

1 Eye movement evaluation in Multiple Sclerosis and Parkinson's 2 Disease using a Standardized Oculomotor and Neuro-ophthalmic 3 Disorder Assessment (SONDA)

4 Alessandro Grillini^{1,*}, Remco J. Renken², Anne C. L. Vrijling³, Joost Heutink^{3,4}, Frans W.
5 Cornelissen¹

6 ¹Laboratory for Experimental Ophthalmology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center
7 Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

8 ²Department of Ophthalmology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,
9 Groningen, The Netherlands

10 ³Royal Dutch Visio, Center of Expertise for Blind and Partially Sighted People, Huizen, The
11 Netherlands

12 ⁴Department of Clinical and Developmental Neuropsychology, University of Groningen, Groningen,
13 The Netherlands

14 * **Correspondence:**
15 Alessandro Grillini
16 a.grillini@rug.nl

17 **Keywords: eye movement, assessment, parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, standardized,**
18 **clinical, screening (Min.5-Max. 8)**

19 Abstract

20 Evaluating the state of the oculomotor system of a patient is one of the fundamental tests done in
21 neuro-ophthalmology. However, up to date, very few quantitative standardized tests of eye
22 movements quality exist, limiting this assessment to confrontational tests reliant on subjective
23 interpretation. Furthermore, quantitative tests relying on eye movement properties such as pursuit
24 gain and saccade dynamics are often insufficient to capture the complexity of the underlying
25 disorders and are often (too) long and tiring. In this study, we present SONDA (Standardised
26 Oculomotor and Neurological Disorder Assessment): this test is based on analyzing eye tracking
27 recorded during a short and intuitive continuous tracking task. We tested patients affected by
28 Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and Parkinson's Disease (PD) and find that: (1) the saccadic dynamics of the
29 main sequence alone are not sufficient to separate patients from healthy controls; (2) the combination
30 of spatio-temporal and statistical properties of saccades and saccadic dynamics enables an
31 identification of oculomotor abnormalities in both MS and PD patients. We conclude that SONDA
32 constitutes a powerful screening tool that allows an in-depth evaluation of (deviant) oculomotor
33 behavior in a few minutes of non-invasive testing.

34 1 Introduction

35 Eye movements are a fundamental component of vision. Their evaluation is an important aspect of
36 assessments in neurology and ophthalmology. Two neurological conditions in which oculomotor
37 assessment has great clinical relevance are Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and Parkinson's Disease (PD). In
38 MS, the oculomotor assessment is relevant for diagnosis, for monitoring progress and for prognosis
39 (Derwenskus et al., 2005; Elliot M. Frohman et al., 2005; Graves & Balcer, 2010), while in
40 Parkinson's Disease (PD), eye-movements can help in differentiating the "pure" form of PD from
41 other forms of parkinsonism (Abadi & Gowen, 2004; Pretegianni & Optican, 2017; Rascol et al.,
42 1991).

43 For both MS and PD, a confrontational assessment is the most commonly used technique for
44 evaluating patients' eye motility. While the simplicity of a confrontational assessment is attractive,
45 its qualitative and subjective nature may leave subtle abnormalities undetected. In cases like sub-
46 clinical manifestations of internuclear ophthalmoplegia in MS, or in PD, which typically exhibit eye
47 movement disorders that are also present in the healthy elderly population, *quantitative* approaches
48 are crucially needed (Marandi & Gazerani, 2019).

49 At present, quantitative assessments are relatively sparse and lack systematic, broadly accepted
50 approaches. In pathological conditions, the oculomotor performance is usually parametrized using
51 measures like the main-sequence (i.e. the relationship between saccadic amplitude and peak velocity
52 (Bahill et al., 1975; Collewijn et al., 1988)), pursuit gain and onset latency, or generic positional
53 errors, measured discretely by repeating many trials (Leigh & Zee, 2015). These trial-based
54 approaches are often complex, repetitive and time-consuming, and - although being very suitable for
55 research (for a noticeable example see DEMoNS (Nij Bijvank et al., 2018)) - they cannot be easily
56 translated into daily clinical practice. Stressing the patients with such tests for longer than a few
57 minutes can also exacerbate their symptoms: MS patients, for instance, are particularly affected by
58 fatigue, which is reflected in changes in their saccadic peak velocity (Finke et al., 2012).

59 If we were to have a fast and simple yet powerful assessment of oculomotor quality, this could
60 greatly benefit clinical practice. In this study we introduce SONDA, a Standardized Oculomotor and
61 Neurological Disorders Assessment. This paradigm is partially based on the eye-movement cross-
62 correlogram (Mulligan et al., 2013) applied to a continuous visual tracking task. This method
63 measures several spatio-temporal properties (STP) of eye movements while patients track a moving
64 dot with their eyes. In the analyses, the eye movements and their STP can be related to various
65 aspects of visual functioning: e.g. the presence of visual field defects (Grillini et al., 2018) or spatial
66 (Bonnen et al., 2015). The main advantage of this approach is that it provides a broad range of
67 oculomotor measurements in a time-efficient and patient-friendly manner. Additionally, being able to
68 provide an in-depth characterization of multiple aspects of eye movements is critical, because the
69 clinical manifestations of oculomotor abnormalities are complex and variegated.

70 In MS, inflammatory demyelinating lesions in different brain areas result in a wide range of
71 oculomotor disorders: most commonly, static and dynamic ocular misalignment (Serra et al., 2018)
72 coupled with dysmetric saccadic behavior (Serra et al., 2003). Furthermore, fixation (Mallery et al.,
73 2018), smooth pursuit (Lizak et al., 2016), and vestibulo-ocular responses (Huygen et al., 1986) are
74 also often impaired. Most of these disorders are accentuated by the presence of internuclear
75 ophthalmoplegia (INO). INO is a neuro-ophthalmic condition present in approximately one out of
76 three MS patients (Jozefowicz-Korczynska et al., 2008) and is characterized by impaired adduction
77 of conjugate lateral eye movements. The presence of INO is often determinant to convalidate the

78 diagnosis of MS, especially if it is bilateral (Bolanos et al., 2004), but its sub-clinical manifestations
79 are difficult to identify without quantitative approaches (T. C. Frohman et al., 2003).

