Interleukin-6 blockade for severe COVID-19

Mathilde Roumier<sup>1</sup>, MD, Romain Paule<sup>1</sup>, MD, Matthieu Groh<sup>1</sup>, MD, Alexandre Vallée<sup>2</sup>, MD,

Félix Ackermann<sup>1</sup>, MD, for the Foch COVID-19 Study Group

**Affiliations:** 

1. Department of Internal Medicine, Hôpital Foch, F-92151 Suresnes, France

2. Diagnosis and Therapeutic Center, Hypertension and Cardiovascular

Prevention Unit, AP-HP, Hôtel-Dieu Hospital, Paris-Descartes University, Paris,

France

**Corresponding author:** 

Dr Félix Ackermann, Department of Internal Medicine, F-92151 Suresnes, France; tel:

+33146252119; Fax +33146252620; <u>f.ackermann@hopital-foch.com</u>

**Abstract:** 

1

In the context of COVID-19 pandemic and growing tensions worldwide regarding

healthcare facilities, there is an urgent need for effective treatments likely to reduce the

crunch of ICU beds. Following the assumption by Mehta and colleagues who exhorted

physicians to screen patients with severe COVID-19 for hyperinflammation and

investigate immunomodulatory drugs in this setting, we relate our short-term - yet

promising - experience regarding IL6 blockade with tocilizumab in 30 selected patients

of less than 80 years of age, >5 days of prior disease duration, severe (i.e. requiring strictly

over 6L/min of oxygen therapy) rapidly deteriorating (i.e. increase by more than 3L/min

of oxygen flow within the previous 12 hours) COVID-19-related pneumonia. By

comparison with a control group of patients (matched for age, gender and disease severity

using the inverse probability of treatment weighted methodology) that did not receive

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

tocilizumab. We demonstrate that, in highly selected patients, IL6 blockade could curb the

"cytokine storm", prevent ICU admission and the requirement for mechanical ventilation.

Notwithstanding the shortcomings of this retrospective small sample-size study, we

believe that these preliminary findings support the fostering of research efforts in the

fight against COVID-19-induced inflammation, especially before patients require

admission to the ICU.

Manuscript word count: 711 words

2

Table: 1; Figure: 0

The pathophysiology of Corona Virus Disease-19 (COVID-19) is still unclear. Yet, in a

subgroup of patients with life-threatening acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS),

there is growing evidence that virally-induced pro-inflammatory cytokines (including

Interleukin (IL)1β, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, and granulocyte colony stimulating

factor) lead to both hyperinflammatory and procoagulatory states at a late-stage of the

disease (1,2). Such findings are further corroborated by recent studies, which highlighted

that high levels of C-reactive protein, IL-6 and D-Dimer upon admission were predictors

of mortality (3,4). In their recent Comment, Mehta and colleagues exhorted physicians to

screen patients with severe COVID-19 for hyperinflammation and suggested that

immunosuppressive and/or immunomodulatory drugs should be investigated in this

setting (5). Here, we relate our short-term - yet promising - experience regarding IL6

blockade for severe COVID-19 with tocilizumab.

Tocilizumab is mainly used worldwide for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, but other indications include refractory giant cell arthritis, idiopathic multicentric Castleman disease, and chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy-induced cytokine release syndrome (a condition that to some extent can mimic the clinical picture of COVID-19-induced ARDS) (6). In the emergency context of the COVID-19 epidemic in France, an off-label compassionate-use program of intravenous tocilizumab (8 mg/kg at the discretion of treating physicians, renewable once in case of insufficient response to therapy) was started at Foch university hospital (Suresnes, France) for selected COVID-19 patients (per WHO criteria) of less than 80 years of age with severe (*i.e.* requiring >6L/min of oxygen therapy) rapidly deteriorating (*i.e.* increase by  $\geq$ 3L/min of oxygen flow within the previous 12 hours) pneumonia, high C-reactive protein levels and with  $\geq$ 5 days of prior disease duration. All patients provided informed consent prior to receiving tocilizumab and this program was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (approval number: IRB00012437).

Between March 21<sup>st</sup> 2020 and April 2<sup>nd</sup> 2020, 30 patients (80% males; median age: 50 years) were treated with tocilizumab, including 7 (23%) in the setting of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) **(Table 1)**. After a median (IQR) follow-up of 8 (6.0-9.75) days, and by comparison with a control group of patients (matched for age, gender and disease severity using the inverse probability of treatment weighted methodology with SAS software) (7) that did not receive tocilizumab, IL-6 blockade significantly reduced the requirement of subsequent mechanical ventilation (weighted OR: 0.42; 95%CI[0,20-0,89]; p=0,025). Although unadjusted analysis showed a trend towards a reduction of mortality (OR: 0.25 95%CI [0.05-0.95], p=0.04), statistical significance disappeared after weighted analysis. Last, when considering only 23 patients (and 16 controls) treated outside the ICU, treatment with tocilizumab significantly reduced the risk of subsequent ICU admission

(weighted OR: 0.17; 95%CI[0,06-0,48]; p=0,001). Overall, as of April 4<sup>th</sup> 2020, of the 30 patients treated with tocilizumab, 3 (10%) had died, while 4/7 (57%) and 6/30 (20%) were discharged from the ICU and from hospital, respectively. Overall, tocilizumab was well-tolerated, yet mild hepatic cytolysis (n=2) and ventilator-acquired pneumonia (n=1) were reported. Of note, 2 patients treated with tocilizumab also received a 10-day course of hydroxychloroquine (200mg tid) and azithromycin (250mg bid on day1 and qid thereafter), while 2 patients (that were not treated with tocilizumab) received high-dose (≥1mg/kg/d) methylprednisolone pulses.

In the context of COVID-19 pandemic and growing tensions worldwide regarding healthcare facilities, there is an urgent need for effective treatments likely to reduce the crunch of ICU beds. Concordant with the assumption by Mehta (5) and others (8), these data suggest that targeting IL-6 in highly selected patients with rapidly deteriorating pneumonia and high inflammatory parameters could curb the "cytokine storm", prevent ICU admission and the requirement for mechanical ventilation. These results are in line with the encouraging data reported by Xu and colleagues regarding the use of tocilizumab in COVID-19 (9), but differ from those of Gritti and colleagues who treated more severe patients requiring non-invasive ventilation with siltuximab (another IL-6R-targeted therapy) (10). Notwithstanding the shortcomings of these retrospective small samplesize studies, we believe that these preliminary findings support the fostering of research efforts in the fight against COVID-19-induced inflammation, especially before patients require admission to the ICU. Evidently, the results of ongoing larger-scale prospective randomized-controlled trials evaluating tocilizumab (ChiCTR2000029765; NCT04320615), sarilumab (NCT04315298; NCT04324073) siltuximab and (NCTNCT04329650) are eagerly awaited. Moreover, other immunomodulatory treatment strategies including high-dose corticosteroids, IL-1 blockade or JAK inhibition also deserve to be investigated.

## References

- 1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*.2020;395:4972506.
- 2. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*.2020;395:507213.
- 3. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, et al. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med.* 3 mars 2020; doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-05991-x. [Epub ahead of print].
- 4. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*.2020;395:1054262.
- 5. Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet*. 2020;395:103324.
- 6. Lee DW, Gardner R, Porter DL, et al. Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of cytokine release syndrome. *Blood*.2014;124:188295.
- 7. Haukoos JS, Lewis RJ. The Propensity Score. *JAMA*.2015;314:163728.
- 8. Lescure FX, Bouadma L, Nguyen D, et al. Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020 Mar 27. pii: S1473-3099(20)30200-0. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30200-0. [Epub ahead of print].
- 9. Xiaoling Xu, Han M, Li T et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients

with tocilizumab. chinaXiv. submitted for publication 2020; chinaXiv: 202003.00026v1.

10. Gritti G, Raimondi F, Ripamonti D, et al. Use of siltuximab in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia requiring ventilatory support. *medRxiv*. 1 avr 2020;2020.04.01.20048561.

Table 1: Demographic, clinical, laboratory, radiographic findings and outcomes of the overall population

Outcomes         Invasive ventilation $10 (33.3)$ $16 (55.2)$ $0.089$ $43.1\%$ $64.0\%$ Death $3 (10.0)$ $9 (31.0)$ $0.041$ $17.2\%$ $18.7\%$ Parameters used for the propensity score           Age $58.8 (12.4)$ $71.2 (15.4)$ $0.001$ $62.3 (11.3)$ $60.6 (21.6)$ Female $6 (20.0)$ $6 (20.7)$ $0.947$ $21.9\%$ $16.4\%$ Baseline ICU hospitalization $7 (23.3)$ $13 (44.8)$ $0.079$ $33.4\%$ $50.3\%$ COPD $4 (13.3)$ $7 (24.1)$ $0.284$ $15.1\%$ $16.0\%$ Diabetes $7 (23.3)$ $10 (34.5)$ $0.343$ $29.5\%$ $27.6\%$ Obesity $5 (16.7)$ $4 (13.8)$ $0.759$ $19.6\%$ $31.9\%$ NEWS 2 score $80.7\%$ $80.7\%$ $80.7\%$ $80.7\%$ $80.7\%$ $80.7\%$ C-reactive protein (mg/L) $189.0$ $167.4$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$ $60.7\%$	P-value
Invasive ventilation Death $10 \ (33.3)$ $16 \ (55.2)$ $0.089$ $43.1\%$ $64.0\%$ $3 \ (10.0)$ $9 \ (31.0)$ $0.041$ $17.2\%$ $18.7\%$ Parameters used for the propensity score  Age $58.8 \ (12.4)$ $71.2 \ (15.4)$ $0.001$ $62.3 \ (11.3)$ $60.6 \ (21.6)$ $62.3 \ (21.6)$ $62.$	
Death         3 (10.0)         9 (31.0)         0.041         17.2%         18.7%           Parameters used for the propensity score           Age         58.8 (12.4)         71.2 (15.4)         0.001         62.3 (11.3)         60.6 (21.6)           Female         6 (20.0)         6 (20.7)         0.947         21.9%         16.4%           Baseline ICU hospitalization         7 (23.3)         13 (44.8)         0.079         33.4%         50.3%           COPD         4 (13.3)         7 (24.1)         0.284         15.1%         16.0%           Diabetes         7 (23.3)         10 (34.5)         0.343         29.5%         27.6%           Obesity         5 (16.7)         4 (13.8)         0.759         19.6%         31.9%           NEWS 2 score         0.786         83.3%         85.6%           score ≥ 7         24 (80.0)         24 (82.8)         83.3%         85.6%           score 5-6         6 (20.0)         5 (17.2)         16.7%         14.4%           C-reactive protein (mg/L)         189.0         167.4         0.426         (112.7)         (93.7)           Eosinopenia         21 (70.0)         25 (86.2)         0.129         78.8%         88.7% <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	
Parameters used for the propensity score       58.8 (12.4)       71.2 (15.4)       0.001       62.3 (11.3)       60.6 (21.6)         Female       6 (20.0)       6 (20.7)       0.947       21.9%       16.4%         Baseline ICU hospitalization       7 (23.3)       13 (44.8)       0.079       33.4%       50.3%         COPD       4 (13.3)       7 (24.1)       0.284       15.1%       16.0%         Diabetes       7 (23.3)       10 (34.5)       0.343       29.5%       27.6%         Obesity       5 (16.7)       4 (13.8)       0.759       19.6%       31.9%         NEWS 2 score       0.786       0.786       83.3%       85.6%         score ≥ 7       24 (80.0)       24 (82.8)       83.3%       85.6%         Score 5-6       6 (20.0)       5 (17.2)       16.7%       14.4%         C-reactive protein (mg/L)       189.0       167.4       0.426       (112.7)       (93.7)         Eosinopenia       21 (70.0)       25 (86.2)       0.129       78.8%       88.7%         Time between       hospitalization and the need for > 6L/min of oxygen therapy       1.8 (3.1)       4.1 (11.8)       0.263       1.5 (2.6)       2.4 (8.5)	0.025
propensity score       Age $58.8 (12.4)$ $71.2 (15.4)$ $0.001$ $62.3 (11.3)$ $60.6 (21.6)$ Female $6 (20.0)$ $6 (20.7)$ $0.947$ $21.9\%$ $16.4\%$ Baseline ICU hospitalization $7 (23.3)$ $13 (44.8)$ $0.079$ $33.4\%$ $50.3\%$ COPD $4 (13.3)$ $7 (24.1)$ $0.284$ $15.1\%$ $16.0\%$ Diabetes $7 (23.3)$ $10 (34.5)$ $0.343$ $29.5\%$ $27.6\%$ Obesity $5 (16.7)$ $4 (13.8)$ $0.759$ $19.6\%$ $31.9\%$ NEWS 2 score $0.786$ <t< td=""><td>0.837</td></t<>	0.837
Age $58.8 (12.4)$ $71.2 (15.4)$ $0.001$ $62.3 (11.3)$ $60.6 (21.6)$ Female $6 (20.0)$ $6 (20.7)$ $0.947$ $21.9\%$ $16.4\%$ Baseline ICU hospitalization $7 (23.3)$ $13 (44.8)$ $0.079$ $33.4\%$ $50.3\%$ COPD $4 (13.3)$ $7 (24.1)$ $0.284$ $15.1\%$ $16.0\%$ Diabetes $7 (23.3)$ $10 (34.5)$ $0.343$ $29.5\%$ $27.6\%$ Obesity $5 (16.7)$ $4 (13.8)$ $0.759$ $19.6\%$ $31.9\%$ NEWS 2 score $0.786$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.598
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.459
Diabetes       7 (23.3)       10 (34.5)       0.343       29.5%       27.6%         Obesity       5 (16.7)       4 (13.8)       0.759       19.6%       31.9%         NEWS 2 score       0.786         score ≥ 7       24 (80.0)       24 (82.8)       83.3%       85.6%         score 5-6       6 (20.0)       5 (17.2)       16.7%       14.4%         C-reactive protein (mg/L)       189.0       167.4       186.7       160.8         (104.4)       (106.8)       0.426       (112.7)       (93.7)         Eosinopenia       21 (70.0)       25 (86.2)       0.129       78.8%       88.7%         Time between       1.8 (3.1)       4.1 (11.8)       0.263       1.5 (2.6)       2.4 (8.5)	0.066
Obesity       5 (16.7)       4 (13.8)       0.759       19.6%       31.9%         NEWS 2 score       0.786       0.786         score ≥ 7       24 (80.0)       24 (82.8)       83.3%       85.6%         score 5-6       6 (20.0)       5 (17.2)       16.7%       14.4%         C-reactive protein (mg/L)       189.0       167.4       186.7       160.8         (104.4)       (106.8)       0.426       (112.7)       (93.7)         Eosinopenia       21 (70.0)       25 (86.2)       0.129       78.8%       88.7%         Time between       1.8 (3.1)       4.1 (11.8)       0.263       1.5 (2.6)       2.4 (8.5)	0.888
NEWS 2 score score ≥ 7	0.819
score ≥ 7       24 (80.0)       24 (82.8)       83.3%       85.6%         score 5-6       6 (20.0)       5 (17.2)       16.7%       14.4%         C-reactive protein (mg/L)       189.0       167.4       186.7       160.8         (104.4)       (106.8)       (112.7)       (93.7)         Eosinopenia       21 (70.0)       25 (86.2)       0.129       78.8%       88.7%         Time between       hospitalization and the need for > 6L/min of oxygen therapy       1.8 (3.1)       4.1 (11.8)       0.263       1.5 (2.6)       2.4 (8.5)	0.734
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.734
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	0.4-0
Time between hospitalization and the need for > 6L/min of oxygen therapy  1.8 (3.1) 4.1 (11.8) 0.263 1.5 (2.6) 2.4 (8.5)	0.179
hospitalization and the need for $> 6L/\min$ of oxygen therapy 1.8 (3.1) 4.1 (11.8) 0.263 1.5 (2.6) 2.4 (8.5)	0.146
for $> 6L/\min$ of oxygen therapy 1.8 (3.1) 4.1 (11.8) 0.263 1.5 (2.6) 2.4 (8.5)	
101 > 6L/ IIIII 01 0xygen therapy	0.428
(nours)	
Companyidition	
Comorbidities	
Hypertension 6 (20.0) 18 (62.1) 0.008	
Cardiovascular disease       4 (13.3)       10 (34.5)       0.053         Cerebrovascular disease       0 (0.0)       3 (10.3)       0.071	
Cerebrovascular disease         0 (0.0)         3 (10.3)         0.071           Chronic kidney disease         4 (13.3)         5 (17.2)         0.676	
HIV 2 (6.7) 1 (5.6) 0.877	
Immunosupressive therapy 4 (13.3) 3 (15.0) 0.868	
Clinical findings	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) 27.6 (3.8) 25.5 (3.9) 0.183	
Time of first symptoms to Tocilizumab onset (days)  14.1 (3.5)	
Paraclinical findings	
Positive PCR for SARS-CoV2 29 (96.7) 29 (100) 1.000	
Lymphopenia 23 (82.1) 17 (85.0) 0.793	
Ferritin (µg/L) 2682.8 1827.9 0.391	
(3/33.2) (1221.2)	
D-dimer (mg/L) 3712.8 1880.5 (5836.4) (2162.7) 0.335	
> 50% lung involvement on	
CT-scan 18 (85.7) 6 (85.7) 1.000	

Data are n (%) and mean (SD). P-values were calculated using Mann-Whitney U test, chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. CoV = Coronavirus. CT = computed tomography. ICU= Intensive Care Unit. NEWS= National Early Warning Score. PCR = polymerase chain reaction. SARS = Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome.