Laparoscopic vs open gastrectomy- An updated metaanalysis of randomised control trials for short term outcomes.

Dr.Bhavin Vasavada

Consultant Hepatobiliary and liver transplant surgeon,

Shalby hospitals,

Ahmedabad, India

Email:drbhavin.liversurgeon@gmail.com

Dr.Hardik Patel.

Consultant Hepatobiliary and liver transplant surgeon,

Shalby hospitals,

Ahmedabad, India

Keywords: Metaanalysis, Gastrectomies, gastric cancers

Abbreviations:RCT (randomized control trial), Weighted Mean Difference (WMD), Confidence Intervals.(C.I),SD (Standard deviations)

Conflict of interests:none

Financial disclosures:none

Abstract:

Introduction:

Aim of this metaanalysis was to compare short term outcomes of laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Material and methods:

EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed and the Cochrane Database were searched for randomised control trials comparing outcomes in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomies with those patients undergoing open gastrectomies. The primary outcome was 30 day morbidity and mortality. Secondary outcomes studied included length of stay, blood loss, d2gastrectomies, lymphnode retrieval, operative time, distal gastrectomy, wound complications and intraabdominal complications Systemic review and Metaanalysis were done according to MOOSE and PRISMA guidelines.

Results:

Morbidity was significantly low in laparoscopic group(P=0.004).There was no significant difference between mortality between the two groups. (P=0.989). There less wound complications in laparoscpic group, no difference intra-abdominal complications in both the groups. Operative time was significantly higher in laparoscopic group.(P< 0.001) wmd 56.904. Hospital stay was similar in laparoscopic group. (P=0.305) wmd −0.533 days. Blood loss was significantly lesser in laparoscopic group.(p <0.001). Laparoscopic group patients had less number of lymph node retrieval compared to laparoscopic group.(p< 0.001). Laparoscopic group also contained similar advanced staged gastric cancer than open gastrectomies.

Conclusions:

Laparoscopic gastrectomies were associated with better short term outcomes.

Introduction:

With advancement of technology and skills laparoscopic gastrectomy is increasingly being performed. however there is still some debate over short term outcome, oncologic safety of resections and long term survivals in comparison to standard open gastrectomies.[1]. Initially laparoscopic gastrectomy was reserved for early and distal laparoscopies but these days more and more surgeons are performing laparoscopic gastrectomies via open approach also.[2].

AIMS OF STUDY:

Aims of this metaanalysis to do metaanalysis of recent randomised control trials regarding short term outcomes.

In short term outcomes aim was to study morbidity and in hospital mortalities as wells as hospital stay, blood loss, operative times as well as to study oncological parameters like D2gastrectomies, number of lymphnode retrieval D2gastrectomies, number, resection rates for advanced gastric cancers.

Material and methods:

EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed and the Cochrane Database were searched for randomised control trials comparing outcomes in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomies with those patients undergoing open gastrectomies and studies

comparing long term survival outcomes. Two independent authors extracted the data (B.V and H.P).

Systemic review and Metaanalysis was done according to MOOSE and PRISMA guidelines. [14,15]. Types of studies included in metaanalysis is described in table 1 and table 2.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted using Open meta-analysis software. Heterogeneity was measured using Q tests and I^2, and $p < 0.10$ was determined as significant (8). If there was no or low heterogeneity ($I^2 < 25\%$), then the fixed-effects model was used. Otherwise, the random-effects model was used. The Odds ratio (OR) was calculated for dichotomous data, and weighted mean differences (WMD) were used for continuous variables. Both differences were presented with 95% CI. For continuous variables, if data were presented with medians and ranges, then we calculated the means and Standard deviations according to Hozo et al. (16). If the study presented the median and inter-quartile range, the median was treated as the mean, and the interquartile ranges were calculated using 1.35 SDs, as described in the Cochrane handbook.

Inclusion criteria for studies:

- Randomised control trials for short term outcomes.

- Studies comparing laparoscopic and open gastrectomies.

- Full text articles.

Exclusion criteria for studies:

- Nonrandomised control trials for short term outcomes.

- Studies with single groups or studies in which groups were not comparable.

- Studies where full texts were not available

- Duplicate studies.

Assessment of Bias:

Characteristics of the studies are described in table 1. [3-13,19-26].Randomized trials were assessed based on the Cochrane Handbook. [18] (Table 2)

RESULTS:

Selection process of studies for short term and long-term outcomes for this meta-analysis is described in Figure 1.

