Molecular mechanism of action of repurposed drugs and traditional Chinese medicine used for the treatment of patients infected with COVID-19: A systematic review
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ABSTRACT

The emergence of COVID-19 as a pandemic has resulted in the need for urgent development of vaccines and drugs and the conduction of clinical trials to fight the outbreak. Because of the time constraints associated with the development of vaccines and effective drugs, drug repurposing and other alternative treatment methods have been used to treat patients that have been infected by the SARS-CoV-2 virus and have acquired COVID-19. In this systematic review, we provide an overview of the molecular mechanism of action of repurposed drugs or alternative treatment medicines used to attenuate COVID-19 disease. The research articles or grey literature, including theses, government reports, and official news online, were identified from 4 databases and 1 search engine. The full content of a total of 160 articles that fulfilled our inclusion criteria was analyzed and information about 6 drugs (ritonavir, lopinavir, oseltamivir, remdesivir, favipiravir, and chloroquine) and 3 traditional Chinese medicines (Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye, TCM combination of Bu Huan Jin Zheng Qi San and Da Yuan Yin, Xue Bi Jing Injection and Qing Fei Pai Du Tang) were extracted. All of the drug treatment options depend on the ability of the drug to inhibit the proliferation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus by binding to enzyme active sites, viral chain termination, or triggering of the molecular pathway, whereas traditional Chinese medicine has a pivotal role in triggering the inflammation pathway, such as the neuraminidase blocker, to fight the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This review provides an insight to experimental validation of drugs and alternative medicine used for the treatment and control of COVID-19.
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INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, a novel type of viral pneumonia was discovered in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China. The International Committee of Taxonomy of Viruses has officially named the disease “COVID-19 (Corona Virus Disease 2019)” and the virus SARS-CoV-2 (WHOa, 2020; Gorbatenya et al., 2020). The new corona virus has rapidly spread among humans all over the world and has led to hundreds of thousands of cases within a few months. As a result, on 11th March 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a pandemic, which is defined as “worldwide spread of a new disease”. As of 26th March 2020, more than 462,684 laboratory-confirmed cases of COVID-19 have been reported globally, with the total number of deaths reaching 20,834 (4.5%) people (WHOb, 2020). The worldwide distribution of COVID-19 cases as of 26th March 2020, 10:00 (CET) is shown in Figure 1.

![Countries, areas or territories with COVID-19 cases reported in the last 7 days, as of 26 March 2020, 10:00 (CET)](https://www.who.int/csr/don/20200326/en/)

Figure 1: Countries, territories or areas with reported confirmed cases of COVID-19, 26th March 2020 (Source: WHO, 2020)

CoVs are single-stranded RNA viruses that look like a crown under a microscope (coronam is the Latin word for crown) and contain spike glycoproteins on the envelope. The subfamily Orthocoronavirinae of the Coronaviridae family (order Nidovirales) is classified into four genera of CoVs: alphaCoV, betaCoV, deltaCoV, and gammaCoV. SARS-CoV-2 is categorized as a betaCoV. It has an elliptic or round and often pleomorphic form, with a diameter of 60–140 nm. Like other betaCoVs, SARS-CoV-2 is sensitive to ultraviolet rays and...
heat and can be effectively killed by lipid solvents. Acute respiratory illness appears to be the most common manifestation of COVID-19 infection. The spectrum of symptomatic infection ranges from mild to severe; most infections are not severe (Guan et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

**Mild clinical symptoms**

The initial stage occurs at the time of inoculation and early establishment of the disease. For most people, this involves an incubation period associated with mild and often non-specific symptoms such as malaise, fever, and a dry cough. During this period, SARS-CoV-2 multiplies and establishes residence in the host, primarily focusing on the respiratory system. SARS-CoV-2 binds to its target using the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on human cells (Wan et al., 2020).

**Moderate clinical symptoms**

In the second stage of established pulmonary disease, viral multiplication and localized inflammation in the lung is the norm. During this stage, patients develop a viral pneumonia, with a cough, fever, and possibly hypoxia (defined as a PaO2/FiO2 of <300 mmHg). Imaging with a chest roentgenogram or computerized tomography reveals bilateral infiltrates or ground glass opacities. Blood tests reveal increasing lymphopenia along with transaminitis. (Siddiqi & Mehra, 2020)

**Severe clinical symptoms**

Approximately 1 out of every 6 COVID-19 patients transition into the third and most severe stage of the illness, which manifests as an extrapulmonary systemic hyperinflammation syndrome. In this stage, markers of systemic inflammation appear to be elevated. COVID-19 infection results in a decrease in helper, suppressor, and regulatory T cell counts (Qin et al., 2020). In this stage, shock, vasoplegia, respiratory failure, and even cardiopulmonary collapse are discernible. Systemic organ involvement, even myocarditis, can manifest during this stage.

The latest trend shows that human-to-human spread is the main mode of transmission, which is believed to happen through respiratory droplets from sneezing and coughing. Aerosol transmission is also possible in closed areas. Infection might also happen if someone touches a contaminated surface and then touches their own eyes, nose, or mouth. (Cascella et al., 2020; van Doremalen et al. 2020; Adnan Shereen et al., 2020). Although symptomatic people are the most frequent source of COVID-19 spread, the possibility of transmission before
symptoms develop, or even from individuals who remain asymptomatic, cannot be excluded. Moreover, the period during which an individual with COVID-19 is infectious is uncertain. The duration of viral shedding is also variable (Rothe et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2020; Bai et al., 2020; Cascella et al., 2020). Data suggests that the use of social distancing is the best way to control this pandemic. Several countries have taken measures such as mobility restrictions, drastic social distancing, school closures, and travel bans, which could significantly disrupt economic and social stability.

At this moment, the therapeutic approaches to handle COVID-19 are only supportive. There is neither a vaccine to prevent infections nor clinically approved antiviral drugs to treat COVID-19; therefore, identifying drug treatment options as soon as possible is critical for responding to the pandemic. Clinical trials for vaccines are currently underway. Potential vaccines have been administered to volunteers in a phase 1 safety trial by the USA government; however, the efficacy, such as how long immunity will last or if people might become infected even if they possess a high level of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2, will not become clear for at least one year after injection (Callaway, 2020). Furthermore, the safety of the developed vaccines is unknown because laboratory tests are being conducted in parallel to clinical trial phase 1 owing to the emergence of COVID-19 as a pandemic. The unknown efficacy and safety of the vaccines used might cause disease enhancement, by which vaccinated subjects might develop an even more severe form of disease than the subjects that have not been vaccinated, which has been shown in studies of SARS vaccines, in which vaccinated ferrets developed damaging inflammation in their livers after they were infected with the virus (Weingartl et al., 2004).

