

1 **Title:** Influenza and other respiratory viral infections associated with absence from school  
2 among schoolchildren in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA: a cohort study.

3

4 **Running title:** Respiratory infections in schoolchildren.

5

6 Jonathan M. Read<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Shanta Zimmer<sup>3,4\*</sup>, Charles Vukotich Jr.<sup>3</sup>, Mary Lou Schweizer<sup>3</sup>, David  
7 Galloway<sup>5</sup>, Carrie Lingle<sup>5,6</sup>, Gaby Yearwood<sup>7</sup>, Patti Calderone<sup>3</sup>, Eva Noble<sup>8</sup>, Talia Quadelacy<sup>8</sup>,  
8 Kyra Grantz<sup>10,11</sup>, Charles Rinaldo<sup>3</sup>, Hongjiang Gao<sup>9</sup>, Jeanette Rainey<sup>9</sup>, Amra Uzicanin<sup>9</sup>, Derek A.T.  
9 Cummings<sup>8,10,11</sup>

10 \* equal contribution

11

- 12 1. Center for Health Informatics Computing and Statistics, Lancaster Medical School,  
13 Lancaster University, United Kingdom
- 14 2. Institute of Infection and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United  
15 Kingdom
- 16 3. University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine,  
17 Pittsburgh, PA, United States of America
- 18 4. University of Colorado School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Denver, CO, United  
19 States of America
- 20 5. University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, PA, United States  
21 of America
- 22 6. Toledo Lucas County Health Department, Toledo, OH, United States of America
- 23 7. University of Pittsburgh, Department of Anthropology, Pittsburgh, PA, United States of  
24 America
- 25 8. Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology,  
26 Baltimore, MD, United States of America
- 27 9. US CDC, Department of Global Migration and Quarantine, Centers for Disease Control  
28 and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America
- 29 10. University of Florida, Department of Biology, Gainesville, FL, United States of America

30 11. University of Florida, Emerging Pathogens Institute, Gainesville, FL, United States of  
31 America

32

### 33 **Acknowledgments**

34 We would like to thank the children, parents, and staff of our study schools for generously  
35 supporting and participating in this study. We are grateful to the virology laboratory of Dr.  
36 Charles Rinaldo, Jr. at the University of Pittsburgh and to Arlene Bullotta, UPMC Clinical  
37 Virology Lab for processing viral specimens, and to Peter Diggle and Chris Jewell for statistical  
38 advice. This work was supported by Cooperative Agreement number [1U01CK00179] from the  
39 US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, [www.cdc.gov](http://www.cdc.gov)). The findings and  
40 conclusions in this study are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily  
41 represent the official position of CDC. JMR acknowledges additional support from the  
42 Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/N014499/1).

43

### 44 **Conflict of interest statement**

45 The authors report no potential conflict of interests.

46

47

48

49

50 **Abstract**

51

52 **Background:** Information on the etiology and age-specific burden of respiratory viral infections  
53 among school-aged children remains limited.

54

55 **Methods:** We conducted a cohort study to determine the etiology of ILI (influenza like illness)  
56 among 2,519 K–12 students during the 2012–13 influenza season. We obtained nasal swabs  
57 from students with ILI-related absences. Generalized linear mixed-effect regressions  
58 determined associations of outcomes, including ILI and laboratory-confirmed respiratory virus  
59 infection, with school grade and other covariates.

60

61 **Results:** Overall, 459 swabs were obtained from 552 ILI-related absences. Respiratory viruses  
62 were found in 292 (63.6%) samples. Influenza was found in 189 (41.2%) samples. with influenza  
63 B found in 134 (70.9%). Rates of influenza B were significantly higher in grades 1 (10.1%, 95% CI  
64 6.8%–14.4%), 2 (9.7%, 6.6%–13.6%), 3 (9.3%, 6.3%–13.2%), and 4 (9.9%, 6.8%–13.8%) than in  
65 kindergarteners (3.2%, 1.5%–6.0%). After accounting for grade, sex and self-reported  
66 vaccination status, influenza B infection risk was lower among kindergarteners in half-day  
67 programs compared to kindergarteners in full-day programs (OR = 0.19; 95% CI 0.08–0.45).

68

69 **Conclusions:** ILI and influenza infection is concentrated in younger schoolchildren. Reduced  
70 infection by respiratory viruses is associated with a truncated school day for kindergarteners,  
71 but requires further investigation in other grades and populations.

72

73

74 **Keywords:**

75 Influenza, Human; Schools; Child; Prevention & Control; Epidemiology

76

77

78 **Background**

79 The 2009 influenza pandemic caused disruption to schools, businesses, and governmental  
80 entities. Numerous reports from US CDC and European countries document the central role of  
81 school-age children in community-wide transmission of pandemic virus [1-10]. In the United  
82 States and Australia, the onset of pandemic influenza incidence was linked to school opening  
83 dates [11]. Children experience higher rates of infection, shed influenza virus longer than  
84 adults, and have social mixing patterns conducive to the propagation of respiratory viruses [5,  
85 12]. However, the risk distribution of influenza and other respiratory infections throughout  
86 childhood is poorly understood. Current influenza vaccination programs in the United States  
87 target individuals 6 months of age and older. If vaccine supply is limited, children 6-59 months  
88 of age are an age group of focus for vaccination [13]. Programs in the United Kingdom target  
89 children 17 years of age and younger, with a program that phases universal coverage in  
90 beginning with younger children [14].

91  
92 A number of seasonal pathogens cause respiratory symptoms consistent with influenza-like  
93 illness (ILI) [15]. Given the importance of school-aged children for influenza transmission, a  
94 better understanding of the etiology of respiratory infections among children, their associated  
95 burden, and the interaction of these infections with influenza is required to improve current  
96 public health strategies to reduce transmission of infections in schools and communities.

97  
98 Despite the role of school age children in driving influenza epidemics, relatively little fine-  
99 grained analysis has been conducted to assess how different ages within the school  
100 demographic are affected by seasonal influenza [16]. Factors other than age may also be  
101 important in determining risk of infection. Females have been shown to be at greater risk of  
102 influenza infection in adults [17], but it is unknown if school age females are also at elevated  
103 risk compared to male pupils in the same schools. Small-scale spatial variation in influenza  
104 attack rate has been observed [18], though it is unclear whether nearby schools (within the  
105 same district) experience similar epidemic dynamics. The acquisition of immunity during

106 childhood is thought to drive patterns of age specific incidence of influenza [19]; few detailed  
107 studies have examined how infection risk changes with age during childhood.