For short term outcomes11 RCTS consisting of 4614 patients were included in study. Total 2452 patients were there in laparoscopic gastrectomy group while 2162 patients were included in open gastrectomy group. Morbidity is defined as any deviation from normal perioperative course.

Post operative morbidity was significantly low in laparoscopic group.(P <0.001) odds ratio 0.151 (95 % C.I.0.098, 0.205). There was no significant difference between mortality between the two groups. (P=0.879) odds ratio-1.069 (95 % C.I.0.456, 2.505). [figure 2]

Wound complication was significantly less in laparoscopic group (p=0.010), other intra-abdominal complications were in both the groups. (P=0.178). [figure 3]

Operative time in minutes was significantly higher in laparoscopic group.(P< 0.001) wmd 56.904 (95% c.I.35.325, 78.482). Hospital stay in days was not significantly different in laparoscopic group. (P=0.305) wmd −0.533 days (95 % c.I. −1.550, 0.485). Blood loss in ml was significantly lesser in laparoscopic group.(p <0.001), wmd – 57.126 (95% C.I. – 88.475- - 25.778). [figure 4]

Laparoscopic group patients had undergone significantly less d2 gastrectomies and less number of lymph nodes retrieved compared to laparoscopic group. [(p< 0.001),OR 0.652, (95% C.I. 0.321-1.323) and (p=0.018),wmd = -1.426, (95% C.I (-2.611- -0.241)]. Laparoscopic group also undergone simila radvanced staged gastric cancer (T2 and higher) than open gastrectomies. (p=0.588, OR 0.854 (95% C.I (0.461-1.552). [figure 5]

DISCUSSION:

Laparoscopic surgeries have shown similar results to open surgery with improved perioperative outcomes in many malignant diseases. [26-30]. With time laparoscopic approaches have gained more popularity for gastric cancers. [31-32]

Aim of our study was to perform updated meta-analysis of recent randomized control trials for short term outcomes comparing laparoscopic vs open gastrectomies. For long term out comes there are not enough randomized control trials for long term survival outcomes. So we performed meta analysis of cohort studies for long term survivals.

90 days morbidity was significantly less in laparoscopic group however on subgroup analysis there was no difference in Local complications (leak, fistula , collection), wound complications (SSI) were significantly lesser in laparoscopic group. Our

findings do suggest that benefit of laparoscopic surgeries in short term morbidities..

There was no difference in 90 days mortality between the two groups. Operative time was significantly higher in laparoscopic group, intraoperative blood loss was significantly less in laparoscopic group, suggesting that laparoscopic surgery is beneficial in short term outcomes. Hoever there was no significant difference in hospital stay.

There has always been doubt about oncologic safety and adequacy of laparoscopic surgeries. Our meta analysis also gave similar findings, There was significantly lesser number of d2 gastrectomies, total number of lymph node retrieval in laparoscopic group. Many recent studies showed oncologic benefit of d2 vs d1 gastrectomies. [33,34].

In conclusion Laparoscopic gastrectomies were associated with better short term outcomes.

Refrences:

1. Son T, Hyung WJ. Laparoscopic gastric cancer surgery: Current evidence and future perspectives. World J Gastroenterol. 2016 Jan 14;22(2):727-35.

2. Deo SV, Puntambekar SP. Laparoscopic radical distal gastrectomy for ca stomach. Indian J Surg. 2013 Jun;75(Suppl 1):368-72

3. Lee HJ, Hyung WJ, Yang HK, Han SU, Park YK An JY et al. Shortterm Outcomes ofa Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing LaparoscopicDistal Gastrectomy With D2 Lymphadenectomy to Open Distal Gastrectomy for Locally AdvancedGastric Cancer (KLASS-02-RCT).   Ann

Surg. 2019 Feb 9. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003217. [Epub ahead of print].

4. Cai J, Wei D, Gao CF, Zhang CS, Zhang H, Zhao T. A prospective randomized study comparing open versus laparoscopy-assisted D2 radicalgastrectomy in advanced gastric cancer. Dig Surg. 2011;28(5-6):331-7.

5. Huscher CG, Mingoli A, Sgarzini G, Sansonetti A, Di Paola M, Recher A, et al.Laparoscopic versus open subtotal gastrectomy for distal gastric cancer: five-year results of a randomized prospective trial. Ann Surg. 2005 Feb;241(2):232-7

6. Cui M, Li Z, Xing J, Yao Z, Liu M, Chen L, et al. A prospective randomized clinical trial comparing D2 dissection in laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Med Oncol. 2015 Oct;32(10):241.

