

A follow-up study of children infected with SARS-CoV-2 from Western China

Hongmei Xu^{1*}, Enmei Liu^{1*}, Jun Xie¹, Rosalind Smyth², Qi Zhou³, Ruiqiu Zhao¹, Na Zang¹, Xiaoru Long¹, Yuyi Tang¹, Janne Estill⁴, Shu Yang⁵, Jing Zhu¹, Xiaofeng Yan⁶, Fang Gong⁷, Wenguang Tian⁷, Xiaqia Zhou⁷, Yunbo Mo⁸, Hongzhou Xiao⁸, Zhengzhen Tang⁹, Yanni Chen¹⁰, Yi Wang¹⁰, Yuxia Cui¹¹, Xiuling Fang¹², Feiyu Li¹³, Yong Tian¹⁴, Peibo Li¹⁴, Quanmin Deng¹⁵, Chongsong Ren¹⁶, Ronghui He¹⁶, Yi Li¹⁷, Hong Qin¹⁸, Aiguo Wang¹⁹, Hongli Deng²⁰, Jianguo Wu²¹, Wenbo Meng²², Weiguo Li¹, Yao Zhao¹, Zhengxiu, Luo¹, Zijun Wang²³, Yaolong Chen^{23#}, Gary Wong^{24#}, Qiu Li^{1#}

1. The National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Disorders, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China
2. The Institute of Child Health at University College London
3. The First School of Clinical Medicine, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China
4. Institute of Global Health, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland; Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
5. College of Medical Information Engineering, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China
6. Chongqing Public Health Medical Center, Chongqing, China
7. Yongchuan Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China
8. Three Gorges Hospital, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

9. Third Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Guizhou, China
10. Xi'an Children's Hospital, the Affiliated Children's Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Shaanxi, China
11. Department of Pediatrics, Guizhou Provincial People's Hospital
12. Tongchuan Mining Bureau Central Hospital, Shaanxi, China
13. Xi'an Eighth Hospital, Shaanxi, China
14. The People's Hospital of Xiushan County, Chongqing, China
15. The People's Hospital of Deyang City, Sichuan, China
16. Nanchong Central Hospital, Sichuan, China
17. Suining Central Hospital, Sichuan, China
18. The People's Hospital of Fengdu County, Chongqing, China
19. The People's Hospital of Kaizhou District, Chongqing, China
20. The People's Hospital of Hechuan District, Chongqing, China
21. The People's Hospital of Fengjie County, Chongqing, China
22. Special Minimally Invasive Surgery Department, the First hospital of Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China
23. Evidence-based Medicine Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China
24. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

*Hongmei Xu and Enmei Liu contributed equally to this article.

Correspondence to: Yaolong Chen, evidence-based Medicine Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, sinograde@163.com; Gary Wong, the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China, xingkinwong@cuhk.edu.hk; Qiu Li, the National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Disorders, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, liqiu_21@163.com.

Abstract

Background

To clarify the characteristic and the duration of positive nucleic acid in children infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), including asymptomatic children.

Methods

A total of 32 children confirmed with SARS-CoV-2 infection between January 24 and February 12, 2020 from four provinces in Western China were enrolled in this study and followed up until discharge and quarantine 14 days later.

Results

Eleven children (34%) were asymptomatic, among whom six children had normal computed tomographic (CT) scan images. Age and gender were not associated with clinical symptoms or the results of CT scan in children infected with SARS-CoV-2. The concentrations of white blood cells and neutrophils were higher in children with asymptomatic infection than in children with clinical symptoms or CT abnormalities. Patients who presented with CT abnormalities had lower D-dimer or lower total bilirubin than those who had normal CT scan but clinical symptoms. All children recovered and no one died or was admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU). The mean duration of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid was 15.4 (SD=7.2) days and similar for both asymptomatic children and children with symptoms or CT abnormalities. We found a significant negative correlation between the lymphocyte count and the duration of positive nucleic acid test.

Conclusions

Children with asymptomatic infection should be quarantined for the same duration as symptomatic patients infected with SARS-CoV-2. The clinical significance and mechanism behind the negative correlation between the number of lymphocytes and the duration of positive SARS-CoV-2 needs further study.

