Laparoscopic vs open gastrectomy- An updated metanalysis of randomized control trials for short term outcomes.

Dr.Bhavin Vasavada

Consultant Hepatobiliary and liver transplant surgeon,

Shalby hospitals,

Ahmedabad, India

Email:drbhavin.liversurgeon@gmail.com

Dr.Hardik Patel.

Consultant Hepatobiliary and liver transplant surgeon,

Shalby hospitals,

Ahmedabad, India

Keywords: Metaanalysis, Gastrectomies, gastric cancers

Abbreviations:RCT (randomized control trial), Weighted Mean Difference (WMD), Confidence Intervals.(C.I),SD (Standard deviations)

Conflict of interests:none

Financial disclosures:none

Abstract:

Introduction:

Aim of this metanalysis was to compare short term outcomes of laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for gastric cancer.

Material and methods:

EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed and the Cochrane Database were searched for randomized control trials comparing outcomes in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomies with those patients undergoing open gastrectomies. The primary outcome was 30 days morbidity and mortality. Secondary outcomes studied included length of stay, blood loss, d2gastrectomies, lymph node retrieval, operative time, distal gastrectomy, wound complications and intraabdominal complications Systemic review and Metanalysis were done according to MOOSE and PRISMA guidelines.

Results:

Morbidity was significantly low in laparoscopic group(P=0.003).There was no significant difference between mortality between the two groups. (P=0.75). There fewer wound complications in laparoscopic group, no difference intra-abdominal complications in both the groups. Blood loss was significantly lesser in laparoscopic group.(p <0.001). Hospital stay was similar in laparoscopic group. (P=0.30). Operative time was significantly higher in laparoscopic group.(P< 0.001). Laparoscopic group patients had less number of lymph node retrieval compared to laparoscopic group.(p = 0.002). Laparoscopic group also contained similar advanced staged gastric cancer than open gastrectomies. (p= 0.64)

Conclusions:

Laparoscopic gastrectomies were associated with better short term outcomes.

Introduction:

With advancement of technology and skills laparoscopic gastrectomy is increasingly being performed. However, there is still some debate over short term outcome, oncologic safety of resections and long-term survivals in comparison to standard open gastrectomies.[1]. Initially laparoscopic gastrectomy was reserved for early and distal laparoscopies but these days more and more surgeons are performing laparoscopic gastrectomies via open approach also. [2].

AIMS OF STUDY:

Aims of this metanalysis to do analysis of recent randomized control trials regarding short-term outcomes.

In short term outcomes aim was to study morbidity and in hospital mortalities as wells as hospital stay, blood loss, operative times as well as to study oncological parameters like D2gastrectomies, number of lymph node retrieval D2gastrectomies, number, resection rates for advanced gastric cancers.

Material and methods:

EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed and the Cochrane Database were searched for randomized control trials comparing outcomes in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrectomies with those patients undergoing open gastrectomies and studies

comparing long-term survival outcomes. Two independent authors extracted the data (B.V and H.P). Discussions and mutual understanding resolved any disagreements. Systemic review and Metanalysis was done according to MOOSE and PRISMA guidelines. [14,15]. Types of studies included in metanalysis is described in table 1.

Statistical analysis

The meta-analysis was conducted using Open meta-analysis software. Heterogeneity was measured using Q tests and I^2, and $p < 0.10$ was determined as significant (8). If there was no or low heterogeneity ($I^2 < 25\%$), then the fixed-effects model was used. Otherwise, the random-effects model was used. The Odds ratio (OR) was calculated for dichotomous data, and weighted mean differences (WMD) were used for continuous variables. Both differences were presented with 95% CI. For continuous variables, if data were presented with medians and ranges, then we calculated the means and Standard deviations according to Hozo et al. (16). If the study presented the median and inter-quartile range, the median was treated as the mean, and the interquartile ranges were calculated using 1.35 SDs, as described in the Cochrane handbook.

Inclusion criteria for studies:

- Randomized control trials for short term outcomes.
- Studies comparing laparoscopic and open gastrectomies.
- Full text articles.

Exclusion criteria for studies:

- Nonrandomized control trials for short term outcomes.
- Studies with single groups or studies in which groups were not

comparable.