7. H. Hayashi,T. OchiaiH, ShimadaY.,Gunj. Prospective randomized study of open versus laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with extraperigastric lymph node dissection for early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc (2005) 19: 1172

8. Hu Y, Huang C, Sun Y, Su X, Cao H, Hu J et al. Morbidity and Mortality of Laparoscopic Versus Open D2 Distal Gastrectomy for Advanced Gastric Cancer: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2016 Apr 20;34(12):1350-7.

9. Katai H, Mizusawa J, Katayama H, Takagi M, Yoshikawa T, Fukagawa T et al. Short-term surgical outcomes from a phase III study of laparoscopy-assisted versus open distalgastrectomy with nodal dissection for clinical stage IA/IB gastric cancer: Japan Clinical OncologyGroup Study JCOG0912. Gastric Cancer. 2017 Jul;20(4):699-708.

10. Kim W, Kim HH, Han SU, Kim MC, Hyung WJ, Ryu SW et al. Decreased Morbidity of Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy Compared With Open DistalGastrectomy for Stage I Gastric Cancer: Short-term Outcomes From a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial (KLASS-01). Ann Surg. 2016 Jan;263(1):28-35.

11. Kitano S, Shiraishi N, Fujii K, Yasuda K, Inomata M, Adachi Y. A randomized controlled trial comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy for the treatment of early gastric cancer: An interim report. Surgery. 2002 Jan;131(1 Suppl):S306-11

12. Lee JH, Han HS, Lee JH. A prospective randomized study comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy in early gastric cancer: early results. Surg Endosc. 2005 Feb;19(2):168-73

13. Takiguchi S, Fujiwara Y, Yamasaki M, Miyata H, Nakajima K, Sekimoto M, Laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy versus open distal gastrectomy. A prospective randomized single-blind study. World J Surg. 2013 Oct;37(10):2379-86

14. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. 2000 Apr 19;2008-12.

15. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009 Oct; e1-34.

16. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I (2005) Estimating the mean and variance

from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol 5:13.

17. Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. September 1, 2016.

18. HigginsJP, AltmanDG, GøtzschePC,et al. TheCochraneCollaboration'stool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.

19. Kim HH, Han SU, Kim MC, Kim W, Lee HJ, Ryu SW et al. Effect of Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy vs Open Distal Gastrectomy on Long-term Survival Among Patients With Stage I Gastric Cancer: The KLASS-01 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2019 Feb 7. [Epub ahead of print]

20. Zhengyan Li, Bofei Li, Bin Bai, Pengfei Yu, Bo Lian,Qingchuan Zhao□.Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open D2 gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer. Surgical oncology. 27(2018)441-448.

21. Norero E, Vargas C, Achurra P, Ceroni M, Mejia R, Martinez C et al. SURVIVAL AND PERIOPERATIVE MORBIDITY OF TOTALLY LAPAROSCOPIC VERSUS OPEN GASTRECTOMY FOR EARLY GASTRIC CANCER: ANALYSIS FROM A SINGLE LATIN AMERICAN CENTRE. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2019 Jan 7;32(1):e1413.

22. Huawen Wu, Wenhuan Li, Guofu Chen, Weijun Wang, Zhijian Zheng, Jianfeng Li et al. Outcome of laparoscopic total gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma. JBUON 2016; 21(3): 603-608

23. Xu Y, Hua J, Li J, Shi L, Xue H, Shuang J et al. Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: A large cohort study. Am J Surg. 2019 Apr;217(4):750-756.

24. Hayemin Lee ,Junhyun Lee. Long-term outcome of laparoscopic versus open total gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: A propensity score-matched analysis. ournal of Clinical Oncology 2019 37:4_suppl, 77-77

25. Kinoshita T, Uyama I, Terashima M, Noshiro H, Nagai E, Obama K et al. Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Clinical Stage II/III Gastric Cancer: A Multicenter Cohort Study in Japan (LOC-A Study). Ann Surg. 2019 May;269(5):887-894.

26. Iwanaka T, Arkovitz MS, Arya G, Ziegler MM. Evaluation of opera- tive stress and peritoneal macrophage function in minimally invasive operations. J Am Coll Surg 1997;184:357-63.

27. Gupta A, Watson DI. Effect of laparoscopy on immune function. Br J Surg 2001;88:1296-306.

28. Schwenk W, Bohm B, Muller JM. Postoperative pain and fatigue af- ter laparoscopic or conventional colorectal resections: a prospective randomized trial. Surg Endosc 1998;12:1131-6.