80 Parkinson's Disease (PD) and other forms of parkinsonism share some common features such as
81 saccadic intrusions and square-wave jerks, but each clinical phenotype of parkinsonian disorders has
82 a distinctive profile of oculomotor impairments (Abadi & Gowen, 2004; Pretegianni & Optican, 2017;
83 Rascol et al., 1991). Eye-movement disorders typical of PD are impairment of self-paced saccades,
84 saccadic hypometria, and saccadic fragmentation (Winograd-Gurvich et al., 2006): all contribute to
85 disrupting smooth pursuit as well, due to the resulting inadequacy of catch-up saccades. These
86 disorders, with the exception of the impairment of self-paced saccades, are also commonly present in
87 otherwise healthy elderly individuals (Rottach et al., 1996). This implies that just finding an
88 abnormality in eye movements will be insufficient to disentangle PD-related ones from those caused
89 by normal aging, thus highlighting the need for multidimensional analysis of the oculomotor
90 assessments.

91 We investigated whether the STP quantified with continuous gaze tracking is a sufficiently sensitive
92 measure of the oculomotor disorders usually present in MS and PD. Furthermore, we extended the
93 continuous gaze tracking method in order to measure saccadic dynamics continuously over time and
94 to extract their frequency distribution. This will provide both a direct comparison between the eye-
95 movement cross-correlogram STP and the saccadic main-sequence, as well as allowing the
96 possibility of integrating these measurements into a single assessment.

97 More specifically, we answer the following research question: how well can the continuous gaze-
98 tracking task of SONDA identify oculomotor abnormalities associated with MS and PD?

99 To summarize our findings, in both MS and PD patients, SONDA identified both marked and subtle
100 abnormalities in oculomotor behavior based on only a few minutes of non-invasive testing.
101 Therefore, we conclude that SONDA allows an in-depth characterization of (deviant) oculomotor
102 behavior and thus constitutes a powerful screening tool.

103 **2 Methods**

104 **2.1 Observers**

105 We assessed 71 participants divided into three groups. The first group comprised 50 healthy controls
106 (age range 30-79, mean age 53 ± 13.7 , 26 females). The second group comprised 12 MS patients (age
107 range 40-76, mean age 54 ± 10.8 , 8 females). The third group comprised 9 PD patients (age range 59-
108 77, mean age 68 ± 6.8 , 2 females). All observers but one control and one MS patient had normal or
109 corrected to normal visual acuity (below or equal to 0.10 LogMAR). All control participants were
110 tested and screened with questionnaires to assess their familiarity with neurological and
111 ophthalmological disorders at Royal Dutch Visio, Haren, The Netherlands. All observers gave their
112 written informed consent prior to participation. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical
113 Committee of the University Medical Center Groningen and the Ethics Committee of Psychology of
114 the University of Groningen. The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

115 **2.2 Apparatus**

116 A 'Tobii T60 XL' eye-tracker (Tobii Technology, Stockholm, Sweden) was used to record the eye
117 movements of the observers. The recording was conducted binocularly, with a sampling frequency of
118 60 Hz. A custom-made 9-point calibration procedure was performed prior to each experimental

119 session. The calibration was repeated until the average error was below 1 degree of visual angle and
120 the maximum error below 2.5 deg. Stimuli were generated using custom-made scripts in MATLAB
121 using the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard, 1997; Pelli, 1997) and displayed on the integrated
122 screen of the Tobii T60 XL at a refresh rate of 60 Hz and viewed from a distance of 60 cm.
123 Normally, this relatively low refresh rate is less suitable for the study of saccade dynamics, but we
124 addressed this issue using a custom-made saccadic detection algorithm (see “Eye-tracking data
125 analysis” paragraph). Head movements were minimized using a chin-rest with forehead support. All
126 data were analyzed with custom made MATLAB scripts (R2017b, Mathworks). All display and
127 analysis code is available upon request.

128 **2.3 Continuous tracking task**

129 The visual stimulus consists of a Gaussian blob of increased luminance moving along a random-walk
130 trajectory on a uniform gray background (~ 140 cd/m²). The Gaussian blob is displayed at two
131 contrast levels: at high contrast (50%) it has a peak luminance of ~ 385 cd/m², while when presented
132 at low contrast (10%), it has a peak luminance of ~ 160 cd/m². Its full-width-at-half-maximum is 0.83
133 deg, roughly corresponding to the size III of a Goldman perimeter’s stimulus.


134 There were two stimulus conditions: in the *smooth pursuit* condition, the stimulus moved
135 continuously along the random-walk path, while in the *saccadic pursuit* condition, an additional
136 positional displacement to a random location on the screen was added to the trajectory, that occurred
137 every two seconds. Each observer performed 6 trials of 20 seconds for each one of the conditions for
138 a total of 12 trials and 4 minutes of total data acquisition. Short breaks in between trials were
139 allowed. Additional detail regarding the visual stimulation can be found in Supplementary Material.

140 **2.4 Eye-tracking data analysis**

141 The extraction of the oculomotor spatio-temporal features is described in Supplementary Material.

142 Individual saccades were identified from the raw data using a customized MATLAB implementation
143 based on the algorithm described by Behrens and colleagues. (Behrens et al., 2010) This algorithm
144 allows saccade identification in eye movement time series using an adaptive threshold based on eye-
145 movement acceleration. In short, the algorithm classifies any given time point at which the eye
146 acceleration exceeds this adaptive threshold as being part of a saccadic movement. In their paper, the
147 authors computed the threshold as a constant ($K = 3.4$) multiplied by the standard deviation of the
148 acceleration distribution within a moving window of 200 frames, acquired at 1 kHz (i.e. a window of
149 0.2 sec). Our adapted version uses a moving window of 60 frames acquired at 60 Hz (i.e. a window
150 of 1 sec). Empirically, we found our variant to be adequate for an accurate identification of saccades
151 in our experiment. In contrast to Behrens and colleagues, we did not apply a low-pass filter to the
152 velocity signals.

