Risk of COVID-19 is associated with long-term exposure to air pollution

Huaiyu Tian[1]*, Yonghong Liu[1]†, Hongbin Song[2]†, Chieh-Hsi Wu[3], Bingying Li[1], Moritz U.G. Kraemer[4, 5, 6], Pai Zheng[7], Xing Yan[1], Guang Jia[7], Yuxin Zheng[8]*, Nils Chr. Stenseth[9]*, Christopher Dye[4,10]*

[1]State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China.
[2]Center for Disease Control and Prevention of Chinese People's Liberation Army, Beijing, China.
[3]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.
[4]Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
[5]Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Boston, MA, USA.
[6]Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.
[7]Department of Occupational and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Peking University, China.
[8]School of Public Health, Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong, China.
[9]Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.
[10]Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.
*Corresponding author. Email: tianhuaiyu@gmail.com (H.T.); christopher.dye@zoo.ox.ac.uk (C.D.); n.c.stenseth@mn.uio.no (N.C.S.); yx_zheng@139.com (Y.Z.)

Introductory paragraph (150 words)

High risk of severe disease of COVID-19 has been associated with patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cardiovascular disease or hypertension[1], and long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ has been associated with COVID-19 mortality [2]. We collate individual level data of confirmed COVID-19 cases during the first wave of the epidemic in mainland China by March 6, 2020. We pair these data with a mobile phone dataset, covering human movements from Wuhan before the travel ban and inner-city movements during the time of emergency response from 324 cities in China. Adjusting for socio-economic factors, an increase of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ in NO_2 or $PM_{2.5}$ was found to be associated with a 22.41% (95%CI: 7.28%-39.89%) or 15.35% (95%CI: 5.60%-25.98%) increase in the number of COVID-19 cases, and a 19.20% (95%CI: 4.03%-36.59%) or 9.61% (95%CI: 0.12%-20.01%) increase in severe infection, respectively. Our results highlight the importance of air quality improvements to health benefits.

Maintext

The ongoing novel coronavirus (COVID-19) has rapidly spread across the world [3-5]. As more countries report local transmission of COVID-19, the country-based strategies are shifting from containment to delay and mitigation, aiming at flattening the epidemic curve and lower its peak.. As the virus (SARS-CoV-2) will induce respiratory stress, individuals with compromised respiratory system are expected to be more vulnerable to infection [6] and patients with pre-existing conditions are more vulnerable to severe disease. Meta-analysis reveals chronic obstructive

pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiovascular disease (CVD) and hypertension to be associated with severe COVID-19 infection and ICU admission [1].

Long-term average exposure to air pollution was known to impact on lower lung function and associated with increased COPD prevalence, cardiovascular disease and hypertension [7-9]. However, whether the vulnerability to lung diseases can impact COVID-19 risk have not yet been examined. Understanding on the modulation of air pollution exposure on COVID-19 infection and severity warrant investigation is required. This can help improving the future modeling and disease burden calculations in the globe.

In order to prevent further dissemination of COVID-19, Wuhan prohibited all transport in and out of the city on 23 January 2020. In the following days, cities across mainland China activated the highest level emergency response and contained the outbreaks outside Wuhan. The reinforced measures implemented by China during the COVID-19 outbreak have greatly curbed air pollution. A significant reduction in pollution level was observed across cities China. Data on cities (excluding Wuhan City) is well suited to this task because it exhibits widely distributed COVID-19 cases, high historic air quality heterogeneity, and has been surveyed comprehensively under consistent criteria and data standards across the country.

We used a well-tested research data platform that gathers nationwide human mobility, census data, air pollution data, smoking data from a nationwide survey, and socio-economic data [10]. The records we used consisted of reported COVID-19 cases for 324 cities (except Wuhan City) in mainland China between 31 December 2019 to 6 March 2020 (no newly reported locally acquired infections), mobile data of recorded movements (data provided by Tencent and Baidu location-based services, and telecommunications operators in China), census data (population, population density, and percent of the population older than 65-year old), smoking prevalence and second-hand smoking prevalence in non-smokers, gross domestic product (GDP) per capita, climate condition (temperature and rainfall), and six major air pollutant concentrations in terms of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, SO_2, CO, NO_2, and O_3. Here we present an analysis and quantification of the relationship between long-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 risk.

