

1 **A systematic review protocol for estimation of the prevalence of depression**
2 **using diagnostic instruments in the elderly population in India, 2000-2019**

3

4 ***Authors***

5

6 ¹Priyamadhaba Behera, M.D., AIIMS, Raebareli, India

7 Email: priya.madhaba@gmail.com

8 ²Manju Pilonia, M.D., RUHS College of Medical Sciences, Jaipur, India

9 Email: drmanjupilonia@gmail.com

10 ³Vikas Yadav, M.D., Atal Bihari Vajpayee Government Medical College, Vidisha, India

11 Email: drvikasyadav@gmail.com

12 ⁴Mohan Bairwa, M.D., AIIMS, New Delhi, India

13 Email: drmohanbairwa@gmail.com

14 ⁵Deepti Dabar, M.D., AIIMS, Bhopal, India

15 Email ID: deepti.dabar@gmail.com

16 ⁶Surama Manjari Behera, MPH (student), RMRC-ICMR, Bhubaneswar, India

17 Email: surama.m92@gmail.com

18 ⁷S. Poongothai, Ph.D., Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, Chennai, India

19 Email: poongothaisubramani@gmail.com

20 ⁸Viswanathan Mohan, M.D., Ph.D., Madras Diabetes Research Foundation, Chennai, India

21 Email: drmohans@diabetes.ind.in

22 ⁹Shiv Dutt Gupta, M.D., Ph.D., IIHMR University, Jaipur, India

23 Email: sdgupta@iihmr.edu.in

24

25 **Short Title:** Estimation of depression prevalence in the elderly population in India

26

27 **Corresponding Author**

28 Dr. Mohan Bairwa, MD

29 Centre for Community Medicine,

30 All India Institute of Medical Sciences,

31 Ansari Nagar, New Delhi, India – 302029

32 Email ID: drmohanbairwa@gmail.com

33

34 **Abstract**

35 **Introduction:** Depression is a common mental disorder in the elderly population, which
36 significantly impacts their quality of life. However, correct estimates of its magnitude are not
37 available in the elderly in India. The present systematic review and meta-analysis would
38 attempt to estimate the prevalence of depression using diagnostic instruments among elderly
39 persons aged 60 years and above.

40 **Methods and analysis:** Searches will be performed in PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of
41 Science, CINAHL, and PsycINFO. Community-based cross-sectional and cohort studies
42 (2001 – 9/2019) reporting the prevalence of depression in the elderly; using diagnostic
43 instruments will be included. Studies conducted among chronic disease patients, in-hospital
44 patients, and special groups such as with disaster-stricken populations, and studies reporting
45 the only 1 or 2 subcategories of depression, will be excluded. Disagreements in study
46 selection and data abstraction will be resolved by consensus and arbitration by a third
47 reviewer. AXIS critical appraisal tool will be used for quality assessment of individual
48 studies. Findings of eligible studies will be pooled using fixed-effects or random-effects
49 meta-analysis whichever is appropriate. Heterogeneity between studies will be examined by
50 Cochran’s Q test and quantified by I² statistic. A cumulative meta-analysis will be used to
51 detect temporal trends in the prevalence of depression and the effect of poor-quality studies
52 on the pooled estimate. Publication bias will be assessed by visual inspection of funnel plots
53 and the Egger test.

54 **Ethics and dissemination:** No ethical approval will be needed because it will be a systematic
55 review. Data from previously published studies will be retrieved and analyzed. Findings will
56 be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication in a scientific journal and conferences.

57

58 **PROSPERO** registration number CRD42019138453.

59

60 **Keywords:** Prevalence, Depression, India, Elderly, Diagnostic tool, Systematic review

61

62

63 **Strengths and limitations of this study**

- 64 • It is a first-ever systematic review of depression prevalence in India based on
65 diagnostic instruments only.
- 66 • Meta-analytic techniques such as cumulative meta-analysis, leave-one-out (jack-knife
67 estimation) meta-analysis, and meta-regression will enrich the analysis and provide
68 the estimate of prevalence nearer to the population estimate.
- 69 • A comprehensive synthesis of all available depression prevalence data in India using a
70 standardized risk of bias tool.
- 71 • The protocol adheres to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-
72 Analyses Protocols guidelines.
- 73 • Heterogeneity in methodologies, such as diagnostic criteria, study duration, sampling
74 design, and study locations may limit comparison across studies.