153 Since we conducted the gaze recording binocularly, we applied the saccade detection algorithm to the
154 time series of each eye. An example of the saccades detected for one eye during one tracking trial is
155 shown in Figure 1. Once the individual saccades are identified, their amplitude, peak velocity and
156 direction are computed. Next, we used these parameters to compute the saccadic frequency and the
157 saccadic dynamics distributions as a function of visual field eccentricity and direction. To determine
158 whether the oculomotor behavior of patients differed from normal, we computed normative values
159 based on the results of the control population. Because the age distribution of PD and MS patients
160 differed, we computed separate normative values for each class of patients (see Results section,
161 Figures 5-6, panels B-C)



162

163 **Figure 1. A.** Example of a time series of eye movements positions recorded during a trial of 20
164 seconds of the tracking task. **B.** Example of eye acceleration and the value of the adaptive saccadic
165 threshold for one eye plotted as a function of time. The adaptive threshold (in red) at any given time
166 point is computed as the standard deviation of acceleration distribution in the preceding 60 samples,
167 multiplied by a constant ($K = 3.4$). Whenever acceleration exceeds the momentary threshold, the time
168 point is marked as being part of a saccade. **C.** Back-projection of the time points marked as being
169 part of a saccade onto the original time series of eye positions (top figure showing the horizontal and
170 the bottom figure showing the vertical component).

171

172 2.5 Statistical analysis

173 The results of the patients' oculomotor behavior are expressed in modified z-scores computed as

$$z_{modified} = \frac{x - MED}{1.486 \cdot MAD}$$

174 Where MED and MAD are the Median and Mean Absolute Deviation in the age-matched normative
175 control population used as a reference. The individual saccades extracted with the algorithm
176 described in the previous section, are binned in the function of their direction along the visual field,
177 and used to display the saccadic frequency distribution (examples in panel B of Figures 5 & 6) and
178 the saccadic dynamics (amplitude and peak velocity, examples in panel C of Figures 5 & 6).

179 For MS patients, the entire group of controls is used as normative data, as their age distributions are
180 very similar (see Observers section). Since as a group the PD patients were significantly older, for the
181 age-matched normalization it was necessary to create a subgroup of controls excluding all
182 participants under 50 years of age. To take into account the different sizes of the groups ($N_{controls} =$
183 50 , $N_{MS} = 12$, $N_{PD} = 9$), the statistical comparison between patients and controls have been performed
184 using one-tailed Welch's t-test for unequal variances with $\alpha = 0.05$.

185 The analysis of oculomotor spatio-temporal properties (STP) resulted in 80 features (10 unique STP
186 $\times 2$ axis $\times 2$ pursuit conditions $\times 2$ eyes). See Supplementary Material for a description of the
187 individual spatio-temporal properties. For the purposes of the clustering analysis, we did not
188 normalize the patients' features with respect to the control group. Prior to performing the clustering
189 analysis, we reduced the dimensionality of this dataset by employing a Principal Component
190 Analysis (PCA) with the goal of removing redundant features. Based on the PCA, we identified the
191 number of components sufficient to explain at least 95% of the variance. Following the PCA, we
192 applied t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (tSNE) on the remaining components. This
193 technique allows visualizing high-dimensional data by modeling each high-dimensional object as a
194 two- (or three-) dimensional point in such a way that similar objects are modeled as nearby points
195 and dissimilar objects are modeled as more distant points. Lastly, to identify clusters amongst the t-
196 SNE points, we applied a k-means clustering algorithm. The appropriate number of clusters k was
197 chosen using the Elbow Method (Thorndike, 1953) applied to the Within-clusters Sum of Squares
198 (WSS). Based on the resulting k , the location and size of each of the clusters was computed.


199 3 Results

200 To summarize our findings, we find that the main-sequence alone is often insufficient to detect the
201 presence of oculomotor abnormalities in either MS or PD. In contrast, we find that the STP obtained
202 with our SONDA paradigm well separates PD patients from healthy controls. The STP of MS
203 patients is insufficient to separate them from controls. Nevertheless, when we combine the STP with
204 saccadic dynamics and frequency distributions, both PD and MS patients can be distinguished from
205 controls.

206 3.1 Main-sequences of saccades in PD and MS do not differ from controls

207 First, we determined whether the oculomotor abnormalities of PD and MS patients are reflected in
208 changes in the measures obtained with our continuous gaze tracking task. Figure 2 shows the main-
209 sequence plots of amplitude vs. peak velocity for each saccade made by every patient and control
210 made during the tracking task (algorithm described in Methods, Figure 1). We found no differences

211 at the group level: both the amplitude and peak velocity distributions of the two patient groups
212 overlap with those of the control group. For all three groups tested, we find an exponential
213 relationship between amplitude and peak velocity. This behaviour is expected (Bahill et al., 1975).
214 The prediction intervals (95%) of the patients' groups data overlap with those computed for the
215 control groups' data.



216
217 **Figure 2.** Saccadic main-sequences in the three examined groups of observers. The individual
218 saccades (scatter plot) display the classic exponential relationship between amplitude and peak
219 velocity, with no statistically significant differences between Control, MS and PD groups: all 95%
220 prediction intervals overlap with each other. Also when taken separately (marginal histograms), the
221 amplitude and peak velocity distributions do not differ between groups or between eyes.


222

223

224

225 3.2 Eye movement Spatio-Temporal Properties of PD and MS compared to normative data

226 Figure 3 shows the results of the analysis of the STP of eye movements made in the continuous
 227 tracking task.



228

229 **Figure 3.** Oculomotor spatio-temporal properties in MS and PD cohorts, compared to an age-
 230 matched normative baseline. For the spatio-temporal analysis of the continuous gaze tracking task ,
 231 we applied the eye-movement crosscorrelogram method (Grillini et al., 2018; Mulligan et al., 2013).
 232 From all features computed, we selected the two temporal and two spatial features which were shown
 233 to be most strongly modulated by stimulus velocity (Grillini et al., in preparation). The selected
 234 features are: “lag” (F1), “temporal uncertainty” (F2), “error spread” (F3) and “dissimilarity” (F4).

235 “Lag” represents the delay between eyes and stimulus, measured as the temporal offset of the peak of
236 the cross-correlation between stimulus and eye velocities. “Temporal uncertainty” represents the
237 consistency of the velocity of the eye in relation to the velocity of the stimulus. “Error spread” is the
238 standard deviation of the distribution of eye-stimulus positional deviations. “Dissimilarity” is the
239 inverse of the cosine similarity computed between the arrays of gaze coordinates and stimulus
240 coordinates.

241

242 In MS patients (upper row), we find a substantial overlap of their features with the normative ones.
243 We can observe a higher variability compared to controls and PD (lower row), especially in the
244 Smooth condition. Statistically significant differences (indicated by an asterisk) are found for the
245 temporal uncertainty and the movement dissimilarity features, but only in the Saccadic condition,
246 where participants had to make saccades in order to track the rapid, random, displacements of the
247 target.


