A large decrease in the magnitude of seasonal fluctuations in mortality explains part of the increase in longevity in Sweden during the 20th century.

Anders Ledberg*

Department of Public Health Sciences, Stockholm University
SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

June 30, 2020

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Mortality rates of are known to depend on the seasons and in temperate climates rates are highest during winter. The magnitude of these seasonal fluctuations in mortality have decreased substantially during the 20th century in many countries, but the extent to which this decrease has contributed to the concurrent increase in life expectancy, is not known. Here I describe how the seasonality of all-cause mortality among persons aged 60 years or more in Sweden has changed in the time period from 1860 to 1995, and furthermore investigate how this change has contributed to the increase in life expectancy observed during the same period.

METHODS: Yearly sex-specific birth cohorts consisting of all persons born in Sweden between 1800 and 1901, and who reached at least 59 years of age, were obtained from a genealogical database. The mortality rates of each cohort were modeled by an exponential function of age modulated by a sinusoidal function of time of year. The contribution of seasonal fluctuations to life expectancy was investigated by a novel decomposition of the total mortality rate into a seasonal part and a part independent of the seasons. Cohort life expectancy at 60 was used to quantify changes in lifespan during the time period.

RESULTS: The magnitude of seasonal variations of mortality rates decreased substantially over the time period. For cohorts born in 1800, the risk of dying during the winter season was almost twice that of dying during summer. For cohorts born in 1900, the relative increase in winter mortality was 10%. Cohort life expectancy at 60 increased with 4.3 years for men and 6.8 years for women and the decrease of seasonal variability accounted for approximately 40% of this increase in average lifespan.

CONTRIBUTION: By following a large number of extinct cohorts it was possible to show how the decrease in seasonal mortality fluctuations

*anders.ledberg@su.se or anders.ledberg@gmail.com

has contributed to an increase in life expectancy. The decomposition of total mortality introduced here might be useful to better understand the processes and mechanisms underlying the marked improvements in life expectancy seen over the last 150 years.

1 Introduction

Mortality rates in the adult population depend on the seasons – in countries with temperate climate the rate of dying is typically higher during winter months (e.g., Tabellkommissionen, 1857; Rosenwaike, 1966; Healy, 2003; Davie et al., 2007; Rau, 2007; Fowler et al., 2015). This seasonal variation is present in mortality rates corresponding to most major causes of death, but the magnitude varies between different causes; for example, mortality from cardiovascular diseases shows larger seasonal fluctuations than mortality from cancers and injuries (e.g., Rosenwaike, 1966; Näyhä, 1980; Reichert et al., 2004; Parks et al., 2018; Rau, 2018).

The seasonal variability of mortality rates is likely caused by multiple, and interacting, factors, the most prominent are infectious diseases of the respiratory system and their consequences (e.g., Anderson and Le Riche, 1970; Reichert et al., 2004), and direct and indirect effects of cold temperatures (e.g., Rogot and Padgett, 1976; Kunst et al., 1993; Curriero et al., 2002; Anderson and Bell, 2009). The former cause might be harder to protect against (c.f. Simonsen et al., 2007; Osterholm et al., 2012), but major improvements have been made during the last one hundred and fifty years in the ability to safely protect against the impacts of weather.

The magnitude and phase of seasonal mortality variations has not been constant over time (Rau, 2007). Indeed, in many countries there has been a marked decrease in magnitude during the 20th century (Näyhä, 1980; Keatinge et al., 1989; Kunst et al., 1991; Seretakis et al., 1997; Lerchl, 1998; Rau, 2007). During the same time period, life expectancy has increased substantially in most countries, but the extent to which the reduction of the seasonal fluctuations has contributed to this increase is not known. The observed increase in life expectancy at birth is a consequence of decreased mortality rates at all ages, and even if infant and child mortality rates have decreased most, in relative terms, the decrease in mortality rates at older ages has also contributed (e.g., Janssen et al., 2005; Statistics Sweden, 2020, Ch. 4). The main aim of this paper is to investigate how the magnitude of yearly mortality fluctuations among persons 60 years or older has changed over time in Sweden, and to estimate the impact these changes might have had on life expectancy at 60.

