Deaths from Covid-19: Who are the forgotten victims?
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Abstract

Background: With the spreading global pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) there has been disruption to normal clinical activity in response to the increased demand on health services. There are reports of a reduction in non-Covid-19 emergency presentations. Consequently, there are concerns that deaths from non-Covid-19 causes could increase. We examined recent reported population-based mortality rates, compared with expected rates, and compared any excess in deaths with the number of deaths attributed to Covid-19.

Methods: National agency and death registration reports were searched for numbers of deaths attributed to Covid-19 and overall mortality that had been publicly reported by 16 April 2020. Data on the number of deaths attributed to Covid-19, the total number of deaths registered in the population and the historical average over at least 3 years were collected. Data were available for 3 Northern European countries (England & Wales, Scotland and the Netherlands) and New York State, United States of America.

Results: There was an increase in observed, compared with expected, mortality in Scotland (+27%), England and Wales (+35%), the Netherlands (+60%) and New York state (+26%). Of these deaths, only 43% in Scotland and England and Wales, 49% in the Netherlands and 30% in New York state were attributed to Covid-19 leaving a number of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19.

Conclusions: A substantial proportion of excess deaths observed during the current COVID-19 pandemic are not attributed to COVID-19 and may represent an excess of deaths due to other causes.

Funding: None
INTRODUCTION

Since the first report of a novel pneumonic illness in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2) has spread globally causing a pandemic that, at the time of writing, has infected approximately 2 million people and resulted in over 145,000 deaths attributed to the illness it causes, coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19).\(^1\)\(^2\) Most official reports of Covid-19-related mortality are based on hospital data with variable inclusion of deaths in the community, possibly resulting in under-recognition of the full impact of SARS-Cov-2 on population death rates. The huge influx of Covid-19 patients into hospitals in affected countries has led to disruption of usual clinical activity, with cancellation of elective in-patient and outpatient activity.\(^3\)\(^5\) In many cases, normal ambulatory care has been replaced by remote management using a variety of telemedicine approaches.\(^6\) Even non-Covid-19 related emergency department activity has decreased markedly in many countries, as reported in a previous Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome outbreak.\(^7\)\(^8\) As a result, there is concern that deaths from non-Covid-19 causes could increase, due to reduced routine care. One way to address these two questions is to examine recent observed population-based mortality rates, compared with expected rates, and compare any excess in deaths with the number of deaths attributed to Covid-19. We have analysed these data for 4 countries which have provided such data on a routine basis for at least 5 years.
METHODS

We examined recent changes in population mortality following the outbreak of Covid-19 in Northern European Countries with similar population characteristics, universal health care systems and rapid and complete reporting of data on deaths. The countries identified also had outbreaks of Covid-19 starting at approximately the same time. We included the constituent countries of the United Kingdom which collect, collate and report national data separately (for the purposes of this report, England and Wales were considered one country as their data are reported together) and the Netherlands in this analysis. The first case of Covid-19 was reported England 31 January and the first death 5 March 2020. These dates were 27 February/6 March for the Netherlands, 28 February/16 March for Wales and 1 March/13 March for Scotland.

The populations of the countries studied were: Scotland 5,438,100, England and Wales 59,116,000, and the Netherlands 17,213,114, based on published 2018 mid-year estimates. For comparison we examined data from New York State (and New York city) for the period 26 January 2020 to April 11 2020 (state population 19,542,209, city population 8,398,748). The first case of Covid-19 was reported in New York State 1 March and the first death 14 March 2020. As this analysis utilised publicly available national statistics, no ethical approval was required.

