medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Rapid Utilization of Telehealth in a Comprehensive Cancer Center as a Response to COVID-19

Peter E. Lonergan[1]*, MD, FRCS (Urol.); Samuel L. Washington III[1]*, MD, MAS; Linda Branagan[2], PhD; Nathaniel Gleason[3,4], MD; Raj S. Pruthi[1], MD, MHA; Peter R. Carroll[1], MD, MPH; Anobel Y. Odisho[1,2,4], MD, MPH

*these authors contributed equally

[1]Department of Urology, Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

[2]Telehealth Resource Center, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

[3]Division of General Internal Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

[4]Center for Digital Health Innovation, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

Corresponding Author:

Anobel Y. Odisho, MD, MPH, Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, 550 16th St, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA. Phone: +1 (415) 353-2200. Email: Anobel.Odisho@ucsf.edu

Keywords: telehealth; COVID-19; video visit; oncology

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background: The emergence of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in March 2020 created unprecedented challenges in the provision of scheduled ambulatory cancer care. As a result, there has been a renewed focus on video consultations as a means to continue ambulatory care.

Objective: To analyze the change in video visit volume at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Comprehensive Cancer Center in response to COVID-19 and compare demographics/appointment data from January 1, 2020 and in the 11 weeks after transition to video visits.

Methods: Patient demographics and appointment data (dates, visit types, and departments) were abstracted from the Electronic Health Record reporting database. Video visits were performed using a HIPAA-compliant video conferencing platform with a pre-existing workflow.

Results: In 17 departments and divisions at the UCSF Cancer Center, 2,284 video visits were performed in the 11 weeks before COVID-19 changes with an average (SD) of 208 (75) per week and 12,946 video visits were performed in the 11 week post-COVID-19 period with an average (SD) of 1,177 (120) per week. The proportion of video visits increased from 7-18% to 54-72%, between the pre- and post-COVID-19 periods without any disparity based on race/ethnicity, primary language, or payor.

Conclusions: In a remarkably brief period of time, we rapidly scaled the utilization of telehealth in response to COVID-19 and maintained access to complex oncologic care at a time of social distancing.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

The emergence of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic in the United States in March 2020 created unprecedented challenges in the provision of scheduled healthcare and, in particular, ambulatory cancer care. The rapid spread of COVID-19 has renewed focus on telehealth [1], including video consultations [2], as a means of continuing ambulatory care without increasing the risk of potential exposure for patients, clinicians, and staff.

Telehealth is the provision of healthcare remotely by means of a variety of telecommunication platforms such as messaging, audio, and video [3]. While the use of telehealth to deliver cancer care is not new [4] and has already been well described [5], delivering it at the current scale as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic is unprecedented.

The University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Health established a Telehealth Program in 2015 and offers video visits in all practices. In response to the evolving pandemic, leadership challenged the organization to transition all in-person clinic visits, beginning March 15, 2020, to video visits with exceptions only for specific, urgent cases.

In this study, we analyze the change in video visit volume at the UCSF Comprehensive Cancer Center in response to COVID-19 and compare demographics/appointment data from January 1, 2020 and in the 11 weeks after transition to video visits.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Methods

Demographics and appointment data (dates, visit types, and departments) were abstracted from the Electronic Health Record reporting database. The pre-COVID-19 period was defined as the 11 weeks from January 1 to March 13, 2020, prior to the transition, and the post-COVID-19 period as the 11 weeks following the transition to video visits on March 16, 2020 up to May 31, 2020. All video visits were performed using a HIPAA-compliant video conferencing platform (Zoom Video Communications Inc, San Jose, CA) with a pre-existing workflow (Figure 1). R version 3.5.3, was used for analysis [6] and a P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In the pre-COVID-19 period from January 1 to March 13, 2020, there were a total of 23,988 ambulatory care episodes, with an average (SD) of 2,181 (522) per week across 17 departments and divisions at the UCSF Cancer Center (Figure 2). During this period, a total 2,284 video visits were performed with an average (SD) of 208 (75) video visits being performed per week. The proportion of video visits ranged from 7-18% whereas the proportion of in-person visits ranged from 76-86% (Figure 3). In the post-COVID-19 period from March 16 to May 31, 2020, there was a total of 20,567 ambulatory care episodes, with an average (SD) of 1,870 (200) per week. A total of 12,946 video visits were performed in the post-COVID-19 period, with an average (SD) of 1,177 (120) per week. The proportion of video visits increased to 54-72%. The proportion of episodes during which a procedure was performed ranged from 4-7% in the pre-COVID-19 period and 1-5% in the post-COVID-19 period.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1 shoes the demographic data of the 2,284 patients who had a video visit in the pre-COVID-19 period and the 12,946 patients who had a video visit in the post-COVID-19 period. In the post COVID-19 period, more Black/African Americans (4.1% vs 3.4%; p<0.001), Hispanic/Latino (11.2% vs 9.4%; p<0.001) and Asians (14.7% vs 8.6%; p<0.001) received care via video visits compared to the pre-COVID-19 period. There was increased post-COVID-19 utilization of video visits for patients in urban areas (92.8% vs 88.7%; p<0.001). We did not find any difference in the insurance status of patients using video visits during either period. In the post COVID-19 period, first clinic encounter (24.9% vs 18.3%; p <0.001) and MD-provided visits (81.8% vs 67.3%; p <0.001) increased.

