medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063529; this version posted April 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Title: Impact of population mask wearing on Covid-19 post lockdown

Authors: Babak Javid and Nathalie Q. Balaban

Babak Javid MB BChir PhD (corresponding author)

Tsinghua University School of Medicine

bjavid@gmail.com

Nathalie Q. Balaban PhD (co-corresponding author)

Racah Institute of Physics

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

nathalie.balaban@mail.huji.ac.il

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063529; this version posted April 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV2 is a rapidly spreading global pandemic. Although precise transmission routes and dynamics are unknown, SARS-CoV2 is thought primarily to spread via contagious respiratory droplets[1]. Unlike with SARS-CoV, maximal viral shedding occurs in the early phase of illness[1], and this is supported by models that suggest 40-80% of transmission events occur from pre- and asymptomatic individuals[2,3]. One widely-discussed strategy to limit transmission of SARS-CoV2, particularly from presymptomatic individuals, has been population-level wearing of masks. Modelling for pandemic influenza suggests some benefit in reducing total numbers infected with even 50% mask-use[4]. COVID-19 has a higher hospitalization and mortality rate than influenza[5] , and the impacts on these parameters, and critically, at what point in the pandemic trajectory mask-use might exert maximal benefit are completely unknown.

We derived a simplified SIR model to investigate the effects of near-universal mask-use on COVID-19 assuming 8 or 16% mask efficacy (Supplementary information for relevant parameters and references). We decided to model, in particular, the impact of masks on numbers of critically-ill patients and cumulative mortality, since these are parameters that are likely to have the most severe consequences in the COVID-19 pandemic. Whereas mask use had a relatively minor benefit on critical-care and mortality rates when transmissibility (R_{eff}) was high (Fig. 1a), the reduction on deaths was dramatic as the effective R approached 1 (Fig. 1b), as might be expected after aggressive social-distancing measures such as wide-spread lockdowns[5]. One major concern with COVID-19 is its potential to overwhelm healthcare infrastructures, even in resource-rich settings, with one third of hospitalized patients requiring critical-care. We incorporated this into our model, increasing death rates for when critical-care resources have been exhausted (Fig. 1c). Our simple model shows that modest efficacy of masks could avert substantial mortality in this scenario. Importantly, the effects on mortality became hyper-sensitive to mask-wearing as the effective R approaches 1, i.e. near the tipping point of when the infection trajectory is expected to revert to exponential growth, as would be expected after effective lockdown.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063529; this version posted April 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

In order to understand the generality of the effect of mask wearing upon home confinement removal, we also analysed the potential effects of mask-wearing for data provided by a more comprehensive and realistic model of the COVID-19 infection, which included modelling of different levels of social-distancing measures on infection and likely deaths[5]. When home-confinement is lifted but other social-distancing measures are in place, such as school closure and case isolation, wearing masks can maintain the benefits of home-confinement, both in terms of deaths (Fig. 1d) and critical-care bed use (Fig. 1e).

Limitations of our study include the relatively straightforward model we employed, as well as assumptions of high compliance with mask-wearing and their potential efficacy, for which definitive evidence in pandemics is lacking[6]. Despite these limitations, our model suggests that mask-wearing might exert maximal benefit as nations plan their 'post-lockdown' strategies and suggests that mask-wearing should be included in further more sophisticated models of the current pandemic. Since otherwise similar countries are currently devising different mask-wearing scenarios, the current situation offers an unprecedented opportunity to gather evidence on the real-world utility of population mask-wearing for implementation in this and future pandemics.

Word count: 524 words

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063529; this version posted April 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature.* 2020.
2. Ferretti L, Wymant C, Kendall M, et al. Quantifying SARS-CoV-2 transmission suggests epidemic control with digital contact tracing. *Science.* 2020:eabb6936.
3. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, et al. Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV2). *Science.* 2020:eabb3221.
4. Tracht SM, Del Valle SY, Hyman JM. Mathematical modeling of the effectiveness of facemasks in reducing the spread of novel influenza A (H1N1). *PLoS One.* 2010;5(2):e9018.
5. Neil M Ferguson DL, Gemma Nedjati-Gilani, Natsuko Imai, Kylie Ainslie, Marc Baguelin, Sangetta Bhatia, Adhiratha Boonyasiri, Zulma Cucunubá, Gina Cuomo-Dannenburg, Amy Dighe, Ilaria Dorigatti, Han Fu, Katy Gaythorpe, Will Green, Arran Hamlet, Wes Hinsley, Lucy C Okell, Sabine van Elsland, Hayley Thompson, Robert Verity, Erik Volz, Haowei Wang, Yuanrong Wang, Patrick GT Walker, Caroline Walters, Peter Winskill, Charles Whittaker, Christl A Donnelly, Steven Riley, Azra C Ghani. Report 9: Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. 2020; http://hdl.handle.net/10044/1/77482. Accessed 8 April 2020, 2020.
6. Xiao J, Shiu EYC, Gao H, et al. Nonpharmaceutical Measures for Pandemic Influenza in Nonhealthcare Settings-Personal Protective and Environmental Measures. *Emerging infectious diseases.* 2020;26(5).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.13.20063529; this version posted April 16, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. **Mask effectiveness on mortality varies by R_{eff}** (A) Number of critically ill patients (red) and total deaths (black) for an epidemic spreading with R_0 of 2.2 (see Supplementary information for parameters) in a simple SIR model, x-axis represents time in days. The different curves are computed for a reduction of infectivity of 0, 8 and 16%. (B) Same as A, but for an epidemic spreading with R_0 of 1.3. Note that the reduction in infectivity by mask wearing has a larger effect. (C) Same as B but taking into account increase in death when beds are unavailable for critically-ill patients. (D-E) Analysis of the data of Ferguson *et al* (ref 5, Table 4). Assuming a 10% reduction in infectivity, mask wearing may be at least as effective as home confinement at reducing deaths (D) or preventing overwhelming icu beds (E). The different bars (1-5) are different thresholds ("triggers") for implementing social measures in the Ferguson *et al* model.