

**Title:** Characteristics and outcomes of a cohort of SARS-CoV-2 patients in the Province of Reggio Emilia, Italy

**Authors:**

Paolo Giorgi Rossi epidemiologist,<sup>1</sup> Massimiliano Marino statistician<sup>2</sup>, Debora Formisano statistician<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Venturelli medical doctor<sup>1,3</sup>, Massimo Vicentini epidemiologist<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Grilli medical doctor<sup>2</sup>, and the Reggio Emilia COVID-19 Working Group\*

**Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup> Epidemiology Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale – IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Governance, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale – IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy

<sup>3</sup> Clinical and Experimental Medicine PhD Program, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

**Correspondence to:** Francesco Venturelli, e-mail: [francesco.venturelli@ausl.re.it](mailto:francesco.venturelli@ausl.re.it), address: Epidemiology Unit, Azienda Unità Sanitaria Locale – IRCCS di Reggio Emilia, via Amendola 2, 42122, Reggio Emilia, Italy

\*The following are members of the Reggio Emilia COVID-19 Working Group:

Massimo Costantini, Roberto Grilli, Massimiliano Marino, Giulio Formoso, Debora Formisano, Paolo Giorgi Rossi, Manuela Bedeschi, Cinzia Perilli, Ivano Venturi, Massimo Vicentini, Cinzia Campari, Francesco Gioia, Serena Broccoli, Marta Ottone, Pierpaolo Pattacini, Giulia Besutti, Valentina Iotti, Lucia Spaggiari, Pamela Mancuso, Andrea Nitrosi, Marco Foracchia, Rossana Colla, Alessandro Zerbini, Marco Massari, Anna Maria Ferrari, Mirco Pinotti, Nicola Facciolongo, Ivana Lattuada, Laura Trabucco, Stefano De Pietri, Giorgio Francesco Danelli, Laura Albertazzi, Enrica Bellesia, Simone Canovi, Mattia Corradini, Tommaso Fasano, Elena Magnani, Annalisa Pilia, Alessandra Polese, Silvia Storchi Incerti, Piera Zaldini, Efrem Bonelli, Bonanno Orsola, Matteo Revelli, Carlo Salvarani, Francesco Venturelli.

**Key words:** SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; prognostic factors; case fatality rate; hospitalization; cohort study; population-based

## Abstract

**Objectives.** To describe the age- and sex-specific prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 disease (COVID-19) and its prognostic factors.

**Design.** Population-based prospective cohort study on archive data.

**Setting.** Preventive services and hospital care in the province of Reggio Emilia, Northern Italy.

**Participants.** All 2653 symptomatic patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 from February 27 to April 2, 2020 in the province of Reggio Emilia.

**Main outcome measures.** Hospitalization and death up to April 2, 2020.

**Results.** Females had higher prevalence of infection than males below age 50 (2.61 vs. 1.84 ‰), but lower in older ages (16.49 vs. 20.86 ‰ over age 80). Case fatality rate reached 20.7% (22/106) in cases with more than 4 weeks follow up. After adjusting for age and comorbidities, men had a higher risk of hospitalization (hazard ratio (HR) 1.4 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.2 to 1.6) and of death (HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.1). Patients over age 80 compared to < age 50 had HR 7.1 (95% CI 5.4 to 9.3) and HR 27.8 (95% CI 12.5 to 61.7) for hospitalization and death, respectively. Immigrants had a higher risk of hospitalization (HR 1.3, 95% CI 0.99 to 1.81) than Italians and a similar risk of death. Risk of hospitalization and of death were higher in patients with heart failure (HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.1 and HR 2.3, 95% CI 1.6 to 3.2, respectively), arrhythmia (HR 1.5, 95% CI 1.2 to 1.9 and HR 1.8, 95% CI 1.3 to 2.5, respectively), dementia (HR 1.2, 95% CI 0.9 to 1.8 and HR 1.8, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.8, respectively), ischemic heart disease (HR 1.3, 95% CI 1.0 to 1.7 and HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.5, respectively), diabetes (HR 1.5, 95% CI 1.3 to 1.9 and HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1 to 2.2, respectively), and hypertension (HR 1.4, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.6 and HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.1, respectively), while COPD increased the risk of hospitalization (HR 1.9, 95% CI 1.4 to 2.5) but not of death (HR 1.1, 95% CI 0.7 to 1.7). Previous use of ACE inhibitors has no effect on risk of death (HR 0.97, 95% CI 0.69 to 1.34).

**Conclusions.** The mechanisms underlying these associations are mostly unknown. A deeper understanding of the causal chain from infection, disease onset, and immune response to outcomes may explain how these prognostic factors act.