Between 31 December 2019 and 6 March 2020, 81,132 cases were reported across China. Among the reported cases, 62.6% (50783/81132) cases clustered in Wuhan City, the remaining 37.4% (30349/81132) cases distributed in 324 cities across China. After 6 March 2020, there are almost no locally acquired infections outside Wuhan City in the first wave. Given the sustained local spread in Wuhan City, that was not included in the subsequent analysis.

Given the high risk of COPD, CVD and hypertension on COVID-19 severity, we suspect that long-term exposure to air pollutant enhancing lung damage is associated with increased vulnerability to COVID-19 risk. In order to test this hypothesis, socio-demographic and behavioral confounders were identified through a literature search. We first investigated the effect of travel movements from Wuhan City, from where the COVID-19 firstly outbreak, for the first wave of COVID-19 spread across China. In 2020, during the Spring Festival holiday travel before the Wuhan travel ban, approximately 4.3 million people travelled out of Wuhan into other cities in

China, which is strongly associated with total number of cases reported from each cities (rho=0.81, P<0.01), suggesting the outbreaks across China was mainly seeded from Wuhan City.

In an attempt to contain the epidemic, on 23 January 2020, non-essential travel was prohibited in and out of Wuhan city, a major transport hub and conurbation of 11 million people. Since then China has implemented nationwide its highest level (Level 1) of emergency response to further contain the spread of COVID-19 within and among cities. Interventions included closure of entertainment venues, the suspension of within-city public transport, and prohibition of travel to and from other cities across China. Our result indicates such social distancing measures have significantly reduced the inner-city movements on average across cities in China comparing 2019 (Figure 2). The average air quality also significantly improved, compared to the same period in 2019 across cities in China. The changes in average daily $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, SO_2, CO, NO_2, and O_3 to be -7.02%, -19.25%, -15.06%, -5.46%, -20.17% and 5.01% in between 31 December and 6 March, respectively (Figure 2).

We also collected a range of confounding variables such as gross domestic product per capita and age composition (age > 65), together with travel movements from Wuhan and inner-city movements (as a measurement of social distancing), that are used as control variables in the subsequent statistical analysis. Population size of each city is not included in the model because of its high multicollinearity with travel movements from Wuhan (rho = 0.71, P<0.01). Moreover, the 3 billion Spring Festival holiday trips induced uncoordinated changes in actual population size across cities [11]. As expected, the number of COVID-19 cases in each city increased with passenger flow from Wuhan. Thus the more infections happened in those cities that had more travelers from Wuhan. Overall, we observed positive and significant associations between reported cases and severe cases of COVID-19 with historic air pollutant concentration (Table 1). In 324 cities (except Wuhan) that had data on air quality, an increase of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ in NO_2 or $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was associated with 22.41% (95%CI: 7.28%-39.89%) or 15.35% (95%CI: 5.60%-25.98%) increase in COVID-19 cases, respectively. Furthermore, we also examined the relationship between the number of severe COVID-19 cases and air pollutants, which indicates an increase of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ in NO_2 or $PM_{2.5}$ concentration was associated with 19.20% (95%CI: 4.03%-36.59%) or 9.61% (95%CI: 0.12%-20.01%) increase in severe COVID-19 cases, respectively. Results are statistically significant and robust to sensitivity analyses (Table S1-S3). Our results also highlighted the importance of air quality improvements to health benefits in recent years in China.

Our study is among the early studies [1,2] to evaluate the association between air pollution exposure and COVID-19 risk. Given the size of the study, we were able to investigate impacts of historic air pollution on (i) COVID-19 risk, and (ii) COVID-19 severity. The findings reported here are in keeping with previous findings that the air pollutants exposure were shown to be associated with lower lung function, or specifically measured by forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in 1 second [12-15]. Particulate matter (PM) is associated with increasing risks of cardiopulmonary diseases [16], and aggravate case fatality of severe acute respiratory syndrome (caused by another type of coronavirus) [17]. Oxidant pollutants could also impair the immune function and attenuate the efficiency of the lung to clear the virus in lungs [6]. Besides, nitrogen oxides (NO_X) can also cause inflammatory response and increase the risk of respiratory diseases

directly [18,19] (also shown in Comparative Toxicogenomics Database, http://ctdbase.org). However, the mechanisms underlying the impact on COVID-19 risk warrant further study.