Key words: coronavirus, children, asymptomatic infection, western China

1. BACKGROUND

SARS-CoV-2 was first detected when a cluster of patients with pneumonia of unknown cause emerged in Wuhan, China in December, 2019. On February 11, the World Health Organization (WHO) officially named the disease as “Corona Virus Disease hyphen one nine” (COVID-19) ^[1-6]. As the number of infections and deaths continued to rise, WHO declared COVID-19 as a global pandemic on March 11, 2020 ^[7]. and is causing serious health and economic impact in 179 countries and regions worldwide. COVID-19, together with severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), is a disease caused by beta-coronavirus. However, the mortality rate of COVID-19 is lower than that of SARS (more than 40% in people over 60 years old) and MERS (30%) ^[8-11].

At present, many papers have reported on the epidemiology and clinical characteristics of COVID-19. However, most studies have so far focused on adults ^[12-14] and several case series of children have been limited by small sample size or the lack of follow-up, in particular for asymptomatic patients ^[15-20]. Children, compared with adults, have a developing immune system and different physical characteristics, thus it is not appropriate to directly use evidence from adults to guide clinical practice of COVID-19 in children. Therefore, this is the first follow-up study that included 32 children infected with SARS-CoV-2 from multiple centers in four provinces of western China, to clarify the clinical characteristics, the duration of positive nucleic acid tests and their correlation with lymphocyte counts between children with asymptomatic

infection and those with clinical symptoms, thus providing evidence to guide management of children infected with SARS-CoV-2.

2. Method

2.1 Study design and patients

This multicenter retrospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (No. 2020-002). Given the urgency in policy and clinical decision-making for COVID-19 and difficulty of confirming information for most patients, informed consent was exempted for all patients after discussion and approval of the above-mentioned committee. We recruited 32 children (aged less than 18 years) with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection between January 24 and February 12, 2020 in several local hospitals designated for the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19 from Chongqing Municipality, Guizhou Province, Shaanxi Province and Sichuan Province. All cases were diagnosed based on the WHO interim guidance ^[21]. And all the cases were followed up two weeks later after discharge in the local hospital.

2.2 RT-PCR detection

Specimens of throat swab were obtained and sent to the Chinese Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for testing in a unified and standardized way of transportation. RNA was extracted and tested by real-time RT-PCR with SARS-CoV-2 specific primers and probes. The Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention

recommends: RT-PCR targeting open reading frame (ORF1ab), primers and probes of nucleoprotein (N) gene region to test for SARS-CoV-2. Two genes were targeted during the real-time RT-PCR assay : Target 1 (ORF1ab): forward primer (F) CCCTGTGGGTTTTACTTAA, reverse primer (R) ACGATTGTGCATCAGCTGA, and fluorescent probe (P) 5'-FAM-CCGTCTGCCGTATGTGGAAAGGTTATGG-BHQ1-3' ; and Target 2 (N): forward primer (F): GGGGAACTTCTCCTGCTAGAAT, reverse primer (R) CAGACATTTTGCTCTCAAGCTG, and fluorescent probe (P) 5'-FAM-TTGCTGCTGCTTGACAGATT-TAMRA-3'. The results were classified into three categories: a cycle threshold value (Ct-value) less than 37 was defined as a positive test result, and a Ct-value of 40 or more was defined as a negative test. A medium load, defined as a Ct-value of 37 to less than 40, required confirmation by retesting. If the repeated Ct-value was less than 40 and an obvious peak was observed, or if the repeated Ct-value was less than 37, the retest was deemed positive ^[22].

2.3 Data collection

We obtained the electronic medical records of the confirmed COVID-19 children from the Hospital Information System (HIS) and Laboratory Information System (LIS) of each designated hospital for diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19. We extracted the following five types of information: 1) history of exposure: history of traveling and close contacts with areas heavily affected by COVID-19 (Wuhan), history of exposure to the Huanan seafood market, or family cluster of the disease; 2) demographic