## Introduction

The novel SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic in early 2020 has been threatening the entire world.[1,2] The virus has shown a high reproduction number and to spread rapidly.[3,4] Italy has been one of the first countries facing the epidemic outside of China and surely up to the end of March 2020, it was the most affected Western country.[5,6] The spectrum of disease of COVID-19 is wide, ranging from no symptoms at all to severe mixed interstitial-alveolar pneumonia often requiring admission in an intensive care unit and ventilation. Fatality rates are high, ranging from 2% to 12%, depending on the country, on reporting systems and definitions, and on length of follow up since disease onset.[7] Further, hospitalization rates change according to different approaches to care and to varying availability of hospital beds; the latter also depends on the place and the phase of the epidemic.[7]

Since we are facing a new disease, very few studies can provide information on the factors explaining the variability observed in the fatality rate and on how to predict whether the disease will be severe or not. Therefore, it is hard to define the prognosis both for individuals and for groups of patients. Age and sex seem to be the only confirmed and well described prognostic factors, with a higher case fatality rate in older subjects and in males.[8,9] Pre-existing chronic conditions have been generically reported as poor prognosis determinants, but the strength of the association between each specific comorbidity and outcomes has not yet been fully explored.[10,11]

Indeed, gaining a better understanding of the role of the main prognostic factors and quantifying the strength of their association with the rate of occurrence of a critical event is essential to identifying patients at high risk of worsening clinical conditions and to assessing the actual needs of different patient groups.

In this report, based on the cohort of all residents in the province of Reggio Emilia who were SARS-CoV-2-positive at nasal and pharyngeal swab and with symptoms since the inception of the epidemic, we describe patient characteristics and explore their role as putative prognostic factors in predicting the occurrence of hospital admission or death.

## Material and Methods

### *Study design*

This is a population-based prospective cohort study on archive data.

## *Setting*

The province of Reggio Emilia, located in Northern Italy, has a population of 532,000. Hospital, outpatient, primary, and preventive care to all the resident population is provided by the Local Health Authority, the local public organizational entity of the National Health Service.

The first case of SARS-CoV-2 disease (Covid-19) in the province was diagnosed on February 27, 2020. Up to April 8, there were 3264 confirmed cases in the province; the epidemic was still spreading, but at a lower rate, and cumulative incidence reached about 6 per 1000.

All schools were closed throughout the province on February 22, and some restrictions were placed on social activities. On March 8, strict control measures limiting people's mobility and a partial lockdown was put in place; on March 11, the lockdown was extended, and only essential work activities were allowed.

## *Study Population*

The cohort of SARS-CoV-2-positive patients includes all symptomatic patients who tested positive with PCR between February 27 and April 2, 2020. During the evolution of the epidemic, criteria for testing changed; at an earlier stage (until March 3), all suspected cases with flu-like symptoms, fever, cough, dyspnea, and those who had had a contact with a case or had been in one of the red zones (where the initial cluster occurred) were tested. In this phase, according to the above-mentioned criteria, asymptomatic close contacts of a positive case were also tested. In the subsequent phase, all those with symptoms suggestive of COVID-19 were tested, regardless of whether not they had had any contact with a positive case, while asymptomatic contacts were no longer tested at all. Since the criteria for testing asymptomatic contacts changed over time, they are excluded from the present cohort.

## *Data sources*

In the Province of Reggio Emilia, data on patients found positive to SARS-CoV-2 are registered in a special database with a dedicated software made available for the management of each individual case in order to allow epidemiological interviews, contact tracing and surveillance of symptoms through daily phone calls. This dataset registers the date of symptom onset and, for patients in home quarantine, the evolution of symptoms over time hospitalization and death.

This SARS-CoV-2 database was linked with the routinely available administrative databases of the Local Health Authority, which include data for each resident in the Province, in addition to demographic information, hospital discharge abstract data, coded according to the International Classification of Diseases-9-CM (ICD-9-CM) of diagnosis and procedure, and admission and discharge dates, vital status at discharge, and outpatient pharmacy data at the individual prescription level. Data were anonymized, and record linkage procedures were performed according to the unique identification number which is assigned to each resident.

Analysis of previous hospitalizations (up to preceding 10 years), as registered in the local administrative databases, made it possible to identify each individual patients' comorbidities as well as any drugs prescribed.

#### *Outcome measures*

The outcomes were hospitalization and death. Time to event variable started from symptom inception.

#### *Putative prognostic factors*

We considered the following patient characteristics: age, sex, place of birth (Italy or abroad), time span (in days) from symptom onset to diagnosis/ hospitalization, and comorbidities, whose prognostic role was explored both singly (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arrhythmia, diabetes, acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, vascular diseases, obesity) and by computing the Charlson Comorbidity Index, which provides an overall measure of an individual patient's complexity.[12] In particular, we categorized the index in four classes, ranging from 0 (no presence of relevant comorbidity) to  $\geq 3$  (indicating the highest level of complexity, in terms of number and/or severity of comorbidities).

