Analysis of Effectiveness of Quarantine Measures in Controlling COVID-19

Garima Kaushik[1*], Shrishti Kaushik[2†], Shaney Mantri[1‡], Dhananjay Kalbande[1§¶], B. N. Chaudhari[3||]

[1] *Computer Science, Sardar Patel Institute of Technology, Munshi Nagar, Andheri-West, Mumbai-400058, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.*
Tel.: +919820383928
[2] *Electronics and Telecommunication, Sardar Patel Institute of Technology, Mumbai, 400058, Maharashtra, India.*
Tel.: +917738907480
[3] *Sardar Patel Institute of Technology, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India*

Abstract

COVID-19 has created an interesting discourse among the people of the world particularly regarding preventive measures of infectious diseases. In this paper, the authors forecast the spread of the Coronavirus outbreak and study how the reduction of transmission rates influences its decline. The paper makes use of the SIR (Susceptible Infected Recovered) Model which is a deterministic model used in the field of epidemiology-based on differential equations derived from sections of the population. The Basic Reproduction Number (R_o) represents the criticality of the epidemic in numeric terms. Forecasting an epidemic provides insights about the geographic spreading of the disease and the case incidences required to better inform intervention strategists about situations that may occur during the outbreak. Through this research paper, the authors wish to provide an insight into the impact of control measures on the pandemic. By drawing a comparison of three countries and their quarantine measures, observations on the decline of the outbreak are made. Authors intend to guide the intervention strategies of under-resourced countries like India and aid in the overall containment of the outbreak.

Keywords: COVID-19, SIR Model, Differential Equations, Forecasting, Quarantine, Social Distancing

[*]E-mail: garima.kaushik@spit.ac.in
[†]E-mail: shrishti.kaushik@spit.ac.in
[‡]E-mail: shaney.mantri@spit.ac.in
[§]Corresponding author.
[¶]E-mail: drkalbande@spit.ac.in
[||]E-mail: bnc@spit.ac.in

1 Introduction

Infectious disease outbreaks result in huge losses. The 1918 Spanish Flu caused around 20,000,000 deaths (1). According to (2), approximately 3.3 billion people throughout the world are at the danger of contracting malaria, and a patient dies due to malaria every 60 seconds. Tuberculosis has become the deadliest disease as its death rate crossed that of AIDS. In South Africa, about 80% of the people suffer from latent tuberculosis with 450,000 confirmed cases of tuberculosis in 2013 (3; 4).

At the time of this research, 234,073 people had been confirmed to be infected by COVID-19. Six new regions have reported cases of COVID-19 (5). The total number of deaths touched 9840 (5). In this paper, estimation of COVID-19 cases is done for Italy, Iran and India. Followed by analysis of intervention measures enforced at different stages in China, Italy and Iran. The insights drawn aim to identify the best possible approach to control the COVID-19.

(6) presents the clinical qualities of the infection by analyzing 1099 patients across 552 hospitals in Mainland China. Of the 1099, 43.8% patients presented with fever whereas 88.7% developed this symptom during hospitalization and 67.8% had a cough. Only 3.8% presented with Diarrhea. The paper also defined the mean incubation period at 4 days. Of the 1099 patients, 926 patients were classified non severe and 173 patients were classified severe, on admission. The severe category of patients belonged to an older age group and had a higher prevalence of underlying illnesses as compared to non-severe patients. According to research on inanimate surfaces, human corona viruses have the capability to be infectious for up to 9 days at room temperature, however, at temperatures greater than or equal to 30 C, they are infectious for a shorter period (7).

Research on the effect and feasibility of containment measures has been carried out for the H1N1 outbreak in (8) by Brian J Coburn et al. and in (9) by Joel Hellewell et al. In (9) the paper assumed that isolation could prevent transmission completely. Up to a 1000 simulations were run by considering varying values of Ro, total cases that existed initially etcetera. It considered two factors: infections resulting from a single individual and transmission that occurred before symptoms appeared. The research agreed that it is crucial to quickly follow the onset of symptoms by isolation. The isolation delay factor, as well as the number of cases to begin with, had a major influence in containing the outbreak. Subclinical infections might go unreported and cause a surge in transmissions. The SIR Model which was introduced for modeling influenza came forth in the early 1900s (10). The paper (8) describes an extension of the SIR model with three new behavioral interventions- Q_s, Q_e, and Q_i. Where Q_s represents susceptible people that

can be quarantined, Q_e is asymptomatic and not yet infectious individuals that are quarantined and Q_i are infected individuals that can be quarantined once determined as such.