Until now, no drugs have been successfully developed for the control of COVID-19 (Xu et al., 2020); however, numerous effort are underway worldwide, and particularly in China (Lu, 2020). Therefore, drug repurposing and the use of existing alternative medicine have been used as effective methods for the treatment of patients with COVID-19. In this comprehensive review, we reviewed the use of existing drugs or alternative treatment methods and discussed the mode of action from a molecular mechanism perspective to attenuate COVID-19 in the human system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A systematic review was conducted from 1 January 2020 until 18 March 2020 by including papers that were published and not published before 18 March 2020 and reported drug repurposing and traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) as treatment options for COVID-19 used in patients from different countries to reduce publication bias, increase the comprehensiveness and timeliness of the review and foster a balanced picture of available evidence (Paez, 2017).
The review was performed according to criteria using Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews (PRISMA) statement (Moher et al., 2009). The list of publications was obtained from listed databases; PubMed, Science Direct, Google Scholar and Semantic Scholar, whereas grey literature search such as guidelines, theses and dissertations, research and committee reports, government reports, conference papers, and abstracts were obtained from WHO, U.S National Library of Medicine, China Centre for disease control and prevention, China Important Conference Papers Database, China Dissertation Database, and online official news websites. Most of the grey literatures were from China because the virus originated from China and China provides more data to tackle this disease. Different combinations of the following keywords: NCoV-2019, COVID-19, 2019-nCoV, SARS-CoV-2, 2019 novel coronavirus, Wuhan virus, drug repurposing, medication, treatment, traditional Chinese medicine, alternative and complementary medicine were used in the literature search through a three-level search strategy based on standardized descriptors defined by the Medical Subject Headings algorithm. A secondary search was based on screening of the reference list of all the relevant studies identified in the direct search. The entire potentially relevant studies were evaluated after title screening to exclude irrelevant information. Only studies that reported the repurposing of drugs and TCMs for COVID-19 and contained information about the structure of the chemical constituents, in vivo or in vitro studies, case reports, treatment of patients diagnosed with COVID-19, and molecular mechanisms were extracted and assessed.

RESULTS

The primary search identified 8074 published and unpublished papers, of which 2132 were from PubMed, 2775 from ScienceDirect, 720 from google scholar, 1190 from Semantic Scholar, and 1257 from Google search engine. 3798 duplicates were excluded, leaving 4276 articles for screening by title analysis. 841 eligible published and unpublished papers were identified after excluding 3435 papers that had a different theme from this systematic review. In conclusion, the content of 841 papers was fully analyzed, of which 681 were excluded according to our exclusion criteria, which was medication that was not administrated as treatment for COVID-19 before 18 March 2020. We divided the drug classes into different subclasses and individual drugs to identify differences within a drug class. Repurposed drugs used for treatment of COVID-19 consisted of 6 drugs (ritonavir, lopinavir, oseltamivir, remdesivir, favipiravir and chloroquine) and 4 TCMs (Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye, TCM combination of Bu Huan Jin Zheng Qi San and Da Yuan Yin, Xue Bi Jing Injection and Qing Fei Pai Du Tang). The 160 articles in this review consists of 6 guidelines, 11 clinical trial registries, 11 from official news, 42 in vitro and in vivo studies, 51 reports presenting in vitro
and in vivo outcomes, and 39 clinical findings and treatment efficacy. A flowchart illustrating the progressive study selection and numbers at each stage is shown in Figure 2.

**Figure 2 Flow diagram of the systematic search according to the guidelines for Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews (PRISMA).**

**Discussion**

In this systematic review, we provide an overview of previous studies in which existing drugs or TCMs have been repurposed for the treatment of COVID-19 and discuss the initial mechanism of action of these drugs from a molecular perspective for the treatment of
coronaviruses or other viruses. The mechanism of action of these drugs give rise to medication used to treat COVID-19 since the outbreak of the disease in December 2019 because the development of vaccines and efficacy of clinical trial is time consuming. Recently, WHO reported the launch of a multi-arm and multicountry clinical trial of 4 drugs, remdesivir, lopinavir, and ritonavir (kaletra), kaletra and interferon beta and chloroquine on 18 March 2020 (Branswell, 2020). Even though our systematic review method of searching the literature covered medication of repurposing drugs used until 18 March 2020, most of our findings are relevant and still up to date as 4 of the drugs that have been tested in a clinical trial launched by WHO known as SOLIDARITY are covered in this review.

**Repurposed drugs as drug candidates in the SOLIDARITY trial**

*HIV protease inhibitors and anti-influenza drug as a starting point for COVID-19 treatment*

During the 1990s, AbbVie Inc patented two antiretroviral protease inhibitors, ritonavir and lopinavir (Fischer and Ganellin, 2006). Ritonavir, also known as norvir, is derived from L-valine, whereas lopinavir is structurally related to ritonavir, as illustrated in Figure 3 (Chandwani and Shuter, 2008; Yao, Qian, Zhu, Wang, & Wang, 2020). Initially, they were developed as a standalone antiviral agent for the treatment of HIV infections, however they are combined to obtain a more efficient drug response and sold under the brand name Kaletra (Abbott Laboratories, 2011; Wishart *et al*., 2018).