characteristics: age, gender, vital signs, weight, height, and comorbidities; 3) laboratory findings: routine blood test results, blood biochemistry, coagulation function, infection markers and detection results of common respiratory pathogens; 4) radiological findings: chest X-ray and CT scans; and 5) clinical outcomes: Recovery was defined as the patient being discharged after having normal body temperature for more than three days, no respiratory symptoms, CT scan changes resolved, and two consecutive negative test results of SARS-CoV-2 (with at least one day between tests). Asymptomatic infection was defined as the patient being tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 without manifesting any clinical symptoms or abnormal chest imaging findings. Severe cases were defined as having at least one of the following: 1) increased respiratory rate, dyspnea, or cyanosis of the lips; 2) pulse oxygen saturation $\leq 93\%$, or oxygen concentration ≤ 300 mmHg in arterial partial pressure inspiration, or partial pressure of oxygen in arterial ≤ 300 mmHg in inspiration; or 3) lobular lesion or lesion with a progression to $>50\%$ within 48 hours. If data were missing, we obtained the information by direct communication with attending doctors and other healthcare providers. Missing data were excluded from statistical analyses. All data were extracted by two physicians (XX and XX) independently, any disagreement was resolved by consensus or consulting a third researcher (XX).

2.4 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 software. Categorical variables were described as absolute numbers and percentages, and continuous variables were

described using means and standard deviations. When the data were normally distributed, t test was used to compare the mean of the two samples; otherwise, the rank sum test was used. When comparing multiple groups, we used variance analysis or covariance analysis. χ^2 test or Fisher exact probability test were used for categorical data. The results of correlation analysis were presented as a scatter plot. We calculated Pearson correlation coefficients or partial correlation coefficients after controlling the confounding factors such as gender and age. P values less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Characteristics of epidemiology and demography

Clinical data of 32 children with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were collected in this study, with 20 (63%) patients from Chongqing and 3 (9%) patients from Shaanxi Province. The average age of the patients was nine years (SD=4.7), of which ten (31%) patients were under six years of age, 11 (34%) aged between six to 12 years and 11 (34%) aged between 12 to 18 years. 17 (53%) patients were male. Only 3 patients had underlying comorbidities: 1 had thrombocytopenia, 1 had Down's syndrome and 1 had intestinal atresia. The vital signs on admission including average temperature, respiratory rate and heart rate were 36.7 °C (SD=0.5), 23 bpm (SD=4) and 95 bpm (SD=12), respectively. 12 (38%) patients had a history of travel or living in the affected area and 29 (91%) patients with known cases among family members. All patients

received antiviral treatment, of which interferon (94%) and lopinavir/ritonavir tablets (47%) were the most commonly used. (Table 1)

3.2 Clinical characteristics and radiological findings

Of the confirmed 32 patients, eleven children (34%) patients were asymptomatic with six (19%) asymptomatic patients had normal CT scan. At admission, the most frequently experienced symptoms were fever (38%) and cough (57%). According to CT scans, 19 (59%) patients showed abnormalities in the lungs (suggesting pneumonia), of whom three (9%) patients showed bilateral pneumonia, eight (25%) patients showed unilateral pneumonia and eight (25%) patients showed multiple mottling and ground-glass opacity. Five (16%) patients were found to have only CT abnormalities without clinical symptoms. (Table 2)

3.3 Laboratory findings

On admission, leucocytes were above the normal range in two (6%) patients and below the normal range in one (3%) patient, with an average number of total leucocytes being $6.1 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=0.4). The average number of total leucocytes was $8.6 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=1.6) in children with asymptomatic infection and $5.5 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=0.3) in children with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities, which is significantly lower than that of asymptomatic patients (P=0.011). Children with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities were subdivided into three groups as children with CT abnormalities only, clinical symptoms only and both, where no significant difference in leucocyte counts

was found. Neutrophils were above the normal range in eight (25%) patients, with an average value of $3.0 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=0.4). The average counts of neutrophils was $4.9 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=1.6) in children with asymptomatic infection and $2.5 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=0.2) in children with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities, which is significantly lower than that of children with asymptomatic infection (P=0.006). There were no significant differences between children with CT abnormalities only, children with clinical symptoms only and both in neutrophils counts. Lymphocytes were below the normal range only in two (6%) patients, while nine (28%) patients showed high lymphocytes counts above the normal range, with an average of $2.6 \times 10^9/L$ (SD=0.2). No significant differences were found between asymptomatic group and children with symptoms and/or CT abnormalities. Platelet counts and hemoglobin concentration were basically within the normal range.