248 In PD patients (lower row), nearly all features are significantly different from the normative ones.
249 The magnitude and variance of the differences are larger in the Saccadic condition, similar to what
250 we found in the MS patients.

251 For both MS and PD patients, there were neither significant differences for the left and right eyes,
252 nor for the horizontal and vertical components of the eye movements.

253 These results indicate that MS patients had abnormalities primarily in saccades, while PD patients
254 had abnormalities in both saccades and smooth-pursuit eye movements.

255 **3.3 Classification of the neurological disorder based on oculomotor abnormalities**

256 We examined whether it is possible to identify the underlying neurological conditions of individual
257 patients based exclusively on the eye-movements measurement. The results are shown in Figure 4.
258 Of the 80 initial features (10 oculomotor properties \times 2 axis \times 2 pursuit conditions \times 2 eyes), the
259 PCA indicated that 11 components are sufficient to explain 95% of the variance in the data (Figure 4-
260 A). We then used the t-SNE algorithm to embed these 11 principal components into two final
261 components for clustering and visual representation. We found that the optimal number of clusters to
262 represent the data is five (Figure 4-B). The results of the k-mean analysis are shown in Figure 4-C.
263 The leftmost cluster [1] contains nearly all PD patients and two of the MS patients. Being most
264 separated from the other clusters, this cluster appears to group the cases with the most severe
265 oculomotor impairments. The rightmost cluster [2] includes the vast majority of control subjects, thus
266 grouping those without an oculomotor impairment. It partially overlaps with clusters [3] and [4]
267 which contain participants from various groups and together cover nearly all MS patients. These
268 clusters, therefore, appear to group patients with a suspected impairment of different degrees of
269 severity. The remaining cluster [5] comprises outliers which, upon verification, were associated with
270 very noisy data and/or exceptionally poor performance. Altogether, these results show that despite
271 the relatively low number of subjects, a classification based exclusively on STP is reasonably
272 effective for Parkinson’s Disease but ineffective for MS.



273

274 **Figure 4.** Results of STP-based clustering analysis for the entire tested population. All the spatio-
 275 temporal features available from SONDA were used as an input for the PCA (see Supplementary
 276 Material), and the resulting components were processed with tSNE to represent the high-
 277 dimensionality dataset into a lower-dimensionality space for clustering purposes. The number of
 278 clusters has been computed using the “elbow method” with the Within-clusters Sum of Squares
 279 (WSS) as a parameter . We then used the k-means clustering algorithm ($k = 5$) applied to the outcome
 280 components of t-SNE.

281


282 3.4 Combination of STP with statistical and dynamic properties of saccades


283 Next, we verified whether taking into account the frequency distribution of saccades and their
 284 dynamic properties as a function of direction (i.e. visual field polar angle), would allow for a better
 285 discrimination between the underlying disorders. Figure 5 and 6 show the results for three MS and
 286 three PD patients respectively, and two age-matched control observers. From each patient group, we
 287 selected three patients that showed either mixed results regarding the STP, the saccadic frequency
 288 and saccadic dynamics, or that had an additional diagnosis (e.g. INO in addition to MS). The results
 289 for the left and right eye are displayed separately.

290 Panel A shows part of the STP previously presented in Figure 3. We present the features for the
 291 horizontal and vertical components of the *saccadic pursuit* condition, which we already showed to be
 292 the condition with the most significant differences. Our results show that the STP and the saccadic
 293 dynamics are not always in agreement and thus convey different types of information that may be
 294 relevant for a clinical interpretation. Patient MS#1 had been previously diagnosed with internuclear
 295 ophthalmoplegia (INO) and has severely impaired STP (Fig. 5-A), a dysmetric saccadic behavior
 296 with reduced adduction frequency (Fig. 5-B), and an abnormal range of saccadic amplitudes and peak
 297 velocities of which both are hypermetric (Fig. 5-C). Patient MS#2 has normal STP (Fig. 5-A), an
 298 anisotropic saccadic frequency distribution skewed rightward and upward binocularly (Fig. 5-B). The

299 saccades made by both eyes are in the normal velocity range, but their amplitude is mildly
300 hypermetric, especially along a near vertical and in a horizontal rightward direction (Fig. 5-C).
301 Patient MS#3 has moderately impaired STP (Fig. 5-A), a normal saccade frequency distribution (Fig.
302 5-B), and hypermetric amplitude of the vertical saccades, with a normal peak velocity for both eyes
303 (Fig. 5-C).

304 Patient PD#1 has impaired STP, with a strong oculomotor lag in both horizontal and vertical
305 components (Fig. 6-A), while both their saccadic frequency distribution (Fig. 6-B) and saccadic
306 dynamics (Fig. 6-C) are within the normative range. Patient PD#2 shows severely impaired STP (Fig.
307 6-A), an unusual “diagonally skewed” saccadic frequency distribution for both eyes (with the upward
308 component slanted towards the nasal side; Fig. 6-B) and the saccadic peak velocity within the
309 normative range and nearly perfect normal saccadic amplitude (Fig. 6-C). Finally, patient PD#3 has
310 normal STP (Fig. 6-A) and shows saccadic frequency distributions that deviate from normal (Fig. 6-
311 B) and show slightly hypermetric vertical amplitude of the diagonal saccades. The peak velocities for
312 both eyes were normal (Fig. 6-C).





316 **Figure 5 & 6.** An in-depth overview of the oculomotor performance of three MS patients, three PD
317 patients, and two age-matched controls. **A.** Normalized spatio-temporal properties (STP). We
318 consider an STP feature abnormal if it deviates 2 or more standard deviations from the normative
319 value (indicated in green). **B.** Normalized saccadic frequency distributions. **C.** Normalized saccadic
320 dynamics (amplitude and peak velocity), as a function of saccade direction. The results are stratified
321 per quartile, separately for amplitude and peak velocity. Each quartile has its own normative
322 boundaries.

323 4 Discussion

324 The main contribution of the present study is the introduction of a novel method to perform a time-
325 efficient (less than 5 minutes), non-invasive, neuro-ophthalmic screening that is based on an in-depth
326 characterization of oculomotor behavior in response to a simple visual tracking task.