![Chemical structure of HIV protease inhibitor, ritonavir](https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/392622#section=2D-Structure)

Figure 3 Chemical structure of HIV protease inhibitor, ritonavir (PubChem Identifier: CID 392622, URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/392622#section=2D-Structure)

Prior to the discovery and synthesis of lopinavir, ritonavir was used for the treatment of HIV-1 and HIV-2 infections through reversible inhibition of the HIV proteases by blocking access to the proteases’ active site, thus preventing the processing of the HIV Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins (Kempf, 2007). This results in the formation of immature HIV particles that are not infectious. However, the extremely high mutation rate of HIV-1 in vivo (Cuevas, Geller, Garijo, López-Aldeguer, & Sanjuán, 2015) has given rise to a strain of HIV-1 that is resistant to ritonavir. The rise of ritonavir-resistant HIV strains has led the development of more effective drugs for combating HIV infections, one of which is lopinavir. Lopinavir, being a protease inhibitor, functions similarly to ritonavir in that it reversibly binds to the HIV protease’s active site and prevents the Gag and Gag-Pol polyproteins from being processed (Rock, Hengel, Rock, Wienkers, & Kunze, 2014). Compared with ritonavir, a lower amount of lopinavir is required to achieve EC50 (17 nM versus 25 nM) (Lv, Chu, & Wang, 2015). Although it is more effective (in terms of concentration required to achieve EC50), in vitro experiments with human liver microsomes have revealed that lopinavir undergoes oxidative metabolism by the cytochrome P450 3A4 enzyme (Van Waterschoot et al., 2010; Rock, Hengel, Rock, Wienkers, & Kunze, 2014), thus reducing the bioavailability of lopinavir. To combat this, lopinavir is administered together with ritonavir, which can inhibit P450 3A4 enzyme activity. The high rate of mutation of HIV has given rise to drug-resistant (against lopinavir/ritonavir) HIV strains, with lopinavir/ritonavir acting as the selective pressure. By combining these drugs together, it provide better efficacy as HIV protease inhibitors.

These drugs were the first repurposed drug that were used to treat patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (Wipatayotin, 2020). The administration of ritonavir and lopinavir for the treatment of COVID-19 might be owing to the similarity of the genome sequence to SARS-CoV approximately 79.6% and originated from the same genus as SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV (Betacoronavirus) (Zhou et al., 2020). Therefore, therapies and drugs developed for the treatment of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV could be used for the development of COVID-19 therapeutics and assumed that the mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 is similar to its family members (Yao, Qian, Zhu, Wang, & Wang, 2020). Lopinavir/ritonavir have been administered to patients diagnosed with moderate stage COVID-19, which is the second stage of established pulmonary disease and viral multiplication (Siddiqi & Mehra, 2020). The successful treatment of COVID-19 patients with lopinavir and ritonavir have also been reported in India (an Italian
couple consisting of a 69 year old male and a 70 year old female) (Harrison, 2020) and Spain (62 year old male) (Boyd, 2020).

A molecular dynamics simulation suggested that lopinavir and ritonavir are able to inhibit the SARS-CoV 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} enzyme (required for the processing of the SARS-CoV polyprotein containing the replicase enzyme) by binding to the enzyme’s active site, with neither of them having a higher binding affinity than the other (Nukoolkarn, Lee, Malaisree, Aruksakulwong, & Hannongbua, 2008). However, a binding analysis showed that half of the lopinavir was left outside of the catalytic site and one of ritonavir’s benzene side chains might be too long to perfectly fit the substrate binding pocket. This would lead to ritonavir and lopinavir having poor efficacy, and this is reflected in weak \textit{in vitro} activity against SARS-CoV (Zhang & Yap, 2004). Another study suggests that both lopinavir and ritonavir have no effect on SARS-CoV replication, with nelfinavir being the only inhibitor that has an effect on SARS-CoV replication (Yamamoto et al., 2004). Despite not having any effect on SARS-CoV replication, lopinavir does have antiviral activity against SARS-CoV, with one study suggesting lopinavir has a synergistic effect when used with ribavirin (Chu et al., 2004). A study conducted on lopinavir’s and ritonavir’s effectiveness against MERS-CoV showed that although lopinavir and ritonavir have antiviral activity against MERS-CoV, their effectiveness is lower than interferon beta and remdesivir (Sheahan et al., 2020).

A recent COVID-19 study revealed that SARS-CoV-2 utilizes the same cell entry method used by SAR-CoV, namely, relying on the ACE2 receptor and priming of the spike protein by TMPRSS2 (Hoffmann et al., 2020). Clinically proven protease inhibitors for the ACE2 receptor and TMPRSS2 are already available in the form of camostat mesylate and E-64d. The same study also mentioned that antibodies produced against SARS-CoV can be used to combat SARS-CoV-2, albeit at a lower efficiency. Antibodies recovered from recovered COVID-19 patients could be used to combat COVID-19, although only as a preventive measure or in the early stages of the infection (Casadevall & Pirofski, 2020). However, this method was successfully used to treat seriously ill patients in China, with patients showing improvement within 24 hours (Bloomberg, 2020).

\textit{Influenza drug administered in combination with a HIV protease inhibitor provide better outcome}

Oseltamivir is another synthetic prodrug (Figure 4) used for the treatment for COVID-19. It is capable of inhibiting the neuraminidase enzymes on the surface of influenza virus particles. This drug is manufactured by Hoffmann-La Roche Inc, with the patent held by Gilead Sciences Inc and sold under the brand name of Tamiflu (Wishart et al., 2018). This drug is used to
Oseltamivir is administered orally in its prodrug form, oseltamivir phosphate, for the treatment and prophylaxis of influenza A and influenza B infections. Oseltamivir phosphate is readily absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract, after which it is converted by hepatic esterases into its active form, oseltamivir carboxylate, a competitive inhibitor to neuraminidase found in influenza A and influenza B (Wishart et al., 2018). It reversibly binds to the active site of the neuraminidase, preventing the neuraminidase from cleaving the sialic acid residues (McNicholl & McNicholl, 2001) found on the surface of the host cell. This prevents the entry of the virus into uninfected cells, the release of newly formed virions from the infected cells, and reduces both viral shedding and infectivity of the virus (Wishart et al., 2018). Oseltamivir is eliminated from the body in the urine, and *in vitro* studies have shown that both oseltamivir phosphate and oseltamivir carboxylate is not susceptible to metabolism by the cytochrome P450 enzymes (Hoffman-La Roche Inc, 2009). Although it can be used to prevent influenza infections, consumption of oseltamivir is not a substitute for influenza vaccination (Hoffman-La Roche Inc, 2009). The common side effects of oseltamivir are nausea and vomiting, ranging from mild to moderate severity. These side effects can be mitigated by consuming oseltamivir with food (Genentech, Inc., 2011).

![Oseltamivir](https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/65028#section=2D-Structure)

**Figure 4 Oseltamivir, Synthetic prodrug for treatment of the Influenza virus** (PubChem Identifier: CID 65028, URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/65028#section=2D-Structure)

On 18 February 2020, a 74-year-old Chinese woman with COVID-19 in Thailand was treated at Rajvitjhi Hospital for COVID-19-related pneumonia with a cocktail of HIV and flu drugs.
(Wipatayotin, 2020). The patient was first administered ritonavir and lopinavir for 5 days. After failing to show signs of recovery, oseltamivir was administered to relieve the cough and fever symptoms and reduce the severity of these symptoms in the second stage of COVID-19 infection (Siddiqi & Mehra, 2020). This led to a marked improvement in her pneumonia condition in 8 – 12 hours. She tested negative for the COVID-19 after 48 hours. The drug cocktail was administered for the next 10 days, and subsequent tests for COVID-19 over the next 20 days gave negative results. However, the synergistic effect of the combination of these drugs is unclear because oseltamivir does not inhibit SARS-CoV (Tan et. al., 2004) and MERS-CoV like lopinavir and ritonavir (Al-Tawfiq, Momattin, Dib, & Memish, 2014). The repurposing of ritonavir, lopinavir, and oseltamivir for the treatment of COVID-19 is currently being studied by companies such as AbbVie Inc (Harrison, 2020).

**Chain termination of viral RNA synthesis by nucleotide analogues to combat COVID-19**

Remdesivir (RDV; development code GS-5734) is a 1’-cyano-substituted adenosine nucleotide analogue prodrug that was developed by Gilead Sciences in 2017 as a treatment for Ebola virus infection (Figure 5) (Tchesnokov et al., 2019). Several studies have revealed that it has broad-spectrum antiviral activity against RNA viruses such Ebola virus (EBOV), MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, Marburg, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), Nipah virus (NiV), and Hendra virus (Lo, 2017; Sheahan, 2017; Warren, 2016). Remdesivir has been used on a compassionate basis in several unique cases of Ebola virus disease such as to treat a newborn baby with congenital EBOV infection in combination with ZMapp and a buffy coat transfusion from an Ebola survivor (Dornemann, 2017). Remdesivir has also been included in a larger scale therapeutic study for Ebola virus disease in the Democratic Republic of Congo. The study enrolled 681 patients from November 20, 2018, to August 9, 2019. However, the study showed a greater survival rate with monoclonal antibodies (REGN-EB3 or mAb114) treatment compared with remdesivir and ZMapp (Mulangu, 2019).
Figure 5 Potential drug candidate to treat COVID-19 manufactured by Gilead Sciences to treat COVID-19, remdesivir (PubChem Identifier: CID 121304016, URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/121304016#section=2D-Structure)

Remdesivir blocks the proliferation of the RNA viruses in the host body through pre-mature termination of viral RNA transcription (Warren, 2016; Jordan, 2018; Tchesnokov, 2019). Remdesivir is metabolized to an active nucleoside triphosphate and works as an incorporation competitor with adenosine triphosphate. The mechanism interferes with the action of viral RNA polymerase, causing delayed chain termination and leading to decreased viral RNA production (Warren, 2016; Tchesnokov, 2019; Gordon, 2020). Based on an in vitro test utilizing primary human lung epithelial cell cultures, remdesivir was potently antiviral against Bat-CoVs, zoonotic Bat-CoVs, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and circulating contemporary human-CoVs (Brown, 2019; Agostini, 2018; Sheahan, 2017). In comparison with other antiviral drugs such as lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta, remdesivir displayed superior antiviral activity in vitro using Calu-3 cells with MERS-nanoluciferase and in vivo in a transgenic mouse with a humanized MERS-CoV receptor (dipeptidyl peptidase 4, hDPP4) (Sheahan, 2020). The study stated that both prophylactic and therapeutic remdesivir improve pulmonary function and reduce lung viral loads and severe lung pathology. The data prompted an efficacy test of prophylactic and therapeutic remdesivir treatment in a nonhuman primate model of MERS-CoV infection, the rhesus macaque (E de Wit, 2020). The induction of clinical disease by MERS-CoV was completely prevented with inhibition of MERS-CoV formation and lung lesion formation after prophylactic treatment initiated 24 h prior to inoculation. The data also
strongly suggested a clinical benefit for therapeutic treatment with reduced virus replication and severity of lung lesions.

The improvement of severe lung pathology from *in vitro* and *in vivo* study by Sheahan (2020) might help to improve the illness of patients in severe category of COVID-19, which manifests as an extra-pulmonary systemic hyperinflammation syndrome (Qin *et al.*, 2020) and make remdesivir high potential as drug candidates that would be effective for combating COVID-19.

A recent study by Wang *et al.* (2020) reported chloroquine and remdesivir effectively inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection in Vero E6 cells. This drug was even used to treat the first US patient from Washington, who was diagnosed with COVID-19 owing to a pneumonia condition (Holshue *et al.*, 2020). Because the use of remdesivir dramatically improved the patient’s condition, a phase III clinical trial in China, United States, Hong Kong, Republic of Korea, Singapore, and France has been approved to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the drug in patients with COVID-19 (U.S National Library of Medicine, 2020). Patients in the experimental group received an initial dose of 200 mg of remdesivir followed by a daily dose of 100 mg of remdesivir through intravenous infusion in addition to standard of care therapy, whereas patients in the control group received standard of care therapy and the same dose of a RDV placebo according to the clinical trial data from U.S National Library of Medicine (2020). The clinical trial is still underway and no broad conclusions have been made about the efficacy and safety of the drug.

Favipiravir is another nucleotide analogue that has been used to treat COVID-19. Favipiravir (FPV; T-705) was developed by Toyama Chemicals in 2002 and has been approved in Japan for the treatment of influenza virus infections (Figure 6) (Furuta *et al.*, 2002; Furuta *et al.*, 2013). Favipiravir has demonstrated antiviral activity against a wide range of RNA viruses including norovirus (Rocha-pereira, 2012), Zika virus (Zmurko, 2016), foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) (de Avila, 2017), Rabies (Yamada *et al.*, 2016) and Ebola virus (Oestereich *et al.* 2014; Smither *et al.* 2014).

Like remdesivir, favipiravir inhibits viral RNA synthesis through chain termination (Sangawa, 2013; Abdelnabi, 2017). Favipiravir is metabolized into ribofuranosyl 5'-triphosphate (RTP) and incorporated in the growing RNA strand. The incorporation of a single molecule of favipiravir-RTP partially prevented the extension of an RNA strand and double incorporation of favipiravir-RTP molecules completely blocked the further extension. This mechanism efficiently inhibits the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase function (Furuta et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2013; Naesens et al., 2013; Smee et al., 2009, Furuta, 2013, Arias, 2014).

Other studies have also reported induced lethal mutagenesis during influenza A H1N1 virus and Hepatitis C virus infections and reduced viral titre in vitro (Baranovich 2013, de Avila 2016) via favipiravir. An in vitro test of EBOV-infected Vero E6 cells concluded the ability of favipiravir to suppress EBOV replication (Oestereich et al., 2014). Some studies have also reported the therapeutic efficacy of favipiravir to clear the virus in small animal models (transgenic mice), in which favipiravir reduces viremia and prevents the lethal outcome to the treated animal (Oestereich et al., 2014; Smither, 2014). Surviving mice developed EBOV-specific antibodies, suggesting that in vivo suppression of virus replication by favipiravir allows the host to assemble a virus-specific adaptive immune response to tackle the infection (Oestereich et al., 2014).

However, the favipiravir therapeutic effect is hardly beneficial at the terminal stage of the disease. The small animal data generates cautious optimism about translating the findings into nonhuman primate trials. Prophylactic and complement therapeutic treatment with favipiravir against EBOV on nonhuman primates successfully inhibited viral production and
extended survival time in a concentration-dependent manner (Guedi, 2018). Another pharmacokinetic study of favipiravir in nonhuman primates against two filoviruses (EBOV and Marburg) also supported the antiviral effect of favipiravir compared with untreated animals. Reduced levels of viral RNA and extended survival time was observed in EBOV-infected nonhuman primates, whereas 83% of the MARV-infected nonhuman primates survived upon treatment with favipiravir (Bixler, 2018). In 2014, a proof-of-concept trial of favipiravir in patients with EVD in Guinea was initiated, in which patients received favipiravir along with standardized care (Sissoko et al., 2016). Data on the safety and effectiveness of favipiravir in reducing mortality and viral load were collected. The study reported a lower fatality rate among patients with low to moderate viral load compared with the control group. The findings were supported by FPV trials in Sierra Leone and different parts of Guinea (Bai et al., 2016; Kerber 2019).

To study the effect of favipiravir on COVID-19, favipiravir was approved for the treatment of COVID-19 disease on February 15, 2020 in China. A pilot study of a nonrandomized control trial at The Third People’s Hospital of Shenzhen reported significantly better treatment effects in terms of disease progression and viral clearance compared with lopinavir/ritonavir treatment (Cai et al., 2020). A different randomized clinical trial was conducted at three hospitals in China (Zhongnam Hospital of Wuhan University, Leishenshan Hospital, The Third People’s Hospital of Hubei Province) to compare the efficacy and safety of favipiravir and arbidol to treat COVID-19 patients (Chang et al., 2020). The trial recruited a total of 240 patients and followed up from Feb 20, 2020 to Mar 12, 2020. Patients in the experimental group received various doses of 1600-2400 mg of favipiravir and compared with patients treated with other multiple antiviral drugs: kaletra, oseltamivir, and hydroxychloroquine. Favipiravir-treated patients were found to have a higher clinical recovery rate and more effectively reduced incidence of fever and cough which manifested as mild and moderate symptoms of COVID-19 where during this initial stage, SARS-CoV-2 multiplies and binds to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor on human cells (Wan et al., 2020; Siddiqi & Mehra, 2020). Other clinical trials of favipiravir monotherapy or combination drug therapy are currently ongoing in China and Thailand to further evaluate the efficacy and safety of favipiravir for the treatment of COVID-19 disease. There is still insufficient data to determine the mechanism of action of favipiravir for the treatment of patients diagnosed with COVID-19.

Chloroquine antimalarial drug with a new effect on COVID-19

In contrast to remdesivir and favipiravir, chloroquine (CQ) is an antimalarial drug considered as one of the drug candidates that exhibit good inhibitory effects on SARS-CoV-2 at the cellular
level (Wang et al., 2020). Chloroquine is a 9-aminoquinoline that was synthesized in 1934 as an effective substitute for natural quinine used against malaria (Figure 7) (Bruce, 1981; Winzeler, 2008; Parhizgar, 2017). Chloroquine has been widely used to treat other human diseases such as amoebiosis, autoimmune diseases, and a wide range of bacterial, fungal, and viral infections (Lee, 2011; Raoult, 1990, Raoult, 1999; Boulos, 2004; Rolain, 2007). Studies have also reported its versatile antiviral activity against RNA viruses as diverse as the rabies virus, poliovirus, HIV, hepatitis viruses, influenza viruses and Ebola virus (Chen, 2020; Wu, 2015; Li, 2018; Wang 2018; Cheng, 2016, Zhu 2014).

Figure 7 Chemical structure of chloroquine, which was initially used as an anti-malarial agent (PubChem Identifier: CID 2719, URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/2719#section=2D-Structure)

Chloroquine is a weak base that accumulates in low-pH organelles, such as endosomes, Golgi vesicles, and lysosomes and interfere with their acidification. This hampers the low-pH-dependent steps of protein degradation and viral replication, including fusion and uncoating (Rolain, 2007). Additionally, it alters the glycosylation of the cellular receptors of viruses, which may affect viral binding (Savarino, 2003; Vincent et al., 2005).

The potential activity of chloroquine against coronaviruses has been demonstrated in different in vitro studies. Chloroquine successfully inhibited viral replication of HCoV-229E, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and EBOV in various cell lines [Blau, 2001; Savarino, 2003; Colson, 2020; Johansen et al., 2013; Madrid et al., 2013]. Animal studies, on the other hand, have revealed mixed results. Treatment with chloroquine showed no significant protection against SARS-CoV
and EBOV with reports of high toxicity in mouse and hamster models (Barnard, 2006; Falzarano; 2015). However, other studies have reported positive results against HCoV-OC43 and EBOV when treated with chloroquine (Keyaerts, 2009; Madrid, 2013). The contradict results in animal studies could be owing to the range of doses tested, whereby higher doses could be necessary to produce consistently positive results. However, this may lead to a poor outcome owing to an increase in drug related toxicity. Furthermore, chloroquine may be more effective as a prophylactic treatment owing to its activity during the early stages of a viral cycle, during which it establishes residence in the host through replication of SARS-CoV-2 during the incubation period in patients in the initial stage of the disease, which is a mild condition (Wan et al., 2020).

Recently, Wang et al. (2020) reported that the antiviral drugs remdesivir and chloroquine were effective in preventing replication of a clinical isolate of SARS-CoV-2. A clinical trial from more than 100 patients also demonstrated that chloroquine phosphate was superior to the control treatment for inhibiting the exacerbation of pneumonia, improving lung imaging findings, promoting a virus negative conversion and shortening the disease course, which are symptoms during the severe stage of illness in COVID-19 (Qin et al., 2020). However, the data should be carefully considered before drawing definitive conclusions, because no other results have been published to support this trial. There are a number of clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19 using CQ registered in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2000029939, ChiCTR2000029935, ChiCTR2000029899, ChiCTR2000029868, ChiCTR2000029837, ChiCTR2000029826, ChiCTR2000029803, ChiCTR2000029762, ChiCTR2000029761, ChiCTR2000029741, ChiCTR2000029740, ChiCTR2000029609, ChiCTR2000029559, ChiCTR2000029542). The requests to conduct these clinical trial have been approved and the findings from chloroquine might explore and investigate the mechanism of action of chloroquine to inhibit SARS-CoV-2.

**Alternative and complementary medicine used for the treatment of COVID-19**

*Traditional Chinese medicine can suppress SARS-CoV-2 in vitro*

Complementary medicine has also been used to fight this pandemic disease as an alternative medication. One of the complementary or alternative medicines that have been used is *Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye*. It was officially used on 23 January 2020 by Beijing Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Liu, 2020; Beijing Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2020). It is a Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) comprised of three medicinal plants; 375g of *Lonicera japonica*, 375 g of *Scutellaria baicalensis*, and 750 g of *Forsythia suspense* according to Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China (2015). It is often
widely prescribed by Chinese practitioners for the treatment of high fever, coma, and respiratory diseases (Wu et al., 2015). According to Professor Zhao Zhi Li from Shanghai Traditional Chinese Medicine University, Scutellaria baicalensis is acknowledged as a middle class medicine and Forsythia suspense as a low class medicine recorded in Shennong’s Classic of Materia Medica from Han dynasty according to its ranking for medicinal value with high class or A level as the most valued in terms of pharmacology, whereas Lonicera japonica has been used as a medicinal plant for the treatment of diseases since the Southern and Northern Dynasty in “Mingyi Bielu” (Wang et al., 2019; Xu, 2020). There is a total of 12 companies that manufacture this antidote and one of the manufacturers, Hayao Companys’ Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye was categorized as an "A level" list drug, which is the best quality of drugs in TCM according to the categorization in 2019 National health insurance project in China (Liu, 2020).

This complementary medicine was sold out after the announcement made by Wuhan Institute of Virology through articles from Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, CAS, who claimed that this medicine can suppress the SARS-CoV-2 in a cell culture according to a study in collaboration with Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (Xie, 2020). However, this TCM was not included in the guideline launched by National Health Commission of the People’s Republic of China for prevention of COVID-19 which have been updated to version 7 and known as Guidelines of Diagnosis and Treatment for COVID-19 version 4 report in China for prevention of COVID-19, as reported by China News (Yang et al., 2020; Liu, 2020). This is because the findings were limited to an initial laboratory phase and insufficient data was available to confirm that this TCM can suppress SARS-CoV-2. A clinical trial is still essential to verify its efficacy. As a result, Shanghai Public Health Clinical Center and Wuhan Tongji Hospital have initiated a clinical trial on Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye (Yue, 2020). Although there is still insufficient scientific evidence that this TCM can be used to control COVID-19, it still widely used and the Beijing Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine claims that it is one of the TCM that can be used to prevent COVID-19. Because both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are from the coronaviruses family, the strategies used for the treatment of SARS could be relevant for COVID-19 (Chen et al., 2020). Furthermore, the medicinal plants that in this TCM are known for their antiviral function and have been utilized to alleviate influenza and restrain SARS coronavirus.

All the medicinal plants in this TCM have similar common characteristics, which include heat-clearing, drying dampness and detoxification (Muluye et al., 2014) and antiviral activity against influenza and SARS (Zheng, 2010). For example, Lonicera japonica was widely used to control the SARS coronavirus in 2003 according to State Administration of TCM of China (Yang et al.,
2020). In 2009, *Lonicera japonica* was the second most used medicinal plant for treating influenza A virus subtype H1N1 according to a statistics report from Beijing Youan Hospital of Capital Medical University (Shang *et al*., 2011). The antiviral activity might be owing to the presence of unique compounds such as chlorogenic acid, baicalin, and forsythoside A in *Lonicera japonica, Scutellaria baicalensis, and Forsythia suspense* respectively as shown in Figure 8.

![Chlorogenic acid and Baicalin](image1)

![Forsythiaside A](image2)

Figure 8 Chemical constituents of *Shuang Huang Lian Kou Fu Ye*, which responsible for the antiviral mechanism of action: Chlorogenic acid (PubChem Identifier: CID 1794427 URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/1794427#section=2D-Structure), baicalin (PubChem Identifier: CID 64982 URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/64982#section=2D-Structure), and
Chlorogenic acid was abundant in *Lonicera japonica* and has been reported to attenuate the death rate of influenza-virus-infected mice during the late stage of the infectious cycle through down-regulation of nucleoprotein protein expression (Ding *et al*., 2017). Furthermore, chlorogenic acid play a role as neuraminidase blocker to inhibit H1N1 and H3N2 from releasing newly formed virus particles from infected cells. Baicalin, a flavone glycoside found in *Scutellaria baicalensis*, also act as a neuraminidase blocker by activating the JAK/STAT-1 signaling pathway by inducing IFN-γ production in human CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and NK cells and by attenuating miR-146a, a pivotal key in the replication of H1N1 and H3N2, by targeting the TNF-receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) (Ding *et al*., 2014; Chu *et al*., 2015; Zhao *et al*., 2019; Li and Wang, 2019). Furthermore, *Forsythia suspense* also displayed antiviral activity, which was attributed to the presence of the unique compound forsythoside A (Law *et al*., 2017). The treatment of influenza with this chemical constituent resulted in a reduction of the viral titers of different influenza virus subtypes in cell cultures owing to a decrease in the Matrix protein 1 protein of influenza and blocked the budding process of the virion formation, which limited the spreading of the virus. These chemical constituents, chlorogenic acid, baicalin, and forsythoside A, share a common characteristic of being antiviral against influenza; however, their antiviral activity against coronaviruses requires further investigation for further clarification.

**Traditional Chinese Medicine recommended by Chinese CDC according to severity of clinical symptoms**

Based on the Guidelines of Diagnosis and Treatment for COVID-19 Version 5 by the National Health Commission (NHC) of the People’s Republic of China on 8 February 2020, three TCMs have been used depending on the severity of the condition of COVID-19 and symptom differentiation (Yang *et al*., 2020; Chinese Centre for disease control and prevention, 2020).

**Treatment of mild clinical symptoms**

For patients that showed mild clinical symptoms such as a dry cough, fatigue, chest tightness, nausea, mild cold fever, or no fever, the TCM consisted of 15g of *Atractylodes lancea*, 6g of *Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae*, 10g of *Magnolia officinalis*, 9g of *Agastache rugosa*, 6g of *Amomum tsaoko Crevest et Lemarie*, 9g of *Chinese ephedra*, 10g of *Notopterygium incisum*, 9g of *Zingiber officinale* and 10g of *Areca catechu* were comply (Guo *et al*., 1989). This decoction is a combination of the prescribed TCM *Bu Huan Jin Zheng Qi San* and *Da Yuan Yin* adapted from a medical encyclopedia “Gu Jin Yi Tong Da Quan” chapter 76 and Wu Youke in...
a book titled On Epidemic Diseases (Wen Yi Lun) respectively from Dynasty Ming (Li et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). According to Shin (2013), all the ingredients were categorized based on a method known as a system of chief, deputy and assistant in TCM philosophy or theory. *Atractylodes lancea* is the “chief” ingredient whereas *Agastache rugosa, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae* and *Magnolia officinalis* act as “deputy” ingredients and *Zingiber officinale* and *Areca catechu* are responsible as “assistant” ingredients to provide better synergistic effect among the herbs for treating patients in best method (Mu, 2013). Atractylon (Figure 9) is a chemical compound present in the chief ingredient, *Atractylodes lancea*, which attenuated the influenza A virus within 5 days through the TLR7 signaling pathway by upregulating the Toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7), MyD88, tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6, and IFN-β mRNA expression in the lung tissue of mice infected with influenza A virus (Cheng et al., 2016).

Figure 9 One of the main compounds, atractylon found in the TCM decoction used to treat patients with mild symptoms of COVID-19. (PubChem Identifier: CID 3080635 URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/3080635#section=2D-Structure

The inhibition of coronaviruses by the synergistic effect of this TCM could be similar in action for inhibition of influenza through triggering of the TLR7 signaling pathway. However, further studies are needed to prove the mechanism of action of this decoction on COVID-19.

*Treatment of mild to severe clinical symptoms*

Recently, *Qing Fei Pai Du Tang* has been applied for the treatment of patients with any clinical symptoms of COVID-19 ranging from mild to severe cases (incubation period of SARS-CoV-2, viral multiplication and extra-pulmonary systemic hyperinflammation syndrome) (Wan et al., 2020; Siddiqi & Mehra, 2020; Qin et al., 2020) and even used as a preventative medicine for
this disease. 1102 of 1261 confirmed cases in 10 Chinese provinces were reported to be cured and discharged after the treatment with this TCM (Zhang, 2020). Similarly, the Chinese government has reported that from 108 patients diagnosed with mild COVID-19 cases, the number of cases that evolved from mild to severe was approximately 10% when administered Western medicine alone compared with approximately 4.1% when integrated Chinese and Western medicine treatment was used (Yang et al., 2020). 

_Qing Fei Pai Du Tang_ is comprised of 21 medicinal plants; 9g of _Chinese ephedra_, 6g of _Glycyrrhiza uralensis_, 9g of _Prunus armeniaca_, 15 to 30g of Gypsum, 9g of _Ramulus cinnamomi_, 9g of _Alisma plantago-aquatica_, 9g of _Polyporus umbellatus_, 9g of _Atractylodes macrocephala_, 15g of _Wolfiporia extensa_, 16g of _Bupleurum chinense_, 6g of _Scutellaria baicalensis_, 9g of _Pinelliae tuber_, 9g of _Zingiber officinale_, 9g of _Aster tataricus_, 9g of _Tussilago farfara_, 9g of _Iris domestica_, 6g of _Asarum_, 12g of _Dioscorea oppositifolia_, 6g of _Trifoliate orange_, 6g of _Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae_ and 9g of _Agastache rugosa_. This TCM is a combination of four combinations of well-known prescribed classic TCM from Treatise on Cold Damage Diseases, which are _Ma Xing Shi Gan Tang_, _She Gan Ma Huang tang_, _Xiao Cai Hu Tang_ and _Wu Ling San_ (Zhang et al., 2000).

_Ma Xing Shi Gan Tang_ (MXSGT) is known for antipyretic effects and is commonly used to treat pneumonia, influenza, and other respiratory diseases (Gong, 2018). A systematic review found that the combination of MXSGT with western medicine significantly increased the effective rate of treatment to treat pneumonia (P<0.00001) (Li et al., 2009). The efficacy of this TCM showed significant improvement (P<0.05) on day 7 of consumption of the decoction and was effective and safe for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia (Gong, 2018). This effectiveness might be mediated by β2-adrenoceptors in pigs on bronchial smooth muscle to inhibit neutrophil from entering the respiratory airway and can block acetyl-cholinergic and histaminergic receptor-induced bronchial contraction in rats and finally reduce neutrophilic inflammation (Kao et al., 2001; Eng et al., 2019). Furthermore, it plays roles in decreasing IL-4, IL-8, and TNF-α, yet increase IFN-γ in a COPD rat model (Zhang et al., 2006). In addition to its effectiveness against acute airway system ailments, this decoction also regulate pathogenesis of influenza virus A in infected RAW264.7 cells through attenuation of LC3, the autophagy marker protein (Li et al.,2019).

A classic decoction used in China and Japan, _She Gan Ma Huang tang_ (SGMHT) inhibits mast cells from releasing substances during inflammation, regulates the viscera's function, and promotes the apoptosis of eosinophils (Zhu et al. 2014). It has been reported that mRNA expression levels of Th2 cytokines were decreased and associated with Th1 cytokines upregulation and direct attenuation of the pulmonary edema and suppression of the NF-kB pathway through two herbs (_Aster tataricus_ and _Belamcanda chinensis_) from a modified
version of SGMHT (Eng et al., 2019). In contrast to the other TCMs mentioned above, the other two TCM formulas (Xiao Cai Hu Tang and Wu Ling San) function differently and did not exhibit function against acute airway obstruction. Xiao Cai Hu Tang (XCHT) is known as a TCM for liver treatment, particularly chronic hepatitis B. This TCM modulates STAT3 expression and indirectly suppresses the hepatitis B virus according to western blot analyses and real time PCR results (Chen et al., 2017). The synergistic effect of this TCM for the treatment of pneumonia is unknown and requires full elucidation in the future. Wu Ling San (WLS) has been used for the treatment of impairments of the regulation of body fluid homeostasis in China, Japan, and Korea (Ahn et al., 2012). WLS might affect the signal transduction pathway such as NF-kB, MAPKs and HO-1 to demonstrate anti-inflammatory effects like MXSGT to treat pneumonia or respiratory diseases in lipopolysaccharide stimulated macrophages (Oh et al., 2014).

The derived formulation of Qing Fei Pai Du Tang from the four combination of these classic TCM showed its ability to reduce the symptoms of COVID-19 patients by restoring the normal body temperature in 94.6 % of 112 patients and stopping coughing in 80.6 % of 214 patients (Gu, 2020). As a result, this TCM have been listed as one of the treatment option by the National Health Commission (NHC) of the People’s Republic of China in Guidelines of Diagnosis and Treatment for COVID-19 Version 7 (Chinese Centre for disease control and prevention, 2020; Yang et al., 2020).The effectiveness of Qing Fei Pai Du Tang can reach 97.78% with 1102 patients been cured from 1261 patients from 10 districts in China until 13 March 2020 (Zhang and Zhang, 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Until now, none of the cases became severe from mild condition after the consumption of Qing Fei Pai Du Tang.

Treatment of severe clinical symptoms

Xue Bi Jing Injection, an established TCM in China, has been recommended for severe symptoms of COVID-19 patients (Chinese Centre for disease control and prevention, 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Unlike other drugs that undergo a conventional clinical trial phase, it proceeded from bedside to bench and finally back to bedside before approval was obtained from China Food and Drug Administration in 2004 (Chinese Society of Critical Care Medicine, 2015). Consequently, the annual consumption of this TCM in China for the treatment of pneumonia and other diseases has reached 800000 (Zhang et al., 2018). Xue Bi Jing Injection consist of numerous compounds, as illustrated in Figure 10, which are extracted from five medicinal plants; Radix Salviae Miltiorrhiae, Rhizoma Chuanxiong, Flos Carthami, Angelica Sinensis and Radix Paeoniae Rubra (Gong et al., 2015).
Figure 15 Chemical constituents in a *Xue Bi Jing Injection*. Senkyunolide I (PubChem Identifier: CID 11521428) URL: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Senkyunolide-I
Initially, it was developed for activating blood circulation to remove blood stasis, cooling the blood, and clearing the toxic heat (Zhang et al., 2018). However, this TCM has been used to fight SARS-CoV-2 because of its effectiveness to treat severe pneumonia, the severe stage of COVID-19 (Qin et al., 2020), by significantly reducing mortality by approximately 15.9% and elevating the improvement of the pneumonia severity index by approximately 60.8% (Zhang et al., 2020).
et al., 2018). The Xue Bi Jing Injection relieved or reduced severe pneumonia by triggering the inflammation pathway through downregulation of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8 on the 3rd, 7th and 14th day after treatment, although it did not significantly influence the release of leptin (Qi et al., 2011). This suggest that an anti-endotoxin effect was deployed by halting the release of TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-8, endogenic inflammatory mediators. As a result, blocking the development of a systemic inflammatory response syndrome occurred through the disruption of the inflammation vicious cycle (Qi et al., 2011). This mechanism of action of the Xue Bi Jing Injection reduces the severity of pneumonia in COVID-19 patients and lowers the side effects on the organ functions. These results indicate that TCMs can be used as complementary medicine for the treatment of patients during this pandemic disease; however, the mechanism of action of these TCMs still require further investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of COVID-19 with repurposed drugs is dependent on the ability of the drug to inhibit the proliferation by binding to the enzyme active sites, viral chain termination, and triggering of molecular pathways. For instance, ritonavir and lopinavir are believed to bind at the enzyme active site and are used together to inhibit the replication of SARS-CoV-2 because lopinavir alone is susceptible to oxidation metabolism by the cytochrome P450 enzyme, whereas the addition of ritonavir and oseltamivir can inhibit P450 3A4 enzyme activity. Therefore, the combination of ritonavir and lopinavir are essential to maintain the drug efficacy for the treatment of COVID-19. Remdesivir and favipiravir attenuated replication through premature termination of RNA transcription. Chloroquine shows a potential antiviral effect in vitro, however, there is a lack of in vivo data to support therapeutic treatment for SARS-CoV-2 infection. In contrast to the 6 drugs mentioned above, the TCMs discussed in this review were initially used to treat influenza and SARS by triggering the inflammation pathway such as upregulating IL6 instead of RNA chain termination. New drugs that are effective for the treatment COVID-19 are still in development or in clinical trials. In this review we provide a framework for better understanding of the mechanism of action of repurposed drugs and TCM and their involvement in the molecular pathway for inhibiting viral replication such as SARS or MERS. We hope this will provide insights into the molecular pathway for effective drug development to treat COVID-19 in the future. However, further experimental validation is needed to provide more concrete evidence.
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