medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

The Longevity-Frailty Hypothesis: Evidence from COVID-19 Death Rates in Europe

Sammy Zahran*[a,b], Levi Altringer[a], and Ashok Prasad[c]

[a]Department of Economics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO
[b]Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, Fort Collins, CO
[c]Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO

April 14, 2020

Abstract

COVID-19 death rates vary strikingly across Europe. The death rate in Spain, for example, is greater than the death rate in Germany by more than a factor of ten. Few if any epidemiological indicators distinguish the countries of Europe by such a vast margin. Evidence on age-specific case-fatality rates (deaths over observed infections) and age-specific death rates (deaths over population) indicate that COVID-19 disproportionately afflicts the elderly and frail, suggesting that the share of elderly population ($\geq$ 65 years of age) in a country ought to be a strong predictor of the COVID-19 death rate. However, the COVID-19 death rate and the share of elderly population are statistically uncorrelated ($r = 0.163$, $p = 0.399$). Share of population $\geq$ 65 years of age is confounded by mortality selection, as well as other demographic dynamics. By contrast, elderly longevity or life expectancy at 65 more effectively captures population survival and the accumulation of age-related frailty in society. We find a strong statistical relationship between the COVID-19 death rate ($r = 0.839$, $p < .001$) and elderly longevity, and a moderately strong relationship between the date of epidemic timing and elderly longevity ($r = -0.634$, $p < .001$). These relationships are robust to the inclusion of statistical controls for international tourism inflow and hospital bed capacity. While the countries of Europe vary meaningfully in healthcare system capacity and in the timing and intensity of non-pharmaceutical interventions, the striking variation in COVID-19 death rates across these countries is statistically and intuitively associated with elderly survival and consequent frailty.

*Corresponding author: Professor Sammy Zahran, C-325 Clark Building, Department of Economics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 80523-1771, szahran@colostate.edu, 970-491-1877 (Office). We wish to acknowledge helpful feedback from Anders Fremstad, Christopher Keyes, Ryan Levitt, Salvador Lurbe, Sophie McKee, David Mushinski, Christopher Slootmaker, Daniele Tavani, and Peter Zahran.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 death rate (deaths over population) is strikingly unevenly distributed across Europe. Figure 1 arranges the countries of Europe in descending order by the recorded COVID-19 deaths per million, as of April 9th, 2020. Atop the list are Spain and Italy, with COVID-19 death rates of 330 and 302 deaths per 1 million, respectively. Spain's COVID-19 death rate exceeds similarly developed economies of Germany (31 per million) and Austria (33 per million) by more than a factor of ten. Few if any epidemiological indicators distinguish the countries of Europe by such an extent.

[INSERT FIGURE 1]

Several plausible hypotheses might account for this puzzling cross-national variation in COVID-19 death rates, including the capacity of a healthcare system to manage and survive infected persons, the timing of epidemic seeding from exported cases, the timing and intensity of non-pharmaceutical interventions, and the share of elderly population. Reported age-specific case-fatality ratios (deaths over observed infections) and age-specific death rates (deaths over population) lend intuitive support for the elderly share hypothesis (Verity et al, 2020, Liu et al, 2020, Huang et al, 2020).

Consider the example of Italy. Table 1 shows age-specific COVID-19 deaths and death rates for Italy, as of April 9, 2020. The risk of death is manifestly increasing in reported age intervals. Of the 16,654 recorded persons that perished from COVID-19, 95% were ≥ 60 and 83% were ≥ 70. The COVID-19 death rate is more than 40X higher for persons ≥ 60 years of age (877 per million) as compared to persons < 60 years of age (45 per million). Of the 44 deceased persons under the age of 40 in Italy, 29 presented with a serious pre-existing disease (Instituto Superiore di Sanità, 2020).

[INSERT TABLE 1]

Absent individual-level or age-specific death rates for all European countries, the age-gradient of COVID-19 death rates in Italy suggests that the share of elderly population in a country ought to be a good predictor of COVID-19 death rates cross-nationally. However, the COVID-19 death rate and the share of elderly population ≥ 65 are statistically uncorrelated ($r = 0.163$, $p = 0.399$). Taking the natural log of both variables still results in statistical independence ($r = 0.104$, $p = 0.591$). Even if one expands the definition of elderly share to persons ≥ 75 years of age, the relationship is statistically insignificant ($r = 0.207$, $p = 0.282$). Only at the share of population ≥ 85 years of age does the relationship to the COVID-19 death rate become moderately correlated and significant ($r = 0.541$, $p = 0.003$). However, with this last definition of elderly (≥ 85 years) we drift from the observed distribution of COVID-19 deaths (at least in the Italian example) and therefore risk loss of validity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

In the next section, we first explain the statistical and theoretical inadequacies of the elderly share variable as a proxy for elderly frailty. After that, we advance the less confounded metric of elderly longevity – life expectancy at 65. We discuss how elderly longevity is linked to health frailty. In Section 3, we present bivariate results showing how life expectancy at 65 adequately accounts for the puzzling distribution of death rates across Europe and might also be partially involved in the timing of a country's epidemic (as indicated by the first COVID-19 death). Next, we present least squares regression results, introducing statistical controls of hospital bed capacity and a proxy for epidemic seeding risk, inbound international tourists.

2 Metrics of at-Risk Elderly Population

2.1 Percent Elderly is Confounded

COVID-19 disproportionately afflicts the elderly, especially the elderly with underlying health conditions. Frailty is a term used to capture a range of age-related conditions that impair the elderly. Frail populations are prone to dependency, and less likely to withstand a health shock (Hoogendijk et al, 2019; Theou et al 2018, Vetrano et al, 2018; Denfeld et al, 2017). The share of population ≥ 65 years of age is an imperfect proxy for elderly survival and consequent frailty because it is confounded by other components of population size and mortality selection. Among many other examples, the numerator in the share of population ≥ 65 partly reflects elderly survival but may also reflect the parental fertility of the present elderly relative to the fertility of subsequent cohorts. With respect to mortality selection, frail populations are less resistant to health shocks, decreasing their survival probability. At a population level, this results in a relative increase of death resistant or health robust individuals with age (Vogt and Missov 2017; Vaupel et al, 1979). Consider two similarly economically developed countries with varying survival to age of 75, the standard threshold for premature death. Suppose that one country has high and the other low risk of premature death from all causes. Other things held equal, persons surviving to 75 in the high-risk all-cause mortality country are more positively selected on the underlying ability to death resist than counterparts in the low-risk all-cause mortality country. Given mortality selection, elderly persons over the age of 75 in the high-risk country are more likely to withstand adverse health shocks than similarly aged persons in the low-risk country because they are different on the unobserved trait of underlying frailty.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

2.2 Elderly Longevity and Frailty

Elderly longevity or life expectancy at 65 more effectively captures population survival independently of other demographic dynamics. Given that vulnerability to adverse outcomes increases with age, elderly longevity also has the merit of capturing the accumulation of age-related frailty in a society. Consider Table 2, arranging in descending order the countries of Europe by life expectancy at 65. Data on life expectancy at 65 are from the Human Mortality Database, anchored on the most recently available common year of 2016. As shown in Column 2, life expectancy at 65 can vary by as much as 25% from the minimum (Bulgaria) to the maximum (France). An average 65-year-old in Spain, for example, can expect to outlive an average 65-year-old in Germany by 1.6 years, translating into 8% more life years. Also note how the rank order of countries by life expectancy at 65 behaves very differently than the population share of elderly ($\geq$ 65 years of age). In fact, in the European context, these two variables are statistically independent of each other ($r = 0.083$, $p = 0.669$).[1]

The countries of Europe clearly vary in their ability to survive the elderly. Because human frailty increases with age, it stands to reason that similarly economically developed countries with higher elderly longevity might also have higher percentages of elderly requiring the care of others.

The European Commission, Eurostat database contains information on the 1) percentage of persons $\geq$ 65 that need help with household activities; 2) percentage of persons $\geq$ 65 that need help with personal care activities, and 3) percentage of disabled persons $\geq$ 65 that need the assistance of others. Together, these three variables can form a reasonably good indicator of late life physiological decline requiring the assistance of others. Table 3 presents data on these variables for similarly economically developed European countries[2] arranged in descending order on life expectancy at 65. Notably, the top 3 countries in terms of life expectancy score highest on the elderly frailty index, constituting the average of our three indicators of assistance need. The correlation between our frailty index and life expectancy at 65 is positive and statistically significant ($r = 0.631$, $p = 0.016$).

[INSERT TABLE 3]

Having discussed how the share of population $\geq$ 65 is a weak proxy for mortality risk and how elderly longevity is a more adequate metric of elderly survival and consequent frailty, in the next section we evaluate the statistical relationship between COVID-19 death rates and life expectancy at 65.

[1]The statistically significant correlation between life expectancy at 65 and the population share of elderly does appear at older age definitions of elderly. The correlation between the natural log of life expectancy at 65 and the natural log of the share at 75+ is $r = 0.175$ ($p = 0.365$), and $r = 0.570$ ($p = 0.001$) with the share at 85+. This pattern of a rising correlation between life expectancy at 65 and older definitions of elderly share reflects the increasing predominance of the survival component of population size as one graduates up the age structure.

[2]Countries with GDP per capita in 2018 above the median GDP per capita across Europe are included. GDP per capita data are from the World Bank.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

3 Results

3.1 Bivariate Association between COVID-19 Death Rates and Elderly Longevity

Elderly longevity (or life expectancy at ≥ 65) is not only a sound correlate of European variation in the COVID-19 death rate but also a good predictor of the timing of the COVID-19 epidemic in a country. Timing is measured in terms of the first recorded COVID-19 death. Data on life expectancy are from the Human Mortality Database, anchored on the most recently available common year of 2016. Data on COVID-19 deaths are from Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. Population data are from the United Nations, Department of Social and Economic Affairs. All analyses were done with R software (version 3.6.2).

Figure 2 shows the natural log of the COVID-19 death rate against the date of first recorded death for 29 European countries. Each country is sized and colored by its corresponding natural log of life expectancy at 65, with yellow reflecting the lowest and black reflecting the highest life expectancy at 65. Note how both the death rate (y-axis) and first death timing (x-axis) of the COVID-19 epidemic across European countries are ordered intelligibly by the color ramp of life expectancy at 65.

[INSERT FIGURE 2]

In Figure 3, we decompose Figure 2. In Panel A, we find that the natural log of the COVID-19 death rate is manifestly upward sloping in elderly longevity. Individual countries are colored by the same ramp in Figure 2, with yellow reflecting the lowest and black reflecting the highest life expectancy at 65. The statistical relationship is strong ($r = 0.839$, $p < .001$) and indicates that higher life expectancy at 65 predicts a higher COVID-19 death rate. In Panel B, we graph the relationship between the date of epidemic initiation and elderly longevity. Here the relationship is discernably downward sloping ($r = -0.634$, $p < .001$) indicating that higher life expectancy at 65 predicts earlier epidemic timing.[3] The COVID-19 appears to manifest earlier in countries with higher population frailty.

[INSERT FIGURE 3]

Next, in Figure 4 we map the spatial coincidence of COVID-19 death rates and life expectancy for observed European countries. Again, countries in yellow have the lowest and countries in black have the highest life expectancy at 65. Hyper-imposed on the map are grey circles of varying size, corresponding to the observed COVID-19 death rate (as of April 9th, 2020). Importantly, while

[3] All reported results do not change meaningfully if we set the definition of timing at the 5th, 10th, or 20th COVID-19 death.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

these countries vary meaningfully in healthcare system capacity and in the timing and intensity of non-pharmaceutical interventions, the striking spatial variation in COVID-19 death rates across these countries appears statistically and intuitively explainable by elderly survival and consequent frailty.

[INSERT FIGURE 4]

3.2 Statistical Control

Next, we test whether observed relationships between our outcome variables - COVID-19 death rate and date of epidemic timing – and elderly longevity are robust to inclusion of variables that operationalize other candidate hypotheses, namely healthcare capacity and seeding from international tourists. We collected data from the World Bank[4] on hospital beds per 1,000 persons (2015/2016) and the annual count of inbound international tourists (2018). First, we estimate the following least squares model:

$$\ln(CovDR_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 \ln(Ex65)_{i,2016} + \Gamma_1 \ln(H)_{i,2015} + \Gamma_2 \ln(IT)_{i,2018} + \varepsilon_i \tag{1}$$

where, $CovDR$ is the observed COVID-19 death rate for country i at the time of April 9th, 2020, $Ex65$ is a country's life expectancy at 65 as of 2016, H is hospital beds per 1,000 persons in 2015/2016, IT is count of international inbound arrivals to a country in 2018, and ε is the model residual. Our theoretical interest is in the behavior of the coefficient β_1 that we expect to be positive, implying, other variables held equal, that a 1% increase in life expectancy at 65 is associated with percent increase in the COVID-19 death rate. A second version of equation (1) includes as a control variable $CovDate$, corresponding to the first recorded COVID-19 death.

Substituting our first response variable of $CovDR$ for $CovDate$, we estimate a second least squares model:

$$\ln(CovDate_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 \ln(Ex65)_{i,2016} + \Gamma_1 \ln(H)_{i,2015} + \Gamma_2 \ln(IT)_{i,2018} + \varepsilon_i \tag{2}$$

where, all terms carry from equation (1), except for our second response variable, $CovDate$ which denotes the date of the first recorded COVID-19 death in a country. $CovDate$ is equal to 1 on

[4] According to World Bank documentation, the hospital beds per 1,000 includes: "Hospital beds include inpatient beds available in public, private, general, and specialized hospitals and rehabilitation centers. In most cases beds for both acute and chronic care are included." With respect to international tourist counts, the World Bank notes: "International inbound tourists (overnight visitors) are the number of tourists who travel to a country other than that in which they have their usual residence, but outside their usual environment, for a period not exceeding 12 months and whose main purpose in visiting is other than an activity remunerated from within the country visited. The data on inbound tourists refer to the number of arrivals, not to the number of people traveling. Thus, a person who makes several trips to a country during a given period is counted each time as a new arrival."

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

January 24th, 2020. This time, our expectation is that β_1 is negative, implying, other variables held equal, that a 1% increase in life expectancy at 65 is associated with percent inward shift in the date of epidemic launch.

Table 4 reports coefficients for our two estimated least square models. In Model 1, controlling for bed capacity and tourism inflow, we find that a 1 percent increase in life expectancy at 65 is associated with a 14.21 percent increase (95% CI: 9.02, 19.41) in the COVID-19 death rate. In Model 2, we substitute our tourism inflow variable that proxies for epidemic seeding risk for the actual date of first death, $CovDate$, our response variable in equation (2). Adjusting for the date of first COVID-19 death and hospital bed capacity, we find that a 1 percent increase in life expectancy at 65 increases the COVID-19 death rate by 11.76 percent (95% CI: 5.69, 17.83). In Model 3, controlling for bed capacity and tourism inflow, we find that a 1 percent increase in life expectancy at 65 is associated with inward shift in the date of first COVID-19 death by -1.36 percent (95% CI: -2.17, 0.54).

[INSERT TABLE 4]

Figure 5 displays added-variable plots for both response variables vis-à-vis life expectancy at 65. Here, observe relationships between our COVI-19 response variables and life expectancy at 65, partially out the effects of hospital bed capacity and international tourism inflow.

4 Conclusion

By substituting the more readily intuitive (but confounded) share of elderly population ≥ 65 for elderly longevity (life expectancy at 65) we adequately statistically match the puzzling distribution of COVID-19 death rates in Europe. This result also obliges the widely observed fact that the risk COVID-19 mortality increases with age (Verity et al, 2020, Liu et al, 2020, Huang et al, 2020). The correlation between the COVID-19 death rate and elderly longevity holds with statistical control for hospital bed capacity and international inbound arrivals, as well as control for the date of the first recorded COVID-19 death. As shown in Appendix Figure A.1, Panel A, simulations leveraging estimated coefficients from equation (1) indicate that an increase in average life expectancy at 65 across observed European countries by one year doubles the expected COVID-19 death rate from about 30 to 60 deaths per million.

Life expectancy at 65 is not only strongly correlated with the COVID-19 death rate, but also moderately correlated with epidemic timing. Importantly this statistical relationship holds when controlling for the more obvious covariate of timing – the seeding of the virus in Europe from inbound international tourists. This is an admittedly more difficult statistical relationship to interpret, but

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

one possibility is that seeding from visitors abroad sets infection in motion, but that the timing of the first death from infection operates through the frailty profile of the country visited.

From a mortality selection standpoint, in advanced economies the long survival of the elderly is accompanied by the accumulation of elderly frailty. This renders a sizeable segment of the population at-risk to an adverse health event like a lethal respiratory assault. Our index of elderly frailty, emphasizing the proneness of elderly to dependency is positively correlated with life expectancy at 65. In France, Spain and Italy, the three hardest hit countries of Europe, about half to two-thirds of elderly require assistance for basic personal and household care activities. This fact educates that a sizeable percentage of European elderly cannot easily socially distance. They require the intimacy of care, and intimate care or interpersonal proximity is a transmission risk. More proximate, our results imply that the order-of-magnitude higher COVID-19 mortality risk in Italy and Spain may result from patterns of interpersonal contact required to support an increasingly frail elderly population. Moreover, viral spread through the social intimacy that characterizes elderly care is plausibly associated with a higher viral load of initial infection as compared with random contact, a factor implicated in disease severity (Liu et al, 2020).

Several other characteristics of SARS-Cov2 are relevant to the problem of high mortality risk among the elderly of Europe. First, several studies have shown that the elderly are particularly susceptible to this virus for reasons that are not yet fully understood (Verity et al, 2020, Liu et al, 2020, Huang et al, 2020). Second, a significant percentage of infected persons are asymptomatic (estimates range from 15% - 50%), and asymptomatic persons can shed viruses and infect others (Verity et al, 2020). Also, a large percentage of symptomatic patients exhibit mild symptoms that can be confused with a more common cold or flu. Third, viral replication has been shown to be highest in the early days of infection, when most people are mildly or asymptomatic (Zhou, Fei et al, 2020). Thus, in the context of caregiving, people with little or no symptoms can be potent spreaders of the virus to the susceptible elderly and frail. The many stories of outbreak and death in nursing homes testifies to this transmission channel. This necessitates more intense testing of caregivers to break transmission to the elderly and frail.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Denfeld QE, Winters-Stone K, Mudd JO, Gelow JM, Kurdi S, Lee CS. The prevalence of frailty in heart failure: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2017 Jun 1; 236:283-289

Instituto Superiore di Sanità. Characteristics of COVID-19 patients dying in Italy Report based on available data on April 9th. 2020.

Hoogendijk EO, Afilalo J, Ensrud KE, Kowal P, Onder G, Fried LP. Frailty: implications for clinical practice and public health. Lancet. 2019 Oct 12; 394(10206):1365-1375.

Huang C Wang Y Li X et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020; 395:497-506.

Theou O, Squires E, Mallery K, Lee JS, Fay S, Goldstein J, Armstrong JJ, Rockwood K. What do we know about frailty in the acute care setting? A scoping review. BMC Geriatr. 2018 Jun 11; 18(1):139.

Vaupel JW, Manton KG, Stallard E. The impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality. Demography. 1979 Aug; 16(3):439-54.

Verity R, Okell LC, Dorigatti I, et al. Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: a model-based analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; Mar 30.

Vetrano DL, Palmer KM, Galluzzo L, Giampaoli S, Marengoni A, Bernabei R, Onder G; Joint Action ADVANTAGE WP4 group. Hypertension and frailty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2018 Dec 28; 8(12).

Vogt TC and Missov TI. Estimating the contribution of mortality selection to the East–West German mortality convergence. Popul Health Metr. 2017; 15: 33.

Yang L, Li-Meng Y, Lagen W, Tian-Xin X, Aiping L, Jia-Ming L, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; Mar 19.

Zhonghua L, Xing Bing X, Za Z. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. 2020; 41 (in Chinese): 145-151.

Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020 Mar 11.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Tables and Figures

Figure 1: COVID-19 Deaths per 1 Million Persons across European Countries (as of April 9th, 2020)



NOTE: COVID-19 death data by country are from Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1: Age-Specific COVID-19 Death Rates per 1 Million in Italy (as April 9th, 2020)

Age Group	COVID-19 Deaths	Population	COVID-19 Deaths per 1 Million
0-9 years	1	4,994,995	0.2
10-19 years	0	5,733,448	0
20-29 years	7	6,103,436	1.15
30-39 years	36	6,998,434	5.14
40-49 years	153	9,022,004	16.96
50-59 years	638	9,567,192	66.69
60-69 years	1,957	7,484,862	261.46
70-79 years	5,366	6,028,908	890.05
80-89 years	6,711	3,699,654	1,813.95
90 years	1,784	828,895	2,152.26
Total	16,654	60,461,828	275.45

NOTE: COVID-19 deaths are from EpiCentro, Instituto Superiore di Sanità (2020), age-specific population totals are from PopulationPyramid.net. Total deaths exclude one person with unknown age.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2: Life Expectancy at 65 and population Share of Elderly ($\geq$ 65) across European Countries

Country	Life Expectancy at 65	% Difference with France	Share of Elderly $\geq$ 65 (Rank)
France	21.41	0	20.25 (7)
Switzerland	21.26	-0.7	18.48 (21)
Spain	21.16	-1.17	19.20 (16)
Italy	20.94	-2.2	22.56 (1)
Luxembourg	20.46	-4.44	14.32 (27)
Norway	20.4	-4.72	16.93 (24)
Sweden	20.3	-5.18	19.82 (10)
Austria	20.03	-6.45	21.79 (2)
Greece	20.03	-6.45	18.67 (20)
Belgium	20.01	-6.54	18.69 (19)
Finland	19.95	-6.82	21.39 (4)
Portugal	19.92	-6.96	21.51 (3)
United Kingdom	19.85	-7.29	18.25 (22)
Iceland	19.82	-7.43	14.06 (28)
Netherlands	19.76	-7.71	18.85 (18)
Ireland	19.72	-7.89	13.84 (29)
Slovenia	19.65	-8.22	19.41 (13)
Germany	19.6	-8.45	21.39 (5)
Denmark	19.48	-9.01	19.33 (14)
Estonia	18.43	-13.92	19.59 (12)
Poland	18.26	-14.71	17.11 (23)
Czechia	18.08	-15.55	19.23 (15)
Croatia	17.44	-18.54	20.10 (8)
Slovakia	17.37	-18.87	15.52 (25)
Lithuania	17.16	-19.85	19.64 (11)
Latvia	16.87	-21.21	20.10 (9)
Hungary	16.86	-21.25	18.94 (17)
Bulgaria	16.17	-24.47	21.02 (6)
Belarus	15.98	-25.36	14.96 (26)

NOTE: Life expectancy data from the Human Mortality Database (HMD), anchored on the most recently available common year of 2016. Population size data are from the United Nations (2018).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 3: Life Expectancy at 65 and Indicators of Frailty Across European Countries

Country	Life Expectancy at 65	% Disabled Need Help	% $\geq$ 65 Need Help Personal Activities	% $\geq$ 65 Need Help House Activities	Frailty Index
France	21.41	54.72	47.03	64.1	55.29
Spain	21.16	55.91	55.02	54.03	54,99
Italy	20.94	59.91	48.82	54.57	54.43
Luxembourg	20.46	32.8	21.29	31.16	28.42
Norway	20.4	26.22	23.2	28.32	25.91
Sweden	20.3	30.73	34.84	37.69	34.42
Austria	20.03	27.16	26.41	23.14	25.57
Belgium	20.01	45.05	42.03	58.72	48.60
Finland	19.95	19.13	24.93	17.97	20.68
United Kingdom	19.85	46.58	46.12	45.65	46.12
Iceland	19.82	25.56	25.53	25.68	25.59
Netherlands	19.76	26.43	26.66	33.78	28.96
Germany	19.6	34.33	31.04	37.65	34.34
Denmark	19.48	27.53	44.12	30.88	34.18

NOTE: Life expectancy data are from the Human Mortality Database (HMD), anchored on the most recently available common year of 2016 and elderly frailty data are from The European Commission, Eurostat database.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 2: COVID-19 Death Rate, Epidemic Timing, and Life Expectancy at 65



NOTE: COVID-19 death and epidemic timing data by country are from Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, population size data are from the United Nations (2018).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 3: COVID-19 Death Rates (as of April 9th, 2020) and Epidemic Timing versus Life Expectancy at 65.



NOTE: COVID-19 death data by country are from Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, population data are from the United Nations, and the life expectancy data are from the Human Mortality Database (HMD).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 4: The Spatial Coincidence of COVID-19 Death Rates and Life Expectancy at 65



NOTE: COVID-19 death data by country are from Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center, the population data are from the United Nations, and the life expectancy data are from the Human Mortality Database (HMD), anchored on the most recently available common year of 2016.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 4: Life Expectancy at 65 and population Share of Elderly (≥ 65) across European Countries

	COVID-19 Death Rate ($CovDR$)	COVID-19 Death Rate ($CovDR$)	Date of First COVID-19 Death ($CovDate$)
Life Expectancy at 65	14.214***	11.758***	-1.356***
	(2.524)	(2.945)	(0.397)
International Tourists	0.292*		-0.101***
	(0.154)		(0.024)
Hospital Beds per capita	-0.565	-0.686	-0.057
	(0.637)	(0.628)	(0.100)
First Death		-2.079**	
		(0.958)	
Constant	-42.541***	-22.264***	9.630***
	(7.879)	(11.791)	(1.239)
Observations	29	29	29
R-squared	0.749	0.758	0.65
F	24.85	26.13	15.47

NOTE: Life expectancy, international tourists, and hospital beds per capita are log transformed, as are both response variables. Estimated coefficients therefore have the meaning of an elasticity, where a 1% increase in a predictor variable is associated with % change in the response variable. Standard errors in parentheses, *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Figure 5: Added-Variable Plots of COVID-19 Death Rate (per 1 million) and Date of First COVID-19 Death vis-à-vis Life Expectancy at 65



NOTE: Panel A leverage equation (1), controlling hospital bed capacity and international tourist inflow. Panel B leverage equation (2), again controlling hospital bed capacity and international tourist inflow.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14.20065540; this version posted April 17, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Appendix

Figure A.1: Predicted COVID-19 Death Rate (per 1 million) and Date of First COVID-19 Death Across a Range of Life Expectancy at 65 Values



NOTE: Predicted values in Panel A leverage equation (1) with all other model covariates fixed at their sample means. Predicted values in Panel B leverage equation (2), again with all other model covariates fixed at their sample means.