The seasonality of all-cause mortality is studied by following sex-specific annual cohorts consisting of all people born in Sweden, from 1800 to 1901, and who survived at least until age 59 (c:a 4.7 million people in total). By following a number of extinct birth cohorts it is possible to track how the magnitude of seasonal mortality variations has covaried with cohort life expectancy. The focus on older ages is warranted by that this is when most persons die, and this is moreover the age-span when seasonality is most pronounced among adults (e.g., Feinstein, 2002; Parks et al., 2018). To estimate the contribution of seasonality to life expectancy, a model is developed that allows total mortality to be decomposed into two parts: A *lower-bound mortality* that increases exponen-

tially with age but does not vary with the seasons. The lower-bound mortality can be thought of as the mortality rates, for a given cohort, that would prevail under optimal conditions. The other part of the decomposition, the *seasonal mortality*, depends on the seasons but not on age.

The results show that the magnitude of seasonal variability is relatively constant in a given cohort, has decreased markedly over the time period and that the decrease accounts for approximately 40% of the increase in life expectancy in these cohorts.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Data

Mortality data for persons who were born and died in Sweden were obtained from the "Swedish Book of Deaths" issued by the The Federation of Swedish Genealogical Societies (Sveriges Släktforskarförbund, 2017). This is a database compiled from a range of official sources and contains information on times and places of births and deaths for persons that have died in Sweden since 1860. The coverage is almost complete. Since there is no information about causes of deaths in this database this study is based on all-cause mortality.

Birth cohorts were formed for all years between 1800 and 1901, for men and women separately. The focus in this work is on all-cause mortality for those who survived until the beginning of the year in which they would have turned 60 years old, i.e., the they all survived at least until their 59th birthday. In total, there were almost 4.7 million persons who fulfilled these criteria. Supplementary table S1 lists the number of persons in each cohort.

2.2 Follow-up time

To investigate how mortality rates vary with the seasons, follow-up should be expressed in terms of calendar time. However, mortality rates also depend (strongly) on age, and it is therefore necessary to first express age (for each individual) in terms of calendar time.

Start of follow-up (i.e., time zero) was taken as the 1st of January the year cohort members would have turned 60 years old, and follow-up time was measured in days. Since all members are (by construction of the cohorts) alive at their 59th birthday, we can let the date of the 59th birthday be age zero. Let b_i denote the calendar day when cohort member i was born, expressed as the number of days since the beginning of the year. For example, for someone born the 13th of February, $b_i = 30 + 13 = 43$. The age of cohort member i, in days from age zero, can then be expressed as a function of calendar time: $a_i(t) = 365 - b_i + t$.

2.3 Model of mortality rates

Mortality rates for persons aged 60 years and above are often modeled by an exponential function of age (e.g., Gompertz, 1825; Olshansky and Carnes, 1997), and an exponential model has previously been shown to provide a good fit to a subset of the data used here (Ledberg, 2020). To investigate how mortality rates depend on the seasons, this exponential model was extended by including

a (1-year) periodic component. Thus, with follow-up time t expressed in days, the mortality rates of persons born on day b_i and belonging to cohort j, $\lambda_j(t)$ say, are assumed to obey:

$$\lambda_j(t|b_i) = \mu_j e^{\beta_j(t+365-b_i)} e^{\alpha_j \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{365.25}+\theta_j\right)}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mu_j > 0, \beta_j > 0, \alpha_j \geq 0$, and θ_j are parameters that depend on the cohort (i.e., on sex and birth year). Note that, according to this model, the effects of the seasonal modulations, on a ratio scale, are assumed to be independent of age. This is a useful first approximation suitable for the current study (see Results), however, more complex models can easily be formulated within the framework outlined herein.

2.3.1 Parameter estimation

To estimate the parameters μ_j, β_j, α_j, and θ_j a two-step procedure was used. First, daily mortality rates for the cohorts were obtained by counting the number of deaths on each day and dividing by the number of persons alive at the beginning of the day. Second, these daily mortality rates were modeled as a function of age and calendar time using Poisson regression.

More formally, if d_{tj} denotes the number of deaths on day t in cohort j, and N_{tj} the number of persons alive at the beginning of day t in this cohort, we assume that d_{tj} follows a Poisson distribution, the expected value of which satisfy

$$\log E(d_{tj}) = \log N_{tj} + \mu_j + \beta_j t + a_{1j} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{365.25}\right) + a_{2j} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{365.25}\right). \tag{2}$$

The amplitude α_j and phase θ_j in Eq. 1 are obtained from the following relations $\alpha_j = \sqrt{a_{1j}^2 + a_{2j}^2}$. and $\theta_j = \arctan(-a_{1j}/a_{2j})$. The parameters of this model (Eq. 2) can be estimated by maximum likelihood using standard software, for example the `glm` function in `R`.

The model was fit to data for each birth cohort separately, starting from the year where cohort members would have turned 60, and ending by the year they would have turned 95. This upper-age restriction was imposed because estimates of daily mortality rates are highly variable when N_t becomes small, and consequently hard to fit with any model. This restriction implied that less than two percent of all deaths in the database were censored.

If all persons in a given birth cohort would have been born the last of December (so that age and calendar time become identical), the above two-step procedure would provide unbiased estimates of the model parameters (assuming that the model is correctly specified). However, persons were of course not all born on the same day, and using Eq. 2 to estimate parameters of Eq. 1 might consequently introduce a small bias in the estimates. For t fixed, Eq. 2 represent the average log mortality rate for those still alive at t, and those born early in the year will have a slight tendency of dying before those born later in the year (due to the age difference), possibly introducing a bias. The magnitude of this bias was investigated by comparing estimates obtained through the two-step procedure (Eq. 2) with estimates obtained by a direct maximization of the likelihood corresponding to Eq. 1 and were found to be negligible (see supporting

figure S1). Parameter estimation based on Eq. 2 is preferred because it can be done using standard methods, and it can be easily extended to incorporate other time-varying factors.

2.4 Magnitude of seasonality

The magnitude of seasonality was quantified by the ratio of the highest to lowest mortality rate in the same year. This can be interpreted as a risk ratio, approximating how many times higher the risk is of dying in the winter compared to dying in the summer. Note that according to Eq. 1 this quantity is constant for each birth cohort and consequently, this single number can be used to track how the magnitude of seasonality has changed between cohorts. The ratio in cohort j, h_j say, is obtained from Eq. 1 as

$$h_j = e^{2\alpha_j - 182.625\beta_j}. \tag{3}$$

Pointwise approximate confidence intervals for h_j were obtained by first sampling values of a_{1j}, a_{2j} and β_j from a multivariate normal distribution with mean and covariance matrix given by the fit of Eq. 2. These sampled parameters were then transformed according to Eq. 3, and confidence intervals were estimated from the empirical distribution so obtained. The normality assumption is warranted by the fact that maximum likelihood estimates are asymptotically normal, and that the degrees of freedom in fitting the models were moderately large.

2.5 Partitioning the mortality

In order to investigate the effect of the seasonal changes in mortality on cohort life expectancy, Eq. 1 is recast in the following form (suppressing the dependency on cohorts of clarity)

$$\lambda(t|b_i) = \mu^* e^{\beta(t+365-b_i)} e^{\alpha(1+\cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{365.25}+\theta\right))} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_1 \cdot M_2 \tag{4}$$

where $\mu^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu e^{-\alpha}$. According to this formulation, the mortality rate at time t is partitioned into a first part, $M_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu^* e^{\beta(t+365-b_i)}$, which is growing exponentially with age and represents the lowest achievable mortality for a given cohort. This part will be referred to as *lower-bound mortality*. The second part, $M_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\alpha(1+\cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{365.25}+\theta\right))}$, depends on the seasons but not on age, and will be referred to as the seasonal part of total mortality. Since the cosine function is bounded by ± 1 it follows that $M_2 \geq 1$, and thus acts to increase the mortality rate multiplicatively. Note that a change in mortality (between cohorts) can come about through a change in M_1, M_2, or in both.

2.6 Contribution to mean length of life

Cohort mortality rates $\lambda(t)$ and life expectancy are related through well-known expressions, see for example Kalbfleisch and Prentice (2002). The probability density of life times, $f(t)$ say, is related to the mortality rate by

$$f(t) = \lambda(t) e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(x)dx}. \tag{5}$$

Note that time zero was defined to be the first of January the year when cohort members would have turned 60, so the above expression actually represent a conditional density of life times. Mean life duration, conditional upon reaching first of January the 60th life-year, is then obtained as the mean value of the corresponding distribution:

$$e_{60} = \int_0^\infty t f(t) dt. \tag{6}$$

The integrals in Eq. 5 and Eq. 6 do not, in general, have closed form solutions and were therefore evaluated numerically.

Using the decomposition of Eq. 4 it is now straight forward to answer the following counterfactual question: "what would cohort life expectancy have been if mortality rates would have been given by the lower-bound mortality?" This is accomplished by evaluating Eq. 6 for $\lambda = M_1$. The contribution of seasonality can then be obtained by evaluating Eq. 6 with $\lambda = M_1 \cdot M_1$ and taking the difference between these estimates.

2.6.1 True average length of life

Since the cohorts are all extinct, life expectancy (conditioned on reaching any particular age) is simply given by the average over the cohort members who reached this age.

2.7 Visualization

To visualize seasonality in Figures 1 and 2, the time series of daily mortality rates were smoothed by a running-line smoother of 60 days width (i.e., each point is replaced by the local mean of the 60 surrounding points).

2.8 Computations

All computations were made in `R` (R Core Team, 2016). Data and code needed to reproduce the figures is available upon request.

3 Results

3.1 Overview

Figure 1 shows mortality rates for 20 of the cohorts. Several points are noticeable: The periodicity of mortality rates is highly synchronized between men and women, and its magnitude decreases substantially between cohorts born 1810 and 1900; women have lower mortality rates than men, in particular during summer and early fall (the troughs); The mortality for both men and women decrease between cohorts born in the beginning of the period and those born in the end, and the decrease is more pronounced in the cohorts of women.

The annual peaks of mortality rates occur during winter (supporting Fig. S2) and for cohorts born before 1875 the peak occurred during the second week of February. The mortality peaks for cohorts born 1875-1901 occur somewhat later, extending into March in some cases (supporting Fig S2). Note that this



Figure 1: Mortality rates as a function of calendar time for ten birth cohorts of men and women who were born and died in Sweden. Numbers in plots show cohort birth years. Mortality rates shown are local averages over two month (see methods). Data shown for ages between 60 and 90 years.

implies, according to the model, that the troughs in mortality occurred during late summer, in the middle to end of August.

3.2 A model of seasonality

Mortality rates were modeled by an exponentially increasing function of age modulated by a sinusoidal term with constant amplitude and phase (Eq. 1). Separate fits were made for each cohort. The coefficients of the sinusoidal terms were highly significant in all the 202 models evaluated (F-test, all p-values $<$ 0.001), indicating that the model with seasonality better accounted for the data than did a model with just an exponential increase with age.

Figure 2 shows examples of mortality rates for three cohorts of women with model fits from Eq. 2 superimposed. Close inspection of the figure shows that sinusoids with constant amplitudes and phases (i.e., Eq. 2) provide good general description of the seasonality present in these data. However, some years have higher rates during winter than what is predicted from the model (e.g., 1931 in the middle panel, due to an influenza outbreak) or lower rates in the summer (e.g., 1879 and 1881 in the top panel). The phases of the seasonal fluctuations are almost constant from year to year, but in some cases the mortality increase comes earlier than predicted. For example, in 1919, in the middle panel, the peak in mortality is earlier than in the model; the Spanish flu hit Sweden in early October 1918 causing the peak to shift. Also, for ages above 90 years, mortality rates become more variable, and are in some cases less well fit by the model (e.g., the 1800 cohort).

Even if the model does not account for all aspects of the data it does provide a compact description of the main trends and provides a low-dimensional summary that will be used below to track changes over time.

3.3 Changes in seasonality over time

To illustrate how the magnitude of seasonal variability in mortality has changed over time, the mortality rate ratio (the ratio of the highest to lowest mortality rate in any particular year, Eq. 3) is plotted as a function of cohort birth year in Figure 3. According to the model (Eq. 1) this ratio is constant for a given birth cohort. The magnitude of seasonal variability has decreased substantially over the cohorts, both for men and women. For women born in the first decade of the 19th century, the mortality rate was about two times higher in the winter than in the summer. For women born 90 years later, the ratio had decreased to about 1.1. Also noticeable is that for cohorts born before 1880, the magnitude of seasonality was consistently higher among women than among men.

3.4 Contribution of seasonality to duration of life

To estimate how the decrease in seasonality might have influenced survival, mortality rates were decomposed according to Eq. 4. Figure 4**A** illustrates this decomposition for the cohort of women born 1800. The lower-bound mortality (M_1 in Eq. 4) is seen to provide a good approximation to what the mortality rates would have been if the favorable conditions of late summer would have prevailed throughout the year. Figure 4**B,C** and Tables S2 and S3 show that the cohort life expectancy (conditional upon reaching 59 years of age) increased



Figure 2: Mortality rates of three birth cohorts of women and predictions from the model (Eq.2) in magenta. Dates on the x-axis (calendar years) indicate the start of the given year. Data shown for ages 60 to 95 years.



Figure 3: Mortality rate ratios for each cohort were estimated according to Eq. 2 and Eq. 3 and shows the ratio of the peak of the mortality rates to the through of the mortality rate for any given year. Colored bands show 95% confidence intervals.

substantially over the period: about 4.3 years for men and 6.8 years for women. Note that the model (Eq. 1) almost perfectly predicts the life expectancy for these cohorts. For the cohorts of men, Figure 4**B** and Table S2 show that the predicted life expectancy corresponding to the lower-bound mortality increased from 76 years for the cohort born in 1800 to about 78 years for those born 1825, and remained relatively constant in later-born cohorts, indicating that mortality rates during late summer did not decrease further for these cohorts. Figure S3 provides an example of this phenomenon and shows that mortality rates for the 1821 and 1881 cohorts often coincided in the late summers, but differed markedly in winters. Figure 4**C** shows that the survival corresponding to the lower-bound mortality for the cohorts of women increased only slightly over the first 80 cohorts, but over cohorts born between 1880 and 1901 it increased with almost three years.

The difference between the actual life expectancy and that predicted based on the lower-bound mortality provides an estimate of the contribution of seasonality and is shown in Figure 4**D**. The effect of seasonality was substantial for cohorts born the the beginning of the 19th century; for women the seasonal variability reduced life expectancy with about 3.5 years, in men by about 2.5. The effect of seasonality first diminished gradually over cohorts, but remained relatively constant at around -0.75 years for the last 20 cohorts. This patterns reflects the corresponding decrease in the amplitude of seasonality seen in Fig. 3. Of the total increase in mean life duration, 4.3 years in men and 6.8 in women, this decomposition shows that about 40% of the increase is attributable to the decrease of the magnitude of seasonal fluctuations.

4 Discussion

The magnitude of seasonal variations in all-cause mortality rates among elderly in Sweden was shown to have decreased substantially in the cohorts followed (Fig. 3). This is in line with previous results from other countries (Näyhä, 1980; Lerchl, 1998; Kunst et al., 1991; Seretakis et al., 1997; Rau, 2007). Most of these previous studies have focused on secular trends during the second half of the 20th century. The data used here made it possible to show that the decrease in seasonality in Sweden started already with cohorts born during the first decades of the 19th century.

By focusing on cohort data it was possible to estimate how much this reduction in seasonal excess mortality had contributed to the increase in cohort life expectancy observed during the time period. Cohort life expectancy at 60 increased by 4.3 years for men and 6.8 years for women, and about 40 percent of this increase could be accounted for by the decrease in seasonality of death. Below some possible causes of this decrease in seasonality are considered and some technical aspects of the study are discussed.

It should be noted that mortality among younger persons, including infants, might also display seasonal variations, often with a different phase compared to older adults, e.g., a mortality peak in the summer (e.g., Rosenwaike, 1966; Näyhä, 1980; Knodel, 1983). It is likely that the mechanisms that drive the seasonal fluctuations in younger persons are different from those responsible for the fluctuations among older adults, and the extent to which changes in the magnitude of seasonal variability in mortality among younger persons have



Figure 4: **A**: Illustration of the mortality rate decomposition. Dashed line show mortality data for women born 1800, model fits are shown in magenta. The green line shows the first part, M_1, in the decomposition given by Eq. 4, i.e., the lower-bound mortality. **B**: Life expectancy at 60 for the different cohorts of men. Points show the actual data. The magenta line shows the prediction from the model (Eq. 6). The green line shows the predicted life expectancy if mortality rates would have been described by the first part of the decomposition in Eq. 4. **C**: same as in **B** but data for the cohorts of women. **D**: Difference between observed mean life duration and mean life duration based on lower-bound mortality in years.

contributed to changes in life expectancy deserves a separate investigation.

4.1 Interpretation of seasonal variation in mortality

Seasonal variability in mortality is a population phenomenon and it is of interest to relate this phenomenon to processes at the individual level. In a recent model of aging and death the exponential increase in mortality with age was shown be a consequence of an accumulation of damage in the individuals (Ledberg, 2020). The rate of accumulation is controlled (in the model) by a damage rate, modeling the amount of damage that is impeding the individual, and the rate of repair, i.e., the ability to fix the occurred damage. According to this model, seasonal variability in mortality of the type seen here would result if the damage rate varied with the seasons. A more in-depth investigation of the ability of this model to account for the changed reported here is left for a future contribution.

4.2 What might have caused the decrease in seasonality

In terms of calendar time, the main part of the decrease in seasonal variability happened between 1870 and 1970, a time-period during which Sweden, as many other countries, went through dramatic social and economical transformations (e.g., Schön, 2010). In 1870 Sweden was a relatively poor country where most people lived on the country side and where about 70% were working in agriculture and forestry. There was little or no public support for old and poor people. In 1970 Sweden had become one the richest countries in the world, the majority of the population lived in cities, less than 10% worked in agriculture, and Sweden had a highly developed welfare system (e.g., Lundberg and Åmark, 2010; Schön, 2010). It is likely that at least part of the decrease in seasonality was caused by the dramatic improvements in living conditions that were part of this transformation of social and economical circumstances (c.f., Kunst et al., 1991). The relative effect of seasonality was found to be approximately constant within cohorts and this is an indication of that improvements in living conditions, measured cross-sectionally, are more reflecting conditions among younger generations than among older ones. However, more research is needed to quantify the extent to which improved living condition played a role.

Another possible explanation of the decrease in seasonality is if the major causes of death changed during the time period (c.f. Omran, 1971). Clearly, a change from causes of death more sensitive to the seasons (e.g., respiratory infections) to those less sensitive (e.g., cancers) could lead to a reduction in seasonality similarly to the one observed. However, it is not clear to what extent there has been such a transition in causes of death among older persons in Sweden. The system used to classify causes of death has changed multiple times over the time period, and according to official records, less than 10 percent of deaths among persons 60 years or older were attributable to infectious diseases already in 1911. More research is needed to determine to what extent the causes of death have changed over this time period among older persons in Sweden.

4.3 Cohort vs period effects

In this work, seasonal variations in mortality were assessed in a number of extinct birth cohorts. Most previous work on seasonality has been based on

period data, and that might seem a more natural choice of data organization. However, given that the magnitude of seasonality was found to be relatively constant within cohorts, the cohort-based analysis provided a very succinct description of the temporal trends (Fig. 3). A major advantage of using cohort data is that it made it possible to directly compare the magnitude of seasonality to the average life duration.

The model of mortality (Eq. 1) assumes a constant amplitude and phase of seasonal variability in a given birth cohort. This simple model captured the main trends in the data but it is clear that amplitude and phase of seasonality may also depend on calendar year. For example, in years with a more pronounced outbreak of influenza, both amplitude and phase are affected in multiple cohorts. A more comprehensive account of seasonality should include effects of calendar years as well. However, introducing fixed effects of calendar years in the model (Eq. 2) only had minor effects on the estimated decrease in seasonality (not shown). For some cohorts, a model where the amplitude of the seasonal effects was allowed to increase with time (i.e., an interaction) provided a better fit to the data. However, the interaction effects were never very strong, and it was judged that the model without interaction captured the magnitude of seasonality well enough for the comparison across cohorts.

The magnitude of seasonal variations has been shown to increase with age in some previous studies (e.g., Näyhä, 1980; Feinstein, 2002; Parks et al., 2018), in the present study, however, the effects were shown to be relatively constant with age (e.g., Fig. 2), and instead to change between birth cohorts. Given that previous works are based on period data, these findings are in fact not contradictory. Indeed, the cohort-dependent effects reported here would show up as age-dependent effect in a period based analysis (as younger age groups belong to later-born cohorts).

4.4 Effect of decreasing seasonality

To estimate how much the decrease in seasonality contributed to the increase in average life duration, a comparison was made between the real cohort life expectancy, and the predicted life expectancy based on the lower-bound mortality. This comparison showed that about 40% of the increase in life expectancy at 60 could be attributed to the decrease in seasonality. The interpretability of this estimate depends on that the decomposition of total mortality (Eq. 4) is sensible. Close inspection of Fig 4 shows that the full model almost perfectly predicted cohort life expectancy. Consequently, in terms of life expectancy, the decomposition divided the observed data into two parts with very little left unaccounted for. The data also gave support for that the two parts of the decomposition could change independently of each other, further supporting the sensibility of the decomposition. For example, in supporting Fig. S3 the mortality rates of men born in 1821 are compared to rates of those born in 1881. This figure shows that the difference in mortality rates between these two birth years is primarily due to the higher rates during winter seasons in the cohort born 1821. The rates during summer seasons are similar in the two cohorts. In terms of life expectancy at 60, those born in 1821 lived to be 75.6 whereas those born 1881 lived until 77.2 years. This difference is fully explained by the larger seasonal variability in the first cohort. This example shows an increase in life expectancy that was caused entirely by a quenching of the excess mortality in

winter seasons, thus providing additional support for the approach taken here.

Future work might explore in more detail the conditions that have enabled an increased life expectancy among old persons in Sweden. The results presented here suggests that a substantial part of this increase might be due to that living conditions in Sweden have become independent of the seasons. However, it is also clear from the results presented here that the bulk part of the increase in life expectancy at 60 must be attributed to factors that are relatively independent of the seasons.

Acknowledgments

This research was partly financed by a grant from SiS with number 2.6.1-1134-2017. I thank Ylva Almquist for helping me acquiring the Swedish mortality data, an anonymous reviewer for helpful comments, and Sveriges Släktforskarförbund for letting me use data from Dödboken.

References

Anderson, B. G. and Bell, M. L. (2009). Weather-Related Mortality How Heat, Cold, and Heat Waves Affect Mortality in the United States. *Epidemiology*, 20(2):205–213.

Anderson, T. W. and Le Riche, W. H. (1970). Cold Weather And Myocardial Infarction. *Lancet*, 1(7641):291–&.

Curriero, F., Heiner, K., Samet, J., Zeger, S., Strug, L., and Patz, J. (2002). Temperature and mortality in 11 cities of the eastern United States. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 155(1):80–87.

Davie, G. S., Baker, M. G., Hales, S., and Carlin, J. B. (2007). Trends and determinants of excess winter mortality in New Zealand: 1980 to 2000. *BMC Public Health*, 7.

Feinstein, C. A. (2002). Seasonality of Deaths in the U.S. by Age and Cause. *Demographic Research*, 6:469–486.

Fowler, T., Southgate, R. J., Waite, T., Harrell, R., Kovats, S., Bone, A., Doyle, Y., and Murray, V. (2015). Excess winter deaths in Europe: a multi-country descriptive analysis. *European Journal Of Public Health*, 25(2):339–345.

Gompertz, B. (1825). On the Nature of the Function Expressive of the Law of Human Mortality, and on a New Mode of Determining the Value of Life Contingencies. *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc*, 115:513–583.

Healy, J. (2003). Excess winter mortality in Europe: a cross country analysis identifying key risk factors. *Journal Of Epidemiology And Community Health*, 57(10):784–789.

Janssen, F., Kunst, A., and Demograph, N. E. (2005). Cohort patterns in mortality trends among the elderly in seven European countries, 1950-99. *Int J Epidemiol*, 34(5):1149–1159.

Kalbfleisch, J. D. and Prentice, R. L. (2002). *The Statistical Analysis Failure Time Data*. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, second edition.

Keatinge, W., Coleshaw, S., and Holmes, J. (1989). Changes In Seasonal Mortalities With Improvement In Home Heating In England And Wales From 1964 To 1984. *International Journal Of Biometeorology*, 33(2):71–76.

Knodel, J. (1983). Seasonal variation in infant mortality : an approach with applications. *Annales de démographie historique*, :208–230.

Kunst, A., Looman, C., and Mackenbach, J. (1991). The Decline In Winter Excess Mortality In The Netherlands. *International Journal Of Epidemiology*, 20(4):971–977.

Kunst, A., Looman, C., and Mackenbach, J. (1993). Outdoor Air-Temperature And Mortality In The Netherlands - A Time-Series Analysis. *American Journal Of Epidemiology*, 137(3):331–341.

Ledberg, A. (2020). Exponential increase in mortality with age is a generic property of a simple model system of damage accumulation and death. *PLoS ONE*, 15(6):e0233384.

Lerchl, A. (1998). Changes in the seasonality of mortality in Germany from 1946 to 1995: the role of temperature. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 42:84–88.

Lundberg, U. and Åmark, K. (2010). Social Rights and Social Security: The Swedish Welfare State, 1900-2000. *Scandinavian Journal of History*, 26(3):157–176.

Näyhä, S. (1980). Short and Medium-Term Variations in Mortality in Finland: A study on cyclic variations, annual and weekly periods and certain irregular changes in mortality in Finland during the period 1868-1972. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Medicine. Supplementum*, 21:1, 3–5, 7–101.

Olshansky, S. and Carnes, B. (1997). Ever since Gompertz. *Demography*, 34(1):1–15.

Omran, A. R. (1971). The Epidemiologic Transition: A Theory of the Epidemiology of Population Change. *The Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly*, 49:509–538.

Osterholm, M. T., Kelley, N. S., Sommer, A., and Belongia, E. A. (2012). Efficacy and effectiveness of influenza vaccines: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 12(1):36–44.

Parks, R. M., Bennett, J. E., Foreman, K. J., Toumi, R., and Ezzati, M. (2018). National and regional seasonal dynamics of all-cause and cause-specific mortality in the USA from 1980 to 2016. *eLife*, 7.

R Core Team (2016). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rau, R. (2007). *Seasonality in Human Mortality: A Demographic Approach*. Springer, Berlin.

Rau, R. (2018). Seasonality of causes of death. In Rau, R., Bohk-Ewald, C., Muszyńska, M. M., and Vaupel, J. W., editors, *Visualizing Mortality Dynamics in the Lexis Diagram*. Springer International Publishing.

Reichert, T., Simonsen, L., Sharma, A., Pardo, S., Fedson, D., and Miller, M. (2004). Influenza and the winter increase in mortality in the United States, 1959-1999. *American Journal Of Epidemiology*, 160(5):492–502.

Rogot, E. and Padgett, S. (1976). Associations Of Coronary And Stroke Mortality With Temperature And Snowfall In Selected Areas Of United-States, 1962-1966. *American Journal Of Epidemiology*, 103(6):565–575.

Rosenwaike, I. (1966). Seasonal Variation Of Deaths In United States 1951-1960. *Journal Of The American Statistical Association*, 61(315):706+.

Schön, L. (2010). *Sweden's Road to Modernity: An Economic History*. SNS Förlag, Stockholm, Sweden.

Seretakis, D., Lagiou, P., Lipworth, L., Signorello, L. B., Rothman, K. J., and Trichopoulos, D. (1997). Changing seasonality of mortality from coronary heart disease. *JAMA-Journal Of The American Medical Association*, 278(12):1012–1014.

Simonsen, L., Taylor, R. J., Viboud, C., Miller, M. A., and Jackson, L. A. (2007). Mortality benefits of influenza vaccination in elderly people: an ongoing controversy. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 7(10):658–666.

Statistics Sweden (2020). *Cohort mortality in Sweden. Mortality up to and including 2019*. Demographic reports 2020:2. Statistics Sweden, Örebro, Sweden.

Sveriges Släktforskarförbund (2017). *Sveriges dödbok 1860–2017*. Sveriges Släktforskarförbund, Stockholm.

Tabellkommissionen (1857). *Bidrag till Sveriges officiella statistik. A. Befolkningsstatistik*. P. A. Norstedt & Söner, Stockholm, Sweden.