327 First, our results indicate that the most commonly used conventional measures such as saccadic
328 amplitude and peak velocity by themselves, are often insufficient to fully characterize the oculomotor
329 behavior of PD and MS patients. Moreover, we show that the computation of the spatio-temporal
330 properties (STP) of eye-movements recorded during a continuous tracking task can help detect
331 abnormalities in the oculomotor behavior. Therefore, we conclude that a combination of improved
332 conventional (saccadic frequency distribution and dynamics) and our entirely new spatio-temporal
333 analyses are an effective screening tool to comprehensively assess the oculomotor behavior of a
334 patient. The clinical relevance of this will be discussed below for each class of patients.

335 4.1 SONDA identifies oculomotor abnormalities in Multiple Sclerosis

336 The parameters of the main-sequences - saccadic amplitude and saccadic peak velocity - of MS
337 patients showed an almost identical distribution to those of the controls (see Figure 2). This is
338 consistent with the literature which indicates that most CNS lesions of this disease have not been
339 associated with unique identifiable clinical symptoms in eye-movements (Elliot M. Frohman et al.,
340 2005). Thus far, the majority of the studies on oculomotor abnormalities in MS patients focused on
341 the detection of internuclear ophthalmoplegia (INO). This disorder may be present (often
342 subclinically) in ~30% of MS patients and is usually identified by measuring the versional
343 dysconjugacy index (VDI), which is the ratio between abducting and adducting eye saccadic
344 dynamics (E. M. Frohman et al., 2002; Jozefowicz-Korczynska et al., 2008; Nij Bijvank et al., 2019).
345 However, despite that relying on the main-sequence parameters alone is insufficient, standardized
346 screening tests capable of detecting other manifestations of oculomotor abnormalities in MS do not
347 exist.

348 With our novel “SONDA” approach introduced in this study, in MS we found preserved smooth
349 pursuit responses and two abnormal features in the saccadic pursuit condition (see Figure 3). This is
350 consistent with the notion that the most common saccadic issue in MS is saccadic dysmetria (Serra et
351 al., 2003), present especially in patients with demyelination affecting the cerebellar peduncles (E. M.
352 Frohman et al., 2001). However, given the relatively small sample (NMS = 12) the significant
353 difference seems to be driven mostly by a few severely impaired patients, rather than a general
354 decrease in performance.

355 An interesting result emerged from the clustering analysis shown in Figure 4. In this case, we
356 performed a multivariate analysis based on the whole feature-space of STPs obtained with SONDA,
357 rather than selecting a few parameters a priori. We found that MS patients, although not well
358 separable from controls, nevertheless tended to cluster (clusters [3] and [4]) based solely on their

359 STPs. This suggests the presence of subtle oculomotor abnormalities that require more detailed
360 quantification of the eye movements.

361 To further explore this hypothesis we also quantified per eye the saccadic frequency and the saccadic
362 dynamics distributions (Figures 5 and 6). These extended analyses revealed the presence of
363 abnormalities even in patients falling within the normative STP ranges. For instance, patient MS#2
364 has a normal STP (panel 5A), only slightly abnormal saccadic dynamics (panel 5C), but a very
365 peculiar saccadic frequency distribution (panel 5B). This latter analysis revealed an abnormal
366 prevalence of horizontal rightward saccades for both eyes. This is analogous to what has been
367 observed in pathological nystagmus: a slow-drift of eccentric gaze in one direction followed by a
368 resetting saccade in the other, which is caused by a failure of the common neural integrator of eye
369 movements in the brainstem (Elliot M. Frohman et al., 2005). While the other two MS patients
370 (MS#1 and MS#3) have an abnormal STP (panel 5A). A further inspection of their saccadic
371 frequency distributions does differentiate between them: MS#3 has a normal saccadic frequency
372 distribution (panel 5B) and only slightly hypermetric vertical saccades (panel 5C). The oculomotor
373 abnormalities of patient MS#3 might have gone unnoticed if the assessment had been limited to the
374 saccadic dynamics. On the other hand, besides an abnormal STP, patient MS#1 also displays overall
375 hypermetric saccades, as well as the suppression of adducting saccades (panel 5B) that is typical of
376 INO.

377 **4.2 SONDA identifies oculomotor abnormalities in Parkinson's Disease**

378 In PD, slower saccades should be expected in advanced cases (Rottach et al., 1996; Vidailhet et al.,
379 1994; White et al., 1983). Yet, at the group level, the prediction intervals for the saccadic main-
380 sequence of the PD patients overlap with those of the control group (see Figure 2). In contrast a
381 significant difference is found in all examined STP, except for the spread of the positional error
382 (Figure 3). Noticeably, we found marked differences along the vertical axis of the smooth pursuit
383 condition for almost the entire group, although mild cases of PD are expected to be similar to age-
384 matched control observers (Rottach et al., 1996; Waterston et al., 1996). This can be due to the fact
385 that while unpredictable pursuit should be poor in PD, the predictive component of pursuit is
386 generally preserved (Gilaie-Dotan et al., 2013). However, our stimulus trajectory is completely
387 unpredictable (by design it does not contain any autocorrelation; see Supplementary Material). This
388 explains why our approach was sensitive to PD related abnormalities.

389 Regarding saccades, one of the characteristic oculomotor disorders in PD is the impairment of self-
390 generated saccades with the relative sparing of visually-driven saccades, which only deteriorate as
391 the disease progresses (Leigh & Zee, 2015). In our tracking task we tested only visually-driven
392 saccades but still found significant differences in the STP of most patients. This is important, because
393 both at the group-level (Figure 2) and at the single-subject level (Figure 6C) the saccadic dynamics of
394 the PD patients were remarkably normal. It suggests that SONDA is a sensitive paradigm.

395 For the PD patients, the clustering analysis based on SONDA's STP resulted in the separation of
396 most PD individuals from the controls (Figure 4). This is quite remarkable considering that, for pure
397 Parkinson's Disease (which all patients in our group were) the eye movements usually only show
398 minor disturbances that are common in healthy elderly subjects as well (Leigh & Zee, 2015). Note
399 that this contrasts with other forms of parkinsonism. Furthermore, one of the main oculomotor
400 abnormalities in PD is the difficulty in generating internally driven saccades. Most errors are made
401 when PD patients are required to switch between instructions (e.g. switching between pro- and
402 antisaccades (Cameron et al., 2012; Rivaud-Pechoux et al., 2006; Terao et al., 2013)). Such switching

403 is not demanded by our paradigm. Nonetheless, abnormal oculomotor behavior could be clearly
404 identified in all PD patients.