Several important caveats are worth mentioning, as follows. Firstly, the data included here were all from mainland China, it is therefore the generalizability of findings to other countries without historic air population exposure and populations is not clear. Secondly, there currently lack the high-quality records of city-level sever COVID-19 infection and ICU admission. Although we have attempted to fill the gap by interpolating the city-level value using the province-level report. Finally, the exact amount of unascertained cases is not available, potentially including asymptomatic and mild-symptomatic cases, until a systematic survey of infection (e.g. by serological testing) across China. This maybe partly the reason variable Age>65 is insignificant. Nonetheless, we report a national-level disease pattern covering 324 cities and its association with long-term exposure to air pollutants.

Acknowledgements

We thank the thousands of CDC staff and local health workers in China who collected data and continue to work to contain COVID-19 in China and elsewhere. Funding for this study was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91643203, 81673234); Beijing Natural Science Foundation (JQ18025); Beijing Advanced Innovation Program for Land Surface Science; Young Elite Scientist Sponsorship Program by CAST (YESS)(2018QNRC001); H.T., M.U.G.K., and C.D. acknowledge support from the Oxford Martin School; HT acknowledges support from the Military Logistics Research Program. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, the decision to publish, or in preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions: H.T., P.Z., Y.Z., C.N.S., and C.D. designed the study. H.S. collected and processed the LBS data. Y. L., X.Y., and B. L. collected the statistical data. Y.L. and C.H.W. conducted the analyses. H.T., M.U.G.K., Y.Z., and C.D. edited the manuscript. H.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript. **Competing interests:** All other authors declare no competing interests.



Figure 1. Air pollution exposure, COVID-19, and travel movements in 324 cities of China during Spring Festival 2020. (A) Distribution of cities with data on NO_2 and COVID-19. The shading from light red to dark red represents the cumulative number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in each city from low to high, during 31 December 2019 and 6 March 2020. Points colored from blue to red represent the historic mean annual NO_2 concentration ($\mu g/m^3$) from low to high, during January 2015 and December 2019, before the COVID epidemic. (B) Association between the cumulative number of confirmed cases, the number of human movements from Wuhan to each city, and historic mean annual NO_2 concentration. The area of circles represents the cumulative number of cases reported by 6 March 2020.



Figure 2. (A) Average inner-city movements intensity and (B) air pollutant concentration among 324 cities in China during COVID-19 outbreak in 2020 (orange line), compared to the same period in 2019 (blue line).



Figure 3. Variation per unit and 95% confidence intervals, (A) NO_2 and (B) $PM_{2.5}$. The variation per unit (VPU) = [exp(variable coefficient) – 1] ×100%. VPU can be interpreted as percentage increase in the number COVID-19 cases associated with a 10 $\mu g/m^3$ in long term average NO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$. The VPU from the main analysis is adjusted by confounding factors (Inflow movements from Wuhan City before travel ban and peak time of inflow, inner-city movements, GDP, smoking prevalence, second-hand smoking prevalence in non-smokers, percent of the population >65, population, population density, climate, spatial distribution). In sensitivity analyses, we omit confounding factor, separately, and use seasonal air pollutant concentrations.

Supplemental Information

Materials and Methods

Data sources

Epidemiological, demographic and geographical data

We collected data from the official reports of the health commission of 324 city-level units excluding Wuhan City, including daily reports from 31 December 2019 to 6 March 2020, no newly reported locally acquired infections. Percentage of severe COVID-19 cases were obtained from official reports of Provincial Health Committees. Age structure for each city was obtained from the Sixth National Population Census of the People's Republic of China. Socioeconomic data, including the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita in 2016, were obtained from China City Statistical Yearbook.

Human mobility data

Human movements were tracked with mobile phone data from Baidu location-based services (LBS) and telecommunications operators. The number of recorded movements from Wuhan City to other cities across China were calculated, since 11 January 2020 to 23 January 2020, on which day the movement outflows from Wuhan nearly close to zero, because of the Wuhan travel ban on 23 January 2020. The travel movements within each city was recorded by 6 March.