108

109 We conducted the SMART study (Social Mixing and Respiratory Transmission) in two school  
110 districts in the Pittsburgh metropolitan area. This study included testing school-aged children  
111 for respiratory viruses following an ILI-related absence during the winter influenza season of  
112 2012-2013 in a cohort of schools. We relate infection outcomes to attributes of individual  
113 children and grade and classroom properties, as well as school districts and the individual  
114 schools within them.

115

## 116 **Methods**

### 117 *Study population*

118 We conducted our study in a charter school system in an urban area (district A: eight schools  
119 and 2,000 kindergarten to 12<sup>th</sup> grade [K-12] students) and a public school district in a suburban  
120 area surrounding an urban core (district B: nine schools and approximately 4,700 K-12  
121 students). We worked with nine schools selected from these two districts: three from district A  
122 and six from district B.

123

### 124 *Ethical Review*

125 Protocol and all study materials were approved by the University of Pittsburgh IRB  
126 #PRO11020500, the University of Florida IRB #IRB201701941, Centers for Disease Control and  
127 Prevention IRB #IRB00000319, the University of Liverpool Research Ethics Committee, and the  
128 Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health and Medicine at Lancaster University.

129

### 130 *Recruitment and study procedures*

131 Project staff met with schoolboards, parent-teacher organizations, school staff, and school  
132 nurses to provide information about the project. Investigators provided students and parents a  
133 summary of the study, including a disclosure form and a signature section for opting out of  
134 participation. All parents received information sheets ahead of the study period, offering them

135 the option to withdraw their child from the study. All participating children gave verbal assent  
136 prior to swabbing and interviewing.

137

### 138 *Surveillance and virologic sampling*

139 The 2012-13 influenza transmission season started unusually early nationwide [20]. In schools  
140 participating in our study, influenza cases began to rise in mid-December. The SMART study  
141 team initiated surveillance in three schools during the week of December 17-21, 2012, prior to  
142 the scheduled winter break, and in the first week of January 2013. Surveillance activities were  
143 implemented in all nine participating schools from the start of the spring semester on January  
144 7, 2013, concluding on March 27, 2013. Schools closed for winter break December 24, 2012 to  
145 January 2, 2013; spring break closure was March 28 to April 1, 2013.

146

147 Trained project staff were deployed in participating schools to monitor student absentee data,  
148 receiving daily attendance reports from schools. We defined an absence event as absence from  
149 school by a student for either an entire day or missing part of the day due to leaving school  
150 early due to illness. This excluded individuals who were tardy but present for part of the day.  
151 All contiguous days for which the student was absent were classified as a single absence event.  
152 Study staff telephoned parents to determine the reason for student absences. If an absent  
153 student was reported ill, staff then inquired about the symptoms the student had (categories  
154 recorded were: fever, sore throat, cough, runny nose/congestion, headache, muscle or joint  
155 pain, nausea/diarrhea/vomiting). Students with ILI using the CDC Case Definition – fever of at  
156 least 37.8 °C, and either cough or sore throat – were eligible for nasal swabbing by project or  
157 school staff upon return to school. Temperature was requested and recorded for those  
158 individuals who reported a recorded temperature, however, to increase sensitivity of case  
159 finding, children that a parent or guardian reported as having a fever, even in the absence of a  
160 measurement were included as meeting our case definition. Students presenting to the school  
161 nurse with ILI were swabbed immediately, otherwise students were swabbed on their return to  
162 school following illness absence.

163

164 *Sampling and laboratory analysis*

165 Staff used sterile wound polyester-tip swabs to collect specimens from the anterior nares of  
166 participants; the swab was placed in sterile transport media, and transported to University of  
167 Pittsburgh Medical Center Clinical Virology Laboratory, where PCR tests were performed for  
168 both influenza A and B, and a range of other respiratory viruses (see supplement for further  
169 details).

170

171 *Questionnaire*

172 Participating students were surveyed on a repeated basis to determine influenza vaccine status.

173

174 *Statistical Analysis*

175 Cumulative attack rates (CARs) were calculated from pooled data across all schools and the  
176 entirety of the surveillance period. CARs were calculated for participants who were identified  
177 as: 1) having ILI, 2) testing positive for any of the respiratory viruses in the panel, or 3) testing  
178 positive for influenza virus, regardless of subtype and testing positive for each of subtypes of  
179 influenza separately. Denominator values included the number of participating students,  
180 students with absence periods who reported to the school nurse, and students with absence  
181 periods who did not report to the school nurse but whose home we were able to contact. We  
182 excluded students whose homes we were not able to contact to determine their health status  
183 even after multiple attempts. Binomial confidence intervals were calculated for all CARs.

184

185 We performed a variety of generalized linear mixed-effect regressions, in which outcome  
186 variables were the binary infection status of a participant over the entire surveillance period  
187 given a particular definition of outcome: whether they were identified as having ILI; testing  
188 positive for any respiratory virus; testing positive for influenza; or testing positive for a specific  
189 subtype of influenza (A and B). We included hierarchical random intercept terms for school and  
190 district in all models unless specified otherwise, where schools were nested within one of the  
191 two districts. We performed hypothesis-driven regression models, in which the variables  
192 included in the model were decided *a priori*. These included: a linear term for a child's school

193 grade (to explore the effect of increasing age, where Kindergarten grade is replaced with a zero  
194 and grade treated as a numeric term), attendance duration (full-day or half-day, to explore the  
195 effect of reduced time spent at school), sex (to identify any sex difference in risk within this age  
196 group), and vaccination status (to estimate vaccine efficacy). Random effect terms for school  
197 and district were included to identify variation in risk between schools and districts. To assess  
198 the robustness of our hypothesis-driven modelling, we also performed an exploration of  
199 alternative models using a forward selection process in which the final model's variables were  
200 selecting from a series of candidate variables (described in supplement; Tables S2 and S3).

201  
202 Data for reproduction of major results and figures is made available through the DRYAD archive  
203 [upon publication DRYAD archive number made available].

204

## 205 **RESULTS**

206

### 207 *Recruitment*

208 A total of 2,519 students participated in the study at one elementary school (grades K-6), a  
209 combined school (grades K-8), and a high school (grades 9-12) from the charter district–District  
210 A, and from five elementary schools (grades K-4) and an intermediate school (grades 5-6) in the  
211 public school district–District B (**Table 1**). As there were only 12 students in the 12th grade, we  
212 combined grades 11 and 12 for all analyses (termed '11+'). We achieved good participation  
213 rates across the schools: 95.2% in school A1, 99.6% in school A2; 96.9% in school A3; 76.5% in  
214 school B1; 89.6% in school B2; 85.0% in school B3; 90.0% in school B4; 84.5% in school B5;  
215 86.8% in school B6. An additional three students dropped out during the study period. After  
216 accounting for children for whom we could not achieve follow-up following an absence (see  
217 below), we were able to successfully surveil 2,077 children during the study period.

218

### 219 *Absenteeism*

220 In total, 1,772 children generated 4,720 absence events during the study period. We were  
221 unable to successfully call the home and determine the health status of 442 (24.9%) absent

222 children who did not present to the school nurse with illness; collectively, they were  
223 responsible for 970 (20.6%) absence events. Our ability to successfully characterize illness in  
224 children following absence was greatest for schools in district A and among younger children  
225 (Table S2), We were able to characterize fully 3,750 absence events absences among 1,330  
226 children. Older children (grades 7-12) had fewer absences with ascertained cause (i.e.  
227 observable for ILI outcome) (see Table 1).

228

### 229 *Time course of ILI and confirmed infections*

230 Of the children who were absent from school or reported to the school nurses, 408 were  
231 diagnosed with ILI and swabbed, of which 271 children were PCR positive for at least one virus.  
232 Some children had multiple ILI episodes and were swabbed more than once: 39 were swabbed  
233 twice, and six swabbed three times. Of those 271 children testing positive at least once with  
234 confirmed virus, 180 (66.4%) were positive for influenza (either subtype A or B), while 56  
235 (20.7%) and 132 (48.7%) were positive for influenza A and B, respectively, during the  
236 surveillance period. A small wave of influenza A preceded a larger wave of influenza B cases,  
237 corresponding to distinct epidemics of A and B occurring in the wider community (Figure 1). A  
238 substantial proportion of children with were positive for respiratory pathogens other than  
239 influenza. Among the children testing positive for any virus, 64 (23.6%) were positive for  
240 coronavirus, 54 (19.9%) rhinovirus, 28 (10.3%) respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), 6 (2.2%)  
241 adenovirus, 3 (1.1%) picornavirus, and 3 (1.1%) metapneumovirus.

242

### 243 *Cumulative attack rates (CARs)*

244 In our study, we found an overall cumulative attack rate of 19.7% (95% CI, 18.0–21.5%) for ILI,  
245 13.1% (11.7–14.6%) for infection with a respiratory virus, and 8.7% (7.5–10.0%) for influenza  
246 infection. We found significant variation in CAR between grades and schools for children  
247 identified with ILI, children testing positive for any of the viruses tested, and children testing  
248 positive for influenza (Figure 2; Figures S1, S2, S3). The highest rate of ILI was in grades 1  
249 (26.6%, 95% CI 21.4%-32.3%) and 2 (25.3%, 20.5%-30.7%), while the rate ranged between  
250 10.3% (95% CI, 7.0%-14.4%) and 30.7% (24.2%-37.8%) between schools. Children in grade 1 had

251 the highest CAR for all viruses (20.6%, 95% CI 15.9%-26.0%), and the rate decreased with  
252 increasing grade, although kindergarten children had a lower CAR than children in grade 1 (8.2,  
253 95% CI, 5.3%-12.1%). We also found significant differences in CAR for infection by any  
254 respiratory virus between the schools (Figure 2B). For influenza, similar patterns were  
255 observed: there were significant differences in CAR between grades and between schools, with  
256 the highest CAR being in younger aged children in grades 1 to 4, and CAR ranging in individual  
257 schools from 3.2% (95% CI, 1.5%-6.0%) to 17.4% (95% CI, 12.4%-23.4%). There were different  
258 patterns of CAR for influenza A and B (Figure S1) and other respiratory viruses across grades  
259 and schools (Figure S2), and across grade within the same school (Figure S3).

260

#### 261 *Sampling delays*

262 Long delays between symptom onset and sampling may introduce bias in the surveillance of  
263 acute respiratory viruses, as viral shedding rates diminish as individuals recover. While the  
264 delay between symptom onset and swabbing in our study ranged between 0 and 22 days, the  
265 median delay was 4 days and 83% of samples were taken within 6 days of symptom onset.  
266 Overall, we found no strong indication that positivity rates declined with increase delay in  
267 sampling (Figure S3).

268

#### 269 *Regression models*

270 CARs are a crude measure of true infection rates, due to the potential for confounders and the  
271 pooling of data across all participating schools. To explore the impact of multiple factors in  
272 influencing the infection rates of children, we fitted a series of mixed-effect regression models  
273 that explicitly incorporated the hierarchical nature of the observations within schools and  
274 districts. We tested whether case status (either having ILI, any virus, influenza or specific  
275 influenza subtype) was associated with the sex, school grade, self-reported vaccination status  
276 of students, or the duration of their instruction at school (full day vs half day). Half day duration  
277 only occurred in one of the school districts studies (district B).

278

279 We found broad agreement in the effect sizes of covariates and random variables across the  
280 five modelled outcomes (Table 2). Across all the different models, there was greater variation  
281 between schools than between districts. The proportion of variation associated with schools  
282 ranged from 11.2% (respiratory virus infection) to 26.5% (influenza B infection). Increasing  
283 school grade, a proxy for age, was associated with a reduction in risk in all outcomes. We did a  
284 significant effect of sex in any of the models. Vaccination status was not associated with viral  
285 infection risk but was associated with a reduction in the risk of ILI. Kindergarten children  
286 attending school for a half day were at significantly reduced risk of all infection outcomes  
287 except for influenza A infection. Half day attendance was most strongly associated with the risk  
288 of influenza B infection, representing a reduction in risk of between 55% and 92%. These  
289 associations were supported further when we used a model selection process to consider  
290 alternative model formulations (Tables S2 and S3; supplementary information).

291

#### 292 *Additional analyses of half-day kindergarten attendance*

293 To further explore the impact of half-day school attendance, we fitted models which explore  
294 the association between grade (Table S5) and duration of attendance (Table S6) and infection  
295 outcome, in schools with half day kindergarten attendance. We also fitted models of infection  
296 outcomes with variables for attendance duration, grade, sex and vaccination status, in schools  
297 which have both full day and half day kindergarten students (Table S7). We found Kindergarten  
298 students at significantly reduced risk of infection compared to higher (older) grade students in  
299 schools with half day attendance (Table S5), though in the same schools, we did not find a  
300 significant reduction in risk associated with half day attendance when we did not include in the  
301 models (Table S6). However, when we fitted the full model (including terms for attendance,  
302 grade, sex and vaccination status) to the four schools in our study with both half and full day  
303 kindergarten students, we found half day kindergarten students were at significantly reduced  
304 risk of all infection outcomes (Table S7), though we did not find a significant association  
305 between infection risk and grade in these models.

306

307 **Discussion**

308 We conducted surveillance for influenza-like illness within nine schools during a single influenza  
309 season (2012-2013). Nearly 20% of surveilled children experienced at least one episode of ILI  
310 during the study period; several experienced multiple episodes. Influenza was the most  
311 common viral pathogen identified among these children, although we found the presence of  
312 other respiratory viruses in a substantial proportion of detected ILI episodes, suggesting that  
313 the burden of ILI in school age children during the normal influenza season may not be entirely  
314 due to infection with influenza. ILI and infections were concentrated in younger children, and  
315 CARs varied between schools. Modelling of the risk of infection with respiratory viruses and,  
316 specifically, influenza identified associations with the grade and the attendance duration of  
317 children, where younger children are at higher risk of infection than older children, and  
318 kindergarten children attending school for half days were at lower risk than kindergarten  
319 children attending for full day instruction.

320

321 Contacting parents of absent students to document reasons for absence was a major challenge  
322 during the study. However, our rate of follow-up for an absence episode (47.2% of cases)  
323 compared favorably to an earlier study in which only 28.6% of absences were verified [21],  
324 though we did observe variation in documentation rates by school and grade. The primary  
325 problem in contacting parents was inaccurate phone numbers. None-the-less, the pattern of  
326 influenza-associated absences confirmed during the study period mirror emergency  
327 department and outpatient provider-reported, virologically confirmed influenza from the  
328 Allegheny County Department of Health, suggesting that absentee-triggered surveillance was  
329 an effective methodology.

330

331 Our study found overall CARs for respiratory virus infection of 13.1% (95% CI, 11.7–14.6%) and  
332 for influenza infection of 8.7% (95% CI, 7.5%–10.0%); these rates are consistent with those  
333 found in other studies of children in non-clinical settings [16]. Our study also shows that the  
334 cumulative attack rate of respiratory viruses decreases with increasing grade of school-age  
335 children. This age pattern of infection suggests that respiratory infectious disease prevention

336 measures, including efforts to further increase seasonal influenza vaccine uptake, should be  
337 especially emphasized for the younger age groups: kindergarten through grade 6. We found the  
338 strongest association of grade and time spent in school on the risk of infection with influenza B;  
339 this was the outcome with the largest number of cases and, thus, the greatest power to detect  
340 significant associations. We found infection outcomes were clustered within schools but varied  
341 little between districts, after adjusting for other factors. This observation is consistent with a  
342 significant proportion of influenza transmission occurring within schools.

343

344 Kindergarten students who attended school for half-day duration were at significantly lower  
345 risk of respiratory infection suggests the reduced risk of infection in kindergarten students may  
346 be due to reduced time spent within school. Further study is required to determine if these  
347 findings can be replicated in other settings, and if half-day school attendance would similarly  
348 affect respiratory viral infection in other grades. It is possible that our results reflect the  
349 experiences and exposures of kindergarten students within a single district and may not be  
350 generalizable to other kindergarten students or other age groups and school grades.

351 Nonetheless, the prospect of a truncated school day as a potential alternative to full school  
352 closure, as a control option to reduce the transmission of seasonal and pandemic influenza [4,  
353 22], is intriguing. Pre-emptive, school closures are recommended as a countermeasure during  
354 severe influenza pandemics [23], but are associated with significant educational, social and  
355 economic impacts [24]. Prior studies of the impact of school closures on respiratory viral  
356 transmission have focused on observations around planned and unplanned closures [4, 6, 25],  
357 but none have characterized grade specific differences or half-day attendance. Further work is  
358 required to assess the efficacy of a half-day attendance regime in non-kindergarten grades and  
359 in other school populations on influenza infection and transmission.

360

361 There are several important limitations to this study. Our detection of virus relied on  
362 identification of an ILI episode within a child; we may, therefore, have underestimated the of  
363 incidence of viral infections, particularly if infections can be asymptomatic. While we found  
364 little evidence of an effect of vaccination status on infection status, we relied on the children to

365 self-report their vaccination status. This may have introduced bias through misclassification  
366 error and masked any true effect vaccines may have had in altering individual infection risk. Our  
367 findings may also be biased due to differences in our ability to characterize illness in absent  
368 children from different ages and schools; ILI in older children may, therefore, be  
369 underestimated in our study. A further limitation is that we have not measured other variables  
370 that confound or better explain the observation that half-day kindergarten children are at  
371 reduced risk of infection. Kindergarten children in particular schools were reported by the  
372 school as attending for either a half or full school day; we had no further information on the  
373 time actually spent in school by these individuals.

374

### 375 **Conclusions**

376 Our study found ILI and respiratory infections to be common among school age children during  
377 the 2012-2013 influenza season, with the youngest children being at highest risk of infection  
378 with influenza. We found no evidence of a difference in infection risk between sexes. We found  
379 a shortened school day to be associated with a reduced risk of infection, but this effect was  
380 only observed in kindergarteners.

381

382

383

### 384 **References**

- 385 1. Hayward, A.C., et al., *Comparative community burden and severity of seasonal and*  
386 *pandemic influenza: results of the Flu Watch cohort study*. The Lancet Respiratory  
387 Medicine, 2014. **2**(6): p. 445-454.
- 388 2. Baguelin, M., et al., *Age-specific incidence of A/H1N1 2009 influenza infection in*  
389 *England from sequential antibody prevalence data using likelihood-based estimation*.  
390 PLoS One, 2011. **6**(2): p. e17074.
- 391 3. Miller, E., et al., *Incidence of 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 infection in England: a*  
392 *cross-sectional serological study*. The Lancet, 2010. **375**(9720): p. 1100-1108.
- 393 4. Cauchemez, S., et al., *School closures during the 2009 influenza pandemic: national*  
394 *and local experiences*. BMC Infect Dis, 2014. **14**: p. 207.
- 395 5. Cauchemez, S., et al., *Role of social networks in shaping disease transmission during a*  
396 *community outbreak of 2009 H1N1 pandemic influenza*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011.  
397 **108**(7): p. 2825-30.
- 398 6. Cauchemez, S., et al., *Closure of schools during an influenza pandemic*. The Lancet  
399 infectious diseases, 2009. **9**(8): p. 473-481.