405 An in-depth inspection of the oculomotor behavior of single patients (Figure 6) showed that,
406 analogously to MS, an analysis of the saccadic frequency distribution can reveal subtle abnormalities
407 that other measures (STP and saccadic dynamics) cannot (see Figure 6, PD#3 for an example).
408 Nevertheless, in the majority of the PD patients, the STP by itself was sufficient to identify eye
409 movement abnormality (as already revealed by the clustering analysis).

410 **4.3 SONDA is clinically relevant**

411 The SONDA paradigm allows for a comprehensive examination of eye-movements that provides
412 detailed information on the dynamics and statistical properties of saccades, as well as a novel set of
413 spatio-temporal properties that quantify both smooth and saccadic pursuit behavior. Given the large
414 number of parameters, our technique also opens up possibilities for machine-learning-aided diagnosis
415 of neuro-ophthalmic and neurological impairment based on eye movements. We and others have
416 previously demonstrated the feasibility of such an approach for identifying (simulated) ophthalmic
417 disorders (Crabb et al., 2014; Grillini et al., 2018), and for neurological conditions (the latter based
418 on participants viewing natural scenes (Tseng et al., 2013)). Compared to other high-throughput eye-
419 tracking tests, SONDA has two main advantages. First, excluding calibration (that is common for all
420 eye-tracking tests), the whole assessment takes only 4 minutes (compared to ~20 minutes for the
421 approach of Tseng et al (Tseng et al., 2013) and ~25 minutes for DEMoNS (Nij Bijvank et al.,
422 2018)). Second, our task and stimulus were designed to be as intuitive and simple as possible to
423 avoid confounding factors that may be caused by natural or more complex stimuli, or complicated
424 instructions resulting in a higher cognitive load.

425 **4.4 Future applications**

426 Objective, quantitative eye-movement-based tests are increasingly becoming an important aid in
427 diagnosing and monitoring neuro-ophthalmic conditions of which the oculomotor manifestations
428 cannot always be clinically detected with confrontational assessments (Bedell & Stevenson, 2013).
429 For instance, in some patients oscillopsia occurs in conjunction with eye movements that are too
430 small to be detected using an ophthalmoscope, yet that are large enough to cause visual complaints
431 (Smith, 1986). Another example of the use of eye-movement-based metrics is the assessment of
432 surgical or pharmacological interventions for nystagmus (Hertle et al., 2003; McLean et al., 2007).
433 Such disorders are rather common in MS when lesions involve the brainstem and the cerebellum
434 (Elliot M. Frohman et al., 2005) and can occur in PD as well, sometimes causing oscillopsia (Kaski
435 & Bronstein, 2017).

436 Besides MS and PD, the new parameters provided by SONDA can be relevant in the evaluation of
437 other neurological and psychiatric disorders such as mild traumatic brain injury (Thiagarajan et al.,
438 2011), schizophrenia (Holzman et al., 1973) or dementia with Lewy bodies (Mosimann et al., 2005).
439 In these disorders, the parameters of the saccadic main-sequence of patients often overlap with those
440 of controls. For this reason, outcomes cannot be considered definitively diagnostic (Serra et al.,
441 2003). A multi-dimensional characterization of oculomotor properties, such as provided by SONDA,
442 could thus also be beneficial in these conditions.

443 **4.5 Limitations**

444 Our present study still has some limitations that should be addressed in future follow-up. First, the
445 number of observers tested in comparison to the number of features analyzed was only just enough to
446 use t-SNE and k-mean clustering ($N = 71$ observers and 11 PCA components; see Figure 4).
447 Combined with the imbalance within groups ($N_{\text{control}} = 50$, $N_{\text{MS}} = 12$, $N_{\text{PD}} = 9$) this may have
448 prevented an optimal separation of the MS patients from the controls. Another aspect that requires
449 further investigation is the sensitivity/specificity of the measures that were expressed as a function of
450 the visual field polar angle (Figures 5 & 6, panels B & C). The number of bins used to determine the
451 polar histograms was chosen arbitrarily and might affect the false negative/positive rate depending on
452 the data available in each bin.

453 4.6 Conclusions

454 We presented SONDA, a novel paradigm to assess oculomotor abnormalities that can be linked to
455 neurological disorders. We tested patients affected by Multiple Sclerosis and Parkinson's Disease
456 and showed that: (1) the parameters of the saccadic main-sequence alone are insufficient to separate
457 patients from healthy controls; (2) spatio-temporal and statistical properties of the eye movements
458 obtained with SONDA, when combined with detailed analysis of saccadic dynamics allow for a
459 correct identification of the oculomotor abnormalities and identification of the underlying
460 neurological disorder. Our test constitutes an attractive approach for quantitative neuro-ophthalmic
461 screening, being non-invasive, intuitive and fast to administer.

462 5 Author Contributions

463 AG, AV and FC designed the experiment; AG and RR analyzed the data; AG and FC wrote the
464 manuscript; AV, JH and FC wrote the grant; all authors reviewed the manuscript.

465 6 Conflict of interest

466 The authors AG and RR are listed as inventors on the European patent application “**Grillini, A.,**
467 **Hernández-García, A., Renken, J. R.** (2019). Method, system and computer program product for
468 mapping a visual field. EP19209204.7” which is partially based on the content of the Supplementary
469 Material of this manuscript.

470 The author AG is currently a majority shareholder of Reperio B.V. (Dutch chamber of commerce
471 registration: 76175596), but was not at the time the research was conducted.

472 The authors AV, JH and FC declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any
473 commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

474 7 Funding

475 This project has received funding from the Programmaraad Visuele Sector (grant agreement “STIP-
476 MS”), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie
477 Skłodowska-Curie (grant agreement No 641805 “NextGenVis”) and the Graduate School Medical
478 Sciences (GSMS) of the University Medical Center Groningen.

479 8 Acknowledgments

480 We are thankful to Nadine Naumann and Teatske Hoekstra from Royal Dutch Visio for their support
481 in assessing patients.