Data for air pollution

Original daily data for particulate matter concentrations, including $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, SO_2, CO, NO_2, and O_3 for each city are obtained from air quality stations across China from January 2015 to March 2020. For each city, the average concentration for each pollutant before the COVID-19 outbreak (January 2020) is calculated during the time period available.

Statistical methods

In order to quantify the effect of air pollution on COVID-19 risk, we used the historical data for air quality between 2015 and 2019 and COVID-19 case report. Socio-demographic and behavioral confounders were identified though literature search. The association between long-term exposure to air pollutants and and COVID-19 risk was assessed by regression with a generalized linear model (GLM).

$$Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\mu_i, \theta\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\log\left(\mu_{it}\right) = {} & \alpha + \beta_1 Flow_i + \beta_2 Peak\ time\ of\ \ flow_i + \beta_3 Inner\text{-}city\ movements_i + \beta_4 GDP_i + \\
& \beta_5 Smoking\ \ prevalence_i + \beta_5 Second\text{-}hand\ \ smoking\ \ prevalence\ in\ non\text{-}smokers_i + \\
& \beta_6\, percent\ of\ \ the\ \ population\ older\ than\ 65 - year\ old_i + \\
& \beta_7 Population_i + \beta_8 Population\ density_i + \\
& \beta_9 Temperature_i + \beta_{10} Rainfall_i + \\
& \beta_{11} PM_{2.5,i}{}^* + \beta_{12} PM_{10,i}{}^* + \beta_{13} SO_{2,i}{}^* + \beta_{14} CO_i{}^* + \beta_{15} NO_{2,i}{}^* + \beta_{16} O_{3,i}{}^*
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

where $Flow_i$ is the the passenger volume from Wuhan to city *i* during the Spring Festival travel 2020 before Wuhan travel ban, and *Peak time of flow* reflects corresponding peak time. *Inner-city movements*$_i$ represents the effect of social distancing, such as suspending intra-city public transport, closing entertainment venues and banning public gatherings, which is measured by daily human mobility within a city between travel ban and 6 March 2020 of city *i*, obtained from Baidu location-based service. GDP is the gross domestic product per capita of city *i*. *Smoking prevalence* and *second-hand smoking prevalence in non-smokers* were obtained from the Chinese National Nutrition and Health Survey (NNHS) [20,21]. Proportion of residents older than 50, 65, and between 30-79, population and population density of city *i* are exacted from census data. Climate conditions were represented by *temperature* and *rainfall* in summer and winter. *Latitude* and *longitude* represent the spatial distribution of city *i*. $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, SO_2, CO, NO_2, and O_3 represent daily average concentration data of air pollutants of city *i* between 1 January 2015 to 31 December 2019.*variables were included in the model separately because of the high multicollinearity among air pollutants. βs are regression coefficients. The analysis was performed backward stepwise regression using the R software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, version 3.6.3), MASS package.

Sensitivity analysis

We conduct additional sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our results. (i) First, we fit models omitting confounding factor, separately. (ii) Treating NO_2 and $PM_{2.5}$ as categorical variable (categorized at empirical quintiles). (iii) Using air pollutant concentrations in winter and non-winter to replace the annual average.

Results

The detailed results are presented in Table S1.

Table S1. Impact of the historic air pollution exposure on COVID-19 risk.

	Confirmed COVID-19 cases			**Severe COVID-19 cases**		
Covariates	Coefficient	std	P	Coefficient	std	P
Intercept	2.630	0.538	<0.001	0.769	0.509	0.132
Inner-city movements	-1.065	0.139	<0.001	-0.778	0.137	<0.001
Percent of population older than 65	0.069	0032	0.030	-0.054	0.035	0.129
Inflow from Wuhan	0.012	0.001	<0.001	0.030	0.009	<0.01
Peak of inflow from Wuhan	0.108	0.020	<0.001	0.074	0.023	<0.01
Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter	0.026	0.009	<0.01	0.027	0.007	<0.001
NO_2*	0.020	0.007	<0.01	0.018	0.007	<0.01
$PM_{2.5}$*	0.014	0.004	<0.01	0.009	0.004	0.034
PM_{10}*	0.002	0.003	0.365	0.001	0.002	0.733
SO_2*	-0.016	0.008	0.050	-0.006	0.006	0.344
CO*	-0.013	0.246	0.958	-0.049	0.212	0.817
O_3*	-0.006	0.005	0.249	-0.010	0.005	0.049

†only significant variables were shown.