For the European countries included and New York, we extracted reports of the numbers of deaths related to Covid-19 published by national agencies and death registration authorities that had been publicly reported by 16 April 2020.\textsuperscript{6-12} Data on the number of deaths due to Covid-19, and the total number of deaths registered in the population over the same period were collected. Historical numbers of deaths or average number of deaths from 2015-2019 for the same week were extracted from available records (data were available only for 2015-2018 for New York State and 2015-2017 for New York City). The number of excess deaths was defined as the difference between the observed number of deaths and the number of deaths expected according to the observed number from 2015-2019. The number of deaths related to Covid-19 was then deducted from the excess.
deaths to calculate the number of non-Covid-19 defined excess deaths. Numbers of deaths were also converted into a rates per million population using 2018 mid-year population estimates and 95% confidence intervals computed. All analyses were carried out using Stata software, version 16 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).
RESULTS

United Kingdom

Scotland: There were 604 excess deaths in Scotland in the period 23 March to 5 April 2020 compared to the same period in 2015-2019 (an increase of 27%). Of these excess deaths only 344 (57%) were recorded as related to Covid-19 (Figure 1). As a result, the death rate for the 2-week period examined rose from an expected 408 per million (95% confidence interval [CI] 391 to 425), based on the 5-year average, to 519 per million of population (95% CI 499 to 538). The rate of excess deaths attributed to Covid-19 was 63 per million, and the rate of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 was 48 per million of population (95% CI 42 to 54).

England and Wales: In England and Wales there were 7093 excess deaths in the period 21 March to 3 April 2020, a 35% increase from the prior 5-year average. Of these excess deaths, 4014 (57%) were Covid-19-related. As a result, the death rate rose from an expected of 346 per million (95% CI 341 to 350) to 466 per million of population (95% CI 460 to 471). The rate of Covid-19-related deaths was 68 per million (95% CI 66 to 70), and the rate of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 was 52 per million of population (95% CI 50 to 54).

Netherlands

In the Netherlands the number of deaths increased from an expected 5953 (based on the prior 5-year average) to 9523 (60% increase) over the period 23 March to 5 April 2020. Of the 3,570 excess deaths, 1814 (51%) were related to Covid-19. As a result, the overall death rate rose from an expected 346 per million (95% CI 337 to 355) to 553 per million of population (95% CI 542 to 565). The rate of Covid-19-related deaths was 105 per million (95% CI 101 to 110), and the rate of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 was 102 per million of population (95% CI 97 to 107).

New York State
In the New York state the number of deaths increased from an expected 34835 (based on the prior average from 2015-2018) to 43962 (26% increase) over the period 26 January to 11 April 2020. Of the 9127 excess deaths, 6402 (70%) were related to Covid-19. As a result, the overall death rate for the 11-week period rose from an expected 1783 per million (95% CI 1765 to 1801) to 2250 per million of population (95% CI 2229 to 2281). The rate of Covid-19-related deaths was 328 per million (95% CI 320 to 336), and the rate of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 was 139 per million of population (95% CI 134 to 145). The equivalent figures for New York city were a 62% increase from 12088 expected deaths (based on 2015-2017 average) to 19569 deaths over the period 26 January to 11 April 2020. Of the 7481 excess deaths, 4483 (60%) were related to Covid-19. As a result, the overall death rate rose from an expected 1439 per million (95% CI 1414 to 1465) to 2329 per million of population (95% CI 2298 to 2363). The rate of Covid-19-related deaths was 534 per million (95% CI 518 to 550), and the rate of excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 was 357 per million of population (95% CI 344 to 370). After averaging the rates in to a 7 day period, similar rates of deaths and excess Covid-19 and non-Covid-19 deaths were observed.
DISCUSSION

The data analysed in this study of countries currently affected by the Covid-19 pandemic clearly show that there has been a substantial increase (ranging from 27 to 62%) in observed, compared with expected, mortality at a population level. The increase in mortality is not wholly explained by deaths attributed to Covid-19. Indeed, only between 51 and 60% of the excess in deaths can be explained by official Covid-19 reports. The data from New York state support these findings, with an even more striking picture in New York city. The two most likely explanations for the discrepancy between the overall excess of deaths and the extra deaths explained by Covid-19 are either there are additional deaths caused (or contributed to) by Covid-19, but not recognised as such, or that there is an increase in deaths from non-Covid-19 causes, potentially resulting from diminished routine diagnosis and treatment of other conditions. We believe that both are likely. In many reports of mortality attributed to Covid-19, the focus has been on deaths occurring in hospital. Similarly, in some countries due to limited testing for SARS-Cov-2 infection, deaths among elderly people in care homes and other places potentially caused (or contributed to) by Covid-19 are not attributed to that condition.¹³ There are anecdotal reports of people with Covid-19-like symptoms frightened to attend hospital or choosing to remain at home because of fear of dying in isolation from their family in hospital.