75

76 **Word count: 2270**

77 **A systematic review protocol for estimation of the prevalence of depression**
78 **using diagnostic instruments in the elderly population in India, 2000-2019**

79 **Introduction**

80 Mental disorders have emerged as one of the major health problems in India and globally.
81 Being chronic in nature, they significantly impact the quality of life (1–3). Depression is the
82 most common mental disorder affecting 322 million people globally, with a prevalence
83 ranging from 4 to 13% for minor depression and 1 to 4% for major depression (4–6).
84 Depression affects not only the quality of life but also increases the risk of all-cause
85 mortality, including cardiovascular diseases and stroke (7). The Global Burden of Disease
86 study projected that depression will be the leading cause of Disability-Adjusted Life Years
87 (DALY) in developing countries by 2020 (8). Depression has emerged out as a significant
88 risk factor for suicidality and suicide deaths in India (9). With the rapid population aging, the
89 proportion of elderly persons is estimated to increase from 12% to 22% between 2015 and
90 2050 in the world. In India, the figure will rise from the current 8.6% in 2011 to 19% by 2050
91 (10,11). This underscores the significant health burden depression will place on elderly
92 people in India in the years to come. In India, “elderly persons” are those who have attained
93 the age of 60 years and above (12,13).

94

95 Depression is both an underdiagnosed and undertreated mental disorder in elderly persons,
96 and its varied presentation makes its diagnosis difficult. Elderly persons with depression have
97 poorer functioning as compared to people in a similar age group without depression and have
98 increased health care costs (6,14). Even though India’s population is rapidly aging, little is
99 known about the magnitude of depression at the national and regional levels. The estimated
100 prevalence of depression among elderly persons from rural community-based studies of India
101 varied highly from 12.7% to 53.7% (15). With this background, we attempted to estimate the
102 prevalence of depression in the elderly population in India, using published studies that
103 employed standardized screening tests to identify depression (16). That study could have
104 provided a higher estimate of the depression prevalence in elderly people as the screening
105 tests might have overestimated the prevalence, given the higher sensitivity of the screening
106 tests, albeit their low specificity. Indeed, the screening tests blur the distinctions between low
107 and high prevalence populations due to false positives (17–19).

108 Moreover, the prevalence studies vary in methodologies, including variable sensitivity and
109 specificity of screening of tests, geographical and cultural characteristics, and level of
110 expertise among the investigators (20–22). Nevertheless, these studies indicated that the
111 prevalence of depression should be estimated using reliable and validated diagnostic tools to
112 identify depression more accurately and to help with planning and health systems
113 management (16,19). A comprehensive clinical interview using a sensitive and specific
114 diagnostic tool is the gold standard for confirming a diagnosis of depression, which also helps
115 plan the appropriate therapy (23). Therefore, we designed this systematic review and meta-
116 analysis to estimate the prevalence of depression by including the studies that have used
117 diagnostic instruments among elderly persons in India.

118 **Methods**

119 The protocol has been prepared following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic
120 Reviews and Meta-analysis Protocol (PRISMA-P) (24), which provides a standardized guide
121 for performing systematic reviews and meta-analysis (Appendix 1. PRISMA-P checklist).
122 The protocol has been registered on PROSPERO (CRD42019138453) (25).

123 **Eligibility criteria**

124 The elderly population aged 60 years and above in India is the population of interest. This
125 review will include the studies with the following eligibility criteria:

126 *Inclusion criteria:*

- 127 1) Community-based cross-sectional and cohort studies published during 01/2001 –
128 9/2019
- 129 2) Studies that reported the prevalence of depression/ depressive symptoms using
130 diagnostic instruments for identifying depression. “Diagnostic instruments” are tools
131 that diagnose depression by the International Classification of Diseases criteria and/or
132 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders criteria (26).

133 *Exclusion criteria*

- 134 1) Studies among elderly patients with chronic diseases such as diabetes, HIV/AIDS, etc,
135 in-hospital patients, and other special groups such as with disaster-stricken
136 experiences.