482 9 References

- 483 Abadi, R. V., & Gowen, E. (2004). Characteristics of saccadic intrusions. *Vision Research*, 44 (23),
484 2675–2690.
- 485 Bahill, A. T., Terry Bahill, A., Clark, M. R., & Stark, L. (1975). The main sequence, a tool for
486 studying human eye movements. In *Mathematical Biosciences* (Vol. 24, Issues 3-4, pp. 191–204).
487 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5564\(75\)90075-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5564(75)90075-9)
- 488 Bedell, H. E., & Stevenson, S. B. (2013). Eye movement testing in clinical examination. In *Vision*
489 *Research* (Vol. 90, pp. 32–37). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2013.02.001>
- 490 Behrens, F., Mackeben, M., & Schröder-Preikschat, W. (2010). An improved algorithm for automatic
491 detection of saccades in eye movement data and for calculating saccade parameters. *Behavior*
492 *Research Methods*, 42 (3), 701–708.
- 493 Bolanos, I., Lozano, D., & Cantu, C. (2004). Internuclear ophthalmoplegia: causes and long-term
494 follow-up in 65 patients. In *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica* (Vol. 110, Issue 3, pp. 161–165).
495 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2004.00278.x>
- 496 Bonnen, K., Burge, J., Yates, J., Pillow, J., & Cormack, L. K. (2015). Continuous psychophysics:
497 Target-tracking to measure visual sensitivity. *Journal of Vision*, 15 (3).
498 <https://doi.org/10.1167/15.3.14>
- 499 Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spatial Vision*, 10 (4), 433–436.
- 500 Cameron, I. G. M., Pari, G., Alahyane, N., Brien, D. C., Coe, B. C., Stroman, P. W., & Munoz, D. P.
501 (2012). Impaired executive function signals in motor brain regions in Parkinson’s disease.
502 *NeuroImage*, 60 (2), 1156–1170.
- 503 Collewijn, H., Erkelens, C. J., & Steinman, R. M. (1988). Binocular co-ordination of human
504 horizontal saccadic eye movements. *The Journal of Physiology*, 404, 157–182.
- 505 Crabb, D. P., Smith, N. D., & Zhu, H. (2014). What’s on TV? Detecting age-related
506 neurodegenerative eye disease using eye movement scanpaths. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 6,
507 312.
- 508 Derwenskus, J., Rucker, J. C., Serra, A., Stahl, J. S., Downey, D. L., Adams, N. L., & Leigh, R. J.
509 (2005). Abnormal eye movements predict disability in MS: two-year follow-up. *Annals of the New*
510 *York Academy of Sciences*, 1039, 521–523.
- 511 Finke, C., Pech, L. M., Sömmer, C., Schlichting, J., Stricker, S., Endres, M., Ostendorf, F., Ploner, C.
512 J., Brandt, A. U., & Paul, F. (2012). Dynamics of saccade parameters in multiple sclerosis patients
513 with fatigue. *Journal of Neurology*, 259 (12), 2656–2663.
- 514 Frohman, E. M., Frohman, T. C., Fleckenstein, J., Racke, M. K., Hawker, K., & Kramer, P. D.
515 (2001). Ocular contrapulsion in multiple sclerosis: clinical features and pathophysiological
516 mechanisms. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 70 (5), 688–692.

- 517 Frohman, E. M., Frohman, T. C., O’Suilleabhain, P., Zhang, H., Hawker, K., Racke, M. K., Frawley,
518 W., Phillips, J. T., & Kramer, P. D. (2002). Quantitative oculographic characterisation of internuclear
519 ophthalmoparesis in multiple sclerosis: the versional dysconjugacy index Z score. *Journal of*
520 *Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 73 (1), 51–55.
- 521 Frohman, E. M., Frohman, T. C., Zee, D. S., McColl, R., & Galetta, S. (2005). The neuro-
522 ophthalmology of multiple sclerosis. *Lancet Neurology*, 4 (2), 111–121.
- 523 Frohman, T. C., Frohman, E. M., O’Suilleabhain, P., Salter, A., Dewey, R. B., Jr, Hogan, N., Galetta,
524 S., Lee, A. G., Straumann, D., Noseworthy, J., Zee, D., Corbett, J., Corboy, J., Rivera, V. M., &
525 Kramer, P. D. (2003). Accuracy of clinical detection of INO in MS: corroboration with quantitative
526 infrared oculography. *Neurology*, 61 (6), 848–850.
- 527 Gilaie-Dotan, S., Saygin, A. P., Lorenzi, L. J., Egan, R., Rees, G., & Behrmann, M. (2013). The role
528 of human ventral visual cortex in motion perception. In *Brain* (Vol. 136, Issue 9, pp. 2784–2798).
529 <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt214>
- 530 Graves, J., & Balcer, L. J. (2010). Eye disorders in patients with multiple sclerosis: natural history
531 and management. *Clinical Ophthalmology*, 4, 1409–1422.
- 532 Grillini, A., Ombelet, D., Soans, R. S., & Cornelissen, F. W. (2018). Towards using the spatio-
533 temporal properties of eye movements to classify visual field defects. In *Proceedings of the 2018*
534 *ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications - ETRA '18*.
535 <https://doi.org/10.1145/3204493.3204590>
- 536 Hertle, R. W., Dell’Osso, L. F., FitzGibbon, E. J., Thompson, D., Yang, D., & Mellow, S. D. (2003).
537 Horizontal rectus tenotomy in patients with congenital nystagmus: results in 10 adults.
538 *Ophthalmology*, 110 (11), 2097–2105.
- 539 Holzman, P. S., Proctor, L. R., & Hughes, D. W. (1973). Eye-tracking patterns in schizophrenia.
540 *Science*, 181 (4095), 179–181.
- 541 Huygen, P. L. M., E J J, & Hommes, O. R. (1986). The Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex in Multiple
542 Sclerosis. In *Multiple Sclerosis Research in Europe* (pp. 363–372). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4143-4_52)
543 [009-4143-4_52](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4143-4_52)
- 544 Jozefowicz-Korczynska, M., Łukomski, M., & Pajor, A. (2008). Identification of internuclear
545 ophthalmoplegia signs in multiple sclerosis patients. In *Journal of Neurology* (Vol. 255, Issue 7, pp.
546 1006–1011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-008-0819-5>
- 547 Kaski, D., & Bronstein, A. M. (2017). Ocular Tremor in Parkinson’s Disease: Discussion, Debate,
548 and Controversy. In *Frontiers in Neurology* (Vol. 8). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00134>
- 549 Leigh, R. J., & Zee, D. S. (2015). *The Neurology of Eye Movements*.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780199969289.001.0001>
- 551 Lizak, N., Clough, M., Millist, L., Kalincik, T., White, O. B., & Fielding, J. (2016). Impairment of
552 Smooth Pursuit as a Marker of Early Multiple Sclerosis. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 7, 206.

- 553 Mallery, R. M., Poolman, P., Thurtell, M. J., Full, J. M., Ledolter, J., Kimbrough, D., Frohman, E.
554 M., Frohman, T. C., & Kardon, R. H. (2018). Visual Fixation Instability in Multiple Sclerosis
555 Measured Using SLO-OCT. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, *59* (1), 196–201.
- 556 Marandi, R. Z., & Gazerani, P. (2019). Aging and eye tracking: in the quest for objective biomarkers.
557 In *Future Neurology* (Vol. 14, Issue 4, p. FNL33). <https://doi.org/10.2217/fnl-2019-0012>
- 558 McLean, R., Proudlock, F., Thomas, S., Degg, C., & Gottlob, I. (2007). Congenital nystagmus:
559 randomized, controlled, double-masked trial of memantine/gabapentin. *Annals of Neurology*, *61* (2),
560 130–138.
- 561 Mosimann, U. P., Müri, R. M., Burn, D. J., Felblinger, J., O'Brien, J. T., & McKeith, I. G. (2005).
562 Saccadic eye movement changes in Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies.
563 *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *128* (Pt 6), 1267–1276.
- 564 Mulligan, J. B., Stevenson, S. B., & Cormack, L. K. (2013). Reflexive and voluntary control of
565 smooth eye movements. In *Human Vision and Electronic Imaging XVIII*.
566 <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2010333>
- 567 Nij Bijvank, J. A., Petzold, A., Balk, L. J., Tan, H. S., Uitdehaag, B. M. J., Theodorou, M., & van
568 Rijn, L. J. (2018). A standardized protocol for quantification of saccadic eye movements: DEMoNS.
569 *PloS One*, *13* (7), e0200695.
- 570 Nij Bijvank, J. A., van Rijn, L. J., Balk, L. J., Tan, H. S., Uitdehaag, B. M. J., & Petzold, A. (2019).
571 Diagnosing and quantifying a common deficit in multiple sclerosis: Internuclear ophthalmoplegia.
572 *Neurology*, *92* (20), e2299–e2308.
- 573 Pelli, D. G. (1997). The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: transforming numbers into
574 movies. *Spatial Vision*, *10* (4), 437–442.
- 575 Pretegiani, E., & Optican, L. M. (2017). Eye Movements in Parkinson's Disease and Inherited
576 Parkinsonian Syndromes. In *Frontiers in Neurology* (Vol. 8).
577 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00592>
- 578 Rascol, O., Sabatini, U., Simonetta-Moreau, M., Montastruc, J. L., Rascol, A., & Clanet, M. (1991).
579 Square wave jerks in parkinsonian syndromes. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*,
580 *54* (7), 599–602.
- 581 Rivaud-Pechoux, S., Vidailhet, M., Brandel, J. P., & Gaymard, B. (2006). Mixing pro- and
582 antisaccades in patients with parkinsonian syndromes. In *Brain* (Vol. 130, Issue 1, pp. 256–264).
583 <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awl315>
- 584 Rottach, K. G., Riley, D. E., DiScenna, A. O., Zivotofsky, A. Z., & Leigh, R. J. (1996). Dynamic
585 properties of horizontal and vertical eye movements in parkinsonian syndromes. *Annals of*
586 *Neurology*, *39* (3), 368–377.
- 587 Serra, A., Chisari, C. G., & Matta, M. (2018). Eye Movement Abnormalities in Multiple Sclerosis:
588 Pathogenesis, Modeling, and Treatment. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *9*, 31.

- 589 Serra, A., Derwenskus, J., Downey, D. L., & Leigh, R. J. (2003). Role of eye movement examination
590 and subjective visual vertical in clinical evaluation of multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neurology*, 250
591 (5), 569–575.
- 592 Smith, J. L. (1986). *Neuro-ophthalmology now!*
- 593 Terao, Y., Fukuda, H., Ugawa, Y., & Hikosaka, O. (2013). New perspectives on the pathophysiology
594 of Parkinson's disease as assessed by saccade performance: a clinical review. *Clinical*
595 *Neurophysiology: Official Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 124
596 (8), 1491–1506.
- 597 Thiagarajan, P., Ciuffreda, K. J., & Ludlam, D. P. (2011). Vergence dysfunction in mild traumatic
598 brain injury (mTBI): a review. *Ophthalmic & Physiological Optics: The Journal of the British*
599 *College of Ophthalmic Opticians*, 31 (5), 456–468.
- 600 Thorndike, R. L. (1953). Who belongs in the family? In *Psychometrika* (Vol. 18, Issue 4, pp. 267–
601 276). <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02289263>
- 602 Tseng, P.-H., Cameron, I. G. M., Pari, G., Reynolds, J. N., Munoz, D. P., & Itti, L. (2013). High-
603 throughput classification of clinical populations from natural viewing eye movements. *Journal of*
604 *Neurology*, 260 (1), 275–284.
- 605 Vidailhet, M., Rivaud, S., Gouider-Khouja, N., Pillon, B., Bonnet, A.-M., Gaymard, B., Agid, Y., &
606 Pierrot-Deseilligny, C. (1994). Eye movements in parkinsonian syndromes. In *Annals of Neurology*
607 (Vol. 35, Issue 4, pp. 420–426). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410350408>
- 608 Waterston, J. A., Barnes, G. R., Grealy, M. A., & Collins, S. (1996). Abnormalities of smooth eye
609 and head movement control in Parkinson's disease. *Annals of Neurology*, 39 (6), 749–760.
- 610 White, O. B., Saint-Cyr, J. A., & Sharpe, J. A. (1983). OCULAR MOTOR DEFICITS IN
611 PARKINSON'S DISEASE. In *Brain* (Vol. 106, Issue 3, pp. 555–570).
612 <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/106.3.555>
- 613 Winograd-Gurvich, C., Georgiou-Karistianis, N., Fitzgerald, P. B., Millist, L., & White, O. B.
614 (2006). Self-paced saccades and saccades to oddball targets in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Research*,
615 1106 (1), 134–141.