Given the current concerns on their possible impact on the clinical evolution of the disease,[11] we also evaluated exposure to ACE inhibitors, a class of drugs targeting molecules involved in COVID-19 infection process, and their possible substitute therapy, AT1-antagonists.

#### *Statistical analyses*

Case prevalence and case fatality rates (CFR) in the source population of residents in the Province were estimated both overall and by sex and by age.

Descriptive analyses of patients included in the cohort and rates of hospitalization and death according to the presence of each putative prognostic factor are reported

Age- and sex-adjusted hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for each putative prognostic factor were estimated for hospitalization and death through multivariate proportional hazard models on time from symptom onset to event. In particular, a first multivariate model was fitted separately for hospitalization and death, including age, sex, Charlson Index, and place of birth as covariates. Then, in order to estimate the actual association between different types of comorbidities with the events of interest, a second model was used that included with the already mentioned covariates the individual comorbidities instead of the Charlson Index. In all the multivariate models we included time from symptom onset to diagnosis (assumed to be a proxy of severity of the disease, as worse-off patients seek medical assistance quicker) and calendar week of diagnosis, both because a variation in patient characteristics over time was observed (data not shown) and because healthcare services experienced different degrees of difficulty in the clinical and organizational management of patients over the weeks due to the different stages of development of the epidemic.

Lastly, in order to assess the influence of individual comorbidities on the rate of occurrence of the outcomes of interest, multivariate proportional hazard models were used for each comorbidity, which was included as covariate in the model along with age and sex.

Multivariate analyses exclude all the patients for whom relevant information was not available. However, excluded cases always represented less than 25 % of the whole cohort.

We do not report formal test of hypothesis and p-values with predefined threshold.

Statistical analysis was performed with Stata 13.0 statistical package.

#### *Patient and Public Involvement*

This research was done without patient and public involvement. Despite this, the study authors agree to consider research on COVID19 a current priority also from a patients and public perspectives.

#### *Ethics approval*

The study has been approved by the Area Vasta Emilia Nord Ethic Committee on 07/04/2020 n° 2020/0045199.

### *Patient consent*

In accordance with the Italian privacy law, no patient or parental consent is required for large retrospective population-based studies approved by the competent Ethics Committee if data are published only in aggregated form.

### *Funding*

The study has been conducted using exclusively institutional funds of the Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia. There was no external funding source for this study.

## **Results**

The cohort includes 2653 SARS-CoV-2 patients, representing all the resident symptomatic patients found positive at PCR from February 27 to April 2, 2020. The mean age was 63.2 and the median time from symptom onset to diagnosis was 4 days, ranging from 0 to 61 days. Males and females were equally represented in the cohort.

After a median follow up of 14 days, 1075 (40%) and 217 (8.2%) experienced hospitalization and death, respectively. The rates of both these events were substantially higher in males (50% vs 31% for hospital admission, and 11% vs 6% for death).

### *Estimates of disease prevalence and hospitalization/death rates in the source population*

In Table 1, age and sex distribution of the SARS-CoV-2 cohort are in relation to the whole population of residents in the province in order to draw estimates at the population level of disease prevalence and rates of the events of interest. As shown, females were more represented at younger ages ( $\leq 50$  years) and at very old age ( $\geq 80$  years), where women are also much more represented in the general population, while males were more represented between ages 60 and 79. Age-specific risks of disease were higher in males than in females, except for below age 51. Age-specific risks of hospitalization and death were higher in males than in females by a factor of 2 or more.

### *Overall case fatality rate and rate of hospital admissions*

The case fatality rate and hospitalization rate were 8.5% and 40.5%. These varied according to the calendar week of diagnosis: they were higher for patients diagnosed in the first three weeks and consistently over the weeks for males (Table 2). Remarkably, in the first three weeks of the epidemic,

women were a lower proportion and were younger but became more represented and older in the last few weeks of the period under study.

### *SARS-CoV-2 patient characteristics and rates of critical events*

The prevalence of individual characteristics are outlined in Table 3, along with the crude rate of hospital admissions and death for each patient group. The frequency of both outcome measures was related to sex, age, and overall patient complexity as defined by the Charlson Index. Comorbidities were more common in males (72% Charlson Index =0) than in females (76% Charlson Index =0) (data not shown). As for single comorbidities (the most prevalent being hypertension, cancer, and diabetes), all were associated with high (i.e. above 50%) rates of hospitalization and death (except obesity, above 15%).