Discussions on how behavioral interventions that involve social distancing measures such as the closure of schools, quarantine or travel-based restrictions reduced the number of cases of influenza in (11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16). Some papers evaluate a combination of behavioral and biomedical interventions and determine them extremely effective (12; 15; 16). There is agreement among all papers and how implementing such strategies early on in the epidemic is the crucial part.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1

2.1.1 *The SIR Model*

The paper makes use of the SIR Model to forecast the progress of COVID-19. An SIR Model is a mathematical and an epidemiological model which generates the number of infections theoretically during a certain time period. The model derives its name from the coupled equations related to the susceptible population *S(t)* , the population infected *I(t)* , and the population that has recovered *R(t)*.

An individual who is susceptible can become infected. An infected individual may recover or die. But an infected individual cannot become susceptible again as inFigure 1.

FIGURE 1

Population flow for SIR Model

2.1.2 *Equations*

Three differential equations make the SIR Model. They are as follows (17):

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\beta}{N} IS \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{di}{dt} = \frac{\beta}{N} IS - \gamma I \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \gamma I \tag{3}$$

Where *t* is the time, *S(t)* represents the population susceptible to infection at *t*, *I = I(t)*represents the population infected at *t*, *R(t)* represents the population that has recovered at *t* .

β denotes the contact rate, and 1/ γ denotes the average infectious period. N denotes total population size. From the above three equations, we get a total population size N governed by the equation:

$$\frac{ds}{dt} + \frac{di}{dt} + \frac{dr}{dt} = 0 \tag{4}$$

i.e.

$N = S + I + R = constant$

For SIR Model, R_0 (Basic Reproduction Number) is obtained from the following equation:

$$Ro = \frac{\beta}{N\gamma} \tag{5}$$

2.1.3 *Forecasting of Italy*

The graph in the Figure 2 shows how the disease will progress in Italy according to the SIR model. This shows that after 55 days from 20th February 2020, specifically on 10th April 2020, the infection would reach its peak and infect around 31 million people in Italy, if no quarantine measures are implemented. The model predicted the value of confirmed cases on 20th March 2020 with an accuracy of 86%.

2.1.4 *Forecasting of India*

Considering the equations of the SIR model, Equations (1), (2) and (3) we try to simplify the assumption. Since the population in question is very large, the impact of the infection is insignificant relative to the size of the population. In a country of population 1.33 billion where the current number of deaths due to coronavirus is 3 (5), the percentage of infections is very small when compared to the population i.e approximately 2.24014E-7.

Therefore, Equation (2) becomes,

$$\frac{di}{dt} = (\frac{\beta}{N} - \gamma)I = \eta I \tag{6}$$



FIGURE 2

Representation of the Susceptible, Infected and Recovered population in Italy from 20th February 2020.

Where $\eta \equiv (\frac{\beta}{N} - \gamma)$

By integral calculus we have,

$$I = \alpha \exp \eta t + I(0) \tag{7}$$

Thus, Equation (6) shows us that the growth of the epidemic will initially be exponential as in Figure 3.

2.1.5 *Forecasting for Iran*

For forecasting the number of confirmed cases in Iran for 19th March 2020 and 20th March 2020, Equation (**??**) is used. Inspection ofFigure 4 reveals the exponential growth of the number of cases in Iran.



FIGURE 3

Diagrammatic view of Predicted vs Actual number of confirmed cases in India. Left vertical dashed line represents 19th March 2020 and right vertical dashed line represents 20th March 2020.

3 Discussion

A discussion of the different factors that influence a quarantine and the necessary precautions that must be taken for it are presented. We then delve into the measures put in place in China, Italy and Iran to determine how effective these strategies have been.

3.1

3.1.1 *Factors and Precautions*

The ability to anticipate the possible spread of the outbreak is important to devise strategies for effective resource allocation as well as implementing various intervention policies in favor of public health. For this, identification of appropriate factors is necessary.

Consideration of population density, geographic land area, and spatial demographic data is critical so as to curb the growth of the outbreak. Considering the mobility of humans is essential



FIGURE 4

Diagrammatic view of Predicted vs Actual number of confirmed cases in Iran. Left vertical dashed line represents 19th March 2020 and right vertical dashed line represents 20th March 2020.

in understanding the spread of the disease geographically but unfortunately, the data regarding the dynamics of mobility on spatial and temporal scales limit the accuracy of the forecast. Environmental and host susceptibility can help interpret the potential drivers of the infection and can improve the efficiency of forecasting and implementing policies of public interest (18).