Discussion

We demonstrate a rapid expansion (from <20% to 72%) in telehealth use in a Comprehensive Cancer Center over a remarkably brief time period in response to COVID-19 without differences by race or insurance. Medicare telehealth visits have increased by more than 25% annually for the past decade [7], yet absolute adoption numbers remain low and fragmented with concerns about potentiating disparities in healthcare access [8]. The vast majority of cancer care cannot be delayed and the COVID-19 pandemic has presented new challenges that telehealth is uniquely situated to solve. Changes that would typically encompass months of planning, pilot testing, and education have been compressed into days. The use of telehealth has grown

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

exponentially with some practices transitioning to near-complete virtual care in as little as a few days [9-11].

Several factors have likely enabled the rapid expansion of video visits at our institution. First, we had an established telehealth structure and workflow familiar to providers and practice staff. Second, UCSF made a strategic decision to provide Relative Value Unit (wRVU) credit to providers for telehealth visits since 2015, irrespective of payer reimbursement. Third, new regulatory changes at federal and state level as a response to COVID-19 have reduced barriers, including the ability to see new patients (including Medicare beneficiaries) without a prior in-person visit to establish care and reimbursement for telehealth encounters by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) at parity with in-person visits beginning March 17, 2020 [12]. Finally, CMS now permits providers licensed in any state to provide telehealth services across the country [13].

There are a number of limitations of this study which need to be acknowledged. We did not evaluate the outcome of the video visits including patient satisfaction, qualitative or clinical outcomes. The study is from a single, large urban academic cancer center in the US and our findings may not be generalizable to other specialties, practices or locations. We believe this is the first report of the utilization of video visits in a Comprehensive Cancer Center in response to COVID-19 with a detailed description of the changing demographic of patients utilizing video visits before and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conclusions

Overall, the proportion of video visits increased from 7-18% to 54-72%, between the pre- and post-COVID-19 periods whilst maintaining access to complex oncologic care at a time of social distancing. The COVID-19 pandemic has forced us to radically rethink and change our cancer care delivery models. In many health systems, there will undoubtedly be many lessons learned from this “natural experiment”, which has the potential to permanently change care delivery patterns.

References

1. Hollander JE, Carr BG. Virtually Perfect? Telemedicine for Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020 Mar 11. PMID: 32160451. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp2003539.

2. Greenhalgh T, Wherton J, Shaw S, Morrison C. Video consultations for covid-19. BMJ. 2020 Mar 12;368:m998. PMID: 32165352. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m998.

3. Dorsey ER, Topol EJ. State of Telehealth. N Engl J Med. 2016 Jul 14;375(2):154-61. PMID: 27410924. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1601705.

4. Hazin R, Qaddoumi I. Teleoncology: current and future applications for improving cancer care globally. Lancet Oncol. 2010 Feb;11(2):204-10. PMID: 20152772. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(09)70288-8.

5. Pennell NA, Dicker AP, Tran C, Jim HSL, Schwartz DL, Stepanski EJ. mHealth: Mobile Technologies to Virtually Bring the Patient Into an Oncology Practice. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. 2017;37:144-54. PMID: 28561720. doi: 10.14694/EDBK_176093 10.1200/EDBK_176093.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

6. R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

7. Mehrotra A, Jena AB, Busch AB, Souza J, Uscher-Pines L, Landon BE. Utilization of Telemedicine Among Rural Medicare Beneficiaries. JAMA. 2016 May 10;315(18):2015-6. PMID: 27163991. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.2186.

8. Wilcock AD, Rose S, Busch AB, Huskamp HA, Uscher-Pines L, Landon B, et al. Association Between Broadband Internet Availability and Telemedicine Use. JAMA Intern Med. 2019 Jul 29. PMID: 31355849. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.2234.

9. Mehrotra A, Ray K, Brockmeyer DM, Barnett ML, Bender JA. Rapidly Converting to “Virtual Practices”: Outpatient Care in the Era of Covid-19. 2020 [June 14, 2020]; Available from: https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.20.0091.

10. Spiegelman J, Krenitsky N, Syeda S, Sutton D, Moroz L. Rapid Development and Implementation of a Covid-19 Telehealth Clinic for Obstetric Patients. 2020 [June 14, 2020]; Available from: https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.20.0170.

11. Kasle DA, Torabi SJ, Savoca EL, Judson BL, Manes RP. Outpatient Otolaryngology in the Era of COVID-19: A Data-Driven Analysis of Practice Patterns. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020 May 12:194599820928987. PMID: 32393101. doi: 10.1177/0194599820928987.

12. Medicare Telemedicine Health Care Provider Fact Sheet. [June 14, 2020]; Available from: https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/medicare-telemedicine-health-care-provider-fact-sheet.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

13. COVID-19 Emergency Declaration Health Care Providers Fact Sheet. [June 14, 2020]; Available from: https://www.cms.gov/files/document/covid19-emergency-declaration-health-care-providers-fact-sheet.pdf.

Author Contributions

Dr. Odisho had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Odisho, Lonergan, Washington.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Odisho, Lonergan, Washington.

Drafting of the manuscript: Lonergan, Washington.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.

Statistical analysis: Odisho, Washington, Lonergan

Administrative, technical, or material support: Branagan.

Supervision: Carroll, Pruthi, Odisho

Conflict of Interest/Disclosures

Dr. Odisho was a consultant for VSee from December 2019 to January 2020.

Funding/Support

None

Additional Contributions

None

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 1. Demographics of patients who had video visits pre- and post-COVID-19

	Pre-COVID-19 (n = 2,284)	**Post-COVID-19 (n = 12,946)**	***p***
Age, years (Median, IQR)	64.3 (54.9 - 71.5)	63.6 (52.8 – 71.8)	0.112
Female (%)	844 (37)	6,123 (47.3)	<0.001
Ethnicity/Race			<0.001
White	1606 (70.3)	7,988 (61.7)	
Black/African American	78 (3.4)	531 (4.1)	
Hispanic/Latino	215 (9.4)	1,450 (11.2)	
Asian	197 (8.6)	1,903 (14.7)	
Other/Unknown	188 (8.2)	1,075 (8.3)	
English Primary Language	2,212 (96.9)	11,962 (92.4)	<0.001
Interpreter requested	84 (3.7)	945 (7.3)	<0.001
Marital Status			0.34
Married/Partnered	1,580 (69.2)	8,415 (65.0)	
Single/Separated	373 (16.3)	2,369 (18.3)	
Unknown/Declined	108 (4.7)	583 (4.5)	
Patient Residence			<0.001
Urban	2,026 (88.7)	12,014 (92.8)	
Rural	255 (11.2)	919 (7.1)	
Payor			0.14
Commercial	929 (40.7)	5,398 (41.7)	
Medicare	1,077 (47.2)	5,709 (44.1)	
Medicaid	224 (9.8)	1,541 (11.9)	
Self-pay	32 (1.4)	155 (1.2)	
First Clinic Encounter	417 (18.3)	2,822 (21.8)	<0.001
First Video Visit	1,054 (46.2)	5,865 (45.3)	0.512
Provider Type			<0.001
MD	1,538 (67.3)	10,590 (81.8)	
Physician Assistant/Nurse Practitioner	746 (32.7)	2,356 (18.2)	

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 1. Video visit workflow. Workflow used at the University of California, San Francisco for video visits.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2. **Number of clinic encounters stratified by visit type.** The number of in-person visits, procedural visits, video visits and phone visits from January 1 to May 31, 2020 with March 16, 2020 denoting the institution-wide transition to video visits in response to COVID-19.



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.10.20061259; this version posted June 18, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 3. Relative weekly trends in clinic encounters, stratified by visit type. The proportion of in-person visits, procedural visits, video visits and phone visits from January 1 to May 31, 2020 with March 16, 2020 denoting the institution-wide transition to video visits in response to COVID-19.