- 137 2) Studies which reported either 1 or 2 subcategories of depression (mild, moderate and
138 severe) only
- 139 3) Studies in which unstructured clinician-defined diagnosis

140 **Information sources**

141 Searches will be performed in PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science, CINAHL, and
142 PsycINFO. To enrich and supplement the literature search, the references of selected articles
143 and relevant reviews will be scanned. Then, we will circulate a list of identified articles to the
144 systematic review team, as well as to the selected experts working in this field to ensure the
145 completeness of search results.

146 **Search strategy**

147 Initially, controlled descriptors (such as MeSH terms, CINAHL headings, PsycINFO
148 thesaurus) will be identified in each database. Following keywords such as “psychiatric”,
149 “depression”, “mental”, “depressive disorders”, “aged”, “geriatric”, “elderly”, “old aged”,
150 “aging”, “prevalence”, “epidemiological studies”, “epidemiology”, and “India” will be used
151 to develop the search strategy. Appropriate Boolean operators will be employed. We will not
152 impose any language filter. The search will be limited to human subjects. The search strategy
153 for PubMed is given below:

154 #1. psychiatric OR depressi* OR mental OR "Depression"[Mesh] OR "Depressive
155 Disorder"[Mesh]

156 #2. "Aged"[Mesh] OR geriatric OR elder* OR "old aged" OR aging

157 #3. "Prevalence"[Mesh] OR prevalence OR "Epidemiology"[Mesh] OR
158 “epidemiological stud*”

159 #4. India

160 #5. #1 AND #2 AND #3 AND #4

161 #6. Filters: Publication date from 2001/01/01, Humans.

162 **Selection process and data management**

163 Two reviewers (MP and PMB) will conduct searches in all identified databases. All search
164 results will be imported into Rayyan QCRI Software to ensure a systematic and

165 comprehensive search and document the selection process (27). Another reviewer (VY) will
166 manage the Rayyan and identify and remove the duplicate citations and ensure an
167 independent review of titles and abstracts by blinding the decisions of both reviewers. MP
168 and PMB will review of titles and abstracts of the shortlisted citations in the Rayyan using a
169 customized inclusion/exclusion checklist (population-based studies; depression prevalence,
170 study duration, and India). After that, VY will identify the discrepancies between the two
171 reviewers in the Rayyan software and inform them of making a consensus for the selection
172 of the study. Full-text copies of all studies selected will be obtained to find more details.
173 Both reviewers will review the full-text copies of articles to identify whether diagnostic
174 instruments have been used to identify depression in the study participants.

175 We will record the reasons for the exclusion of all the studies for which we had obtained full
176 copies. Wherever the studies have been reported in multiple publications/reports, all papers
177 will be obtained. While the studies will be included as only one in the review, the data will
178 be extracted from all the publications to ensure the maximal relevant data is retrieved. The
179 full-text copies of all selected articles will be evaluated for quality assessment and data
180 extraction. The study selection process will be presented using the PRISMA flow chart
181 describing the reasons for the exclusion for the studies we will explore full texts.

182 The reference management software Mendeley Desktop for Windows will be used to store,
183 organize, cite, and manage all the selected references (28).

184 **Data extraction**

185 PMB and MP will independently perform data extraction on the key variables including
186 study details (author, year of publication); methods (study design, study location, study
187 setting, sample size, sampling method, non-response, age, sex, screening procedure,
188 screening for dementia, diagnostic instrument); and results (risk factors of depression
189 studied and prevalence data) will be extracted. Any disagreement in the data abstraction will
190 be resolved by consensus, and if required, the arbitration will be done by the members of the
191 review team (MB, VM, and SDG). First or corresponding authors will be contacted if
192 additional information is required in the selected articles.

193 **Risk of bias in individual studies**

194 AXIS critical appraisal tool will be used for quality assessment of the individual studies (29).
195 The AXIS tool would emphasize mainly on the presented methods and results. The AXIS
196 tool contains a 20-point questionnaire with “yes”, “no”, and “don’t know” answer that
197 addresses study quality and reporting. The critical areas in the AXIS tool included are study
198 design, sample size justification, target population, sampling frame, sample selection,
199 measurement validity and reliability, overall methods, and conflict of interest and ethical
200 issues.