- Studies where full texts were not available
- Duplicate studies.

Assessment of Bias:

Characteristics of the studies are described in table 1. [3-13,19-26]. Randomized trials were assessed based on the Cochrane Handbook. [18] (Figure 2). We evaluated publication bias by funnel plots for each parameter.

RESULTS:

Selection process of studies for short term and long-term outcomes for this meta-analysis is described in Figure 1.

For short-term outcomes 11 RCTS consisting of 4614 patients were included in study. Total 2452 patients were there in laparoscopic gastrectomy group while 2162 patients were included in open gastrectomy group. Morbidity is defined as any deviation from normal perioperative course.

Postoperative morbidity was significantly low in laparoscopic group. (P= 0.03). There was no significant difference between mortality between the two groups. (P=0.75). [figure 3]

Wound complication was significantly less in laparoscopic group (p=0.009), other intra-abdominal complications were in both the groups. (P=0.18). [figure 4]

Blood loss in ml was significantly lesser in laparoscopic group.($p < 0.001$). Hospital stay in days was not significantly different in laparoscopic group. ($P=0.30$). Operative time in minutes was significantly higher in laparoscopic group.($P< 0.001$). [figure 5]

Laparoscopic group patients had similar d2 gastrectomies ($p=0.26$) and less number of lymph nodes retrieved compared to laparoscopic group.($p=0.002$), Laparoscopic group also undergone similar advanced staged gastric cancer (T2 and higher) than open gastrectomies. ($p=0.640$). [figure 6]

DISCUSSION:

Laparoscopic surgeries have shown similar results to open surgery with improved perioperative outcomes in many malignant diseases. [26-30]. With time laparoscopic approaches have gained more popularity for gastric cancers. [31-32]

Aim of our study was to perform updated meta-analysis of recent randomized control trials for short term outcomes comparing laparoscopic vs open gastrectomies. For long term out comes there are not enough randomized control trials for long term survival outcomes. So we performed meta analysis of cohort studies for long term survivals.

90 days morbidity was significantly less in laparoscopic group however on subgroup analysis there was no difference in Local complications (leak, fistula , collection), wound complications (SSI) were significantly lesser in laparoscopic group. Our findings do suggest that benefit of laparoscopic surgeries in short term morbidities..

There was no difference in 90 days mortality between the two groups. Operative time was significantly higher in laparoscopic group, intraoperative blood loss was significantly less in laparoscopic group, suggesting that laparoscopic surgery is

beneficial in short term outcomes. However there was no significant difference in hospital stay.

There has always been doubt about oncologic safety and adequacy of laparoscopic surgeries. Our meta analysis also gave similar findings, There was significantly lesser number of total number of lymph node retrieval in laparoscopic group. Many recent studies showed oncologic benefit of d2 vs d1 gastrectomies. [33,34]. Long term impact of these findings needs to be evaluated.

There are certain limitation in our analysis. Heterogeneity was significant in some parameters as seen in some forest plot. Some parameters showed publication bias as shown by funnel plots.

In conclusion Laparoscopic gastrectomies were associated with better short term outcomes. However long-term survival rate needs to be evaluated by randomized control trials and further metanalysis.

Refrences:

1. Son T, Hyung WJ. Laparoscopic gastric cancer surgery: Current evidence and future perspectives. World J Gastroenterol. 2016 Jan 14;22(2):727-35.
2. Deo SV, Puntambekar SP. Laparoscopic radical distal gastrectomy for ca stomach. Indian J Surg. 2013 Jun;75(Suppl 1):368-72
3. Lee HJ, Hyung WJ, Yang HK, Han SU, Park YK An JY et al. Shortterm Outcomes ofa Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing LaparoscopicDistal Gastrectomy With D2 Lymphadenectomy to Open Distal Gastrectomy for Locally AdvancedGastric Cancer (KLASS-02-RCT). Ann

Surg. 2019 Feb 9. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000003217. [Epub ahead of print].