*variables were included in the model separately because of the high multicollinearity among air pollutants.

Table S2. NO_2-Sensitivity analysis.

	Confirmed COVID-19 cases		Severe COVID-19 cases	
Covariates	Variation per 10μg/m^3 (95%CI)	P	Variation per 10μg/m^3 (95%CI)	P
Main analysis	22.41% (7.28%, 39.89%)	<0.01	19.20% (4.03%, 36.59%)	<0.01
Omit # Inflow	24.22% (3.69%, 49.29%)	0.020	20.22% (2.29%, 41.31%)	0.026
Omit # Minimum inner-city movements	55.63% (35.29%, 79.21%)	<0.001	45.43% (27.74%, 65.57%)	<0.001
Omit # Percent of population older than 65	25.59% (10.21%, 43.33%)	<0.001	16.50% (1.98%, 33.09%)	0.025
Omit # Peak of inflow from Wuhan	15.04% (0.28%, 32.18%)	0.048	16.51% (1.46%, 33.79%)	0.030
Omit # Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarte	20.45% (5.48%, 37.73%)	<0.01	17.31% (2.10%, 34.80%)	0.024
Categorize NO_2 into quintiles				
Q1 (<20μg/m^3)	-	-	-	-
Q2 (20-30μg/m^3)	117.22% (31.23%, 284.65%)	<0.01	52.60% (6.65%, 118.36%)	0.021
Q3 (30-40μg/m^3)	107.31% (24.36%, 269.36%)	<0.01	29.10% (-11.71%, 88.76%)	0.187
Q4 (40-50μg/m^3)	215.77% (83.47%, 476.86%	<0.001	109.41% (31.25%, 234.12%)	<0.01
Q5 (>50μg/m^3)	163.42% (8.93%, 504.61%)	0.026	180.93% (16.74%, 575.01%)	0.021
Use NO_2 in winter	14.45% (2.79%, 27.68%)	0.015	12.94% (1.50%, 25.66%)	0.026
Use NO_2 in non-winter	24.95% (8.79%, 43.66%)	<0.01	21.50% (4.94%, 40.68%)	<0.01

Table S3. $PM_{2.5}$-Sensitivity analysis.

	Confirmed COVID-19 cases		**Severe COVID-19 cases**	
Covariates	Variation per 10μg/m^3 (95%CI)	P	Variation per 10μg/m^3 (95%CI)	P
Main analysis	15.35% (5.60%, 25.98%)	<0.01	9.61% (0.12%, 20.01%)	0.034
Omit # Inflow	30.24% (15.91%, 46.70%)	<0.001	16.41% (4.73%, 29.39%)	<0.01
Omit # Minimum inner-city movements	21.67% (9.08%, 35.62%)	<0.001	19.94% (8.97%, 32.02%)	<0.001
Omit # Percent of population older than 65	17.25% (7.67%, 27.74%)	<0.001	7.85% (-1.24%, 17.78%)	0.092
Omit # Peak of inflow from Wuhan	16.24% (5.79%, 27.73%)	<0.01	10.09% (0.415 , 20.72%)	0.041
Omit # Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarte	10.61% (1.66%, 20.21%)	0.019	7.01% (-2.38%, 17.31%)	0.147
Categorize $PM_{2.5}$ into quintiles				
Q1 (<25μg/m^3)	-	-	-	-
Q2 (25-35μg/m^3)	31.34% (-34.21%, 202.21%)	0.478	28.10% (-21.12%,108.02%)	0.316
Q3 (35-45μg/m^3)	137.68% (20.85%, 442.36%)	0.023	26.04% (-23.17%, 106.77%)	0.358
Q4 (45-55μg/m^3)	278.37% (91.85%, 766.05%)	<0.001	74.19% (2.47%, 196.13%)	0.040
Q5 (>55μg/m^3)	164.94% (32.41%, 508.69%)	0.012	51.79% (-9.90%, 155.72%)	0.116
Use $PM_{2.5}$ in winter	6.06% (1.32%, 11.00%)	0.012	4.17% (-0.84%, 9.43%)	0.103
Use $PM_{2.5}$ in non-winter	22.97% (9.32%, 38.30%)	<0.001	13.93% (1.20%, 28.27%)	0.031

References

1 Jain, V. & Yuan, J.-M. Systematic review and meta-analysis of predictive symptoms and comorbidities for severe COVID-19 infection. *medRxiv*, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.1103.1115.20035360 (2020).