The latter behaviours may also be relevant to deaths from non-Covid-19 causes. Not only has elective clinical activity almost ceased in many countries but emergency presentations have also declined markedly ⁸ and or some conditions, for example acute coronary syndromes, this could have fatal consequences.⁵,¹⁴ Rates of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) have declined and even where PPCI services have continued, disruption of normal working, including staff shortages due to illness or redeployment, and even donning personal protection equipment may have lengthened time to reperfusion. Even return to use of thrombolysis has been mooted.¹⁵ The same considerations apply to acute stroke.¹⁶ There has also been concern about re-supply of essential medicines to patients and even the impact of public discussion of the safety ACE inhibitors.
and ARBs which are life-saving treatments in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction and in high-risk survivors of myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{17} Similar concerns have also been raised about patients with cancer, chronic kidney disease and diabetes, for obvious reasons.\textsuperscript{18}-\textsuperscript{20} If not already, in due course, mental health problems and domestic violence may also add to the non-Covid-19 excess in mortality, although reduction in industrial deaths, fatal road traffic accidents and deaths related to air pollution may offset increases from these other causes.\textsuperscript{21,22}

Our analysis of three European countries, with New York state and city added for comparison, was driven by availability of the required data. It would have been of interest to include Italy and Spain in these analyses. Data on overall mortality were available for some regions in Italy: in the North, most affected by the pandemic, there was a 65\% increase in the numbers of deaths observed, compared to expected, in the period from the time of the first reported Covid-19 case to the beginning of April.\textsuperscript{23} Similar data for Central and Southern regions, areas less affected by the pandemic, showed a 10\% increase in the observed number of deaths, compared to expected. We could not obtain Spanish national data for the dates of our analysis in the other countries and Spain is also in a different phase of its Covid-19 outbreak. However, Spanish data for the period 17 March to 11 April 2020, spanning the peak weeks of the pandemic in that country, show a substantial increase in the number of deaths observed, compared to expected.\textsuperscript{24} Unlike the other countries we studied, most of the excess mortality was attributed to Covid-19. However, we are unsure as to the procedures for reporting of death and attribution of cause in Spain, compared with the UK and Netherlands. Comparison with other nations is further complicated by variations in the trajectory of the pandemic in individual countries. For example, in Denmark, a north European country, similar to the others we studied, and with a national health service, the epidemiology of the pandemic has been very different. With a total of 6511 cases in a population of 5.8 million, only 299 COVID-19 related deaths have been reported from as of 14 April 2020. However, there may be more of a delay in reporting deaths in Denmark than in the other countries. Population density and transport links are also likely to be important as illustrated here by the comparison between New York state and city and the
reports from many countries of worse outbreaks in large urban areas and cities with busy international airports.

Clearly it will take time to fully explain the trends we have described and, especially, to quantify the exact causes of the excess of non-Covid-19 deaths. Even then it may be difficult to accurately determine whether Covid-19 caused or contributed to death. In patients requiring mechanical ventilation for Covid-19 induced respiratory failure it may be appropriate to attribute cause of death to Covid-19 but other patients may have the cause attributed to Covid19 while dying from worsening heart failure or unidentified pulmonary thromboembolism. If it is correct that the Covid-19 pandemic has had a detrimental effect on medical care more generally, other “downstream” consequences are likely. Non-Covid-19 hospital admissions may rebound as chronic conditions destabilise. Failure to diagnosis and treat new events may have other delayed consequences, for example the development of heart failure in sub-optimally treated or untreated acute myocardial infarction and stroke in patients with untreated transient ischaemic attack.