4. Cai J, Wei D, Gao CF, Zhang CS, Zhang H, Zhao T. A prospective randomized study comparing open versus laparoscopy-assisted D2 radicalgastrectomy in advanced gastric cancer. Dig Surg. 2011;28(5-6):331-7.
5. Huscher CG, Mingoli A, Sgarzini G, Sansonetti A, Di Paola M, Recher A, et al.Laparoscopic versus open subtotal gastrectomy for distal gastric cancer: fiv e-year results of a randomized prospective trial. Ann Surg. 2005 Feb;241(2):232-7
6. Cui M, Li Z, Xing J, Yao Z, Liu M, Chen L, et al. A prospective randomized clinical trial comparing D2 dissection in laparoscopic and open gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Med Oncol. 2015 Oct;32(10):241.
7. H. Hayashi,T. OchiaiH, ShimadaY.,Gunj. Prospective randomized study of open versus laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy with extraperigastric lymph node dissection for early gastric cancer. Surg Endosc (2005) 19: 1172
8. Hu Y, Huang C, Sun Y, Su X, Cao H, Hu J et al. Morbidity and Mortality of Laparoscopic Versus Open D2 Distal Gastrectomy for Advanced Gastric Cancer: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2016 Apr 20;34(12):1350-7.
9. Katai H, Mizusawa J, Katayama H, Takagi M, Yoshikawa T, Fukagawa T et al. Short-term surgical outcomes from a phase III study of laparoscopy-assisted versus open distalgastrectomy with nodal dissection for clinical stage IA/IB gastric cancer: Japan Clinical OncologyGroup Study JCOG0912. Gastric Cancer. 2017 Jul;20(4):699-708.

10. Kim W, Kim HH, Han SU, Kim MC, Hyung WJ, Ryu SW et al. Decreased Morbidity of Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy Compared With Open DistalGastrectomy for Stage I Gastric Cancer: Short-term Outcomes From a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial (KLASS-01). Ann Surg. 2016 Jan;263(1):28-35.
11. Kitano S, Shiraishi N, Fujii K, Yasuda K, Inomata M, Adachi Y. A randomized controlled trial comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy for the treatment of early gastric cancer: An interim report. Surgery. 2002 Jan;131(1 Suppl):S306-11
12. Lee JH, Han HS, Lee JH. A prospective randomized study comparing open vs laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy in early gastric cancer: early results. Surg Endosc. 2005 Feb;19(2):168-73
13. Takiguchi S, Fujiwara Y, Yamasaki M, Miyata H, Nakajima K, Sekimoto M, Laparoscopy-assisted distal gastrectomy versus open distal gastrectomy. A prospective randomized single-blind study. World J Surg. 2013 Oct;37(10):2379-86
14. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. 2000 Apr 19;2008-12.
15. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009 Oct; e1-34.
16. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I (2005) Estimating the mean and variance

from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol 5:13.

17. Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. September 1, 2016.
18. HigginsJP, AltmanDG, GøtzschePC,et al. TheCochraneCollaboration'stool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. BMJ. 2011;343: d5928.
19. Kim HH, Han SU, Kim MC, Kim W, Lee HJ, Ryu SW et al. Effect of Laparoscopic Distal Gastrectomy vs Open Distal Gastrectomy on Long-term Survival Among Patients With Stage I Gastric Cancer: The KLASS-01 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2019 Feb 7. [Epub ahead of print]
20. Zhengyan Li, Bofei Li, Bin Bai, Pengfei Yu, Bo Lian,Qingchuan Zhao□.Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open D2 gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer. Surgical oncology. 27(2018)441-448.
21. Norero E, Vargas C, Achurra P, Ceroni M, Mejia R, Martinez C et al. SURVIVAL AND PERIOPERATIVE MORBIDITY OF TOTALLY LAPAROSCOPIC VERSUS OPEN GASTRECTOMY FOR EARLY GASTRIC CANCER: ANALYSIS FROM A SINGLE LATIN AMERICAN CENTRE. Arq Bras Cir Dig. 2019 Jan 7;32(1):e1413.
22. Huawen Wu, Wenhuan Li, Guofu Chen, Weijun Wang, Zhijian Zheng, Jianfeng Li et al. Outcome of laparoscopic total gastrectomy for gastric carcinoma. JBUON 2016; 21(3): 603-608
23. Xu Y, Hua J, Li J, Shi L, Xue H, Shuang J et al. Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic versus open gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: A large cohort study. Am J Surg. 2019 Apr;217(4):750-756.

24. Hayemin Lee ,Junhyun Lee. Long-term outcome of laparoscopic versus open total gastrectomy for advanced gastric cancer: A propensity score-matched analysis. ournal of Clinical Oncology 2019 37:4_suppl, 77-77
25. Kinoshita T, Uyama I, Terashima M, Noshiro H, Nagai E, Obama K et al. Long-term Outcomes of Laparoscopic Versus Open Surgery for Clinical Stage II/III Gastric Cancer: A Multicenter Cohort Study in Japan (LOC-A Study). Ann Surg. 2019 May;269(5):887-894.
26. Iwanaka T, Arkovitz MS, Arya G, Ziegler MM. Evaluation of opera- tive stress and peritoneal macrophage function in minimally invasive operations. J Am Coll Surg 1997;184:357-63.
27. Gupta A, Watson DI. Effect of laparoscopy on immune function. Br J Surg 2001;88:1296-306.
28. Schwenk W, Bohm B, Muller JM. Postoperative pain and fatigue af- ter laparoscopic or conventional colorectal resections: a prospective randomized trial. Surg Endosc 1998;12:1131-6.
29. Glavic Z, Begic L, Simlesa D, Rukavina A. Treatment of accute cholecystitis. A comparison of open vs laparoscopic cholecystecto- my. Surg Endosc 2001;15:398-401.
30. Reza MM, Blasco JA, Andradas E, Cantero R, Mayol J. Systematic review of laparoscopic versus open surgery for colorrectal cancer. Br J Surg 2006;93:921-8.
31. Campillo-Soto A, Torralba-Martínez JA, Martín-Lorenzo JM, Lirón- Ruiz R, Bento-Gerard M, Pérez-Cuadrado E, et al. Gastrojejunal anastomosis stricture after laparoscopic gastric bypass. Our experi- ence with 62 patients. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2010;102:187-92.

32. Ibáñez Aguirre FJ, Azagra JS, Erro Azcárate ML, Goergen M, Rico Selas P, Moreno Elola-Olaso A, et al. Laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric adenocarcinoma. Long-term results. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2006;98:491-500.
33. Lam S, Tan E, Menezes A, Martin D, Gallagher J, Storey D, Sandroussi C. A comparison of the operative outcomes of D1 and D2 gastrectomy performed at a single Western center with multiple surgeons: a retrospective analysis with propensity score matching. *World J Surg Oncol*. 2018 Jul 9;16(1):136.
34. Uslu A, Zengel B, İlhan E, Aykas A, Şimşek C, Üreyen O, et al. Survival outcomes after D1 and D2 lymphadenectomy with R0 resection in stage II-III gastric cancer: Longitudinal follow-up in a single center. *Turk J Surg*. 2018 Jul 1;34(2):125-130.

Table 1 charecteristics of studies for short term out comes

Study	Type of study	Number of patients laproscopic gastrectomy	Number of patients in open group
KLASS02	RCT	513	498
Cristiano 2005	RCT	30	29
HU 2016	RCT	519	520
LEE 2004	RCT	24	23
HIYASHI 2005	RCT	14	14
KITANO 2002	RCT	14	14
KIM 2016	RCT	686	698
Cia 2011	RCT	49	47

Takiguchi 2013	RCT	20	20
Cui 2015	RCT	128	142
katai2017	RCT	455	157

Figure legends:

Figure 1 search strategy for short term and long term outcomes.

Figure 2: Risk of bias summary of RCT. + denotes low risk of bias, – denotes high risk of bias.

Figure 3. Comparision between morbidity and mortality between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 4. There was no significant difference between intraadbominal,systemic and wound complications between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 5 comparisons of blood loss,hospital stay and operative time between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.

Figure 6 comparisons of completion of d2 gastrectomy,number of lymphnode retrived and T2 and high staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy



Search strategy according to PRISMA guidelines for short term outcomes



Figure 2: Risk of bias summary of RCT. + denotes low risk of bias, – denotes high risk of bias.