2 Wu, X., Nethery, R. C., Sabath, B. M., Braun, D. & Dominici, F. Exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States. *medRxiv*, 2020.2004.2005.20054502, doi:10.1101/2020.04.05.20054502 (2020).

3 Anderson, R. M., Heesterbeek, H., Klinkenberg, D. & Hollingsworth, T. D. How will country-based mitigation measures influence the course of the COVID-19 epidemic? *Lancet* **395**, 931-934 (2020).

4 Chinazzi, M. *et al.* The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science*, DOI: 10.1126/science.aba9757 (2020).

5 Kraemer, M. U. G. *et al.* The effect of human mobility and control measures on the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science*, doi: 10.1126/science.abb4218 (2020).

6 Qu, G., Li, X., Hu, L. & Jiang, G. An imperative need for research on the role of environmental factors in transmission of novel coronavirus (COVID-19). *Environ Sci Technol* **54**, 3730-3732, doi:10.1021/acs.est.0c01102 (2020).

7 Götschi, T., Heinrich, J., Sunyer, J. & Künzli, N. Long-term effects of ambient air pollution on lung function: a review. *Epidemiology* **19**, 690-701 (2008).

8 Doiron, D. *et al.* Air pollution, lung function and COPD: results from the population-based UK Biobank study. *Eur Respir J* **54**, 1802140 (2019).

9 Liu, C. *et al.* Ambient particulate air pollution and daily mortality in 652 cities. *New Eng J Med* **381**, 705-715 (2019).

10 Tian, H. *et al.* An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science*, DOI: 10.1126/science.abb6105 (2020).

11 Simiao, C., Juntao, Y., Weizhong, Y., Chen, W. & Till, B. COVID-19 control in China during mass population movements at New Year. *Lancet* **395**, 764-766 (2020).

12 Urman R *et al.* Associations of children's lung function with ambient air pollution: joint effects of regional and near-roadway pollutants. *Thorax* **69**, 540-547 (2014).

13 Adam M *et al.* Adult lung function and long-term air pollution exposure. ESCAPE: a multicentre cohort study and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* **45**, 38-50 (2015).

14 Forbes LJ *et al.* Chronic exposure to outdoor air pollution and lung function in adults. *Thorax* **64**, 657-663 (2009).

15 Ackermann-Liebrich U *et al.* Lung function and long term exposure to air pollutants in Switzerland. Study on Air Pollution and Lung Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA) Team. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **155**, 122-129 (1997).

16 Chen, R. *et al.* Associations between coarse particulate matter air pollution and cause-specific mortality: A nationwide analysis in 272 Chinese cities. *Environ Health Perspect* **127**, 017008, doi:10.1289/EHP2711 (2019).

17 Cui, Y. *et al.* Air pollution and case fatality of SARS in the People's Republic of China: an ecologic study. *Environ Health* **2**, 15, doi:10.1186/1476-069X-2-15 (2003).

18 Conticini, E., Frediani, B. & Caro, D. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in Northern Italy? *Environ Pollut* **4**, 114465,

314 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114465 (2020).
315 19 Takahashi Y, Mochitate K & Miura T. Abstract. Subacute effects of nitrogen dioxide on
316 membrane constituents of lung, liver, and kidney of rats. *Environ Res* **41**, 184-194 (1986).
317 20 Xia, C. *et al.* Provincial-level cancer burden attributable to active and second-hand smoking in
318 China. *Tob Control* **28**, 669-675 (2019).
319 21 Wu, Y. *et al.* Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in China: data
320 from the China National Nutrition and Health Survey 2002. *Circulation* **118**, 2679-2686
321 (2008).
322