What are the implications of these findings and why is it important to highlight them now rather than wait for the more granular information that will become available in time? We believe that the public health message to “stay at home” needs to be more nuanced, with an equally clear message to patients with symptoms suggestive of an acute coronary syndrome, stroke and worsening of chronic heart failure to still seek urgent medical attention. This may need reconfiguration of admission procedures to avoid mixing of suspected Covid-19 patients and other emergencies. Similarly, our findings reinforce the necessity of efforts being made to adapt ambulatory secondary care, and primary care, services to enable the continued delivery of essential treatment to high risk patients with chronic diseases and the triage of newly presenting individuals with potentially life-threatening conditions. Few countries currently report mortality data quickly enough to allow identification of the excess in deaths we have highlighted. Rapid reporting of deaths should not be difficult and is essential to identify a problem like this, early, and the impact of efforts to diminish it.
Similarly, there is a need to standardise reporting of mortality during the pandemic, as discussed above. If done quickly, this will allow facilitate cross-country comparisons of approaches to containment and identification of successes and failures that might help guide global strategies. If there is an excess of non-Covid-19 deaths and non-fatal events due to inaccessible or suboptimal healthcare during the pandemic, the effects on long-term total mortality (and morbidity) may be far-reaching and sustained monitoring will be required to demonstrate their abatement. Such data will be crucial for future planning.

Our analysis has several limitations. We relied on publicly available data that are collected and collated in a fast-moving pandemic and may be subject to revision. The coding and therefore definition of a Covid-19 related death may be influenced by local regulations and guidelines on certifying the cause of death in each country, as discussed in relation to Spain above. Finally, the availability of testing for Covid-19 may have influenced the ascertainment of Covid-19 related deaths in each country and therefore the excess of non-Covid-19 deaths in each of the countries may represent an under-identification of cases of SARS-Cov-2 infection. Conversely, the number of Covid-19 deaths may be inflated by deaths in people who had Covid-19 at the time of death but did not die from their viral illness i.e. died from another non-Covid-19 reason despite infection with SARS-Cov-2.

In summary, a substantial proportion of excess deaths observed during the current COVID-19 pandemic are not attributed to COVID-19. This may indicate an increase in non-COVID-19 deaths due to changes in routine health care delivery during this pandemic. People should be reminded that it is still appropriate to seek medical attention for other serious life-threatening illnesses during this period and service delivery adapted to provide this.
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Table 1: Deaths in Scotland, England and Wales, the Netherlands and New York State during the Covid-19 Pandemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Average Deaths</th>
<th>Observed deaths</th>
<th>Excess Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n= (%)</td>
<td>Rate/1million population (95%CI)*</td>
<td>7-Day rate/1million population (95%CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland 23 March – 5 April 2020</td>
<td>2216</td>
<td>408 (391-425)</td>
<td>204 (196-213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England and Wales 21 March – 3 April 2020</td>
<td>20435</td>
<td>346 (341-350)</td>
<td>173 (171-175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands 23 March – 5 April 2020</td>
<td>5953</td>
<td>346 (337-355)</td>
<td>173 (169-178)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York State** 26 January – 11 April 2020</td>
<td>34835</td>
<td>1783 (1765-1801)</td>
<td>164 (162-166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City 26 January – 11 April 2020</td>
<td>12088*</td>
<td>1439 (1414-1465)</td>
<td>133 (130-135)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excess deaths are calculated as the difference between the observed deaths for the time period in question and the average number of deaths for the same time period.

CI, confidence interval.

* Rate calculated for dates detailed

** Data for New York State includes New York City

# Average calculated from 2015-2017 data
Figure 1. Deaths in Scotland, England and Wales, the Netherlands and New York State during the Covid-19 Pandemic. Total deaths observed in each country are normalised to 100%. Expected deaths, as a percentage of total deaths observed, are shown in grey. Excess deaths attributed to Covid-19 are shown in red and excess deaths not attributed to Covid-19 are shown in blue.