201 **Strategy for data synthesis**

202 In this systematic review, extracted data will be presented in comprehensive tables and
203 flowcharts. The pooling of prevalence will be done using meta-analysis. In case the relevant
204 information is not available for meta-analysis, a narrative synthesis will be performed. The
205 effect size of interest is the proportion of elderly people with depression. Data will be
206 presented using a forest plot, including individual prevalence, pooled estimates, and 95%
207 confidence intervals (CI). All pooled estimates will be calculated using an appropriate model
208 (fixed or random-effects model meta-analysis), based on the level of heterogeneity.
209 Heterogeneity between studies will be examined using Cochran’s Q test and quantified using
210 the I^2 statistic. A rough estimate of the heterogeneity will be as follows: I^2 0% to 40% -
211 might not be important; I^2 30% to 60% - may represent moderate heterogeneity; I^2 50% to
212 90% - may represent substantial heterogeneity; and I^2 75% to 100% - considerable
213 heterogeneity. The importance of the observed I^2 value will depend on (i) magnitude and
214 direction of effects and (ii) strength of evidence for heterogeneity. Sensitivity and subgroup
215 analyses will be used to identify the causes of heterogeneity. If required, meta-regression
216 will be employed to determine the sources of heterogeneity (30).

217 A cumulative meta-analysis will be done to detect temporal trends in the depression
218 prevalence over the years and the effect of quality of studies. In the cumulative meta-
219 analysis, the studies are added one at a time in a specified order (e.g., according to date of
220 publication), and the results are summarised as each new study is added. In a forest plot of a
221 cumulative meta-analysis, each horizontal line represents the summary of the results as each
222 study is added, rather than the results of a single study (31,32). All analyses will be done

223 using updated versions of STATA (33) and R software (with meta and metafor packages)
224 (34,35).

225 *Assessment of publication bias*

226 We will assess the publication bias by visual inspection of funnel plots and testing using
227 Egger's weighted regression, with $p < 0.1$ considered indicative of statistically significant
228 publication bias (36).

229 *Sensitivity analysis*

230 Sensitivity analysis (37) will be done to remove the influence of low-quality studies. We will
231 also explore the effect of small studies (fewer than 100 participants) and the studies not
232 fulfilling age criteria adequately, such as participants aged 65 years or more. In particular,
233 the Leave-One-Out method (also known as Jackknife estimation) in which we recalculate the
234 results of our meta-analysis $K-1$ times (where K is a total number of studies), each time
235 leaving out one study. We will then compare the new pooled prevalence with that of the
236 original pooled prevalence of depression. If the new pooled prevalence lies outside of the
237 95% CI of the original pooled prevalence, we will conclude that the excluded study has a
238 significant effect on the pooled estimate and should be excluded from the final analysis
239 (38,39). Some other issues may also be identified for sensitivity analysis during the
240 systematic review process.

241 *Analysis of subgroups or subsets*

242 To reduce the random variations between the estimates of primary studies, we will perform
243 subgroup analysis wherever feasible according to study setting, geographical region (states),
244 states by GDP per capita, type of diagnostic instrument, dementia screening, sampling design,
245 and study period.

246 **Patient and Public Involvement**

247 No patients are directly involved in this study. The data for systematic review will be
248 collected from previously published studies.

249 **Discussion**

250 Screening tools are simple to administer, take less time, and are highly useful in primary care
251 settings to screen the people for depression (40). However, confirming a diagnosis of
252 depression by a diagnostic tool provides a more accurate picture of the magnitude of
253 depression. Based on earlier literature, the estimated prevalence of depression was
254 significantly higher when self-reporting instruments or screening tools were used to assess
255 depression (25,41). The estimation based on screening tools varied widely with the type of
256 study tools, geographic region, sample size, sampling methods, and prevalent socio-cultural
257 differences in the country. These may be responsible for different levels of mental health
258 disorders in India. Hence, we will address this issue by using different meta-analytic
259 techniques such as subgroup and sensitivity analyses such as jackknife estimation, meta-
260 regression, and cumulative meta-analyses.

261

262 In India, the National Mental Health Survey (NMHS) reported a lower prevalence of lifetime
263 depression (3.14%) and during the previous 12-month period (1.7%) (42) compared to pooled
264 data from 18 countries (n= 89,037) which estimated the average lifetime and 12-month
265 prevalence estimates of DSM-IV MDE to be 14.6% and 5.5% in 10 high-income countries,
266 and 11.1% and 5.9% in 8 low- to middle-income countries, respectively (43). This study will
267 provide the unique opportunity to compare the magnitude of depression estimated using
268 screening tools and findings of NHMS with the pooled estimate of various research studies
269 that have used diagnostic instruments for the identification of depression among elderly
270 persons in India.