29. Glavic Z, Begic L, Simlesa D, Rukavina A. Treatment of accute cholecystitis. A comparison of open vs laparoscopic cholecystecto- my. Surg Endosc 2001;15:398-401.

30. Reza MM, Blasco JA, Andradas E, Cantero R, Mayol J. Systematic review of laparoscopic versus open surgery for colorrectal cancer. Br J Surg 2006;93:921-8.

31. Campillo-Soto A, Torralba-Martínez JA, Martín-Lorenzo JM, Lirón- Ruiz R, Bento-Gerard M, Pérez-Cuadrado E, et al. Gastrojejunal anastomosis stricture after laparoscopic gastric bypass. Our experi- ence with 62 patients. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2010;102:187-92.

32. Ibáñez Aguirre FJ, Azagra JS, Erro Azcárate ML, Goergen M, Rico Selas P, Moreno Elola-Olaso A, et al. Laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma. Long-term results. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2006;98:491-500.

33. Lam S, Tan E, Menezes A, Martin D, Gallagher J, Storey D, Sandroussi C. A comparison of the operative outcomes of D1 and D2 gastrectomy performed at a single Western center with multiple surgeons: a retrospective analysis with propensity score matching. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2018 Jul 9;16(1):136.

34. Uslu A, Zengel B, İlhan E, Aykas A, Şimşek C, Üreyen O, et al. Survival outcomes after D1 and D2 lymphadenectomy with R0 resection in stage II-III gastric cancer: Longitudinal follow-up in a single center. *Turk J Surg*. 2018 Jul 1;34(2):125-130.

Table 1 charecteristics of studies for short term out comes

Study	Type of study	Number of patients laproscopic gastrectomy	Number of patients in open group
KLASS02	RCT	513	498
Cristiano 2005	RCT	30	29
HU 2016	RCT	519	520
LEE 2004	RCT	24	23
HIYASHI 2005	RCT	14	14
KITANO 2002	RCT	14	14
KIM 2016	RCT	686	698
Cia 2011	RCT	49	47

Takiguchi 2013	RCT	20	20
Cui 2015	RCT	128	142
katai2017	RCT	455	157

Table 2: Risk of bias summary of RCT. + denotes low risk of bias, – denotes high risk of bias.

STUDY	Random Sequence generation	Allocation Concealment	Performance Bias	Detection Bias	Attrition Bias	Reporting Bias	Other
KLASS 02	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Cristiano 2005	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
HU 2016	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
LEE 2004	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
HIYASHI 2005	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
KITANO 2002	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
KIM 2016	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Cia 2011	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Takiguchi 2013	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Cui 2015	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
katai2017	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
KLASS 01	+	+	-	+	+	+	+

Figure legends:

Figure 1 search strategy for short term and long term outcomes

Figure 2. Comparision between morbidity and mortality between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 3. There was no significant difference between intraadbominal,systemic and wound complications between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 4 comparision of blood loss,hospital stay and operative time between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 5 comparision of completion of d2 gastrectomy,number of lymphnode retrived and T2 and high staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy

.

Total 390 studies identified

257 studies after duplicated removed

57 studied included LAPROSCOPIC GASTRECTOMY

44 studies full text obtained

12 studies was
radmoised controltrials

11 studies included
in final analysis
with short term
outcomes

Search strategy according to PRISMA guidelines for short term outcoes

Total 390 studies identified

257 studies after duplicated removed

57 studied included LAPROSCOPIC GASTRECTOMY

44 studies full text obtained

13 studies mentioned
long term survival
outcomes

8 studies included
in final analysis
after duplicates
removed

Search strategy according to PRISMA guidelines for long term out comes

Figure 1 search strategy for short term and long term outcomes

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)	Ev/Trt	Ev/Ctrl
KLASS 02 2019	0.626 (0.459, 0.853)	85/513	120/498
Cristiano 2005	0.799 (0.247, 2.585)	7/30	8/29
hu 2016	1.282 (0.934, 1.760)	104/519	85/520
lee 2004	0.186 (0.043, 0.803)	3/24	10/23
hayashi 2005	0.300 (0.062, 1.442)	4/14	8/14
kitano 2002	0.417 (0.063, 2.768)	2/14	4/14
KIM 2016	0.681 (0.510, 0.909)	94/686	132/698
cia 2011	0.589 (0.192, 1.808)	6/49	9/47
Takiguchi 2013	5.541 (0.249, 123.079)	2/20	0/20
cui 2015	1.193 (0.659, 2.157)	28/128	27/142
katai 2017	0.367 (0.179, 0.755)	17/455	15/157
Overall (I^2=6115 % , P=0.004)	**0.701 (0.505, 0.974)**	**352/2452**	**418/2162**