Study or Subgroup	Laparoscopic Gastrectomy Events	Total	Open Gastrectomy Events	Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
CHRISTIANO 2005	7	30	8	29	5.7%	0.80 [0.25, 2.59]
CIA 2011	6	49	9	47	6.1%	0.59 [0.19, 1.81]
CUI 2015	28	128	27	142	12.5%	1.19 [0.66, 2.16]
HAYASHI 2005	4	14	8	14	3.6%	0.30 [0.06, 1.44]
HU 2016	104	519	85	520	17.7%	1.28 [0.93, 1.76]
KATAI 2017	17	455	15	157	10.5%	0.37 [0.18, 0.75]
KIM 2016	94	686	132	698	18.2%	0.68 [0.51, 0.91]
KITANO 2002	2	14	4	14	2.6%	0.42 [0.06, 2.77]
KLASS 02	85	513	120	498	17.8%	0.63 [0.46, 0.85]
LEE 2004	3	24	10	23	4.1%	0.19 [0.04, 0.80]
TAKIGUCHI 2013	2	20	0	20	1.1%	5.54 [0.25, 123.08]
Total (95% CI)		2452		2162	100.0%	0.70 [0.50, 0.97]
Total events	352		418			

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.13; Chi² = 25.74, df = 10 (P = 0.004); I² = 61%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.12 (P = 0.03)

Figure 3(a)



Figure 3(b)



Study or Subgroup	LAPAROSCOPIC Events	LAPAROSCOPIC Total	OPEN Events	OPEN Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
CHRISTIANO 2005	1	30	2	29	17.8%	0.47 [0.04, 5.43]
CIA 2011	0	49	0	47		Not estimable
CUI 2015	0	128	0	142		Not estimable
HAYASHI 2005	0	14	0	14		Not estimable
HU 2016	2	519	0	520	11.6%	5.03 [0.24, 105.01]
KATAI 2017	0	455	0	157		Not estimable
KIM 2016	4	686	2	698	37.2%	2.04 [0.37, 11.18]
KITANO 2002	0	14	0	14		Not estimable
KLASS 02	2	513	3	498	33.4%	0.65 [0.11, 3.88]
LEE 2004	0	24	0	23		Not estimable
TAKIGUCHI 2013	0	20	0	20		Not estimable
Total (95% CI)		2452		2162	100.0%	1.19 [0.42, 3.35]
Total events	9		7			

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 2.27, df = 3 (P = 0.52); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.32 (P = 0.75)

Figure 3(c)



Figure 3(d)

Figure 3. (a)Comparision between morbidity laproscopic and open gastrectomy. (b) Funnel point of morbidity (c) Forest plot of mortality between laparoscopic and open gastrectomy (d) Funnel plot of mortality.



Figure 4(a) Wound complications Forest plot.



Figure 4 (b) Funnel plot Wound Complications.



Figure 4 (c) Forest Plot abdominal complications.



Figure 4(d) Funnel Plot abdominal complications.

Figure 4: wound complications was significantly less but no difference in intraabdominal complications.

Study or Subgroup	LAPAROSCOPIC Mean	SD	Total	OPEN Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
CHRISTIANO 2005	229	144	30	391	136	29	8.3%	−162.00 [−233.45, −90.55]
CIA 2011	293.7	164.5	49	344.48	219.65	47	7.6%	−50.78 [−128.66, 27.10]
CUI 2015	99	104	128	125	62	142	13.6%	−26.00 [−46.70, −5.30]
HAYASHI 2005	327	245	14	489	301	14	2.0%	−162.00 [−365.30, 41.30]
HU 2016	105.5	88.6	519	117.3	84.3	520	14.3%	−11.80 [−22.32, −1.28]
KATAI 2017	38	1,422	455	115	659.25	157	2.8%	−77.00 [−243.45, 89.45]
KIM 2016	186.6	149	686	194.2	166.3	698	13.9%	−7.60 [−24.23, 9.03]
KITANO 2002	117	30	14	258	53	14	12.6%	−141.00 [−172.90, −109.10]
KLASS 02	153.8	258.2	513	230	258	498	12.6%	−76.20 [−108.02, −44.38]
LEE 2004	336.4	180.4	24	294.4	153.3	23	6.2%	42.00 [−53.57, 137.57]
TAKIGUCHI 2013	65	94.5	20	119.5	202.5	20	6.0%	−54.50 [−152.44, 43.44]
Total (95% CI)			2452			2162	100.0%	−57.11 [−88.45, −25.77]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1764.06; Chi² = 89.84, df = 10 (P < 0.00001); I² = 89%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.57 (P = 0.0004)



Figure 5 (a) Forest Plot blood loss.