### *HRs for hospitalization and death*

Results of the multivariate analysis are reported in Table 4 and confirm the association between sex, age, and Charlson Index with both the outcome measures. Immigration status (as represented by place of birth) was found to be associated with hospitalization, with patients born abroad having a 40% higher risk. Longer time span from symptom onset to diagnosis had a lower risk of hospitalization and death, thus confirming that a shorter length of that interval indicates worse clinical condition. Although not statistically significant, HRs for calendar periods of diagnosis suggest a trend towards better outcomes for patients diagnosed in the second part of the study period (i.e. after the third week) compared to those diagnosed in the early phase of the first three weeks of the epidemic.

### *Effect of single comorbidities on the risk of hospitalization and death*

As shown in Table 5, COPD, chronic kidney disease, and heart failure had the strongest association with the risk of hospitalization, adjusting for age and sex. As for the use of AT-1 inhibitors and ACE inhibitors, exposure to these drugs appeared to be associated with a modest increase in hospitalization risk which, for ACE inhibitors, was not compatible with a random fluctuation. However, this association disappeared when limiting the analysis to the subgroup of patients with ischemic heart disease, hypertension, or heart failure.



The highest risk of death was seen in patients with cardiovascular comorbidities (heart failure, arrhythmia, ischemic heart disease), followed by dementia and diabetes. Use of AT-1 inhibitors or ACE inhibitors was not associated with the risk of death.

## **Discussion**

### *Principal findings*

Below age 50, females had a higher risk of infection than did males, but in all other age groups the risk was higher in males. Hospitalization reached 60% and case fatality rate 20% in patients with at least four weeks of follow up. We confirm better prognosis for women, a strong effect of age (stronger in males than in females), and worse prognosis for immigrants and for patients with heart failure, arrhythmia, dementia, ischemic heart disease, diabetes or hypertension but not for patients with COPD.

### *Strengths and weaknesses of the study*

The main limitation of this study is that we do not have any information on treatments administered in hospital or prescribed at home. Further analyses, requiring ad hoc data collection, must be conducted to study how therapies interacted with the natural history of the disease and with prognostic factors.

Another limitation of this study is that it is based only on routinely collected data for hospitalizations to define comorbidities. The limitations of such an approach have been highlighted in the literature and collecting a long history of hospitalization has been suggested as an effective measure to reduce misclassification and minimize underestimation of the prevalence. Furthermore, this is the only way to obtain reliable information on a population-based cohort including non-hospitalized patients.

### *Comparison with other studies and interpretation*

While in this study we focused on the risk of hospitalization and death in a cohort of SARS-CoV-2 patients diagnosed during the epidemic in Northern Italy, it also provided us with the opportunity to describe the pattern of distribution of the disease in the whole population. We observed different age-specific risks for females and males resulting in an overall equal proportion of cases. This observation is consistent with previous studies including all symptomatic cases [8,13,14] except for a report on the early phases of the epidemic in Lombardy.[6] Indeed, females had a higher risk among people below age 50, while males had higher risk in older ages. The cause of this difference is unknown, but both biological reasons, including hormonal factors in women in reproductive age, and different access to

testing should be investigated. From this perspective, it is important to note that this is one of the few age-adjusted incidence comparisons between the two sexes; most studies have produced only a proportional analysis among cases. Surprisingly, we noted a different sex ratio among cases in different phases of the epidemic, with a higher proportion of males at the beginning yet the opposite in the later period under study. This phenomenon, which is unexpected and difficult to explain, could also justify the difference between our study and the report from Lombardy, which was conducted in a much earlier phase of the epidemic.

Overall, a difference between males and females in our population was much more evident for hospital admissions and death, events for which males had a consistently higher risk across all the age groups. Therefore, consistently with previous findings,[9,10,13,15–18] while the risk of disease is approximately similar, the clinical condition seems to be more severe in males than in females.

We confirm the increased risk with age, which remains extremely high even when adjusting for all others characteristic.[9,10,13,15–18] The effect of age is stronger for hospitalization and particularly for death than it is for infection and for males rather than females (Table 1). To our knowledge, this is the first study giving age- and sex-specific prevalence of infection, risk hospitalization, and death at the population level in an advanced phase of the outbreak.

Hospitalization and case fatality rates were extremely high in this population-based cohort, reaching 60% and 20%, respectively, in those patients with at least four weeks of follow up. Even if most studies are reporting a case fatality rate of between 1% and 10%,[8,10,19] cohort studies with sufficient follow up showed similar results.[20,21]

Nevertheless, in addition to providing confirmation on the influence of these patient characteristics on the rate of occurrence of hospitalization and death, our findings deserve specific comments and possible further investigation.

A previously never-reported finding is the higher hospitalization rate of foreign-born residents than of Italians. We previously reported a similar prevalence of positivity and similar probability of testing between the two groups.[22] This finding is surprising because immigrants, particularly when their arrival in the host country is relatively recent (as is the case in Italy), are usually healthier than native populations and they usually show lower hospitalization and mortality rates.[23,24] Nevertheless, we could adjust for comorbidities, thus reducing the possible confounding due to the healthy migrant

effect. A hypothesis to explain the higher hospitalization rate may be some genetic background in some of the most represented ethnicities. However, this is quite implausible in the province of Reggio Emilia because immigrants come from very different areas globally, and Eastern Europe, China, South Asia, Northern Africa, and Sub-Saharan Africa are equally represented among the most represented areas of origin. Given that excess risk is appreciable only for hospitalization and not for mortality, it is possible that this is due to the difficulty in effective home quarantine for these patients. Finally, considering that most of the countries of origin have a high prevalence of tuberculosis and BCG is thus recommended, our data do not support the hypothesis that the previously observed non-specific protective effect of BCG on other viral infections[25] is also protective against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

We also found an interesting trend towards a reduced rate of hospitalization and death over the weeks of the epidemic, taking into account patients' age, sex, comorbidities, and length of follow up. While not explained by differences in patient characteristics, the positive trend observed for the two outcome measures considered could, to some extent at least, represent the effect of health professionals and health services rapidly developing the experience required to better cope with the challenges of the clinical and organizational management of a new disease after the first couple of weeks. Nevertheless, as mentioned above, over the 5 weeks representing the time span of this study we saw an increase in diagnoses among females in the last two weeks of the period under study that was not compatible with a random fluctuation, while in the first three weeks we observed more males. This suggests that some underlying characteristics of the case mix may change during the epidemic as the result of changes in the epidemiology of the disease or of changes in the resources available for testing people with less severe symptoms.

Interestingly, in terms of the comorbidities examined, we found an increased risk of hospitalization for COPD but a very small effect on death. This is not consistent with what was reported in a previous study with small numbers.[20]

We confirm an important role of several comorbidities, particularly for heart diseases. In general, comorbidities had a stronger association with mortality than with hospitalization, with the only exception being chronic kidney disease. The strongest effects were for heart failure, arrhythmia, dementia, ischemic heart disease, diabetes, and hypertension, all with  $\geq 50\%$  excess hazard. These data are not completely consistent with previous observations that found similar risk for cardiovascular

disease but no effect of diabetes.[20] Indeed, that study's small numbers may have hampered the detection of any effect.

Lastly, we did not find evidence of any effect of the use of AT-1 antagonists and ACE inhibitors on hospitalization and death, a reassuring finding that will hopefully be confirmed by others. While an association emerged between ACE inhibitors and hospitalization, it was likely due to residual confounding as it was not confirmed when the comparison between users vs non-users of this drug was performed only among the subgroup of patients with cardiovascular comorbidity. Surprisingly, we found small or no effect for obesity, dyslipidaemia, or vascular diseases. The latter is a quite heterogeneous group of diseases and it is possible that we are missing some important prognostic factor due to this grouping, but numbers did not allow for any further distinction. Nevertheless, our finding is similar to that of Whang and colleagues for cardiovascular disease.[20]

The mechanism underlying these associations are mostly unknown. A deeper understanding of the causal chain from infection, disease onset, and immune response to outcomes could lead to an explanation of how these prognostic factors act. Nevertheless, quantifying the strength of association between pre-existing conditions and COVID-19 outcomes is important to understanding the disease.

**Acknowledgements:** The study has been conducted using exclusively institutional funds of the Azienda USL-IRCCS di Reggio Emilia. We would like to thank Jacqueline M. Costa for the English language editing.

**Conflicts of interest:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

**Data sharing:** According to Italian law, anonymized data can only be made publicly available if there is potential for the re-identification of individuals (<https://www.garanteprivacy.it>). Thus, the data underlying this study are available on request to researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. In order to obtain data, approval must be obtained from the Area Vasta Emilia Nord (AVEN) Ethics Committee, who would then authorize us to provide aggregated or anonymized data.

Data access requests should be addressed to the Ethics Committee at [CEReggioemilia@ausl.re.it](mailto:CEReggioemilia@ausl.re.it) as well as to the authors at the Epidemiology unit of AUSL - IRCCS of Reggio Emilia at [info.epi@ausl.re.it](mailto:info.epi@ausl.re.it), who are the data guardians.

The lead author (PGR) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspect of the study has been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

**Contributors:** RG, PGF, and MV conceived the study design. MM and DF did the statistical analysis. RG, PGR and FV wrote the manuscript. The Reggio Emilia COVID-19 working group contributes to data collection and quality assurance. All authors provided critical revisions of the draft and approved the submitted draft. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted. PGR is the guarantor.