Most patients had normal liver function: only one (3%) patient had elevated aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and six (19%) patients had elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT), with the maximum value of ALT to be 80U/L. There were no significant differences between children with asymptomatic infection and those with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities. Albumin reduction was seen in only one patient. Eleven (37%) patients had increased LDH values. The bilirubin level in children who had CT abnormalities with or without clinical symptoms was lower than those with clinical symptoms only (P=0.022), with four (12%) cases with bilirubin levels above the normal range. For the coagulation function, APTT and PT were within the normal range, and D-dimer was above the normal range in six (24%) patients among

25 patients tested, in which the children who had CT abnormalities with or without clinical symptoms showed lower D-dimer than those with clinical symptoms only ($P=0.031$). As for bacterial co-infection, procalcitonin was below 0.5ug/L for all patients and three (12%) patients showed increased CRP values among the 26 patients tested. (Table 3)

3.4 Analysis of duration of positive nucleic acid and recurrence of positive results

3.4.1 SARS-CoV-2 duration of positive nucleic acid

By the end of February 29, all 32 patients had been discharged and no severe cases or deaths occurred. Among the 32 children except one lost some re-tested data who had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid, by 14-days 52% (16/31) had a negative nucleic acid test. This was 33% (2/6) and 56% (14/25) for asymptomatic patients and symptomatic patients, respectively, which was not statistically different. The mean time from the first positive or onset of symptoms until the first negative nucleic acid test was 15.4 (SD=7.2) days in 31 patients. No significant difference was identified between children with asymptomatic infection (15.0 days, SD=6.7) and children with clinical symptoms (15.5 days, SD=7.4). In addition, 14 parents, of the enrolled children, who were themselves infected showed a mean duration of 22.7 (SD=10.0) days until first negative nucleic acid test, slightly longer than that in children ($P=0.07$) (Table 3). The mean duration of until the first negative nucleic acid test was 12.4 days, 18.6 days and 14.9 days in patients under 6 years, 6 to 12 years and 12 to 18 years, respectively, with no statistically significant difference between these three age-groups (Table 4).

3.4.2 Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid results

Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test results were found in four (29%) children five to 30 days after the first negative nucleic acid test among 14 children who had subsequent testing after a negative result, of whom one patient was from the asymptomatic group and another three were from children with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities. No significant difference between these two groups was identified (Table 5). And anal swab was tested on 6 children on follow up after discharge and quarantine 14 days, of which 5 tested positive (Table 6).

3.5 Correlation of the duration until first negative nucleic acid test with blood cells counts

Among the 32 cases, one patient failed to obtain a complete nucleic acid test result. Another patient showed significantly increased leucocytes after reexamination during hospitalization, which increased from $6.29 \times 10^9/L$ to $14.34 \times 10^9/L$, indicating the presence of co-infection that affected the real situation of routine blood tests, thus these two patients were excluded from data analysis. We found a significant negative correlation between the lymphocyte counts and the time until the first negative nucleic acid, after adjusting for age, gender and length of stay (LOS). In other words, the more lymphocytes, the shorter the time of positive viral nucleic acid, which indirectly reflects the faster virus clearance (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The follow-up study is the first time to clarify the clinical characteristics, the time of nucleic acid turning negative and its correlation with lymphocyte counts between children with asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection and those with clinical symptoms.

About 38% of the children participating in our study had fever and 57% had cough at the time of admission to hospital. For most children, cough was the first symptom. In CT imaging, pulmonary changes in children were less severe than those in adults, with lower incidence of further progress to severe pneumonia.

Evidence on COVID-19 from adults suggested that at the time of admission, the leucocyte counts was in normal range or decreased in 70% to 76% of patients, while the absolute value of lymphocytes was significantly below the normal range in 35% to 63% of patients ^[12-13]. In contrast, the results of our study showed that the absolute value of lymphocytes in children with SARS-CoV-2 was mostly normal with only 6% below the normal range. However, the published expert consensus of COVID-19 for children recommends that clinicians should take decreased lymphocyte counts as one of the diagnostic criteria for suspected cases ^[23-24]. Based on the analysis of these 32 patients in our study, we suggested that using decreased lymphocyte counts for the diagnosis of COVID-19 in children may lead to missed diagnoses of suspected cases and cannot be recommended for diagnosis for children. In addition, our study also found that the total number of white blood cells and absolute neutrophils in children with clinical symptoms and/or CT abnormalities were lower than those with asymptomatic infection, while the lymphocyte counts showed no reduction. These data suggested that

children with SARS-CoV-2 infection may have milder inflammatory response considering that neutrophils were closely related to inflammation, which may be one of the causes of mild illness in children.