A quarantine of 14 days must be taken by any person that comes in contact with a laboratory-confirmed case of infection. People in quarantine should be placed in spacious rooms with adequate ventilation facilities. Ensuring that people who are quarantined practice proper hand hygiene should be done. Environmental disinfection processes should be carried out consistently. Quarantined people should be examined daily (19).

3.1.2 *China*

On 31th December, China reported cases of an unknown respiratory illness in Wuhan to WHO. The laboratory-confirmed cases in China on 2nd January had reached 41. China started to work on identifying the novel virus and reported no new cases for the following 16 days. The number of cases rose to 62 in China on 20th January. The government of Wuhan went ahead with the celebration of the Chinese New Year with 40,000 families on the very same day. The first case, outside Wuhan, was reported on 19th January. The total number of cases increased to 548 on 22nd January.

During the first 20 days of January, very few quarantine measures were introduced and this led to more than 500 in less than 20 days. China did not act as strictly as was demanded by COVID-19 during its early stages. Wuhan (population : 11 million) being one of the most populous cities of China, did not help.

Quarantine measures were introduced in Wuhan on 23rd January 2020. Public transportation and outward bound flights and trains were suspended. The government of Wuhan advised citizens to use masks. Zhejiang, Guangdong, and Hunan announced the highest level of public health emergency; level 1. Various hospitals were assigned to receive patients suffering from fever of unknown cause. Wuhan began construction of special emergency hospitals to combat COVID-19. Every province in China had declared a level 1 public health emergency. Various tourist attractions and cinema halls were also closed. By 26^{th}January 2020, China began nationwide monitoring at airports, railway stations, bus stations and ports for testing and isolation of anyone infected. Wuhan suspended visa and passport services for Chinese citizens until 30th January. Interprovincial transport was halted in some provinces.

The percentage increase in cases was on a rise for the last 10 days of January (see Figure 5) due to the lack of quarantine measures during the early outbreak of the disease. Another reason could be the smaller measures that could have been implemented. For example, Schools in Beijing were closed until further notice on 26th January 2020. But Beijing still wasn't on lock down. By the end of January various malls were shut all over China, but some malls in Wuhan, the epicenter of the outbreak, were still operating for shorter periods of time. At the end of January, the number of cases in China were almost 10,000, most of them from Wuhan, Hubei with 200 deaths. This highlights the fact that China should have introduced quarantine measures sooner, not only to control its spread within China, but also to other countries.

February was marked by a decrease in the rate at which individuals were being infected and an increase in the recovering population Figure 6. To contain COVID- 19, Hubei implemented a stern policy which only allowed shop workers and one individual per household to be outside,



FIGURE 5

Plot of the percentage rate of change in the confirmed cases in China from 23rd January 2020 to 16th March 2020.

medical reasons being an exception. After a patient's feces tested positive for COVID-19 in Shenzhen, China also took measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 through sewage. On 3rd February 2020, the Government of Wuhan declared that all the patients who had been in any contact with an infected person should be quarantined. By 5th February, shelter hospitals were up and running in Wuhan for taking in the patients who weren't suspected or confirmed. To prompt citizens to go see a doctor in case of fever or cough, some provinces temporarily banned the sale of fever and cough medicines in retail pharmacies. Hubei didn't allow the sale



FIGURE 6

Representation of the number of recovered cases recorded in China rate from 23rd January 2020 to 16th March 2020.

of epidemic-related items at more than 15% of the stocking price. Even though there was a decline in the rate of increase of infections, the number of new cases were increasing.

The graph in Figure 5 starts becoming flat somewhere around 15th February 2020. Wuhan went on complete lock down on 13th February. By 16th February, no one was allowed to be out on the streets except for epidemic-related reasons and public places were closed. Not following any of these policies had various repercussions. The effect of these measures can be seen in Fig as by 20th February, the percentage increase in the number of infections in China was below 1%. Within the next 2-3 days, various provinces relaxed their public health emergency levels from level 1 to level 2 and 3. Wuhan relaxed its quarantine measures by allowing people to leave the city on certain conditions with continuous monitoring. People of Wuhan were still asked to take their temperature twice a day and report if it was higher than 99.1F. Those who had recovered from COVID-19 were put on a 14-day quarantine. By the end of February, various provinces began screening people coming from countries like Japan, South Korea etc.

In March, the number of new cases saw a huge drop and on 2nd March 2020, Wuhan closed its first hospital that was constructed for the epidemic. Wuhan closed 10 more of its COVID-19 hospitals. The current scenario is such that there are less than 10 new cases everyday. Their effective quarantine measures in the month of February have brought down their percentage increase in new cases to less than 0.1%.

3.1.3 *Italy*

The first cases of COVID-19 were confirmed in Italy on 31st January, travelers from Wuhan. They arrived in Italy on 23rd January 2020 and tested positive on 31st January 2020. The government introduced thermal screening and temperature checks at airports for international passengers.

After a lull of almost 20 days, 16 new cases were confirmed in Italy. Most of which were from Lombardy, where no one had tested positive earlier. The government of Italy didn't take strict precautions during the first 20 days of February. But, as the number of cases more than tripled from 21st February to 22nd February (20 to 62), they declared quarantine in some provinces of Northern Italy, covering around 50,000 people. Quarantine zones, called red zones, prohibited people from traveling except for medical reasons. These red zones had various checkpoints to monitor the entry and exit of people. But there were multiple cases of people easily escaping these red zones. Other parts of Italy quarantined people traveling from Northern Italy for 14-days.

By 24th February, 4 out of every 5 cases experienced mild or no symptoms. This came shortly after the surge in the number of cases from 22nd February. By 25th February, schools and colleges were closed and various companies instituted work from home. The number of cases became 1128 on 29th February.

The major reason for this was that initially, only patients coming from red zones were tested. But once all patients with symptoms were tested the number of cases in Italy increased. The more crucial period, was when asymptomatic-people didn't take necessary precautions and transmitted the disease unknowingly.

On 1st March Italy segmented its territory into red zones, yellow zones and the remaining parts implemented safety and hygiene regulations i.e., left public places open. Red zones quarantined the entire population, whereas yellow zones closed schools and cinema halls etc.

As the number of deaths crossed 100, on 4th March, Italy closed schools and universities until 15th March, with crowd control measures instituted.



FIGURE 7

Representation of the number of cases of Deaths recorded in Italy from 23rd January 2020 to 16th March 2020.

On 8th March, Italy placed more than 16 million people under quarantine in Lombardy and 14 other central and northern provinces, together with closure of several venues across the country. With the amount of cases at almost 10,000 and deaths more than 400, Italy went on complete lock down on 9th March. The government restricted travel except for necessity, work, and health circumstances. As a result, people flocked to the supermarkets and prison riots erupted. On March 11, it was announced by the Prime Minister to shut down all stores except grocery and pharmacy shops till 25th March. Closure of eateries and bars but not of public transportation or postal services was announced.

In Italy, the number of infected people and deaths were increasing at an alarming rate Figure 7. This can be attributed to the fact that Italy is marked with the second largest percentage of old people globally with as many as 28% of Italians falling in the age group of 60 and above. COVID-19 is known to be more lethal for older people due to their weaker and compromised immune systems. But the rate of increase of reported cases in Italy is worrisome. It highlights the measures that should have been taken by the Italian government such as conducting enough

tests during the early stages to contain COVID-19. Earlier detection of cases could have led to earlier isolation. Additionally, the red zones in Italy were not well-managed, further spreading the disease. The Italian government couldn't prepare their medical resources. Many medical facilities were operating at full capacity and therefore were unable to treat each and every patient. If Italy had been more proactive with testing people in the early days of February, then the situation could have been a lot better.

3.1.4 *Iran*



FIGURE 8

Representation of the number of Confirmed Cases recorded in Iran from 23^{rd}January 2020 to 16th March 2020.

Iran first reported a case of coronavirus on 19th February, when two people had tested positive in Qom. Both were announced dead later in the day. Despite a letter requesting limitations on the number of pilgrims at the shrines in Qom, they remained open for congregation in fact, one of the heads of the shrines encouraged visit to it on 27th February. It was only on 16th March

that this shrine along with a few others was closed. Protests followed outside the shrine and servants had to drive out the protesters.

By 22nd February, all concerts and cultural/ sports events were canceled. The Universities and Educational institutions were also closed in various provinces. On 26th February, the president announced their plans to Quarantine only the infected individuals and not entire provinces. Friday prayers were canceled in Tehran and other gravely infected regions. The number of reported cases had grown to 978Figure 8 of which 23 were members of the Parliament. By the next day, (2nd March) this number became 1501.

On 3rd March, Iran temporarily released more than 54,000 prisoners due to the spread of the coronavirus in crowded jails and announced plans to mobilize 300,000 soldiers/ volunteers. Several countries including, Singapore, India, New Zealand placed restrictions on travel to Iran. On 5th March, 591 new cases were observed. This was followed by the parliament being suspended and international travel being banned for government officials. There was an announcement of plans for checkpoints between different cities to limit travel. Schools and universities were closed 28th March. According to a report on 7th March, 1669 had recovered and 16000 had been hospitalized as suspected positives for testing purposes.
That Iran was making tremendous progress at its hospitals, with facilities made available for treatment in every province was reported by a WHO representative placed in Iran.

On 9th March, up to 70000 prisoners had been released temporarily. By 14th March, cases had risen to 12,729 and deaths to 611. A statement was made by the supreme leader on 19th March to forbid unessential travel. 85000 prisoners were released. The celebration of the traditional fire festival of Persians was forbidden.

The economic sanctions make Iran's health care system weaker and unable to deal with the outbreak. The public resentment towards containment measures and distrust of officials resulted in unsuccessful containment efforts. The clerics objected to a lock down and parts of the public were involved in acts of defiance.

4 Results

The forecasted values of confirmed cases for India and Iran are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively.

The accuracy of forecasting the confirmed cases in India and Iran obtained is 96.11% and 95.23% respectively.

TABLE 1

Predicted number of Confirmed Cases for India

Status	19^{th}March 2020	20^{th}March 2020
Actual	194	244
Predicted	199	232

TABLE 2

Predicted number of Confirmed Cases Italy

Status	19th March 202	20th March 2020
Actual	18407	19644
Predicted	19038	20830

5 Conclusion

Although China Implemented quarantine measures a little late, it made sure to correct the fallout by imposing strict regulations and taking the necessary steps. The release of medical resources as required reduced the infected population of China to a huge extent. The epicenter of the outbreak has now shifted to Italy with Iran becoming the third most affected country as declared by WHO. From the management of medical resources in Italy, one can infer that this outbreak must be taken seriously with more emphasis on precaution than cure.

Considering how infectious COVID-19 is, it is important to not underestimate the epidemic and take the quarantine measures at the right time. India currently has more than 150 cases (as of 20th March 2020). The first case was reported in Kerala on 30th January, a student from Wuhan. Iran became a contributor in spreading the disease to other countries as it did not have any strict regulations on international travel. India has rightly placed travel restrictions on all international flights.

To conclude, the right approach to decline of the COVID-19 outbreak lies heavily on quarantine measures imposed. Once screened, the tracing of contacts of the infected is crucial. This may be resource-intensive in a heavily populated country such as India. The next step is to ensure isolation of the infected and testing of their contacts. With the right resource planning and public collaboration, India can surely contain this outbreak.

6 Data Availabilty

The data set made use of in this paper is from WHO. It is also used by JHU in the interactive Map on their website. It is available to the public. This data set encompasses the cases

of Confirmed, Recovered and Deaths associated with coronavirus outbreak in as many as 157 countries/regions at the time of this research. The data used in this research spanned from the very beginning of cases in China as on 22nd January 2020 up to 20th March 2020.

7 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] Updating the accounts: global mortality of the 1918-1920 Spanish influenza pandemic. *Bull Hist Med*, 76(1):105–115, 2002.

[2] Kathy-Anne Strydom, Farzana Ismail, and John Frean. Plasmodium ovale: a case of not-so-benign tertian malaria. *Malaria Journal*, 13(1):85, 2014. 10.1186/1475-2875-13-85

[3] Thomas Ferkol and Dean Schraufnagel. The Global Burden of Respiratory Disease. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society*, 11(3):404–406, 2014. 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201311-405PS

[4] Hechang Chen, Bo Yang, Hongbin Pei, and Jiming Liu. Next generation technology for epidemic prevention and control: Data-driven contact tracking. *IEEE Access*, 7:2633–2642, 2018.

[5] WHO. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *WHO*, Situation Report 60, 2020.

[6] Nanshan Chen, Min Zhou, Xuan Dong, Jieming Qu, Fengyun Gong, Yang Han, Yang Qiu, Jingli Wang, Ying Liu, Yuan Wei, Jia'an Xia, Ting Yu, Xinxin Zhang, and Li Zhang. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *The Lancet*, 395(10223):507–513, Feb 2020. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7

[7] G. Kampf, D. Todt, S. Pfaender, and E. Steinmann. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 104(3):246–251, Mar 2020. 10.1016/j.jhin.2020.01.022

[8] Brian J. Coburn, Bradley G. Wagner, and Sally Blower. Modeling influenza epidemics and pandemics: insights into the future of swine flu (H1N1). *BMC Medicine*, 7(1):30, 2009. 10.1186/1741-7015-7-30

[9] Joel Hellewell, Sam Abbott, Amy Gimma, Nikos I. Bosse, Christopher I. Jarvis, Timothy W. Russell, James D. Munday, Adam J. Kucharski, W. John Edmunds, Fiona Sun, Stefan Flasche, Billy J. Quilty, Nicholas Davies, Yang Liu, Samuel Clifford, Petra Klepac, Mark Jit, Charlie Diamond, Hamish Gibbs, Kevin van Zandvoort, Sebastian Funk, and Rosalind M. Eggo. Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(4):e488–e496, Apr 2020. 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30074-7

[10] William Ogilvy Kermack, A. G. McKendrick, and Gilbert Thomas Walker. A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character*, 115(772):700–721, 1927. 10.1098/rspa.1927.0118

[11] Lisa Sattenspiel and D. Ann Herring. Simulating the effect of quarantine on the spread of the 1918-19 flu in Central Canada. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 65(1):1–26, 2003. 10.1006/bulm.2002.0317

[12] Neil M. Ferguson, Derek A. T. Cummings, Christophe Fraser, James C. Cajka, Philip C. Cooley, and Donald S. Burke. Strategies for mitigating an influenza pandemic. *Nature*, 442(7101):448–452, 2006. 10.1038/nature04795

[13] Simon Cauchemez, Alain-Jacques Valleron, and Pierre-Yves Bo

[14] Joshua M. Epstein, D. Michael Goedecke, Feng Yu, Robert J. Morris, Diane K. Wagener, and Georgiy V. Bobashev. Controlling Pandemic Flu: The Value of International Air Travel Restrictions. *PLOS ONE*, 2(5):1–11, 05 2007. 10.1371/journal.pone.0000401

[15] Ira M. Longini, Azhar Nizam, Shufu Xu, Kumnuan Ungchusak, Wanna Hanshaoworakul, Derek A. T. Cummings, and M. Elizabeth Halloran. Containing Pandemic Influenza at the Source. *Science*, 309(5737):1083–1087, 2005. 10.1126/science.1115717

[16] M. Elizabeth Halloran, Neil M. Ferguson, Stephen Eubank, Ira M. Longini, Derek A. T. Cummings, Bryan Lewis, Shufu Xu, Christophe Fraser, Anil Vullikanti, Timothy C. Germann, Diane Wagener, Richard Beckman, Kai Kadau, Chris Barrett, Catherine A. Macken, Donald S. Burke, and Philip Cooley. Modeling targeted layered containment of an in-

fluenza pandemic in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(12):4639–4644, 2008. 10.1073/pnas.0706849105

[17] Milan Batista. Estimation of the final size of the COVID-19 epidemic. 02 2020. 10.1101/2020.02.16.20023606

[18] Angel N. Desai, Moritz U. G. Kraemer, Sangeeta Bhatia, Anne Cori, Pierre Nouvellet, Mark Herringer, Emily L. Cohn, Malwina Carrion, John S. Brownstein, Lawrence C. Madoff, and Britta Lassmann. Real-time Epidemic Forecasting: Challenges and Opportunities. *Health Security*, 17(4):268–275, 2019. 10.1089/hs.2019.0022

[19] WHO. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *WHO*, Considerations for quarantine of individuals in the context of containment for coronavirus disease (COVID-19), 2020.


Deaths in Italy
Number of deaths
0
500
1000
1500
2000
2500
3000
Feb 24
Mar 02
Mar 09
Mar 16
Days


Prediction vs Actual for India
Number of Confirmed Cases
Date
Legend
Actual


Confirmed cases in Iran
Number of infected people
0
5000
10000
15000
Feb 24
Mar 02
Mar 09
Mar 16
Days


Prediction vs Actual for Iran
20000
15000
10000
5000
0
Number of Confirmed Cases
Feb 24
Mar 02
Mar 09
Mar 16
Date
Legend
Actual


Percentage Increase in Covid-19 cases in China
Percentage Increase in Number of Confirmed cases
0
20
40
60
80
Feb 01
Feb 15
Mar 01
Mar 15
Days


Rate of Recovery in China
Number of people recovered
Days

Susceptible
Infected
Recovered


SIR model of Italy
Number of people
Number of days from 20th February 20
0
50
100
150
200
susceptibles
infectious
recovered