Odds Ratio (log scale)

Morbidity between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)	Ev/Trt	Ev/Ctrl
KLASS 02 2019	0.646 (0.107, 3.881)	2/513	3/498
Cristiano 2005	0.466 (0.040, 5.433)	1/30	2/29
hu 2016	5.029 (0.241, 105.006)	2/519	0/520
lee 2004	0.959 (0.018, 50.345)	0/24	0/23
hayashi 2005	1.000 (0.019, 53.890)	0/14	0/14
kitano 2002	1.000 (0.019, 53.890)	0/14	0/14
KIM 2016	2.041 (0.373, 11.180)	4/686	2/698
cia 2011	0.960 (0.019, 49.346)	0/49	0/47
Takiguchi 2013	1.000 (0.019, 52.849)	0/20	0/20
cui 2015	1.109 (0.022, 56.294)	0/128	0/142
katai 2017	0.346 (0.007, 17.499)	0/455	0/157
Overall (I^2=0 % , P=0.989)	1.069 (0.456, 2.505)	9/2452	7/2162

Operative mortality between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 2. Comparision between morbidity and mortality between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)	Ev/Trt	Ev/Ctrl
KLASS 02 2019	0.780 (0.519, 1.173)	47/513	57/498
Cristiano 2005	0.966 (0.058, 16.199)	1/30	1/29
hu 2016	1.698 (0.961, 2.999)	33/519	20/520
lee 2004	0.176 (0.008, 3.861)	0/24	2/23
hayashi 2005	0.113 (0.005, 2.423)	0/14	3/14
kitano 2002	3.222 (0.121, 86.090)	1/14	0/14
KIM 2016	0.767 (0.529, 1.112)	54/686	70/698
cia 2011	2.938 (0.117, 73.943)	1/49	0/47
Takiguchi 2013	0.180 (0.008, 4.009)	0/20	2/20
cui 2015	0.740 (0.330, 1.661)	11/128	16/142
katai 2017	0.298 (0.124, 0.717)	10/455	11/157
Overall (I^2=3893 % , P=0.089)	0.772 (0.530, 1.125)	158/2452	182/2162

Metaanalysis of intraabdominal complications.

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)	Ev/Trt	Ev/Ctrl
KLASS 02 2019	0.759 (0.433, 1.331)	23/513	29/498
Cristiano 2005	0.964 (0.127, 7.342)	2/30	2/29
hu 2016	1.205 (0.365, 3.972)	6/519	5/520
lee 2004	0.957 (0.056, 16.254)	1/24	1/23
hayashi 2005	1.000 (0.019, 53.890)	0/14	0/14
kitano 2002	1.000 (0.019, 53.890)	0/14	0/14
KIM 2016	0.501 (0.306, 0.821)	25/686	49/698
cia 2011	0.958 (0.058, 15.778)	1/49	1/47
Takiguchi 2013	0.180 (0.008, 4.009)	0/20	2/20
cui 2015	0.367 (0.015, 9.091)	0/128	1/142
katai 2017	0.689 (0.062, 7.648)	2/455	1/157
Overall (I^2=0 % , P=0.965)	0.645 (0.462, 0.900)	60/2452	91/2162

Wound complications.

Figure 3 wound complications was significantly less but no difference in intraabdominal complications

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)
KLASS 02 RCT 2019	-76.300 (-108.123, -44.477)
Cristiano 2005	-162.000 (-233.451, -90.549)
hu 2016	-11.800 (-22.329, -1.271)
lee 2004	42.000 (-53.573, 137.573)
hayashi 2005	-162.000 (-365.298, 41.298)
kitano 2002	-141.000 (-172.902, -109.098)
KIM 2016	-7.600 (-24.229, 9.029)
cia 2011	-50.780 (-128.657, 27.097)
Takiguchi 2013	-54.500 (-152.436, 43.436)
cui 2015	-26.000 (-46.702, -5.298)
katai 2017	-77.000 (-243.451, 89.451)
Overall (I^2=8887 % , P< 0.001)	-57.126 (-88.475, -25.778)

Comparision of bloodloss between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Comparision of hospital stay between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Comparision of operative time between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 4 comparision of blood loss,hospital stay and operative time between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Comparision of completion of D2 gastrectomy between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Comparision of number of lymphnode retrived between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Comparision of T2 and higher staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 5 comparision of completion of d2 gastrectomy,number of lymphnode retrived and T2 and high staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy