

1 **Modest effects of contact reduction measures on the reproduction number of SARS-CoV-2 in**
2 **the most affected European countries and the US**

3 Armin Ensser, Pia Überla, and Klaus Überla

4 Institute of Clinical and Molecular Virology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander
5 Universitaet Erlangen-Nuernberg, Schlossgarten 4, D91054 Erlangen

6

7

8

9 To whom correspondence should be addressed:

10 Prof. Armin Ensser, M.D.,

11 Institute of Clinical and Molecular Virology, University Hospital Erlangen,

12 Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg (FAU)

13 Schlossgarten 4

14 91054 Erlangen

15 Germany

16 T. +49-9131-8522104

17 F. +49-9131-8526493

18 armin.ensser@fau.de

19 or

20 armin.ensser@uk-erlangen.de

21

22 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

23 Research was done with internal funds.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

24 Abstract

25 Population density, behaviour and cultural habits strongly influence the spread of pathogens.
26 Consequently, key epidemiological parameters may vary from country to country. Many estimates of
27 SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 strongly depend on testing frequency and case definitions. The fatal cases
28 due to SARS-CoV2 could be a more reliable parameter, since missing of deaths is less likely. We
29 analysed the dynamics of new infection and death cases to estimate the daily reproduction numbers (R_t)
30 and the effectiveness of control measures in the most affected European Countries and the US. In
31 summary, calculating R_t based on the daily number of deaths as well as of new infections may lead to
32 more reliable estimates than those based on infection cases alone, as death based R_t are expected to be
33 less susceptible to testing bias or limited capacities.

34 Population density, behaviour and cultural habits strongly influence the spread of pathogens.
35 Consequently, key epidemiological parameters may vary from country to country. Confirmed COVID-
36 19 cases in China have been used to estimate those parameters, that vary largely (reviewed in 1). The
37 estimates also depend on testing frequency and case definitions that are prone to change during ongoing
38 epidemics, providing additional uncertainties. The fatal cases due to SARS-CoV2 could be a more
39 reliable parameter, since missing of deaths is less likely. In the absence of changes in the management
40 of severe COVID-19 cases, the rise and decline in virus infections should be followed by a proportional
41 rise and decline in death cases. Although the fluctuating low numbers of fatal cases very early in the
42 epidemic may lead to some uncertainty, more than 100 deaths per day are reported since 10.03.2020 in
43 Italy and since 21.03.2020 in the US. Therefore, the dynamics of new infection and death cases were
44 analysed to estimate the daily reproduction numbers (R_t) and the effectiveness of control measures in
45 the most affected European Countries and the US.

46 The daily number of new infection and death cases from 21.2.2020 to 12.04.2020 were downloaded
47 from ECDC (2). A seven-day sliding period was used to smoothen day to day variations. Based on two
48 independent studies we assumed a serial interval of 4 days for SARS-CoV-2 (3, 4). To estimate the daily
49 reproduction number (R_t) in a simple comprehensible manner, we determined the fold-increase of new
50 infections and death cases during the duration of one serial interval. This way, the fold enhancement
51 during one serial interval can be directly taken as the R_t value. Applying this calculation to the daily
52 infection cases in Italy, Spain, France, Germany, UK and the US revealed initial daily reproduction
53 numbers (R_t) between 2 and 3.55 (Fig. 1). Spontaneous changes in behaviour, implementation of travel
54 restrictions and contact reduction measures probably all contributed to the reduction in R_t observed.
55 Calculating R_t based on the reported daily death cases also revealed a decline in the R_t in each country
56 with a delay of several days. In France and the UK peaks in death based R_t were not preceded by peaks
57 in R_t based on the number of new infections. This may be due to insufficient diagnostic test capacities,
58 limiting the detection of new infections as the numbers of new infections rise steeply. R_t based on
59 infections or deaths converged to nearly identical values in all countries analysed. This is expected, if
60 transmission rates remain nearly unchanged for a period longer than the average interval between the
61 time of diagnosis and the time of death. The R_t values at the time of convergence are in the range of 1

62 and seem to level off in countries that have implemented strict contact reduction measures for the longest
63 period of time. A factor impeding a further decrease of R_t may be ongoing virus spread in highly
64 susceptible subpopulations such as nursing home residents and highly exposed nursing and medical
65 staff.

66 One interpretation of these data is that voluntary changes in behaviour, travel restrictions, and contact
67 reduction measures clearly reduced the R_t in all countries analysed to values around 1. Undoubtedly,
68 reduced adherence to contact reduction measures, in populations tired of these measures or lowering
69 these measures, will lead to a resurgence in new infections. Based on the current estimates of the R_t
70 the number of new infections and deaths are expected to decline only slowly, if at all. For countries, in
71 which death based R_t have not yet levelled off (e.g. Germany, US), the effectiveness of the national
72 measures implemented to reduce virus spread can currently not be firmly assessed. Despite uncertainty
73 in the reliability of the data used and lack of information on possible changes in the effectiveness of
74 registration of COVID-19 deaths during the observation period, our findings should be considered as a
75 working hypothesis demanding further investigations. As the number of deaths rapidly increases
76 worldwide, we encourage more sophisticated modelling of the epidemic based on the dynamics of death
77 cases by experts in the field. In summary, calculating R_t based on the daily number of deaths as well as
78 of new infections may lead to more reliable estimates than those based on infection cases alone, as death
79 based R_t are expected to be less susceptible to testing bias or limited capacities.

80 **References**

- 81 1. Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklov J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher
82 compared to SARS coronavirus. *J Travel Med.* 2020;27(2).
- 83 2. ECDC. Download today's data on the geographic distribution of COVID-19 cases worldwide:
84 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; 2020 [Available from:
85 [https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide)
86 [covid-19-cases-worldwide](https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/download-todays-data-geographic-distribution-covid-19-cases-worldwide).
- 87 3. Nishiura H, Linton NM, Akhmetzhanov AR. Serial interval of novel coronavirus (COVID-19)
88 infections. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2020.
- 89 4. Du Z, Xu X, Wu Y, Wang L, Cowling BJ, Meyers LA. Serial Interval of COVID-19 among Publicly
90 Reported Confirmed Cases. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2020;26(6).
- 91 5. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan,
92 China, of Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med.* 2020.
- 93 6. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of
94 adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020.

95

96 **Figure legend. Course of daily reproduction numbers estimated from the number of newly**
97 **reported SARS-CoV-2 infections or deaths in the indicated countries.** To estimate the reproduction
98 numbers, R_t , we first determined the mean of the number of infections or deaths reported on the
99 indicated day, the three preceding days and the three subsequent days. The fold-enhancement of the
100 mean number of infections or deaths for each day during the next four days (corresponding to one serial
101 interval) represents the R_t estimate shown. Data are presented if the mean number of infections or deaths
102 exceeded 100 and 10 events per day, respectively. Mean number of infections and deaths on the 10th,
103 11th and 12th of April were calculated based on the available 6, 5, and 4 data points, respectively. Virus
104 infection occurs approximately 19 to 29 days before the day of death assuming 4 to 7 days of mean
105 incubation period (5) and 15 to 22 days from onset of symptoms to death (6). Thus, the death based R_t
106 values plotted for each day reflect transmissions occurring approximately 24 days before. Similarly,
107 infection based R_t values for each day also reflect transmissions occurring several days before. Delays
108 in testing and reporting further limit the accuracy for defining the actual period to which the R_t values
109 refer to.