271

272 In India, mental health services receive a minor fraction of the overall health budget, which is
273 grossly inadequate in proportion to the rising burden of mental disorders. Also, there is a lack
274 of robust and reliable data to address the need for community based mental health services
275 planning and management. The findings of this study, i.e., the estimated magnitude of
276 depression among elderly persons using diagnostic instruments, distribution among
277 subgroups, and regions will help to plan and manage geriatric mental health program in a
278 better way and will provide further directions to future research in the depression
279 epidemiology and its burden in the elderly population. It will also strengthen the provision of

280 comprehensive mental health services in primary health care settings, especially, among the
281 geriatric population in India.

282

283 **Ethics and dissemination:** Ethical approval is not required as it will be a systematic review.
284 Data from previously published studies will be retrieved and analyzed. Findings will be
285 disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication in a scientific journal and conferences.

286 **Abbreviations**

287

288 GDP – Gross Domestic Product

289 **Supplementary data**

290 Not applicable.

291 **Availability of data and materials**

292 Will be available once collected.

293 **Author Contributions**

294 Conceived the idea: PMB, MB, VY, MP, and SDG. Designed the protocol and wrote the
295 paper: MB, VY, MP, PMB, DD, SMB, VM, SDG, and SP. Critical revision to the
296 manuscript: MB, VY, MP, PMB, DD, SP, VM, and SDG. All authors have read and
297 approved the manuscript. PMB and MB are the guarantors of the paper.

298 **Conflicts of Interest:** None declared.

299 **Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in public,
300 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

301 **Data sharing statement:** No additional data are available.

302 **Acknowledgments**

303 None.

304 **References**

305 1. Sivertsen H, Bjørkløf GH, Engedal K, Selbæk G, Helvik AS. Depression and quality of life in
306 older persons: A review [Internet]. Vol. 40, Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders. S.
307 Karger AG; 2015 [cited 2020 Mar 3]. p. 311–39. Available from:

- 308 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26360014>
- 309 2. Monroe SM, Harkness KL. Is depression a chronic mental illness? *Psychol Med* [Internet].
310 2012 May [cited 2020 Mar 3];42(5):899–902. Available from:
311 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21995936>
- 312 3. Berlim MT, Fleck MPA. Quality of life and major depression. In: *Quality of Life Impairment in*
313 *Schizophrenia, Mood and Anxiety Disorders: New Perspectives on Research and Treatment.*
314 Springer Netherlands; 2007. p. 241–52.
- 315 4. World Health Organization. Depression and other common mental disorders. Global health
316 estimates [Internet]. World Health Organization. Geneva; 2017. Available from:
317 <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf>
- 318 5. Pilia M, Bairwa M, Kumar N, Khanna P, Kurana H. Elderly depression in India: An emerging
319 public health challenge. Vol. 6, *Australasian Medical Journal*. Australasian Medical Journal;
320 2013. p. 107–11.
- 321 6. World Health Organization. Mental health of older adults [Internet]. World Health
322 Organization. [cited 2020 Mar 3]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults)
323 [sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/mental-health-of-older-adults)
- 324 7. Lépine JP, Briley M. The increasing burden of depression. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2011 May
325 31;7(SUPPL.):3–7.
- 326 8. Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Alternative projections of mortality and disability by cause 1990-2020:
327 Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet*. 1997 May 24;349(9064):1498–504.
- 328 9. Amudhan S, Gururaj G, Varghese M, Benegal V, Rao GN, Sheehan DV, et al. A population-
329 based analysis of suicidality and its correlates: findings from the National Mental Health
330 Survey of India, 2015–16. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020 Jan 1;7(1):41–51.
- 331 10. Agarwal A, Lubet A, Mitgang E, Mohanty S, Bloom DE. Population aging in India: Facts, issues,
332 and options. Boston, Massachusetts; 2016. (PGDA Working Paper). Report No.: 132.
- 333 11. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner I. Census of India Website [Internet].
334 [cited 2020 Mar 3]. Available from: <https://censusindia.gov.in/>
- 335 12. Government of India. National Policy for Senior Citizens National Policy on Senior Citizens
336 2011 [Internet]. New Delhi; 2011. Available from:
337 <http://socialjustice.nic.in/writereaddata/UploadFile/dnpsc.pdf>
- 338 13. Government of India. National Programme for Health Care of the Elderly (NPHCE) [Internet].
339 Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Govt of India. [cited 2020 Mar 2]. Available from:
340 [https://mohfw.gov.in/major-programmes/other-national-health-programmes/national-](https://mohfw.gov.in/major-programmes/other-national-health-programmes/national-programme-health-care-elderlynphce)
341 [programme-health-care-elderlynphce](https://mohfw.gov.in/major-programmes/other-national-health-programmes/national-programme-health-care-elderlynphce)
- 342 14. Grover S, Dalla E, Mehra A, Chakrabarti S, Avasthi A. Physical comorbidity and its impact on
343 symptom profile of depression among elderly patients attending psychiatry services of a
344 Tertiary Care Hospital. *Indian J Psychol Med*. 2017 Jul 1;39(4):450–6.
- 345 15. Behera P, Sharan P, Mishra AK, Nongkynrih B, Kant S, Gupta SK. Prevalence and determinants
346 of depression among elderly persons in a rural community from northern India. *Natl Med J*

- 347 India. 2016 May 1;29(3):129–35.
- 348 16. Pilania M, Yadav V, Bairwa M, Behera P, Gupta SD, Khurana H, et al. Prevalence of depression
349 among the elderly (60 years and above) population in India, 1997-2016: A systematic review
350 and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2019.
- 351 17. Maxim LD, Niebo R, Utell MJ. Screening tests: A review with examples [Internet]. Vol. 26,
352 *Inhalation Toxicology*. Informa Healthcare; 2014 [cited 2020 Mar 3]. p. 811–28. Available
353 from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25264934>
- 354 18. Thombs BD, Kwakkenbos L, Levis AW, Benedetti A. Addressing overestimation of the
355 prevalence of depression based on self-report screening questionnaires. *CMAJ [Internet]*.
356 2018 Jan 15 [cited 2020 Mar 3];190(2):E44–9. Available from:
357 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29335262>
- 358 19. Levis B, Yan XW, He C, Sun Y, Benedetti A, Thombs BD. Comparison of depression prevalence
359 estimates in meta-analyses based on screening tools and rating scales versus diagnostic
360 interviews: A meta-research review. *BMC Med [Internet]*. 2019 Mar 21 [cited 2020 Mar
361 3];17(1):65. Available from:
362 <https://bmcmmedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12916-019-1297-6>
- 363 20. Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of Depression in the
364 Community from 30 Countries between 1994 and 2014 /692/699/476/1414 /692/499 article.
365 *Sci Rep [Internet]*. 2018;8(1):1–10. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21243-x>
- 367 21. Boyle MH. Guidelines for evaluating prevalence studies. *Evid Based Ment Health*.
368 1998;1(2):37–9.
- 369 22. Cheung MWL, Ho RCM, Lim Y, Mak A. Conducting a meta-analysis: Basics and good practices.
370 *Int J Rheum Dis [Internet]*. 2012 Apr [cited 2020 Mar 3];15(2):129–35. Available from:
371 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22462415>
- 372 23. Davison TE, McCabe MP, Mellor D. An examination of the gold standard diagnosis of major
373 depression in aged-care settings. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry [Internet]*. 2009 May [cited 2020
374 Mar 3];17(5):359–67. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19390293>
- 375 24. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting
376 items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Rev Esp*
377 *Nutr Humana y Diet*. 2016;20(2):148–60.
- 378 25. Behera P, Pilania M, Yadav V, Bairwa M, Dabar D, Behera SM. Estimation of prevalence of
379 depression in elderly population using diagnostic methods in India: a systematic review and
380 meta-analysis [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2020 Mar 3]. Available from:
381 https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospetro/display_record.php?ID=CRD42019138453
- 382 26. Saito M, Iwata N, Kawakami N, Matsuyama Y, Ono Y, Nakane Y, et al. Evaluation of the DSM-
383 IV and ICD-10 criteria for depressive disorders in a community population in Japan using item
384 response theory. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 2010 Dec;19(4):211–22.
- 385 27. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for
386 systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2016 Dec 5;5(1).

- 387 28. Download Mendeley Desktop Software [Internet]. [cited 2020 Mar 3]. Available from:
388 <https://www.mendeley.com/download-desktop/>
- 389 29. Downes MJ, Brennan ML, Williams HC, Dean RS. Development of a critical appraisal tool to
390 assess the quality of cross-sectional studies (AXIS). *BMJ Open*. 2016 Dec 1;6(12):e011458.
- 391 30. Higgins J, Green S, editors. Meta-regression. In: *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews*
392 *of Interventions Version 510 [updated March 2011] [Internet]. Version 5.* London: The
393 Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from: [https://handbook-5-](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_6_4_meta_regression.htm)
394 [1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_6_4_meta_regression.htm](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_6_4_meta_regression.htm)
- 395 31. Leimu R, Koricheva J. Cumulative meta-analysis: A new tool for detection of temporal trends
396 and publication bias in ecology. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci [Internet]*. 2004 Sep 22 [cited 2020 Mar
397 3];271(1551):1961–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15347521>
- 398 32. Mindstretcher 1 - quality and size. Bandolier [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2020 Mar 3];9(3):3.
399 Available from: <http://www.bandolier.org.uk/band97/b97-3.html#Heading7>
- 400 33. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
- 401 34. Schwarzer G. Meta: An R package for meta-analysis. *R News*. 2007;7(3):40–5.
- 402 35. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *J Stat Softw*.
403 2010;36(3):1–48.
- 404 36. Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, Norman RE, Vos T. Meta-analysis of prevalence. *J Epidemiol*
405 *Community Health*. 2013 Nov 1;67(11):974–8.
- 406 37. Higgins J, Green S, editors. Sensitivity analyses. In: *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic*
407 *Reviews of Interventions Version 510 [updated March 2011] [Internet].* London: The
408 Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from: [https://handbook-5-](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_7_sensitivity_analyses.htm)
409 [1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_7_sensitivity_analyses.htm](https://handbook-5-1.cochrane.org/chapter_9/9_7_sensitivity_analyses.htm)
- 410 38. Viechtbauer W, Cheung MW-L. Outlier and influence diagnostics for meta-analysis. *Res Synth*
411 *Methods [Internet]*. 2010 Apr [cited 2020 Mar 3];1(2):112–25. Available from:
412 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26061377>
- 413 39. Len I, Seaman C. The Trusty Jackknife. Method identifies outliers and bias in statistical
414 estimates. *Quality Progress*. [Internet]. The Official Publication of ASQ. [cited 2019 Sep 7].
415 Available from: [http://asq.org/quality-progress/2008/07/statistics-roundtable/statistics-](http://asq.org/quality-progress/2008/07/statistics-roundtable/statistics-roundtable-the-trusty-jackknife.html)
416 [roundtable-the-trusty-jackknife.html](http://asq.org/quality-progress/2008/07/statistics-roundtable/statistics-roundtable-the-trusty-jackknife.html)
- 417 40. Behera P, Gupta SK, Nongkynrih B, Kant S, Mishra AK, Sharan P. Screening instruments for
418 assessment of depression. *Indian J Med Spec [Internet]*. 2017;8(1):31–7. Available from:
419 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.injms.2016.11.003>
- 420 41. Lim GY, Tam WW, Lu Y, Ho CS, Zhang MW, Ho RC. Prevalence of Depression in the
421 Community from 30 Countries between 1994 and 2014 /692/699/476/1414 /692/499 article.
422 *Sci Rep*. 2018 Dec 1;8(1).
- 423 42. National Mental Health Survey 2015-16 – Nimhans [Internet]. [cited 2020 Mar 3]. Available
424 from: <http://nimhans.ac.in/national-mental-health-survey-2015-16/>

- 425 43. Bromet E, Andrade LH, Hwang I, Sampson NA, Alonso J, de Girolamo G, et al. Cross-national
426 epidemiology of DSM-IV major depressive episode. BMC Med [Internet]. 2011;9. Available
427 from:
428 <http://www.embase.com/search/results?subaction=viewrecord&from=export&id=L5154596>
429 7

430