Studies from adults showed 98% of patients had decreased albumin. Liver function in children are less affected by COVID-19 compared with adults^[12]. Increased D-dimer was found in 24% of patients who were all symptomatic while asymptomatic patients showed no abnormalities. The level of D-dimer and total bilirubin in children with only clinical symptoms was higher than those who had CT abnormalities with or without clinical symptoms, indicating that D-dimer was kept lower in children with pulmonary imaging abnormalities and that the virus may partially affect the synthesis of bilirubin and D-dimer, of which the significance and mechanism need to be further studied.

As for treatment measures, all included children received antiviral treatment (mostly interferon and lopinavir/ritonavir) and antibiotics, antifungal agents, glucocorticoid therapy were not used. Previous studies showed that the majority of adults with COVID-19 were given antiviral treatment (76%) and antibiotic treatment (71%), and some patients needed antifungal agents (15%) for co-infection control. In some severe cases, oxygen therapy, IVIG and glucocorticoid was need as adjuvant treatment in 76%, 27% and 19% of the patients, respectively^[12].

Previous studies have shown that 26% to 32% of patients in adults were admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) because of their higher oxygen requirement due to hypoxemia. Nosocomial infection was presented in 41% of adults with high risk of death (4.3% to 15%)^[12-14]. However, in our study, all patients recovered after treatment

and no one died or was admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit (ICU) for any other oxygen support. The clinical outcome of COVID-19 in children seems to be significantly better than that in adults.

The mean duration of a positive nucleic acid test, until the first negative result, in children was 15 days, with no significant difference between asymptomatic infected children and children with symptoms or CT abnormalities. Neither was there any statistical difference between that of children and their parents, which was consistent with recent study ^[25], which was consistent with the previously reported 14-day incubation period. These results underline that virus replication occurs for a similar period in children with asymptomatic infection, which implies that children with asymptomatic infection should be quarantined for the same duration as symptomatic patients infected with SARS-CoV-2.

No significant difference in the duration of a positive nucleic acid test, until the first negative result was identified between children of different ages, but it seemed that the nucleic acid test became negative faster in children under six years of age, which may be associated with faster virus clearance. However, further research is needed to address this. Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test results were found in four children five to 30 days after the first negative nucleic acid test, all of them however showed improved clinical symptoms, as with adults ^[26]. Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid test results is not necessarily related to recurrent infection and also needs further investigation. In addition, we found a significant negative correlation between the lymphocyte count and the time until the first negative

nucleic acid. This result implies that lymphocytes have an impact on the inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication, which may partially explain the reason why most children infected with SARS-CoV-2 present with only mild illness.

Conclusions

The clinical characteristics, radiological and laboratory findings, and clinical outcomes of children infected with SARS-CoV-2 are essentially different from the situation in adults. Besides, there were also differences in laboratory findings between patients with asymptomatic infection and patients with symptoms or CT abnormalities. The clinical significance and mechanism behind the negative correlation between the number of lymphocytes and the time of SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid turning negative need to be further studied. This study has limitations including the small sample size and partially missing of data in laboratory test, however, our study can be considered the best evidence yet for management of children infected with SARS-CoV-2 considering the current situation of public health emergency. Therefore, prospective and large-sample studies are needed for further research on children infected with SARS-CoV-2 to provide higher-quality and more credible evidence for clinical practice.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to all the medical, nursing and supportive staff of the Chongqing Public Health Medical Center, Yongchuan Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Three Gorges Hospital, Third Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Xi'an Children's Hospital, Tongchuan Mining Bureau Central Hospital, the People's Hospital of Xiushan County, the People's Hospital of Deyang City, Nanchong Central Hospital, Suining Central Hospital, the People's Hospital of Fengdu County, the People's Hospital of Kaizhou District, the People's Hospital of Hechuan District, the People's Hospital of Fengjie County, Guizhou Provincial People's Hospital, for their dedication in looking after the patients.

Funding

National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Disorders (Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China) (NCRCCHD-2020-EP-01); Special Fund for Key Research and Development Projects in Gansu Province in 2020; The fourth batch of "Special Project of Science and Technology for Emergency Response to COVID-19" of Chongqing Science and Technology Bureau. Special funding for prevention and control of emergency of COVID-19 from Key Laboratory of Evidence Based Medicine and Knowledge Translation of Gansu Province (No. GSEBMKT-2020YJ01) ; Newton international fellowship from The Academy of Medical Science (NIF004/1012) ; UK National Institute of Health Research GOSH Biomedical Research Centre.