Figure 5(b) Funnel Plot of blood loss.



Figure 5(c) Forest Plot Hospital stay.



Figure 5(d) Funnel Plot Hospital stay.



Figure 5(e) Forest Plot Operative time.



Figure 5 (f) Funnel Plot Operative Time

Figure 5: Comparisons of blood loss, hospital stay and operative time between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.



Study or Subgroup	LAPAROSCOPIC Events	Total	OPEN Events	Total	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
CHRISTIANO 2005	21	30	20	29	18.6%	1.05 [0.35, 3.18]
CIA 2011	49	49	47	47		Not estimable
CUI 2015	128	128	142	142		Not estimable
HAYASHI 2005	14	14	14	14		Not estimable
HU 2016	517	519	519	520	8.3%	0.50 [0.05, 5.51]
KATAI 2017	131	455	105	157	26.4%	0.20 [0.14, 0.30]
KIM 2016	384	686	448	698	27.5%	0.71 [0.57, 0.88]
KITANO 2002	14	14	14	14		Not estimable
KLASS 02	511	513	494	498	12.8%	2.07 [0.38, 11.35]
LEE 2004	24	24	23	23		Not estimable
TAKIGUCHI 2013	1	20	1	20	6.5%	1.00 [0.06, 17.18]
Total (95% CI)		2452		2162	100.0%	0.62 [0.27, 1.42]
Total events	1794		1827			

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.63; Chi² = 35.01, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); I² = 86%
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.13 (P = 0.26)

Figure 6(a) Forest Plot of D2 gastrectomy .



Figure 6 (b) Funnel Plot of D2 gastrectomy.



Study or Subgroup	LAPAROSCOPIC Mean	SD	Total	OPEN Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
CHRISTIANO 2005	30	14.9	30	33.4	17.9	29	0.6%	−3.40 [−11.82, 5.02]
CIA 2011	22.98	2.7	49	22.87	2.43	47	40.5%	0.11 [−0.92, 1.14]
CUI 2015	29.3	11.8	128	30.1	11.4	142	5.6%	−0.80 [−3.57, 1.97]
HAYASHI 2005	28	14	14	27	10	14	0.5%	1.00 [−8.01, 10.01]
HU 2016	36.1	16.7	519	36.9	16.1	520	10.7%	−0.80 [−2.79, 1.19]
KATAI 2017	39	13.33	455	39	13.33	157	7.3%	0.00 [−2.42, 2.42]
KIM 2016	40.5	15.3	686	43.7	15.7	698	16.0%	−3.20 [−4.83, −1.57]
KITANO 2002	20.2	3.6	14	24.9	3.5	14	6.2%	−4.70 [−7.33, −2.07]
KLASS 02	46.6	17.9	513	47.7	16.5	598	10.3%	−1.10 [−3.14, 0.94]
LEE 2004	31.8	13.5	24	38.1	15.9	23	0.6%	−6.30 [−14.75, 2.15]
TAKIGUCHI 2013	33	6.75	20	32.9	9.45	20	1.6%	0.10 [−4.99, 5.19]
Total (95% CI)			2452			2262	100.0%	−1.05 [−1.71, −0.40]

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 21.95, df = 10 (P = 0.02); I² = 54%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.16 (P = 0.002)

Figure 6(c) Forest plot for lymphnode retriveal



Figure 6(d) Funnel plot for lymphnode retriveal.



Figure 6 (e) Forest plot Comparisons of T2 and higher staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy.



Figure 6(f) Funnel Plot for T2 and higher staging.

Figure 6. comparision of completion of d2 gastrectomy,number of lymphnode retrived and T2 and high staging between laproscopic and open gastrectomy