## Bibliography

- 1 Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE). COVID-19 Map - Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> (accessed 4 Apr 2020).
- 2 Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2020;0. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1
- 3 Yuan J, Li M, Lv G, *et al.* Monitoring Transmissibility and Mortality of COVID-19 in Europe. *Int J Infect Dis* Published Online First: 28 March 2020. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.050
- 4 Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, *et al.* The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. *J Travel Med* Published Online First: 13 February 2020. doi:10.1093/jtm/taaa021
- 5 Saglietto A, Biondi Zoccai G, Maria De Ferrari G, *et al.* COVID-19 in Europe: the Italian lesson. *Lancet* 2020;s0140-6736:30690–5. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30690-5
- 6 Cereda D, Tirani M, Rovida F, *et al.* The early phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Lombardy, Italy. *arXiv.org - Quant Biol* Published Online First: March 20, 2020. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2003.09320> (accessed 4 Apr 2020).
- 7 ECDC. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic: increased transmission in the EU/EEA and the UK – seventh update. Published Online First: March 25, 2020. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/RRA-seventh-update-Outbreak-of-coronavirus-disease-COVID-19.pdf> (accessed 4 Apr 2020).
- 8 The Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. Vital Surveillances: The Epidemiological Characteristics of an Outbreak of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) — China, 2020. China: 2020. <http://weekly.chinacdc.cn/en/article/id/e53946e2-c6c4-41e9-9a9b-fea8db1a8f51> (accessed 4 Apr 2020).
- 9 Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Characteristics of COVID-19 patients dying in Italy Report based on available data on March 20 th, 2020. Rome: 2020. Published Online First: March 20, 2020. [https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Report-COVID-2019\\_20\\_marzo\\_eng.pdf](https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Report-COVID-2019_20_marzo_eng.pdf) (accessed 4 Apr 2020).
- 10 Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, *et al.* Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020;:NEJMoa2002032. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- 11 Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? *Lancet Respir Med* 2020;8:e21. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30116-8
- 12 Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, *et al.* A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. *J Chronic Dis* 1987;40:373–83. doi:10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8

- 13 Task force COVID-19 del Dipartimento Malattie Infettive e Servizio di Informatica. Epidemia COVID-19 Aggiornamento nazionale 6 aprile 2020. Rome: 2020. Published Online First: April 6, 2020. [https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Bollettino-sorveglianza-integrata-COVID-19\\_6-aprile-2020.pdf](https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/bollettino/Bollettino-sorveglianza-integrata-COVID-19_6-aprile-2020.pdf) (accessed 7 Apr 2020).
- 14 WHO. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Geneva: 2020. Published Online First: March 11, 2020. [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19---final-report-1100hr-28feb2020-11mar-update.pdf?sfvrsn=1a13fda0\\_2&download=true](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint-mission-on-covid-19---final-report-1100hr-28feb2020-11mar-update.pdf?sfvrsn=1a13fda0_2&download=true) (accessed 7 Apr 2020).
- 15 Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, *et al.* Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 2020;**395**:1054–62. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3
- 16 Zhang J jin, Dong X, Cao Y yuan, *et al.* Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. *Allergy Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1111/all.14238
- 17 Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, *et al.* Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591 Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. *JAMA* Published Online First: 6 April 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.5394
- 18 Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA - J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 2020. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.4683
- 19 Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, *et al.* Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2020;**395**:507–13. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7
- 20 Wang L, He W, Yu X, *et al.* Coronavirus Disease 2019 in elderly patients: characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. *J Infect* Published Online First: March 2020. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.019
- 21 Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, *et al.* Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020;0. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5
- 22 The Reggio Emilia COVID-19 working group. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) in Italians and in Immigrants in Northern Italy -. *Epidemiol Prev Repos* Published Online First: April 1, 2020. <https://repo.epiprev.it/index.php/2020/04/01/prevalence-of-sars-cov-2-covid-19-in-italians-and-in-immigrants-in-northern-italy/> (accessed 7 Apr 2020).
- 23 Pacelli B, Zengarini N, Broccoli S, *et al.* Differences in mortality by immigrant status in Italy. Results of the Italian Network of Longitudinal Metropolitan Studies. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2016;**31**:691–701. doi:10.1007/s10654-016-0177-z
- 24 Petrelli A, Di Napoli A, Perez M, *et al.* [The health status of the immigrant population in Italy: evidence from multipurpose surveys of the Italian National Institute of Statistics (Istat)]. *Epidemiol Prev*;41:1–68. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28929718> (accessed 9 Apr 2020).

- 25 Shet A, Ray D, Malavige N, *et al.* Differential COVID-19-attributable mortality and BCG vaccine use in countries. *medRxiv* 2020;:2020.04.01.20049478.  
doi:10.1101/2020.04.01.20049478



**Table 1:** SARS-CoV-2 prevalence, hospitalizations, and death rates at the population level in the Province of Reggio Emilia, overall and according to age and sex.

	Population <sup>1</sup>		Subjects in the SARS-CoV-2 Cohort				Hospitalized				Deaths			
	Male	Female	Male		Female		Male		Female		Male		Female	
	N	N	N	Risk*1000	N	Risk*1000	N	Risk*1000	N	Risk*1000	N	Risk*1000	N	Risk*1000
<b>Total</b>	261563	270328	1328	5.08	1325	4.90	657	2.51	418	1.55	143	0.55	74	0.00
<b>Age</b>														
<b>&lt; 51</b>	160443	153270	296	1.84	400	2.61	61	0.38	46	0.30	1	0.01	1	0.01
<b>51-60</b>	38645	39442	268	6.93	260	6.59	90	2.33	38	0.96	6	0.16	1	0.03
<b>61-70</b>	28561	31468	253	8.86	160	5.08	144	5.04	61	1.94	18	0.63	5	0.16
<b>71-80</b>	21738	25402	257	11.82	163	6.42	181	8.33	110	4.33	42	1.93	12	0.47
<b>≥ 81</b>	12176	20746	254	20.86	342	16.49	181	14.87	163	7.86	76	6.24	55	2.65

<sup>1</sup>: Number of residents in the Province as of December 31, 2019.

**Table 2:** Case fatality rate (CFR) by sex for calendar period of diagnosis.

Calendar period of diagnosis		Total		Age	Hospitalizations		Deaths	
		N	% per period	Mean	N	% on exposed	N	% on exposed
<i>from 22/2 to 8/3</i>	Male	64	60.4%	64.05	46	71.9%	16	25.0%
	Female	42	39.6%	56.76	19	45.2%	6	14.3%
	Overall	106			65	61.3%	22	20.8%
<i>from 9/3 to 15/3</i>	Male	242	56.7%	63.17	145	59.9%	40	16.5%
	Female	185	43.3%	57.59	79	42.7%	15	8.1%
	Overall	427			224	52.5%	55	12.9%
<i>from 16/3 to 22/3</i>	Male	500	55.3%	62.80	259	51.8%	72	14.4%
	Female	404	44.7%	60.72	159	39.4%	32	7.9%
	Overall	904			418	46.2%	104	11.5%
<i>from 23/3 to 29/3</i>	Male	363	43.9%	63.21	165	45.5%	14	3.9%
	Female	464	56.1%	62.89	129	27.8%	18	3.9%
	Overall	827			294	35.6%	32	3.9%
<i>from 30/3 to 1/4</i>	Male	150	40.0%	63.21	35	23.3%	0	0.0%
	Female	225	60.0%	62.89	27	12.0%	1	0.4%
	Overall	375			62	16.5%	1	0.3%
Total		2639			1063	40.3%	214	8.1%

**Table 3.** Characteristics of SARS-CoV2 positives, hospitalizations, and deaths for each included putative prognostic factor.

	<b>Total</b>		<b>Hospitalized</b>		<b>Deaths</b>	
	<b>N</b>	<b>% of exposure in the population</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>% (out of those exposed)</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>% (out of those exposed)</b>
<b>Total</b>	2653		1075	40.5%	217	8,2%
<b>Sex</b>						
Male	1328	50.1%	657	49.5%	143	10,8%
Female	1325	49.9%	418	31.5%	74	5,6%
<b>Age</b>						
< 51	696	26.2%	107	15.4%	2	0.3%
51-60	528	19.9%	128	24.2%	7	1.3%
61-70	413	15.6%	205	49.6%	23	5.6%
71-80	420	15.8%	291	69.3%	54	12.9%
≥ 81	596	22.5%	344	57.7%	131	22.0%
<b>Time from symptoms to diagnosis</b>						
< 5 days	1407	53.7%	480	34.1%	134	9.5%
≥ 5 days	1212	46.3%	574	47.4%	75	6.2%
unknown	34		21		8	
<b>Place of birth</b>						
Italy	2259	91.8%	997	44.1%	211	9.3%
Abroad	202	8.2%	64	31.7%	6	3.0%
Unknown	192		14		0	
<b>Charlson Comorbidity Index</b>						
0	1757	73.8%	623	35.5%	89	5.1%
1	225	9.5%	120	53.3%	34	15.1%
2	193	8.1%	109	56.5%	27	14.0%
≥ 3	206	8.7%	143	69.4%	57	27.7%
Unknown	272		80		10	
<b>Single Comorbidities</b>						
COPD	128	5.4%	91	71.1%	24	18.8%
Myocardial infraction	168	7.1%	115	68.5%	41	24.4%
Dementia	107	4.5%	50	46.7%	25	23.4%
Diabetes	284	12.0%	185	65.1%	51	18.0%
Chronic kidney disease	59	2.5%	45	76.3%	15	25.4%
Cancers	301	12.7%	167	55.5%	44	14.6%
Hypertension	430	18.1%	280	65.1%	87	20.2%
Obesity	65	2.7%	34	52.3%	8	12.3%
Heart failure	137	5.8%	96	70.1%	43	31.4%

Arrhythmia	185	7.8%	123	66.5%	46	24.9%
Dyslipidemia	118	5.0%	85	72.0%	26	22.0%
Vascular disease	61	2.6%	37	60.7%	10	16.4%
<b>Use of drugs in previous year</b>						
ACE inhibitors	450	17.0%	277	61.6%	56	12.4%
AT1 antagonists	368	13.9%	224	60.9%	52	14.1%

**Table 4.** Effect of sex, age, calendar period, time from symptom to diagnosis, place of birth, and comorbidities. Models on hospitalization include 1866 patients and 757 outcomes; models on deaths include 2025 patients and 195 deaths.

Sex	Hospitalization		Death	
	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
Females	1		1	
Males	1.4	(1.2-1.6)	1.6	(1.2-2.1)
<b>Age</b>				
< 51	1		1	
51-60	1.3	(1.0-1.8)	1.5	(0.5-4.2)
61-70	3.2	(2.4-4.1)	3.8	(1.6-9.4)
71-80	5.9	(4.5-7.6)	9.1	(4.0-20.6)
≥ 81	7.1	(5.4-9.3)	27.8	(12.5-61.7)
<b>Calendar period</b>				
before 15 March 2020	1		1	
from 16 to 22 March 2020	0.89	(0.74-1.01)	1.3	(0.9-1.8)
from 23 to 29 March 2020	0.91	(0.74-1.13)	0.5	(0.3-0.8)
<b>Time from symptoms to diagnosis (days)</b>				
<i>OR per day</i>	0.96	(0.94-0.97)	0.87	(0.84-0.90)
<b>Place of birth</b>				
Italy	1		1	
Abroad	1.3	(0.99-1.81)	1.03	(0.42-2.56)
<b>Charlson Comorbidity Index</b>				
0	1		1	
1	1.2	(0.93-1.5)	1.6	(1.0-2.5)
2	1.6	(1.2-2.0)	2.0	(1.3-3.1)
≥ 3	2.1	(1.6-2.6)	2.7	(1.9-3.9)

**Table 5.** Effect of each comorbidity on hazard of hospitalization and death. All hazard ratios are adjusted for age and sex. Models for hospitalizations include 2143 patients and 782 outcomes; models on deaths include 2362 patients and 201 deaths.

Comorbidities*	Hospitalization		Death	
	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI
COPD	1.9	(1.4-2.5)	1.1	(0.7-1.7)
Ischemic heart disease	1.3	(1.0-1.7)	1.7	(1.2-2.5)
Dementia	1.2	(0.9-1.8)	1.8	(1.1-2.8)
Diabetes	1.5	(1.3-1.9)	1.6	(1.1-2.2)
Chronic kidney disease	1.9	(1.3-2.9)	1.5	(0.9-2.6)
Cancers	1.4	(1.1-1.7)	1.4	(1.0-2.0)
Hypertension	1.4	(1.2-1.6)	1.6	(1.2-2.1)
Obesity	1.4	(0.9-2.0)	1.3	(0.6-2.9)
Heart failure	1.6	(1.2-2.1)	2.3	(1.6-3.2)
Arrhythmia	1.5	(1.2-1.9)	1.8	(1.3-2.5)
Dyslipidemia	1.3	(0.99-1.69)	1.4	(0.9-2.2)
Vascular disease	1.2	(0.8-1.8)	1.2	(0.6-2.2)
<i>Use of drugs in previous year**</i>				
ACE inhibitors	1.3	(1.1-1.5)	0.97	(0.69-1.34)
AT1 antagonists	1.2	(1.0-1.5)	1.16	(0.83-1.64)
<i>Use of drugs in previous year***</i>				
ACE inhibitors	1.12	(0.82-1.54)	0.8	(0.50-1.3)
AT1 antagonists	1.07	(0.78-1.49)	1.1	(0.7-1.8)

\* adjusted for age and sex

\*\*adjusted for age and sex and Charlson Comorbidity Index

\*\*\*Restricted to subjects with at least one of the following comorbidities: ischemic heart disease, hypertension, or heart failure; model on hospitalization includes 425 patients and 246 hospitalizations; model on death includes 528 patients and 106 deaths. Adjusted for age and sex and Charlson Comorbidity Index