Footnote

Conflicts of interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. This study strictly complied with the ethical requirements of biomedical research issued by the relevant international and national organizations and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Children’s Hospital of Chongqing Medical University (No. 2020-002). Given the urgency in policy and clinical decision-making for COVID-19 and difficulty of confirming information for most patients, informed consent was exempted for all patients after discussion and approval of the above-mentioned committee.

References

- [1]. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020, 382(8):727-733.
- [2]. Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus Infections—More Than Just the Common Cold. *JAMA*. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.0757.
- [3]. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020, 395(10223):497-506.
- [4]. Phan LT, Nguyen TV, Luong QC, et al. Importation and human-to-human transmission of a novel coronavirus in Vietnam. *N Engl J Med*. 2020, 382(9):872-874.
- [5]. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020, DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316.
- [6]. WHO Director-General's remarks at the media briefing on 2019-nCoV on 11 February 2020. 2020. Available from: <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-2019-ncov-on-11-february-2020>.
- [7]. Mahase E, Covid-19: WHO declares pandemic because of "alarming levels" of spread, severity, and inaction. *BMJ*. 2020,368:m1036.
- [8]. Prevention and treatment of pneumonia caused by new coronavirus infection. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, 2020. Available from: <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/>.
- [9]. Wu JT, Leung K, Leung GM. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling study. *Lancet*. 2020, 29;395(10225):689-697.

- [10]. Chan-Yeung M, Xu RH. SARS: epidemiology. *Respirology*. 2003;8 Suppl:S9–S14.
- [11]. Ahmed AE. The predictors of 3- and 30-day mortality in 660 MERS-CoV patients. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017;17(1):615.
- [12]. Phelan AL, Katz R, Gostin LO. The Novel Coronavirus Originating in Wuhan, China: Challenges for Global Health Governance. 2020, *JAMA*. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.1097.
- [13]. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020, 395: 507-513.
- [14]. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir Med*, 2020, 8(4):420-422.
- [15]. Lu X, Zhang L, Du H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Children. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;10.1056/NEJMc2005073. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2005073.
- [16]. Liu W, Zhang Q, Chen J, et al. Detection of Covid-19 in Children in Early January 2020 in Wuhan, China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;10.1056/NEJMc2003717. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2003717.
- [17]. Cai J, Xu J, Lin D, et al. A Case Series of children with 2019 novel coronavirus infection: clinical and epidemiological features. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020; ciaa198. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa198.
- [18]. Wei M, Yuan J, Liu Y, et al. Novel Coronavirus Infection in Hospitalized Infants Under 1 Year of Age in China. *JAMA*. 2020; e202131. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2131.
- [19]. Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiological Characteristics of 2143 Pediatric Patients With 2019 Coronavirus Disease in China. *Pediatrics*. 2020; e20200702. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-0702.

- [20]. Chang D, Lin M, Wei L, et al. Epidemiologic and Clinical Characteristics of Novel Coronavirus Infections Involving 13 Patients Outside Wuhan, China. JAMA. DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.1623.
- [21].Corman V, Bleicker T, Brünink S, et al. Diagnostic detection of Wuhan coronavirus 2019 by real-time RT-PCR. Geneva: World Health Organization. January 13, 2020. Available from: (<https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/wuhan-virus-assay-v1991527e5122341d99287a1b17c111902.pdf>. opens in new tab).
- [22].Specific primers and probes for detection 2019 novel coronavirus. Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020. Available from: (http://ivdc.chinacdc.cn/kyjz/202001/t20200121_211337.html)
- [23].Diagnosis and treatment of pneumonia caused by novel coronavirus (trial version 4). National Health Commission of People's Republic of China. 2020. Available from: (https://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202001/4294563ed35b43209b31739bd0785e67/files/7a9309111267475_a99d4306962c8bf78.pdf).
- [24].Shen K, Yang Y, Wang T, et al. Diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of 2019 novel coronavirus infection in children: experts' consensus statement. World J Pediatr. 2020;7:1-9.
- [25].Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. N Engl J Med 2020;382:1177-1179.
- [26].Lan L, Xu D, Ye G, et al. Positive RT-PCR Test Results in Patients Recovered From COVID-19[J].JAMA,2020,.DOI:10.1001/jama.2020.2783.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and exposure history of children infected with SARS-CoV-2