- 400 7. Cauchemez, S., et al., *Household transmission of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1)*  
401 *virus in the United States*. N Engl J Med, 2009. **2009**(361): p. 2619-2627.
- 402 8. Poggensee, G., et al., *The first wave of pandemic influenza (H1N1) 2009 in Germany:*  
403 *from initiation to acceleration*. BMC Infectious diseases, 2010. **10**(1): p. 155.
- 404 9. Glatman-Freedman, A., et al., *Attack rates assessment of the 2009 pandemic H1N1*  
405 *influenza A in children and their contacts: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. PLoS  
406 One, 2012. **7**(11): p. e50228.
- 407 10. Flasche, S., et al., *Different transmission patterns in the early stages of the influenza*  
408 *A(H1N1)v pandemic: a comparative analysis of 12 European countries*. Epidemics,  
409 2011. **3**(2): p. 125-33.
- 410 11. Chao, D.L., M.E. Halloran, and I.M. Longini, Jr., *School opening dates predict pandemic*  
411 *influenza A(H1N1) outbreaks in the United States*. J Infect Dis, 2010. **202**(6): p. 877-80.
- 412 12. Esposito, S., et al., *Clinical manifestations and socio-economic impact of influenza*  
413 *among healthy children in the community*. J Infect, 2011. **62**(5): p. 379-87.
- 414 13. Grohskopf, L.A., et al., *Prevention and Control of Influenza with Vaccines:*  
415 *Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, United States,*  
416 *2015-16 Influenza Season*. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 2015. **64**(30): p. 818-25.
- 417 14. Immunisation, J.C.o.V.a., *Statement on the annual influenza vaccination programme-*  
418 *extension programme to children.*, J.C.o.V.a. Immunisation, Editor. 2012.
- 419 15. Monto, A.S., *Epidemiology of viral respiratory infections*. The American journal of  
420 medicine, 2002. **112**(6): p. 4-12.
- 421 16. Bueving, H.J., et al., *Incidence of influenza and associated illness in children aged 0–19*  
422 *years: a systematic review*. Reviews in medical virology, 2005. **15**(6): p. 383-391.
- 423 17. Klein, S.L., A. Hodgson, and D.P. Robinson, *Mechanisms of sex disparities in influenza*  
424 *pathogenesis*. Journal of leukocyte biology, 2012. **92**(1): p. 67-73.
- 425 18. Lessler, J., et al., *Location-specific patterns of exposure to recent pre-pandemic strains*  
426 *of influenza A in southern China*. Nat Commun, 2011. **2**: p. 423.
- 427 19. Lessler, J., et al., *Evidence for antigenic seniority in influenza A (H3N2) antibody*  
428 *responses in southern China*. PLoS Pathog, 2012. **8**(7): p. e1002802.
- 429 20. Centers for Disease, C. and Prevention, *Influenza activity--United States, 2012-13*  
430 *season and composition of the 2013-14 influenza vaccine*. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly  
431 Rep, 2013. **62**(23): p. 473-9.
- 432 21. Stebbins, S., et al., *Reduction in the incidence of influenza A but not influenza B*  
433 *associated with use of hand sanitizer and cough hygiene in schools: a randomized*  
434 *controlled trial*. Pediatr Infect Dis J, 2011. **30**(11): p. 921-6.
- 435 22. House, T., et al., *Modelling the impact of local reactive school closures on critical care*  
436 *provision during an influenza pandemic*. Proc Biol Sci, 2011. **278**(1719): p. 2753-60.
- 437 23. Germann, T.C., et al., *Mitigation strategies for pandemic influenza in the United States*.  
438 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2006. **103**(15): p. 5935-40.
- 439 24. Sadique, M.Z., E.J. Adams, and W.J. Edmunds, *Estimating the costs of school closure*  
440 *for mitigating an influenza pandemic*. BMC public health, 2008. **8**(1): p. 135.
- 441 25. Earn, D.J., et al., *Effects of school closure on incidence of pandemic influenza in Alberta,*  
442 *Canada*. Annals of internal medicine, 2012. **156**(3): p. 173-181.
- 443

444 **Table 1:** Demography of the study population

445

	Total	Grade											
		K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11+
<b>Total study population</b>	2519	314	319	343	355	351	227	275	46	38	88	90	79
<b>Observable for ILI (%)</b>													
<b>Yes</b>	2077 (82)	279 (88)	267 (84)	300 (87)	301 (85)	304 (87)	185 (81)	216 (79)	34 (74)	30 (79)	61(69)	50 (55)	50 (63)
<b>No</b>	442 (18)	35 (22)	46 (26)	43 (23)	54 (15)	47 (23)	42 (19)	59 (21)	12 (26)	8 (21)	27 (31)	40 (45)	29 (37)
<b>Observable population</b>													
<b>District</b>													
<b>A</b>	647	54	53	62	56	66	62	69	34	30	61	50	50
<b>B</b>	1430	225	214	238	245	238	123	147	-	-	-	-	-
<b>School</b>													
<b>A1</b>	204	27	26	32	21	32	30	36	-	-	-	-	-
<b>A2</b>	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61	50	50
<b>A3</b>	282	27	27	30	35	34	32	33	34	30	-	-	-
<b>B1</b>	201	40	40	36	50	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>B2</b>	270	-	-	-	-	-	123	147	-	-	-	-	-
<b>B3</b>	240	47	43	54	41	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>B4</b>	188	36	34	44	33	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>B5</b>	342	67	60	59	85	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>B6</b>	189	35	37	45	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Sex</b>													
<b>Female</b>	995	145	125	149	137	136	91	97	14	17	34	27	23
<b>Male</b>	1082	134	142	151	164	168	94	119	20	13	27	23	27
<b>Self-reported vaccination §</b>													
<b>No</b>	850	133	111	131	118	122	74	63	17	10	22	25	24
<b>Yes</b>	694	63	95	105	107	120	66	74	10	8	20	13	13
<b>Not reported</b>	533	83	61	64	76	62	45	79	7	12	19	12	13

446 § Of 2077 participants, we obtained a valid immunization response from 1544 children: we failed to interview 296 children regarding their vaccination status; of the  
447 children interviewed, 237 did not know their vaccination status or refused to provide a valid answer for any of the times they were questioned.

448 **Table 2.** Odds ratios<sup>\$</sup> of ILI, any virus, influenza (A or B), influenza A, influenza B on length of school instruction, grade, sex, and  
 449 self-reported vaccination status among schoolchildren in Pittsburgh, PA. Variables significant at the 95% level are shown in bold.

	Response variable*				
	Symptomatic infection		Laboratory confirmed infection		
	ILI	Any respiratory virus%	Influenza (A or B)	Influenza A	Influenza B
<b>Random effect variables</b>	Percent of variance attributable to random effect <sup>^</sup>				
School	12.8	11.2	20.1	17.0	26.5
District	0.0	1.5	1.9	0.0	9.6
Residuals	87.2	87.3	78.0	83.0	63.9
<b>Fixed effect variables</b>	Odds ratio (95% Confidence Intervals)				
Intercept	<b>0.43 (0.29-0.65)</b>	<b>0.28 (0.16-0.49)</b>	<b>0.19 (0.09-0.40)</b>	<b>0.06 (0.03-0.14)</b>	<b>0.10 (0.04-0.25)</b>
Duration of attendance					
Full day	1	1	1	1	1
Half day	<b>0.35 (0.21-0.57)</b>	<b>0.28 (0.15-0.51)</b>	<b>0.20 (0.10-0.43)</b>	0.38 (0.12-1.17)	<b>0.19 (0.08-0.45)</b>
Grade (linear term)	<b>0.93 (0.87-1.00)</b>	<b>0.91 (0.84-0.99)</b>	<b>0.85 (0.77-0.95)</b>	<b>0.85 (0.72-0.99)</b>	<b>0.88 (0.78-1.00)</b>
Sex					
Male	1	1	1	1	1
Female	0.91 (0.73-1.13)	0.81 (0.62-1.05)	0.94 (0.69-1.29)	1.00 (0.59-1.70)	0.90 (0.63-1.29)
Vaccination					
No	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	<b>0.70 (0.54-0.90)</b>	0.80 (0.59-1.08)	0.81 (0.57-1.17)	0.59 (0.32-1.12)	0.90 (0.60-1.36)
Not reported	0.76 (0.58-1.01)	0.74 (0.53-1.03)	0.80 (0.54-1.20)	0.63 (0.32-1.25)	0.86 (0.54-1.37)


450 \$ Odd ratios were calculated using random effect logistic regression

451 % Influenza A/H1, Influenza A/H3, Influenza B, RSV A, B, Picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, Metapneumovirus, Rhinovirus detected, Adenovirus B, C, E, Coronavirus 229E,  
 452 NL63, HKU1, OC43 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.


453 ^ Percentage of variance attributable to each random effect was calculated by dividing the standard deviation of each component by the total

454

455 **Figures**  
456



457  
458 **Figure 1.** Time series of cases during 2012-2013. (A) Weekly influenza cases reported during the  
459 study period by Pennsylvania Health Department for Allegheny county (emergency department  
460 and outpatient provider-reported), stratified by influenza type (blue bars, influenza A; red bars,  
461 influenza B). Also shown are the percentage of cases who are children (<16 years old); blue and  
462 red lines represent influenza A and B respectively. (B) Cases of illness and infection recorded by  
463 the study. The pale blue region indicates the period (during 2012) in which only three schools  
464 were participating in the study. Numbers of students matching the definition of ILI are denoted  
465 by light grey bars; those with virologically confirmed virus are denoted by dark grey bars.  
466 Numbers of students testing positive for specific respiratory viruses are shown by the lines and  
467 are grouped as follows: influenza A/H1 and influenza A/H3 (Influenza A); influenza B (Influenza  
468 B); RSV A and B (RSV); rhinovirus (HRV); coronavirus 229E, NL63, HKU1 and OC43 (CV);  
469 adenovirus B, C and E (ADNO).



470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476

**Figure 2.** Cumulative attack rate, by grade and school, respectively, for all children diagnosed with influenza-like illness symptoms (ILI) (A, D), those that are laboratory-confirmed with any of the respiratory viruses tested (B, E), and those positive for influenza virus (C, F). Lines denote binomial 95% confidence intervals. Schools are arranged by district (A1 to A3, B1 to B6).

477 **Supplementary material**

478

479 **Laboratory analysis of samples**

480

481 Media used to store samples was Remel MicroTest™ M4RT© (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lenexa,  
482 KS) and samples were transported within 72 hours via cooler (maintained at 4° C) to the University  
483 of Pittsburgh Medical Center Clinical Virology Laboratory. The lab preserved 200ul of the M4  
484 sample aliquots in 800ul lysis buffer (Biomerieux, Durham NC) and stored in the -80 °C freezer  
485 prior to sample extraction with the EasyMag (Biomerieux), a magnetic silicon-based extractor.  
486 Samples were processed as a batch.

487

488 The nucleic acid or eluates were spiked with Genmark Diagnostic's (Carlsbad, CA) internal control  
489 MS2 prior to testing on the eSensor XT-8 instrument (Luminex, Austin, TX) using the respiratory  
490 viral panel or RVP-RUO panel. Tests were performed for both influenza A and B, and included  
491 subtyping of H1 and H3 influenza A viruses. Testing was also performed for respiratory syncytial  
492 virus (RSV A,B), parainfluenza viruses 1-4 (PIV 1-4), human metapneumovirus (HMPV), human  
493 rhinovirus (HRV), adenovirus (ADNO B,C,E), and coronavirus (CV 229E,NKL63, HKU1, OC43).

494

495

496 **Table S1. Grade-specific contact rates.** Values are the mean averages reported by students in a  
497 cross-sectional contact diary study during 2011-12 from schools in the same school districts (A and  
498 B). Students were asked to complete contact diaries in which they listed all individuals with whom  
499 they had a face-to-face conversation or had skin-on-skin contact during a school day. The numbers  
500 used here are the subset of those encounters which occurred in school.

501

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Encounters</b>
K	2.037
1	1.389
2	2.655
3	2.206
4	4.720
5	1.756
6	4.151
7	7.645
8	6.356
9	7.328
10	5.007
11	5.042
12	5.812

502

503

504

505

506 **Table S2.** Percentage of children reported absent for whom follow-up was unachievable, stratified  
507 by school, grade and sex.  
508

<b>School</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Grade</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Sex</b>	<b>%</b>
District A		K	21.1	Female	24.0
A1	40.3	1	19.1	Male	25.7
A2	46.2	2	17.4		
A3	39.4	3	21.8		
		4	18.7		
District B		5	25.1		
B1	19.6	6	29.4		
B2	23.3	7	42.9		
B3	9.2	8	44.4		
B4	7.9	9	38.6		
B5	14.7	10	56.3		
B6	6.2	11+	43.3		

509  
510

511 **Model selection**

512  
513 We used a forward selection process to identify covariates that best explained our observed pattern  
514 of infection across the participants. The Selection process began from an initial model which only  
515 included an intercept term. Candidate variables were systematically considered, and model  
516 complexity increased only if deemed significant through a likelihood ratio test.

517  
518 Candidate variables included: length of school instruction (halfday); school grade as a linear term  
519 (grade); sex; vaccination status (vacc); grade-specific social contact rate (Kschool); 1 denotes an  
520 intercept term. Social contact mixing rate was collected as part of a parallel study, and is a measure  
521 of the average daily number of different people encountered by students in grade with the school  
522 setting. This variable was included as a candidate variable as contact rate may be associated with  
523 risk of infection. Mixed effect logistic regression models were used throughout, with a nested  
524 random effect term of school nested within district. p-values refer to the significance of a likelihood  
525 ratio test between that model and the model from the previous step. At each iteration, the model  
526 with lowest AIC was selected and progression to the next step was conditional on a likelihood ratio  
527 test  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$ . The selection process for each outcome variable is shown in Table S3.

528  
529 The observed pattern of ILI cases was best explained by a model that contained terms for duration  
530 of attendance, self-reported vaccination status, and grade. When the outcome was testing positive  
531 for any of the candidate respiratory viruses or testing positive for either influenza type, the selected  
532 model contained terms for duration of attendance and grade. For testing positive for influenza A,  
533 the selected model only included terms for the number of people encountered within school (a  
534 protective association, OR 0.78, 95% CI 0.63-0.97). For testing positive for influenza B, the selected  
535 model contained a term for duration of attendance, though we note a model also including a term  
536 for grade narrowly missed being selected (Table S4).

537  
538

539 **Table S3.** Forward model selection process. Final selected models are highlighted in bold.  
540

Outcome variable	Step	Model	AIC	ΔAIC	p-value
influenza-like illness	1	1	2042.172	-	-
	2	1 + halfday	2029.198	-12.9744	0.000109
	3	1 + halfday + vacc	2024.485	-4.71264	0.012826
	4	<b>1 + halfday + vacc + grade</b>	<b>2022.614</b>	<b>-1.87149</b>	<b>0.049113</b>
	5	1 + halfday + vacc + grade + sex	2023.864	1.250806	0.386732
Any respiratory virus%	1	1	1596.555	-	-
	2	1 + halfday	1582.741	-13.814	6.99E-05
	3	<b>1 + halfday + grade</b>	<b>1579.05</b>	<b>-3.69059</b>	<b>0.017056</b>
	4	1 + halfday + grade + sex	1578.55	-0.50063	0.113801
Influenza (A or B)	1	1	1195.39	-	-
	2	1 + halfday	1182.681	-12.7093	0.000125
	3	<b>1 + halfday + grade</b>	<b>1175.316</b>	<b>-7.36493</b>	<b>0.002212</b>
	4	1 + halfday + grade + Kschool	1176.726	1.409505	0.442228
Influenza A	1	1	519.8689	-	-
	2	<b>1 + Kschool</b>	<b>515.9552</b>	<b>-3.91364</b>	<b>0.015024</b>
	3	1 + Kschool + halfday	516.5821	0.626834	0.241269
Influenza B	1	1	942.3886	-	-
	2	<b>1 + halfday</b>	<b>929.5643</b>	<b>-12.8243</b>	<b>0.000118</b>
	3	1 + halfday + grade	927.7762	-1.78813	0.051617

541 % Influenza A/H1, influenza A/H3, influenza B, RSV A, B, picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus detected,  
542 adenovirus B, C, E, coronavirus 229E, NL63, HKU1, OC43 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.  
543

544 **Table S4.** Final models identified using the forward selection process. Hierarchical random intercepts were included for district, and  
 545 school (within district. Candidate variables included: length of school instruction; grade (linear term); sex; vaccination status; grade-  
 546 specific social contact rate. Variables significant at the 95% level are shown in bold. Contact rates are the average (mean) number of  
 547 contacts by grade reported by students.  
 548

	Response variable*				
	Symptomatic infection	Laboratory confirmed infection			
		ILI	Any respiratory virus%	Influenza (A or B)	Influenza A
<b>Random effect variables</b>	Percent of variance attributable to random effect^				
school	12.7	11.0	20.5	8.4	29.7
district	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.0	15.8
residuals	87.3	88.2	78.8	91.6	54.5
<b>Fixed effect variables</b>	Odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)				
Intercept	<b>0.41 (0.28-0.61)</b>	<b>0.23 (0.13-0.39)</b>	<b>0.17 (0.08-0.37)</b>	<b>0.06 (0.03-0.11)</b>	<b>0.05 (0.02-0.13)</b>
Duration of attendance					
Full day					
Half day	1	1	1	-	1
	<b>0.41 (0.28-0.61)</b>	<b>0.28 (0.15-0.51)</b>	<b>0.21 (0.10-0.44)</b>	-	<b>0.25 (0.11-0.58)</b>
Grade (linear term)	<b>0.93 (0.87-1.00)</b>	<b>0.90 (0.83-0.98)</b>	<b>0.85 (0.76-0.95)</b>	-	-
Sex					
Male	-	-	-	-	-
Female	-	-	-	-	-
Vaccination					
No	1	-	-	-	-
Yes	<b>0.70 (0.54-0.90)</b>	-	-	-	-
Unknown	0.76 (0.58-1.01)	-	-	-	-
Grade-specific contact rate (linear term)	-	-	-	<b>0.78 (0.63-0.96)</b>	-

549 \$ Odd ratios were calculated using random effect logistic regression

550 % Influenza A/H1, influenza A/H3, influenza B, RSV A, B, picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus detected, adenovirus B, C, E, coronavirus 229E, NL63,  
551 HKU1, OC43 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.  
552 ^ Percentage of variance attributable to each random effect was calculated by dividing the standard deviation of each component by the total  
553  
554  
555

556 **Table S5.** Odds ratios<sup>\$</sup> of ILI, any virus, influenza (A or B), influenza A, influenza B on grade within all schools where kindergarteners were  
 557 taught for half-days (schools B1, B3, B4, B5, and B6).  
 558

	Response variable				
	Symptomatic infection		Laboratory confirmed infection		
	ILI	Any respiratory virus%	Influenza (A or B)	Influenza A	Influenza B
<b>Random effect variables</b>	Percent of variance attributable to random effect <sup>^</sup>				
School	9.4	10.3	12.0	9.3	16.2
Residuals	90.6	89.7	88.0	90.7	83.8
<b>Fixed effect variables</b>	Odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)				
Intercept	<b>0.14 (0.09-0.23)</b>	<b>0.08 (0.05-0.15)</b>	<b>0.05 (0.03-0.10)</b>	<b>0.02 (0.01-0.06)</b>	<b>0.03 (0.01-0.07)</b>
Grade					
K	1	1	1	1	1
1	<b>2.61 (1.58-4.31)</b>	<b>3.44 (1.90-6.23)</b>	<b>3.67 (1.80-7.45)</b>	2.61 (0.91-7.52)	<b>3.91 (1.65-9.27)</b>
2	<b>2.59 (1.58-4.23)</b>	<b>2.93 (1.62-5.29)</b>	<b>3.26 (1.60-6.61)</b>	2.16 (0.74-6.31)	<b>3.99 (1.70-9.36)</b>
3	<b>1.86 (1.12-3.08)</b>	<b>2.19 (1.19-4.02)</b>	<b>2.51 (1.22-5.17)</b>	0.36 (0.07-1.85)	<b>3.70 (1.57-8.69)</b>
4	<b>2.17 (1.32-3.58)</b>	<b>2.31 (1.26-4.25)</b>	<b>3.01 (1.47-6.15)</b>	0.76 (0.20-2.86)	<b>4.14 (1.77-9.70)</b>

559 <sup>\$</sup> Odd ratios were calculated using mixed effect logistic regression using a random effect for school, fixed variables are grade.

560 <sup>%</sup> Influenza A/H1, influenza A/H3, influenza B, RSV A, B, picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus detected, adenovirus B, C, E, coronavirus 229E, NL63,  
 561 HKU1, OC43 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.

562  
 563

564  
565  
566  
567

**Table S6.** Odds ratios<sup>\$</sup> of ILI, any virus, influenza (A or B), influenza A, influenza B on duration of instruction within schools with any kindergarteners taught for half-days (schools B1, B3, B4, B5, and B6).

	Response variable				
	Symptomatic infection		Laboratory confirmed infection		
	ILI	Any respiratory virus%	Influenza (A or B)	Influenza A	Influenza B
<b>Random effect variables</b>	Percent of variance attributable to random effect <sup>^</sup>				
School	20.8	35.6	82.1	31.3	68.1
Residuals	79.3	64.4	17.9	68.7	31.9
<b>Fixed effect variables</b>	Odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)				
Intercept	<b>0.20 (0.06-0.65)</b>	<b>0.16 (0.04-0.65)</b>	0.11 (0.01-1.14)	<b>0.04 (0.00-0.34)</b>	<b>0.05 (0.00-0.54)</b>
Duration of attendance					
Full day	1	1	1	1	1
Half day	0.66 (0.20-2.22)	0.40 (0.09-1.73)	0.16 (0.02-1.48)	0.44 (0.03-5.46)	0.35 (0.03-4.95)

568  
569  
570  
571

<sup>\$</sup> Odd ratios were calculated using mixed effect logistic regression using a random effect for school, fixed variables are grade.

<sup>%</sup> Influenza A/H1, influenza A/H3, influenza B, RSV A, B, picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus detected, adenovirus B, C, E, coronavirus 229E, NL63, HKU1, OC43 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.

572 **Table S7.** Odds ratios<sup>\$</sup> of ILI, any virus, influenza (A or B), influenza A, influenza B on length of school instruction, grade, sex, and self-  
 573 reported vaccination status among schoolchildren in schools with both half and full day Kindergarteners (schools B1, B3, B4, and B5). As  
 574 all the schools belonged to the same school district, only a random effect term for school was included in the models. Variables significant  
 575 at the 95% level are shown in bold.  
 576


	Response variable				
	Symptomatic infection		Laboratory confirmed infection		
	ILI	Any respiratory virus%	Influenza (A or B)	Influenza A	Influenza B
<b>Random effect variables</b>	Percent of variance attributable to random effect <sup>^</sup>				
School	8.6	10.1	13.4	9.8	15.0
Residuals	91.4	89.9	86.6	90.2	85.0
<b>Fixed effect variables</b>	Odds ratio (95% Confidence Interval)				
Intercept	<b>0.52 (0.30-0.89)</b>	<b>0.34 (0.19-0.62)</b>	<b>0.22 (0.11-0.44)</b>	<b>0.16 (0.06-0.40)</b>	<b>0.12 (0.05-0.25)</b>
Duration of attendance					
Full day	1	1	1	1	1
Half day	<b>0.25 (0.13-0.48)</b>	<b>0.15 (0.06-0.37)</b>	<b>0.09 (0.03-0.31)</b>	<b>0.10 (0.02-0.48)</b>	<b>0.11 (0.02-0.50)</b>
Grade (linear term)	0.90 (0.78-1.04)	0.87 (0.74-1.02)	0.90 (0.75-1.07)	<b>0.59 (0.43-0.83)</b>	1.01 (0.83-1.24)
Sex					
Male	1	1	1	1	1
Female	0.85 (0.62-1.17)	0.91 (0.63-1.31)	1.01 (0.67-1.52)	0.99 (0.48-2.04)	0.95 (0.60-1.50)
Vaccination					
No	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	<b>0.66 (0.46-0.96)</b>	0.80 (0.53-1.21)	0.77 (0.48-1.22)	0.56 (0.24-1.28)	0.86 (0.51-1.45)
Not reported	0.71 (0.47-1.08)	0.75 (0.46-1.22)	0.75 (0.44-1.30)	0.47 (0.17-1.28)	0.87 (0.48-1.60)

577 <sup>\$</sup> Odd ratios were calculated using mixed effect logistic regression using a random effect for school, fixed variables are grade.

578 <sup>%</sup> Influenza A/H1, influenza A/H3, influenza B, RSV A, B, picornavirus 1, 2, 3, 4, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, adenovirus B, C, E, coronavirus 229E, NL63, HKU1, OC43  
 579 detected using Genmark Diagnostic's RVP-RUO panel.


580

581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613




**Figure S1.** Cumulative attack rate for influenza type A and B, by grade and district. Lines denote binomial 95% confidence intervals.

614  
615




616 **Figure S2.** Cumulative attack rates, stratified by grade and school, for other respiratory viruses.  
617 Lines denote binomial 95% confidence intervals.  
618



619  
620  
621  
622

**Figure S3.** Cumulative attack rate (CAR) by infection outcome (columns), stratified by grade and school (rows).



623  
624 **Figure S4.** Relationship between the proportion of samples testing positive for virus and the delay  
625 between symptom onset date and swabbing date. Circles denote proportions; lines denote 95%  
626 binomial confidence intervals. Pale grey bars indicate the number of swabs taken for a given lag.  
627