function and behaviour. Nat Commun. 11(1):5094. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18920-9.

Thompson A, Murphy D, Dell'Acqua F, Ecker C, McAlonan G, Howells H., et al. (2017) Impaired Communication Between the Motor and Somatosensory Homunculus Is Associated with Poor Manual Dexterity in Autism Spectrum Disorder. Biol Psychiatry 81(3): 211- 219.

Uylings HBM, Rajkowska G, Sanz-Arigita E, Amunts K, Zilles K. (2005) Consequences of Large Interindividual Variability for Human Brain Atlases: Converging Macroscopical Imaging and Microscopical Neuroanatomy. Anat Embryol 210(5-6):423-31.

Vanderauwera J, De Vos A, Forkel SJ, Catani M, Wouters J, Vandermosten M, Ghesquière P. (2018) Neural Organization of Ventral White Matter Tracts Parallels the Initial Steps of Reading Development: A DTI Tractography Study. Brain Lang 183:32-40.

Vanderweyen DC, Theaud G, Sidhu J, Rheault F, Sarubbo S, Descoteaux M, Fortin D. (2020) The role of diffusion tractography in refining glial tumor resection. Brain Struct Funct. 225(4):1413-1436. doi: 10.1007/s00429-020-02056-z.

Vavassori L, Sarubbo S, Petit L. (2021) Hodology of the superior longitudinal system of the human brain: a historical perspective, the current controversies, and a proposal. Brain Struct Funct. 226(5):1363-1384. doi: 10.1007/s00429-021-02265-0.

Vergani F, Mahmood S, Morris CM, Mitchell P, Forkel SJ. (2014) Intralobar fibres of the occipital lobe: a post mortem dissection study. Cortex. 56:145-56. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2014.03.002.

Vergani F, Martino J, Morris C, Attems J, Ashkan K, Dell□Acqua F. (2016) Anatomic Connections of the Subgenual Cingulate Region. Neurosurgery 79(3) :465-72.

Vernooij MW, Smits M, Wielopolski PA, Houston GC, Krestin GP, van der Lugt A. (2007) Fiber density asymmetry of the arcuate fasciculus in relation to functional hemispheric language lateralization in both right- and left-handed healthy subjects: A combined fMRI and DTI study. Neuroimage 35(3): 1064-1076.

Vingerhoets G. (2019) Phenotypes in hemispheric functional segregation? Perspectives and challenges. Physics of life reviews 30:1-18.

Wasserthal J, Neher P, Maier-Hein KH. (2018) TractSeg - Fast and accurate white matter tract segmentation. Neuroimage 183:239-253.

Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Cercignani M. (2009) About "axial" and "radial" diffusivities. Magn Reson Med.;61(5):1255-60. doi: 10.1002/mrm.21965.

Whiting P, Rutjes AW, Reitsma JB, Bossuyt PM, Kleijnen J. (2003) The development of QUADAS: a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol. 10;3:25.

Yeatman JD, Rauschecker AM, Wandell BA. (2013) Anatomy of the Visual Word Form Area: Adjacent Cortical Circuits and Long-Range White Matter Connections. Brain Lang 125(2): 146-55.

Yeatman JD, Weiner KS, Pestilli F, Rokem A, Mezer A, Wandell BA. (2014) The vertical occipital fasciculus: a century of controversy resolved by in vivo measurements. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111(48):E5214-23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418503111.


Identification
Records identified using
MEDLINE (1333) and Scopus (2380)
1224 duplicates
removed
Screening
2489 abstracts screened for inclusion
2024 abstracts
excluded
Eligibility
466 full-text articles assessed for eligibility
140 full-text articles
excluded
Included
326 studies included in systematic review


A
Corticospinal tract
Cingulum
Uncinate fascicles
Arcuate fascicles
Corpus callosum
Thalamic radiations
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
ferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Striatal fibres
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Projection fibres
Fornix
Limbic network
Cerebellar peduncle
Frontal aslant tract
U-shaped fibers
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Vertical occipital fascicles
Optic fibres
Anterior comissura
Accumbofrontal pathway
0
20
40
60
80
Sensitivity (number of correlations)
Healthy Participants
Neurology
Psychiatry


B
Corpus callosum
Cingulum
Corticospinal tract
Uncinate fascicles
Arcuate Fasciculus
Thalamic radiations
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Fornix
Striatal fibres
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Projection fibres
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Limbic network
Cerebellar peduncle
Frontal aslant tract
U-shaped fibers
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Optic fibres
Accumbofrontal pathway
Vertical occipital fascicles
Anterior comissure
0
15
30
45
Popularity (number of studies)
Healthy Participants
Neurology
Psychiatry


Sensitivity
Popularity
A
Corticospinal tract
Corpus callosum
Cingulum
Uncinate fascicles
Thalamic radiations
Arcuate fascicles
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Projection fibres
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Cerebellar peduncle
Fornix
Striatal fibres
Frontal aslant tract
Limbic network
U-shaped fibers
Optic fibres
Vertical occipital fascicles
Accumbofrontal pathway
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Anterior comissura
0
14
28
42
56
70
Number of correlations in neurology
D
Corticospinal tract
Corpus callosum
Cingulum
Uncinate fascicles
Thalamic radiations
Arcuate Fasciculus
Projection fibres
Fornix
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Cerebellar peduncle
Striatal fibres
Limbic network
Frontal aslant tract
U-shaped fibers
Optic fibres
Accumbofrontal pathway
Vertical occipital fascicles
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Anterior comissure
0
10
20
30
40
50
Number of studies in neurology
B
Cingulum
Arcuate fascicles
Uncinate fascicles
Limbic network
Corpus callosum
Thalamic radiations
Striatal fibres
Projection fibres
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Fornix
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Corticospinal tract
U-shaped fibers
Cerebellar peduncle
Optic fibres
Anterior comissura
Frontal aslant tract
Vertical occipital fascicles
Accumbofrontal pathway
0
5
10
15
20
25
Number of correlations in psychiatry
E
Cingulum
Uncinate fascicles
Arcuate Fasciculus
Corpus callosum
Limbic network
Thalamic radiations
Fornix
Striatal fibres
Projection fibres
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Cerebellar peduncle
Optic fibres
Corticospinal tract
Frontal aslant tract
U-shaped fibers
Anterior comissure
Accumbofrontal pathway
Vertical occipital fascicles
0
5
10
15
20
25
Number of studies in psychiatry
C
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Arcuate fascicles
Striatal fibres
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Uncinate fascicles
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Thalamic radiations
Corpus callosum
Cingulum
Fornix
Frontal aslant tract
Limbic network
Projection fibres
Corticospinal tract
Vertical occipital fascicles
U-shaped fibers
Accumbofrontal pathway
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Cerebellar peduncle
Anterior comissura
Optic fibres
0
5
10
15
20
25
Number of correlations in healthy participants
F
Arcuate Fasciculus
Inferior longitudinal fascicles
Uncinate fascicles
Striatal fibres
Corpus callosum
Inferior fronto-occipital fascicles
Cingulum
Thalamic radiations
Fornix
Superior longitudinal fascicles
Limbic network
U-shaped fibers
Projection fibres
Corticospinal tract
Accumbofrontal pathway
Vertical occipital fascicles
Frontal aslant tract
Middle longitudinal fascicles
Cerebellar peduncle
Anterior comissure
Optic fibres
0
5
10
15
20
Number of studies in healthy participants


corticospinal tract
symptoms
motor
memory
language
executive
attention
cingulum
symptoms
visual
social
sleep
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
attention
corpus callosum
addiction
visual
symptoms
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
auditory
addiction
visual
arcuate fasciculus
symptoms
social
sleep
motor
memory
language
executive
auditory
arcuate fasciculus
anterior segment
long segment
posterior segment
symptoms
sleep
memory
language
executive
auditory
attention
motor
symptoms
social
motor
mood
memory
language
executive
auditory
attention
uncinate fasciculus
left hemisphere
right hemisphere
unspecified
2
10
20
30
40
50
number of correlations


A
Hemisphere
Right
24%
Commissures
19%
Unspecified
14%
Left
43%
B
Indices
RD
10%
AD
8%
Volume
8%
ADC
3%
Streamlines
3%
HMOA
1%
Others
4%
FA
48%
MD
16%