	Category of patients			P value
	All patients (n=32)	Asymptomatic infection (n=6)	Clinical symptoms or CT abnormalities (n=26)	
Age (years)	8.7±4.7	7.8±3.7	8.9±4.9	0.495
<6	10(31%)	2(33%)	8(31%)	
6-12	11(34%)	3(50%)	8(31%)	
12-18	11(34%)	1(17%)	10(38%)	
Height (cm)	125.0±28.8	123.5±21.9	126.3±31.0	0.535
Weight (kg)	32.9±17.0	32.25±16.1	33.7±17.5	0.855
Sex				
Male	17 (53%)	2 (33%)	15 (58%)	0.383
Female	15 (47%)	4 (67%)	11 (42%)	-
Province				
Chongqing Municipality	20(63%)	3(50%)	17(65%)	0.457
Guizhou Province	7(22%)	2(33%)	5(19%)	
Shaanxi Province	3(9%)	0(0%)	3(12%)	
Sichuan Province	2(6%)	1(17%)	1(4%)	
Underlying comorbidities				
Yes	3 (9%)	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	0.999
No	29 (91%)	6 (100%)	23 (88%)	-
Vital signs				
Body temperature (°C)	36.7±0.5	36.7±0.5	36.7±0.5	0.890
Heart rate, per minute	95±12	94±10	96±13	0.802
Respiratory rate, per minute	23±4	23±3	22±4	0.479
Exposure history (Hubei)				
Yes	12 (38%)	3 (50%)	9(35%)	0.647
No	20 (62%)	3 (50%)	17 (65%)	-
Family cluster				
Yes	29 (91%)	6 (100%)	23 (88%)	0.683
No	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (4%)	
Unknown	2 (6%)	0 (0%)	2(8%)	
Treatment				
Antiviral treatment	32 (100%)	6 (100%)	26 (100%)	
Interferon	30 (94%)	6 (100%)	24 (92%)	
Lopinavir and ritonavir	15 (47%)	2 (33%)	13 (50%)	
Ribavirin	3 (9%)	0 (0%)	3 (12%)	
Antibiotic treatment	4 (13%)	0 (0%)	4 (13%)	
Erythromycin	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	
Moxifloxacin	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)	

Ceftriaxone	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Cefixime	1 (3%)	0 (0%)	1 (3%)
Glucocorticoid	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of children infected with SARS-CoV-2 (n=32)

Clinical characteristics	
Fever	8/21(38%)
Cough	12/21(57%)
Fever and cough	6/21(29%)
Stuffy nose	3/21 (14%)
Runny nose	5/21 (24%)
Sore throat	3/21 (14%)
Headache	2/21 (10%)
Shortness of breath	0/21 (0%)
Shiver	1/21 (5%)
Choking sensation in Chest	0/21 (0%)
Fatigue	2/21 (10%)
Asymptomatic	11/32 (34%)
Asymptomatic and normal CT	6/32 (19%)
Only abnormalities on CT	5/32 (16%)
More than one symptom	11/21 (52%)
CT findings	
Abnormal	19 (59%)
Unilateral pneumonia	8 (25%)
Bilateral pneumonia	3 (9%)
Multiple mottling or ground-glass opacity	8 (25%)

Table3 Laboratory results of children with SARS-CoV-2 infection

	Category of patients							P value	
	Normal range	All patients (n=32)	Asymptomatic infection (n=6)	With clinical symptoms or/and CT abnormalities					
				Total (n=26)	Only clinical symptoms (n=7)	Only CT abnormalities (n=5)	Both (n=14)		
Age and sex									
Age (year)		8.9±4.7	7.8±3.7	9±5.1	8.6±5.1	9.8±4.1	8.9±5.7	0.477	0.913
Sex (M/F)		17/15	2/4	15/11	4/3	5/0	6/8	0.341	0.094
Routine blood tests									
Leucocytes (*10 ⁹ /L)	3.5-9.5	6.1±2.3	8.6±4.0	5.5±1.1	5.6±1.4	5.0±0.7	5.6±1.1	0.011	0.620
Neutrophils (*10 ⁹ /L)	1.8-6.3	3.0±2.0	4.9±3.8	2.5±1.1	3.0±1.6	1.9±0.7	2.5±0.7	0.006	0.227
Lymphocytes (*10 ⁹ /L)	1.1-3.2	2.6±1.1	3.1±1.5	2.5±1.0	2.2±0.9	2.7±0.7	2.5±1.2	0.233	0.591
Platelets (*10 ⁹ /L)	125-350	257±103	345±116	237±91	247±89	152±115	263±69	0.082	0.056
Haemoglobin (g/L)		132±11	131±4	132±12	130±12	142±8	130±13	0.753	0.236
Blood biochemistry									
ALT (U/L)	0-45	25±19	28±16	25±20	22±12	29±21	25±23	0.693	0.848
AST (U/L)	0-45	33±17	34±8	33±19	30±10	34±6	34±25	0.896	0.871
Albumin(g/L)	40-55	45.3±3.1	47.5±3.2	44.8±2.9	45.6±2.8	45.7±2.2	44.0±3.1	0.071	0.379
LDH (U/L)	120-250	289±157	313±116	284±166	380±247	213±7	257±124	0.709	0.180
TB (µmol/L)	1.7-21	11.5±9.6	7.3±2.7	12.4±10.3	20±13.6	7.8±2.4	10.3±8.6	0.243	0.067
D-dimer (µg/mL)	0-0.5	0.34±	0.22±	0.36±	0.65±	0.36±	0.31±	0.492	0.057
		0.33	0.06	0.35	0.60	0.35	0.17		
Only clinical symptoms (n=7) With CT abnormalities (n=19)									
LDH (U/L)					380±247		247±109		0.071
TB (µmol/L)					20.0±13.6		9.7±7.5		0.022
D-dimer (µg/mL)#					0.65±0.60		0.27±0.18		0.031
Correlation of the duration until nucleic acid turning negative with blood cells counts(n=30)								R	P Value
Days of nucleic acid turning negative (d)		15.7±7.1							
Leucocytes(*10 ⁹ /L)		6.1±2.3						0.061	0.753

Neutrophils(*10 ⁹ /L)	2.9±2.1	0.345	0.062
Lymphocytes(*10 ⁹ /L)	2.7±1.1	-0.448	0.013
Platelets(*10 ⁹ /L)	270±99	-0.203	0.281

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated. a: Children with asymptomatic infection compared with children with clinical symptoms or CT abnormalities. b: Comparing children with clinical symptoms only, CT abnormalities only, and both; Or children with clinical symptoms only compared with children with CT abnormalities.

D-dimer was available for 25 patients (4 patients with asymptomatic infection, 3 patients with only CT abnormalities, 5 patients with only clinical symptoms and 13 patients with both).

Table 4 Time until SARS-Cov-2 nucleic acid turning negative in the children and their parents

	Category of patients			<i>P</i> value
	All patients (n=32)	Asymptomatic infection (n=6)	Clinical symptoms or CT abnormalities (n=26)	
Nucleic acid turned negative within 14 days				
Yes	16(52%)	2 (33%)	14 (56%)	0.394
NO	15 (48%)	4 (67%)	11 (44%)	-
Unknown	1	0	1	-
Days of nucleic acid turning negative (d)				
	15.4±7.2	15.0±6.7	15.5±7.4	0.853
Children	17.6±7.9			
*Parents (n=17)	22.7±10.0			0.070

*Seventeen parents of the participating children who were infected themselves

Table 5 Time of SARS-Cov-2 nucleic acid turning negative according to age

Age(year)	Time of nucleic acid turning negative (d)	<i>P</i> value
<6	11.5±3.7	0.360
6-12	18.6±9.4	
12-18	14.9±5.9	

Table 6 Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleic acid in children

	Category of patients			<i>P</i> value
	All patients (n=14)	Asymptomatic infection (n=3)	Clinical symptoms or CT abnormalities (n=11)	
Respiratory specimens				
Positive	4 (29%)	1 (33%)	3 (27%)	0.5055
Negative	10 (71%)	2 (67%)	8 (73%)	-
Anal swab				
Positive	5(83%)	1(20%)	4(80%)	
Negative	1(17%)	0(0%)	1(100%)	

14 patients had a nucleic acid re-test from respiratory specimens, of which six patients tested anal swab. Two patients re-tested positive by both respiratory specimens and anal swab.